RESEARCH ARTICLE

REVISED Frequency of parasitic infections in *Arachishypogaea L* (groundnuts), *Citrulluslanatus* seeds (watermelon seeds), and *Ziziphusspina-christi* (nabag) sold by street vendors in Khartoum State, Sudan: a cross-sectional study [version 4; peer review: 2 approved]

Arwa Suleiman Mohammed¹, Ahmed Abd Alla ¹, Ahmed Galander¹, Tayseer Elfaki¹, Ahmed Ibrahim Hashim ², Hisham N. Altayb³

¹Parasitology and Medical Entomology, Sudan University of Science and Technology, Khartoum, Khartoum, 11111, Sudan

²Microbiology, Sudan University of Science and Technology, Khartoum, Khartoum, 11111, Sudan

³Biochemistry, College of science, King Abdulaziz University,, King Abdulaziz University, Gedda, Gedda, Saudi Arabia

V4 First published: 16 Jul 2021, 10:586
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.53682.1>
 Second version: 29 Nov 2021, 10:586
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.53682.2>
 Third version: 15 Dec 2021, 10:586
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.53682.3>
 Latest published: 20 Jan 2022, 10:586
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.53682.4>

Abstract

Background: Plant products, including seeds are an important source of vitamins, minerals, proteins, and energy. This study aimed to assess parasitic contaminations in roasted groundnuts, nabag, and tasali (watermelon seeds) sold by street vendors in Khartoum State, Sudan.

Methods: The frequency of parasitic contaminations among all crop products was detected by washing the plants with saline, and then conducting an examination using a formal ether concentration technique (FECT), followed by a saturated sugar floatation technique.

Results: The detected parasites belonged to two species: *Entamoeba histolytica* (33.3%) and *Giardia lamblia* (15.6%). No helminthic parasites were detected. Mixed contamination of the mentioned parasites was also observed (11.1%). The most contaminated crop was nabag, followed by groundnut, and finally tasali.

Conclusion: No relation was established between the positivity of samples for parasites and crop type, Khartoum State city, or seller sex. FECT was more sensitive than the saturated sugar floatation technique as a detection method.

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 4		
(revision)	view	view
20 Jan 2022		
version 3		
(revision)	view	view
15 Dec 2021		
version 2		
(revision)	view	view
29 Nov 2021		
version 1		
16 Jul 2021	view	view

1. **Samar Al Nahhas**, Damascus University, Damascus, Syria
2. **Erastus Mulinge** , Kenya Medical Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya

Keywords

Arachis hypogaea L , Groundnuts, *Citrullus lanatus*, Nabag, Tasali

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Ahmed Abd Alla (ahmed.hassanab@gmail.com)

Author roles: **Suleiman Mohammed A:** Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology; **Abd Alla A:** Formal Analysis, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Galander A:** Data Curation, Visualization; **Elfaki T:** Writing – Review & Editing; **Ibrahim Hashim A:** Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **N. Altayb H:** Resources, Software, Validation

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2022 Suleiman Mohammed A *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Suleiman Mohammed A, Abd Alla A, Galander A *et al.* **Frequency of parasitic infections in *Arachishypogaea* L (groundnuts), *Citrulluslanatus* seeds (watermelon seeds), and *Ziziphusspina-christi* (nabag) sold by street vendors in Khartoum State, Sudan: a cross-sectional study [version 4; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2022, 10:586 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.53682.4>

First published: 16 Jul 2021, 10:586 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.53682.1>

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

In this version we changed the conclusion completely as per the reviewer comments - we made the conclusion a separate part and not a continuation of the results. We mentioned what should be done to prevent the spread of such parasitic disease through this crop.

Any further responses from the reviewers can be found at the end of the article

Introduction

Intestinal parasitic infections can be transmitted orally through the ingestion of infective agents from infected food, water, or contaminated hands.^{1,2} Food contamination and food borne parasitic diseases frequently occur globally. These are estimated to amount to 23.2 million cases and 45,927 deaths annually.³ Fresh fruits and vegetables could be a source of dissemination of foodborne parasitic diseases.^{4,5} A study in Ghana revealed *Ascaris lumbricoides* (roundworm), *Ancylostoma duodenale* (nematode), *Necator americanus* (hookworm), and *Strongyloides stercoralis* (threadworm) contaminations in tiger nuts.⁶ Another study on tiger nuts reported other contaminants such as animal droppings, fungi toxins and bacteria.⁷ Groundnuts, *Ziziphus spina-christi* (nabag), and watermelon seeds (tasali) are widely consumed in Africa and the Middle East.⁸ Nabag and tasali are widely eaten in Sudan.

These crops are natural sources of carbohydrates, proteins, fats, -iron, calcium, ascorbic acid, thiamine, riboflavin, and niacin.⁹⁻¹² Groundnuts in Sudan are mainly used for oil extraction, but can be eaten as a snack: raw, or after roasting without its external cortex envelop.¹³ Nabag is eaten after the sweet pulp of the fruit is dried to produce fine flour.¹⁴ The flour is placed in small metal cups and steamed. Dried pulp flour and water are also mixed with sesame and shaped into small balls.¹⁵ Fruit pulp prepared in these two ways can be consumed either immediately or stored for future use. In addition to groundnuts, nabag, and tasali,¹⁶ many crop products represent an important and for some, the only source of income in Sudan.¹⁵

Intestinal parasitic infections are very common worldwide. They are often not diagnosed and hence not treated, leading to harmful effects which can be lethal in some cases. Food contamination may occur when food is prepared, stored, or handled; this is a common phenomenon in public places like the streets.¹⁵ Identifying parasitic contamination will help fight these infections, because knowing contamination rates allows to take the necessary preventive measures. The objective of this study was to identify parasitic contamination rates in *Arachis hypogaea* L (groundnuts), *Citrullus lanatus* seeds (watermelon seeds), and *Ziziphus spina-christi* (nabag) sold by street vendors in Khartoum State, in Sudan.

Method

Study design

This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted between July and October 2019 in Khartoum State, Sudan. The study included the Khartoum cities Khartoum, Bahri, and Omdurman.

Ethical considerations

Ethical approval for this study was received from the Sudan University of Science and Technology's Committee of Medical Laboratory Science, with the ethical approval number (MLS – IEC – 03 – 18). All participants in this study issued written informed consent for participation and data publication; for participants under 18 years old, consent was obtained from their guardians.

Sampling

The study was conducted on street vendors in Khartoum State, sampling groundnuts, nabag, and tasali. In total, 69.8 g of nabag, 50 g of tasali, and 69.9 g of groundnuts were purchased from 15 sellers in Sudan's Khartoum state (five sellers from each city of interest, i.e. Bahri, Khartoum, and Omdurman), using a cluster random sampling technique, for a total of 45 samples (15 samples from each crop product type). The items were brought to the laboratory and tested under a microscope for parasitic agents. Sellers' location, gender, and age group were observed.

Sample processing

Each product purchased from the same seller was put separately in clean, dry glass bottles after labelling, which were then filled up to the surface with distilled water. Bottles were left for one hour, and then the nabag, tasali, and groundnut were removed from the liquid using a plastic sieve; the washes were collected and then examined using a formal ether concentration technique (FECT) and saturated sugar floatation technique.

Formal ether concentration technique

Nabag and tasali washes were added to 4 ml of 10% volume per volume formal saline contained in a conical centrifuge tube. The contents were well-mixed by centrifuging for 20 seconds. After centrifugation four layers of ether, plant debris, formal saline, and deposit were discarded using a sterile plastic Pastier pipette. The deposits of sieved wash liquid were then examined under a microscope using 10× and 40× magnification, to detect parasitic agents such as cysts, trophozoite larva, helminth eggs, and species including *G. lamblia*, *E. histolytica*, *A. lumbricoides*, *E. vermicularis*, *T. trichiura*, *A. duodenale*, *N. americanus* and *S. stercoralis*.¹⁷

Saturated sugar solution floatation technique

Approximately 1 ml of each previously prepared crop wash was put into a glass tube; then, the floatation solution (saturated sugar) was added gradually until a convex surface was formed on the top of the glass tube, which was then covered with a glass cover. The tube was left for 15–30 min, after which the glass cover was removed; the solution was put on a microscope slide, and examined under the microscope to detect parasitic agents.¹⁷

Statistical analysis

The statistical package for social science (SPSS, IBM) version 20 program, was used for data analysis. Statistical tests were performed at a 5% significance level ($P < 0.05$) and a confidence interval (CI) of 95%. The measured frequencies were computed. The statistical significance of relationships between variables was determined using Pearson's Chi-squared test.

Results

The participants in this study were 15 street vendors selling groundnuts, nabag, and tasali. The population was divided into six age groups: 10–20, 21–30, 31–40, 41–50, 51–60, and over 61 years. The most common age group was 31–40 (46.7%), followed by 41–50 (20%), 21–30 (13.3%), 10–20 (6.7%), 51–60 (6.7%), and more than 61 (6.7%). Eight (53.3%) of the 15 subjects were women, while seven (46.7%) were men.

The total parasitic contamination rate was 60% (27 samples), divided as follows: 20% ($n = 9$) for groundnut, 22.2 % ($n = 10$) for nabag, and 17.8 % ($n = 8$) for tasali. A Chi-squared test was used to test the relationship between crop type and detection of parasites, revealing an insignificant relationship with $P = 0.757$ (Table 1). The presence of both *E. histolytica* and *G. lamblia* accounted for 11.1% of the positive results.

The contamination rate assessed using FECT was 53.3% (24 samples), while the sugar floatation technique detected a contamination rate of 48.9% (21 samples); the correlation between detection and technique used was found to be highly significant at $P = 0.000$ (Table 2). Using FECT, the detected prevalence of *E. histolytica*, *G. lamblia*, and mixed contamination of *E. histolytica* and *G. lamblia* were 33.3% (15), 11.1% (5), and 8.9% (4), respectively; when using the sugar floatation technique, the detected prevalence of *E. histolytica*, *G. lamblia*, and mixed contamination of *E. histolytica* and *G. lamblia* were 37.8% (17), 8.9% (4), and 2.2% (1), respectively (Table 2). The prevalence rates of *E. histolytica* in groundnuts, nabag, and tasali were 15.6% (7), 8.9% (4), and 8.9% (5), respectively, and for *G. lamblia* were 2.2% (1), 6.7% (3), and 6.7% (3) (Table 3). Mixed contamination was found in groundnuts, nabag, and tasali in the following proportions: 2.2% (1), 6.7% (3), and 2.2% (1), respectively. Contamination rates for groundnut, nabag, and tasali detected by FECT were 20% (9), 20% (9), and 13.3% (6), respectively, while those detected using the sugar floatation technique were 20% (9), 13.3 % (6), and 15.5% (7).

Table 1. Frequency of study subjects according to age groups.

Age groups (years)	Frequency		Total
	Males	Females	
10–20	1	0	1 (6.7%)
20–30	1	1	2 (13.3%)
30–40	3	4	7 (46.7%)
40–50	2	1	3 (20%)
50–60	0	1	1 (6.7%)
More than 61	0	1	1 (6.7%)
Total	7 (46.7%)	8 (53.3%)	15 (100%)
	15 (100%)		

Table 2. Comparison between parasite species detected and technique used.

Parasite spp.	Technique, % (n)			
	FECT		Sugar	
	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative
<i>E. histolytica</i>	33.3 (15)	0 (0)	37.8 (17)	0 (0)
<i>G. lamblia</i>	11.1 (5)	0 (0)	8.9 (4)	0 (0)
<i>E. histolytica</i> and <i>G. lamblia</i>	8.9 (4)	0 (0)	2.2 (1)	0 (0)
None	0 (0)	46.7 (21)	0 (0)	51.1 (23)
Total	53.3 (24)	46.7 (21)	48.9 (22)	51.1 (23)
	100 (45)		100 (45)	

Table 3. Correlation between crop type and detected parasite species.

Crop type	Parasite spp., % (n)			Total
	<i>E. histolytica</i>	<i>G. lamblia</i>	<i>E. histolytica</i> & <i>G. lamblia</i>	
Groundnuts	15.6 (7)	2.2 (1)	2.2 (1)	20 (9)
Nabag	8.9 (4)	6.7 (3)	6.7 (3)	22.3 (10)
Tasali	8.9 (4)	6.7 (3)	2.2 (1)	17.8 (8)
Total	33.4 (15)	15.6 (7)	11.1 (5)	60.1 (27)

Table 4. Comparison between contaminated crop type and technique used.

Crop type	Positive results, % (n)	
	FECT	Sugar floatation
Groundnut	20 (9)	20 (9)
Nabag	20 (9)	13.3 (6)
Tasali	13.3 (6)	15.5 (7)
Total	53.3 (24)	48.8 (22)

The relationship between crop type positivity to contamination and technique used was negligible for both FECT ($P = 0.655$) and sugar floatation technique ($P = 0.591$) (Table 4). The 31–40 age group had the highest contamination rate (33.3%), followed by 41–50 (13.3%), more than 61+ (6.7%), 51–60 (4.4%), 21–30 (2.2%), and 10–20 (0%) groups. There was a significant association between seller age group and outcome positivity ($P = 0.028$). Contamination rates were 24.4%, 20%, and 15.6% in Khartoum state cities, i.e. Khartoum, Bahri, and Omdurman, respectively. There was no relation between city and contamination rate ($P = 0.329$). The results revealed that *E. histolytica* was the dominant parasite across all city, with prevalence rates of 17.8%, 17.8%, and 8.9 % in Khartoum, Bahri, and Omdurman, respectively; while *G. lamblia* had lower prevalence rates in Khartoum, Bahri, and Omdurman, at 13.4%, 4.4%, and 8.9%, respectively. Relationship testing between city and species detected yielded insignificant results ($P = 0.460$).

Discussion

Groundnut and watermelon seeds are important cash crops. They respectively represented 43,532 USD (59,620 tons) and 49,355 USD (74,149 tons) of Sudanese exports in 2018.¹⁸ To the best of our knowledge, this study was the first to look into the parasitic contamination of groundnuts, nabag, and tasali sold by Sudanese street vendors. Two studies in Ghana and Nigeria estimated parasitic infections in the root plant *Cyperus esculentus* L. (tiger nuts); those studies were similar to some degree, and found contamination to be significant.^{6,7} The overall contamination rate in the previous study was 60%, which is considered significant. This rate was expected, because vendors sold their products unprotected and handled them with their bare hands. Contamination does not necessarily happen at the selling stage; it can happen during crop farming, harvesting, storage and transport, and even at home, according to Idahosa, 2011¹⁹ and Porter *et al.*, 1990.²⁰ Contamination may occur during the planting phase as a result of polluted irrigational water, as mentioned by

Keraita *et al.*, 2002,²¹ which is contaminated as a result of inadequate or insufficient sanitation infrastructures to cope with the rate of urbanization.²² In our study, the most contaminated crop was nabag (22.2%), followed by groundnut (20%), and finally tasali. This may be due nabag being sold raw, which also exposes it to the previously mentioned contamination factors, particularly during the growing and harvesting phases; When nuts fall to the ground, they may come into contact with potentially polluted soil, as well as other external contaminants carried by wind, humans, or animals.

However, because groundnuts grow beneath the soil surface,¹³ they might be damaged if the nuts comes into contact with soil that has been contaminated, or with polluted irrigational water.

Despite this, salting and roasting may help to reduce contamination. Tasali had the lowest contamination rate in comparison to others. This could be explained by it being protected by the fruit (watermelon) during growing and harvesting, as well as the washing, salting, and roasting processes that occur before it is eaten. In our study, the parasites detected belonged to two species: *E. histolytica* (44.4%) and *G. lamblia* (26.7%). *E. histolytica* was the most common of both in all sampled crops (groundnut: 17.8% vs 4.4%, nabag: 15.6% vs 15.6%, and tasali: 11.1% vs 8.9%). Groundnuts had the highest rate of *E. histolytica* contamination (44.4%). This finding is consistent with a Nigerian study on tiger nuts, which are similar to groundnuts in their cultivation process, and in which *E. histolytica* was identified as the only protozoan parasite (25% contamination rate).^{6,7,13} The precise prevalence rates of *E. histolytica* and *G. lamblia* in Khartoum State are unknown; however, some studies may help to provide a more concise view. *E. histolytica* and *G. lamblia* were found to be common parasites in the following areas: Alhag-yousif (*G. lamblia*: 46.4% and *E. histolytica*: 15.50%), Elengaz (*G. lamblia*: 33.4% and *E. histolytica*: 3.6%), and Alkalakla (*G. lamblia*: 33.4% and *E. histolytica*: 3.6%).^{17,23,24} These results suggest that contaminated crop products could be a major source of infection.

Between the saturated sugar floatation technique (prevalence rate: 48.9%) and FECT (prevalence rate: 53.3%), the FECT was the found the most efficient technique for crop examination ($P = 0.000$). This finding was supported by Abdalazim *et al.*, (2019)¹⁷ ($P = 0.000$). FECT outperformed the saturated sugar floatation technique in detecting both parasites, with FECT detecting 44.2% of *E. histolytica* and *G. lamblia* while the sugar floatation technique detected 40% of *E. histolytica* and 11.1% of *G. lamblia*. According to our study, seller sex and location (stationed vehicle or no vehicle) did not affect the positivity of crop samples to contamination (P-value: 0.807 and 0.329 respectively), while the age group played a role in the occurrence of contamination.²⁵

Conclusions

The population in Sudan should be alerted about the diseases that could be caused by consumption of contaminated crops or foods whether from local street vendors or shops. People should be careful before they consume any food or crop and either consume clean foods or cook well before consumption to get rid of any possible pathogens. The farmers, street vendors and anyone related to the food should be educated about food hygiene and the health authorities should undergo regular health checks and maintain control on crops, foods, restaurants, shops and street vendors to minimize possible exposure of individuals to parasites and other microorganisms or toxins due to consumption of contaminated foods. Future studies should address contamination of foods and crops from streets, markets, restaurants and if possible homes.

Data availability

Underlying data

Figshare: Parasitic Contamination Rate of Arachishypogaea L (Groundnuts), Citrulluslanatus Seeds (Watermelon Seeds), and Ziziphusspina-christi (Nabag) Sold by Street Venders in Khartoum State- Sudan. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14397914.v5>.²⁵

Data are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](#) (CC-BY 4.0).

Acknowledgements

This work was based on a Master's dissertation; we thank all members of the Parasitology and Medical Entomology Department, College of Medical Laboratory Science, Sudan University of Science and Technology, whose help was deeply appreciated. Many thanks to Miss. Alaa Mohammed Ahmed Mohammed for her assistance in collecting samples.

References

- Gizaw Z, Adane T, Azanaw J, *et al.*: **Childhood intestinal parasitic infection and sanitation predictors in rural Dembiya, northwest Ethiopia.** *Environ Health Prev Med.* 2018 Dec; **23**(1): 1–0. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Paniker CJ, Ghosh S: *Paniker's textbook of medical parasitology.* JPMedical Ltd; 2017 Sep 14.
- Torgerson PR, Devleeschauwer B, Praet N, *et al.*: **World Health Organization estimates of the global and regional disease burden of 11 foodborne parasitic diseases, 2010: a data synthesis.** *PLoS Med.* 2015 Dec 3; **12**(12): e1001920. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Shahnazi M, Jafari-Sabet M: **Prevalence of parasitic contamination of raw vegetables in villages of Qazvin Province, Iran.** *Foodborne Pathog Dis.* 2010 Sep 1; **7**(9): 1025–1030. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Tefera T, Biruksew A, Mekonnen Z, *et al.*: **Parasitic contamination of fruits and vegetables collected from selected local markets of Jimma town, southwest Ethiopia.** *Int Sch Res Notices.* 2014; **2014**. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Ogban EI, Ukpong IG: **Intestinal parasites associated with tiger nuts, *Cyperus esculentus* L. Calabar Nigeria: Implications for public health.** *Scholars Acad. J Biosci.* 2018; **6**(10): 634–638. [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Ayeh-Kumi PF, Tetteh-Quarcoo PB, Duedu KO, *et al.*: **A survey of pathogens associated with *Cyperus esculentus* L (tiger nuts) tubers sold in a Ghanaian city.** *BMC Res Notes.* 2014 Dec; **7**(1): 1–9. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Hegazi GA, Diab MI, Abo El-Fadl RE: **In vitro propagation of nabq tree (*Ziziphus spina-christi* (L.) Desf.).** *In IX International Symposium on in vitro Culture and Horticultural Breeding.* 2016 Mar 13; **1187**: 69–78. [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Torgerson PR, Devleeschauwer B, Praet N, *et al.*: **World Health Organization estimates of the global and regional disease burden of 11 foodborne parasitic diseases, 2010: a data synthesis.** *PLoS Med.* 2015 Dec 3; **12**(12): e1001920. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Asibuo JY, Akromah R, Safo-Kantanka O, *et al.*: **Chemical composition of groundnut, *Arachis hypogaea* (L) landraces.** *African J Biotechnol.* 2008; **7**(13).
- Saied AS, Gebauer J, Hammer K, *et al.*: ***Ziziphus spina-christi* (L.) Willd.: a multipurpose fruit tree.** *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution.* 2008 Nov; **55**(7): 929–937. [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Tabiri B, Agbenorhevi JK, Wireko-Manu FD, *et al.*: **Watermelon seeds as food: Nutrient composition, phytochemicals and antioxidant activity.** 2016; **5**(2): 139–144. [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Prasad PV, Kakani VG, Upadhyaya HD: **Growth and production of groundnut.** *UNESCO Encyclopedia.* 2010: 1–26.
- Hussein AS: ***Ziziphus spina-christi*: Analysis of Bioactivities and Chemical Composition.** In: *Wild Fruits: Composition, Nutritional Value and Products.* Springer, Cham; 2019 (pp. 175–197).
- Saied AS, Gebauer J, Hammer K, *et al.*: ***Ziziphus spina-christi* (L.) Willd.: a multipurpose fruit tree.** *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution.* 2008 Nov; **55**(7): 929–937.
- Ghorai S, Banik SP, Verma D, *et al.*: **Fungal biotechnology in food and feed processing.** *Food Res Int.* 2009 Jun 1; **42**(5-6): 577–587. [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Abdalazim Hassan H, Abd Alla AB, Elfaki TEM, *et al.*: **Frequencies of gastrointestinal parasites among students of primary school in Al Kalakla Locality, Khartoum State, Sudan: a cross-sectional study.** *F1000Res.* 2019 Oct 4; **8**: 1719. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- FAO: **FAO Crop and Food Supply Assessment Mission to the Sudan- Special Report.** Rome. 38 pp. Licence:cc BY- NC- SA 3.0 IGO. 2019.
- Idahosa OT: **Parasitic contamination of fresh vegetables sold in Jos markets.** *Global J Med Res.* 2011 May; **11**(1): 21–25.
- Porter JD, Gaffney C, Heymann D, *et al.*: **Food-borne outbreak of *Giardia lamblia*.** *Am J Public Health.* 1990 Oct; **80**(10): 1259–1260. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Keraita B, Drechsel P, Huibers FP, *et al.*: **Wastewater use in informal irrigation in urban and periurban areas of Kumasi, Ghana.** *Urban Agriculture Magazine.* 2002; **8**: 11–13.
- Amoah P, Drechsel P, Henseler M, *et al.*: **Irrigated urban vegetable production in Ghana: microbiological contamination in farms and markets and associated consumer risk groups.** *J Water Health.* 2007 Sep; **5**(3): 455–466. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Gabbad AA, Elawad MA: **Prevalence of intestinal parasite infection in primary school children in Elengaz area, Khartoum, Sudan.** *Acad Res Int.* 2014 Mar 1; **5**(2): 86.
- Siddig HS, Mohammed IA, Mohammed MN, *et al.*: **Prevalence of intestinal parasites among selected group of primary school children in Alhag Yousif Area, Khartoum, Sudan.** *Int J Med Res Health Sci.* 2017 Jan 1; **6**(8): 125–131.
- Abd Alla A, Suliman A: **Parasitic Contamination Rate of *Arachishypogaea* L (Groundnuts), *Citrulluslanatus* Seeds (Watermelon Seeds), and *Ziziphusspina-christi* (Nabag) Sold by Street Venders in Khartoum State- Sudan.** *figshare. Dataset.* 2021. [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 4

Reviewer Report 28 February 2022

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.119863.r120414>

© 2022 Mulinge E. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Erastus Mulinge

Centre for Microbiology Research, Kenya Medical Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya

The authors did not revise the conclusion in the abstract as recommended, e.g. *This study confirms the parasitic contamination of groundnuts, watermelon seeds, and nabag sold by street vendors in Khartoum State, Sudan. Therefore, recommends public health education, water, sanitation, and hygiene practices to avert transmission of parasitic infections and other food and waterborne pathogens.*

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Molecular epidemiology, Parasitology and One Health

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 24 January 2022

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.119863.r120413>

© 2022 Al Nahhas S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Samar Al Nahhas

Department of Animal Biology, Faculty of Science, Damascus University, Damascus, Syria

I have no further comments to make.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Parasitology, infectious diseases, molecular diagnosis, immuno diagnosis, microbiology, enteric parasites, leishmaniasis, malaria, toxoplasmosis, echinococcus.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 3

Reviewer Report 22 December 2021

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.80827.r115327>

© 2021 Al Nahhas S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Samar Al Nahhas

Department of Animal Biology, Faculty of Science, Damascus University, Damascus, Syria

After reviewing the revised manuscript, I found it OK. I approve it to be indexed.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Parasitology, infectious diseases, molecular diagnosis, immuno diagnosis, microbiology, enteric parasites, leishmaniasis, malaria, toxoplasmosis, echinococcus.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 21 December 2021

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.80827.r115326>

© 2021 Mulinge E. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Erastus Mulinge

Centre for Microbiology Research, Kenya Medical Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya

The authors did not revise the conclusion of the manuscript as suggested, as per my previous comments ("The conclusion seems to be a continuation of the results section. Please make conclusions based on these findings, like the implication of these findings to public health and possible control measures as well as what further studies could focus on.") or given reasons for

not revising it.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Molecular epidemiology, Parasitology and One Health

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Version 2

Reviewer Report 09 December 2021

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.79668.r101448>

© 2021 Mulinge E. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Erastus Mulinge

Centre for Microbiology Research, Kenya Medical Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya

The authors revised the manuscript according to the comments I raised besides the major issue on the conclusion.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Molecular epidemiology, Parasitology and One Health

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Reviewer Report 30 November 2021

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.79668.r101449>

© 2021 Al Nahhas S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Samar Al Nahhas

Department of Animal Biology, Faculty of Science, Damascus University, Damascus, Syria

After reviewing the revised paper, the authors did the requested changes.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Parasitology, infectious diseases, molecular diagnosis, immuno diagnosis, microbiology, enteric parasites, leishmaniasis, malaria, toxoplasmosis, echinococcus.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 19 November 2021

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.57096.r98829>

© 2021 Mulinge E. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Erastus Mulinge

Centre for Microbiology Research, Kenya Medical Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya

The manuscript describes intestinal parasite contamination in food items sold by street vendors in Khartoum, Sudan. These parasitic agents detected are significant causes of diarrhea and other gastrointestinal symptoms which are major public health problems and therefore this manuscript deserves indexing.

Major issues

1. The conclusion seems to be a continuation of the results section. Please make conclusions based on these findings, like the implication of these findings to public health and possible control measures as well as what further studies could focus on.
2. Clarify the difference: the text (page 4) stated that 21 samples were positive for parasites by sugar flotation but in the table, it is 22.

Minor issues

1. Replace *Entamoeba histolytica*, address this as *Entamoeba histolytica/E.dispar/E. moshkovskii* throughout the manuscript.
2. Look carefully throughout the manuscript and clear all the spacing mistakes.
3. Change the last sentence in page 3 to *G. lamblia*, *E. histolytica*, *A. lumbricoides*, *Trichuris trichiura*, *A. doudenale*, *N. americanus* and *S. stercoralis*.

4. Avoid the word "then" in the methods.
5. Show p values for correlations in tables 2 and 3.
6. Results: the last paragraph, "The results revealed that *E. histolytica* was the dominant parasite across all city, with prevalence rates" – change to "all cities".
7. Discussion: the previous study was 60% - do you mean the present study?

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

No

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Molecular epidemiology, Parasitology and One Health

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 20 Nov 2021

Ahmed Abd Alla, Sudan University of Science and Technology, Khartoum, Sudan

Major issues

- The conclusion seems to be a continuation of the results section. Please make conclusions based on these findings, like the implication of these findings to public health and possible control measures as well as what further studies could focus on.

Author response: I added a paragraph in the conclusion section in response to this.

- Clarify the difference: the text (page 4) stated that 21 samples were positive for parasites by sugar flotation but in the table, it is 22.

Author response: I revised my data and I found the table is right, so I changed it in text.

Minor issues

- Replace *Entamoeba histolytica*, address this as *Entamoeba histolytica/E.dispar/E. moshkovskii* throughout the manuscript.

Author response: I respond to these all throughout the text and I changed all *E. histolytica* with *histolytica/E.dispar/E. moshkovskii*.

- Look carefully throughout the manuscript and clear all the spacing mistakes.

Author response: I respond to this.

- Change the last sentence in page 3 to *G. lamblia*, *E. histolytica*, *A. lumbricoides*, *Trichuris trichiura*, *A. doudenale*, *N. americanus* and *S. stercoralis*.

Author response: I respond.

- Avoid the word "then" in the methods.

Author response: I respond to this.

- Show p values for correlations in tables 2 and 3.

Author response: I added p-value under Tables 2 and 3.

- Results: the last paragraph, "The results revealed that *E. histolytica* was the dominant parasite across all city, with prevalence rates" – change to "all cities".

Author response: I changed it as the reviewer said.

- Discussion: the previous study was 60% - do you mean the present study?

Author response: I changed 'previous' to 'present'.

I hope I clarify all the things.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 15 November 2021

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.57096.r98828>

© 2021 Al Nahhas S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Samar Al Nahhas

Department of Animal Biology, Faculty of Science, Damascus University, Damascus, Syria

This scientific article addresses the frequency of parasitic infections in some vegetative crops. It addresses an important topic concerning both human and animal nutrition. Raw vegetables and fruits are one of the most essential sources of nourishment. They often contribute to an epidemiological role in the transmission of parasitic food-borne diseases. Usually, fruits and vegetables become contaminated with different parasitic phases (cyst, oocyst, ova, and larvae) during irrigation by waste water or by direct contamination from animals and humans during harvesting, packing, transport processing, distribution, and marketing.

In Sudan as in many countries revealed the presence of different parasites species like soil worms, protozoa, fungus...etc.

Identifying parasitic contamination will help fight these infections, because knowing contamination rates allows applying strict control of fruit and vegetable cultivation areas, such as keeping animals far from direct contact with agricultural crops, periodic inspection of water sources used in irrigation, as well taking the necessary preventive measures.

I have some points that need to be clarified:

- The authors record the Sellers 'gender and age group, **what is the benefit?** Page 5: last paragraph before discussion, they mentioned "The 31-40 age group had the highest contamination rate outcome positivity". **Have the authors tested stool samples isolated from vendors?**
- It is not possible to distinguish and differentiate *E. histolytica* from the morphologically identical nonpathogenic species *E. dispar* and *E. moshkovskii* using light microscopy. **How did the authors confirm the presence of *Entamoeba histolytica*?**
- **The authors applied two techniques: FECT and sugar floatation. What is the benefit?**

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: parasitology, infectious diseases, molecular diagnosis, immuno diagnosis, microbiology, enteric parasites, leishmaniasis, malaria, toxoplasmosis, echinococcus....

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 20 Nov 2021

Ahmed Abd Alla, Sudan University of Science and Technology, Khartoum, Sudan

1. Here we need to know the effect of gender and age group in the transmission of parasites through the crops, although some crops are sold by females more than males, like tasali.
2. We didn't take stool specimens, but of course, we mean by positive the crops are affected not the person.
3. Yes, it's difficult to distinguish between the species by light microscope, so we change all *E. histolytica* to *E. histolytica/E.dispar/E.moshkovskii*.
4. Here we want to compare which is the best.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research