Check for updates

BRIEF REPORT

An outbreak of *Salmonella* Enteritidis food poisoning following consumption of chicken shawarma: A brief epidemiological investigation [version 1; peer review: 1 approved with reservations]

Surendran Deepanjali ¹, Mandal Jharna², Bammigatti Chanaveerappa¹, Dhandapani Sarumathi², Pallam Gopichand², Kaliyappan Anupriya ¹

¹Department of Medicine, Jawaharlal Institute of Post Graduate Medical Education and Research, Dhanvantri Nagar, Puducherry, 605006, India

²Department of Microbiology, Jawaharlal Institute of Post Graduate Medical Education and Research, Dhanvantri Nagar, Puducherry, 605006, India

V1 First published: 25 Aug 2021, 10:851
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.54410.1>
 Second version: 06 Dec 2021, 10:851
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.54410.2>
 Third version: 16 Dec 2021, 10:851
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.54410.3>
 Latest published: 28 Mar 2022, 10:851
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.54410.4>

Abstract

Background: Shawarma, a popular meat-based fast food could be a source of foodborne outbreak due to non-typhoidal *Salmonella*. A clustering of acute gastrointestinal (GI) illness following intake of chicken shawarma occurred primarily among the staff and students of a tertiary care hospital in southern India.

Methods: A case-control study was conducted among 348 undergraduate medical students (33 cases, 315 controls). Data was collected using direct interviews and a simple online questionnaire. Epidemiological associations of GI illness were evaluated at three levels of exposure namely - eating food from any restaurant, eating food from the implicated food outlet, eating chicken shawarma from the implicated outlet.

Results: Of 33 cases, 26 had consumed food from a particular food outlet, 4 from other outlets, and 3 did not report eating out. Consumption of food from the suspected food outlet was significantly associated with GI illness (odds ratio 121.8 [95% CI 28.4 to 522.7]; $P < 0.001$); all the 26 cases who had eaten from the particular outlet had eaten chicken shawarma. In comparison, only one of the 315 controls had eaten this dish. Of the 27 persons (cases as well as controls) who had consumed chicken shawarma from the outlet, 26 fell ill. Culture of stool samples from 10 affected individuals and implicated food item

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 4		
(revision)
28 Mar 2022		view
version 3		
(revision)
16 Dec 2021	view	view
version 2		
(revision)
06 Dec 2021	view	
version 1		
25 Aug 2021
	view	

1. **Veronica Cibi** , Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale delle Venezie, Legnaro, Italy
Marta Leati, Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale delle Venezie, Legnaro, Italy
2. **Samuel Kariuki** , Kenya Medical Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya

yielded *Salmonella* Enteritidis.

Conclusions: Thus, it can be concluded that meat-based shawarma is a potential source of NTS infection.

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Keywords

Salmonella Enteritidis, foodborne disease outbreaks, gastroenteritis

Corresponding author: Surendran Deepanjali (deepanjalis@gmail.com)

Author roles: **Deepanjali S:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Software, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Jharna M:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Investigation, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Chanaveerappa B:** Investigation, Resources; **Sarumathi D:** Investigation; **Gopichand P:** Investigation, Supervision; **Anupriya K:** Resources

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2021 Deepanjali S *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Deepanjali S, Jharna M, Chanaveerappa B *et al.* **An outbreak of *Salmonella* Enteritidis food poisoning following consumption of chicken shawarma: A brief epidemiological investigation [version 1; peer review: 1 approved with reservations]** F1000Research 2021, 10:851 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.54410.1>

First published: 25 Aug 2021, 10:851 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.54410.1>

Introduction

Shawarma is a meat-based dish of Middle Eastern origin.¹ It has become a popular food item across many countries including India. Nontyphoidal *Salmonellae* (NTS) are known to contaminate meat and poultry products resulting in foodborne disease outbreaks.² There have been a few recent reports of foodborne disease outbreaks related to NTS contamination of chicken shawarma.^{3–5} A few countries have issued guidelines for safe preparation and serving of shawarma,^{6,7} but such guidelines do not exist in many developing countries. Further, foodborne disease outbreaks are often under-reported, and the necessary epidemiological investigations are not always carried out.^{8,9} Here, we report an epidemiological investigation of a foodborne disease outbreak caused by consumption of chicken shawarma, which mainly affected the students and staff of a teaching hospital.

Methods

Setting

This brief outbreak investigation was carried out during the months of July and August 2019 at the Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research, Puducherry, India. Since the data was collected as part of an outbreak investigation which was a mandatory public health exercise, an exemption from review was granted by the Institute's Ethics Committee. The participating subjects were aware that their data was being collected as part of a foodborne disease outbreak investigation which was a mandatory public health exercise. However, since the decision to publish the findings was taken many months after the outbreak investigation, an informed consent for publication was not explicitly taken.

Index case

The index case was a postgraduate resident who presented to the emergency department at 4 AM on July 22nd, 2019 with abdominal pain and multiple episodes of diarrhea which started about 7.5 hours after consuming biryani (a mixed rice dish) and chicken shawarma (a Middle Eastern dish made of thinly sliced cuts of meat marinated and cooked after stacking in a vertical skewer) from a food joint near the hospital. The index case developed high grade fever and multiple episodes of vomiting after hospital admission. Subsequently over the next 2 days, 19 more cases were admitted with similar illness, of whom 16 were either students or staff of the hospital. Three of the admitted cases reported about 6 other cases with similar illness treated at other health facilities, thus making the total number hospitalized cases to 26. All 26 cases reported consuming chicken shawarma from the same outlet.

Evaluation of cases

Patients who were admitted in Department of Medicine with the history of acute onset fever and gastrointestinal symptoms such as diarrhea and vomiting after consumption of chicken shawarma from the suspected food outlet on the dates July 22nd -July 24th were categorized as cases. Clinical history was collected through direct interview from individuals who were still hospitalized when data collection started and through telephonic conversation from individuals who could not be directly interviewed. The clinical history of those who were treated in pediatrics department of our institution for similar gastrointestinal complaints and also a few individuals treated elsewhere were obtained from patients who were admitted in Department of Medicine and who happened to share the chicken shawarma meal.

Confirmation of cases for NTS infection

Stool samples of 14 hospitalized individuals could be submitted for the microscopic examination. Primary culture of stool samples as well as a specimen taken from shawarma which was obtained from the restaurant on the same day were carried out. Cultures were done in MacConkey, XLD, DCA, TCBS with selenite F enrichment and alkaline peptone water. Identification of the bacterial colonies were done using matrix assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS version 3.2, VITEK MS, Biomerieux). Stool polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was done using Eppendorf AG HAMBURG 22331 for identifying diarrheagenic *E. coli* and *Campylobacter* spp.

Case-control study

We conducted a case-control study for confirming the source of contaminated food. Since undergraduate medical students belonging to the third to ninth semesters constituted a major proportion of affected individuals, we considered them representative of the population at risk. We collected information from them by direct interview (202 individuals) which was carried out by meeting the third to ninth semester students when they assembled for scheduled theory classes. Those who could not be directly interviewed (146 individuals) were requested to fill up a web-based (Google forms) questionnaire which was circulated through the respective classes' social media groups. The data was collected over the time period from July 25th to August 2nd, 2019. We asked three questions: 'Where did you have your dinner on July 21?' (the day prior to presentation of index case); 'What did you eat?'; and 'Did you have any gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms in the form of abdominal pain, vomiting or diarrhea during the index dates from July 22 to 24?'.

We defined cases as those who presented with GI symptoms on dates July 22nd -24th irrespective of need for hospital admission. Controls were those who reported no GI symptoms. We compared the odds illness at 3 levels of exposure

among cases and controls at 3 levels. At each level the odds ratio was calculated as ratio of odds of illness in the exposed group to the odds of illness in the non-exposed group. Level 1 was eating at any place other than their hostel or home on July 21st; Level 2 was consumption of any food item from the suspected food outlet on July 21st among those who ate outside; and Level 3 was consumption of chicken shawarma among those who had dined at the suspected outlet. To assess the causality of the observed epidemiological association, we applied the Bradford Hill's criteria adopted for foodborne disease outbreaks.¹⁰

Results

Of the 26 individuals who sought medical attention in our hospital and elsewhere, 17 were male and 9 were female. Their median age was 22 (18–25) years. The median (IQR) incubation period of symptom onset was 9.5 (8–12) hours.

Apart from the index case and his co-diner who had taken biriyani along with chicken shawarma from the implicated restaurant, the other 24 people had consumed only chicken shawarma. All cases had greenish loose watery diarrhea. Of 26 cases, 23(88.5%) had high grade fever and vomiting and 25(96.1%) had abdominal pain. Of the 20 cases admitted at our center, 3 required intensive care unit admission because of severe dehydration. All admitted patients recovered completely and were discharged home.

Stool examination

Microscopic examination of the stool samples was done for 14 affected individuals. In 13 individuals it revealed pus cells without any ova or cysts. In 10 patients, the stool culture revealed black colonies, which were identified as subsp. *enterica* serovar Enteritidis. *Salmonella* Enteritidis was also isolated from the shawarma sample. Stool PCR was negative in all 14 cases.

The case-control study involving undergraduate students identified 7 more cases of GI illness (not requiring hospitalization), thus taking the total number of cases to 33. Among the 33 cases, 26 had consumed food from the particular food outlet, 4 had consumed food from other outlets, and 3 did not report eating out (Table 1). Consumption of food from the implicated outlet was significantly associated with GI illness (odds ratio 121.8 [95% CI 28.4 to 522.7]; $P < 0.001$); 26 of 27 persons who had consumed chicken shawarma from that outlet developed GI illness. Applying the Bradford Hill's criteria, the observed association was deemed to be causally linked; only the criterion of biological gradient was not fulfilled (Table 2).

Discussion

We found that the outbreak of gastroenteritis caused by *Salmonella* Enteritidis was epidemiologically linked to the consumption of contaminated chicken shawarma from a particular food outlet. Gastroenteritis outbreaks caused by NTS have been previously reported from India and other countries.^{11–13} Poultry meat contamination by NTS is also reported.¹⁴ Importantly, a study from Jordan found high rates of contamination of chicken meat used in shawarma by *Salmonella* spp.¹⁵ Previously, an NTS (*Salmonella* Thompson) outbreak caused by consumption of chicken shawarma was reported from Canada.³ Microbial contamination of shawarma can occur during the storage, cooking and serving of the meat. Generally, NTS does not survive high temperatures when the cooking process is adequate. However, the important step of

Table 1. Association of gastrointestinal (GI) illness with varying levels of exposure among cases and controls.

	GI illness present	GI illness absent	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value
Level 1				
Ate food from outside	30	79	29.9 (8.9–100.6)	<0.001
Did not eat food from outside	3	236		
Level 2				
Ate food from the suspected food outlet	26	4	121.8 (28.4–522.7)	<0.001
Did not eat food from the suspected food outlet	4	75		
Level 3				
Ate chicken shawarma from suspected food outlet	26	1	123.7 (4.2–3665.8)	<0.001
Did not eat chicken shawarma from suspected food outlet	0	3		

Table 2. Causality assessment using the Bradford Hill's criteria.

Criterion	Analogous question in foodborne outbreaks ¹⁰	Applicability of criterion
Analogy	Do organisms with similar characteristics cause disease related to food consumption under similar condition?	NTS are prevalent in food animals such as poultry, pigs, and cattle as well as in birds and thus can contaminate poorly processed or cooked meat including chicken.
Biological gradient	Is the occurrence of disease related to the amount of food consumed?	No
Coherence	Does information on food quality conflict with epidemiological evidence?	Affected individuals informed that the food outlet's hygiene standards were poor.
Consistency	Have there been previous reports of disease associated with consumption of this or a similar food?	Yes ⁴
Experiment	Do attempts to improve quality of food (including withdrawal of contaminated product) reduce the occurrence of disease?	Once the outlet was closed, no further cases were reported.
Plausibility	Is the implicated organism likely to survive the food process?	Salmonellae are usually killed at temperatures > 70°C. However, the meat could be undercooked or contaminated after cooking process.
Specificity	Are other sources responsible for any of the disease such as person-to-person or zoonotic transmission?	Unlikely, since 24 of 26 affected individuals had consumed only chicken shawarma.
Strength of association	Are the numbers of people with and without the disease sufficient to prove an association?	Yes
Temporality	Does the occurrence of disease correspond with the known incubation periods?	Yes, NTS infection has an incubation period of 6-72 hours.

secondary cooking of cut slices of meat might be overlooked when the food outlet becomes busy. It is important that food safety authorities enforce guidelines for safe preparation and sale of shawarmas and similar products.

Two important steps helped in quick containment of the outbreak in our setting: early identification of the contaminated food source and timely intimation of food safety authorities for prohibitory action. Also, since the contaminated food sample was procured while it was still on sale, we could isolate NTS from the source. Moreover, we demonstrated the epidemiological link by performing a case-control study.

One possible limitation of our investigation was that we could not obtain specimens for microbiological testing from the food handlers and the water used for cooking could also not be tested. Notwithstanding, our report helps to highlight shawarma as a potential source of food poisoning.

Conclusion

In conclusion, chicken shawarma is a potential source of food poisoning due to NTS. Epidemiological investigation of foodborne outbreaks could yield important information.

Data availability

Underlying data

Figshare: Foodborne disease outbreak version 2. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.15022065.v2>.¹⁶

This project contains the following underlying data:

Data file 1. Deepanjali salmonella data (1).xlsx (Foodborne disease outbreak version 2)

Data are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](#) (CC-BY 4.0).

Consent

The data represented in the manuscript was collected as part of an outbreak investigation. An exemption from review was granted by Institute Ethics Committee.

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. Rakesh Aggarwal, Director, JIPMER for encouraging to take up the outbreak investigation and giving critical inputs on the manuscript. We acknowledge the undergraduate medical students for their participation.

References

1. Wikipedia contributors, "Shawarma," Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. (Accessed July 16, 2021).
[Reference Source](#)
2. Hohmann EL: Nontyphoidal salmonellosis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2001; **32**: 263–269.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Gaulin C, Fiset M, Duchesne C, et al.: Salmonella Thompson outbreak associated with consumption of chicken shawarma and the usefulness of genome sequencing in the investigation. *Can Commun Dis Rep*. 2017; **43**: 186–192.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
4. Saigul AA: Salmonella poisoning and chicken shawarmas, western Riyadh, June 1997. *Saudi Epidemiol. Bull.* 1997; **4**: 18–19.
5. Bremnar J: Mass Food Poisoning as Child Dies, 800 Hospitalized After Eating at Shawarma Restaurant [Internet]. *Michigan poison and Drug Information Center*. 2020 [cited 16 July 2021].
[Reference Source](#)
6. Guidelines for Safe Preparation of Shawarmas or Similar Meat Products. *Eastern Ontario Health Unit*. 2021 [cited 16 July 2021].
[Reference Source](#)
7. Food Protection Services: Donairs, Shawarmas and Similar Products [Internet]. 2012 [cited 16 July 2021].
[Reference Source](#)
8. World Health Organization: Foodborne disease outbreaks: guidelines for investigation and control. World Health Organization; 2008. (Accessed July 16, 2021).
[Reference Source](#)
9. Arendt S, Rajagopal L, Strohhenn C, et al.: Reporting of foodborne illness by U.S. consumers and healthcare professionals. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2013; **10**: 3684–3714.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
10. McLauchlin J, Little C, Nichols G, et al.: Gillespie I (2007) Epidemiology. In: McLauchlin J, Little C eds. *Hobbs's Food Poisoning and Food Hygiene*. 7th ed. UK: Hodder Arnold; 2007. p. 114–143.
11. Huusko S, Pihlajasaari A, Salmenlinna S, et al.: Outbreak of Salmonella enteritidis phage type 1B associated with frozen pre-cooked chicken cubes, Finland 2012. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2017; **145**: 2727–2734.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
12. Hobbs JL, Warshawsky B, Maki A, et al.: Nuggets of Wisdom: Salmonella Enteritidis Outbreaks and the Case for New Rules on Uncooked Frozen Processed Chicken. *J Food Prot*. 2017; **80**: 703–709.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
13. Dikid T, Hpalya SS, Thakur JS, et al.: Salmonella food poisoning outbreak in Kharar town of Punjab. *Indian J Public Health*. 2009; **53**: 265.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
14. Saravanan S, Purushothaman V, Murthy TR, et al.: Molecular Epidemiology of Nontyphoidal Salmonella in Poultry and Poultry Products in India: Implications for Human Health. *Indian J Microbiol*. 2015; **55**: 319–326.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
15. Nimri L, Abu Al-Dahab F, Batchoun R: Foodborne bacterial pathogens recovered from contaminated shawarma meat in northern Jordan. *J Infect Dev Ctries*. 2014; **8**: 1407–1414.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
16. Deepanjali S, Jharna M, Bammigatti C, et al.: Foodborne disease outbreak version 2. figshare. Dataset. 2021.
[Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: ?

Version 1

Reviewer Report 04 November 2021

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.57893.r96979>

© 2021 Cibin V et al. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Veronica Cibin

Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale delle Venezie, Legnaro, Italy

Marta Leati

Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale delle Venezie, Legnaro, Italy

The paper describes a food borne outbreak occurred in India focusing the attention on the epidemiological investigation that was carried out during the two months after the episode.

The paper is valuable since it contributes to provide data on potential sources of food borne diseases; it was in fact possible to identify the source of infection, the zoonotic agent and the setting. Moreover the authors provide a good selection of the current literature.

The language is simple and immediate, but the way the contents are organized is chaotic and this makes the text not fluent or fully understandable. For example, in the paragraph "methods", some results are described, while in the section "results", in the paragraph "Stool examination", the microscopic examination of samples is reported and this refers to a method.

It seems the authors did a very good "in the field" job but the way they describe it is not clear enough. The main issue is that it is not clear whether the outbreak investigation was performed as a learning tool (an exercise?) or if it was needed to identify the source of infection and thus to implement the sanitary measures to avoid additional cases.

In the section "stool examination" it seems that a sample of shawarma was analysed (but this matrix is not congruent with the "title") as well and resulted to be contaminated with *Salmonella* Enteritidis but it is not clear when this analysis was performed. This result, with the information obtained from the hospitalized cases, was sufficient to confirm the source of infection; further investigations were probably not needed.

Additionally, the flow of the diagnostic approach (section "confirmation of cases for NTS infection") is not clear. What was done as first analysis? With which purpose? Is there a sort of protocol to be followed in order to exclude step-by-step the potential hazards?

As far as the serotyping, it is not clear which was the method performed.

Below some other suggestions:

- Abstract: "NTE" is used for the first time, please explain this abbreviation.
- Table 2 "Coherence": it has to be clarified the link between the question asked and the applicability of the criterion.
- Case control study: we suggest here to use the original reference when you cite the Bradford hill guidelines.
- Conclusion: it is quite poor and generic; authors could add information on possible strategies in order to avoid similar outbreaks in the future. For example, the consideration "it is important that food...similar products." of the discussion could be moved here.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Partly

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Partly

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

I cannot comment. A qualified statistician is required.

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Partly

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Collocation and management of data on zoonoses and zoonotic agents with focus on salmonella; epidemiology of zoonotic agents; food safety; isolation/characterization of zoonotic agents

We confirm that we have read this submission and believe that we have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however we have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 28 Nov 2021

Surendran Deepanjali, Jawaharlal Institute of Post Graduate Medical Education and Research, Dhanvantri Nagar, India

We thank the reviewers for their kind appraisal of our manuscript and their constructive comments. Please find below a point-by-point response to the comments. We hope that reviewers find the changes satisfactory. We will be happy to respond to any further comments or suggestions by the reviewers.

Comment: The paper describes a food borne outbreak occurred in India focusing the attention on the epidemiological investigation that was carried out during the two months after the episode. The paper is valuable since it contributes to provide data on potential sources of food borne diseases; it was in fact possible to identify the source of infection, the zoonotic agent and the setting. Moreover, the authors provide a good selection of the current literature.

Response: We thank the reviewers for their encouraging words.

Comment: The language is simple and immediate, but the way the contents are organized is chaotic and this makes the text not fluent or fully understandable. For example, in the paragraph "methods", some results are described, while in the section "results", in the paragraph "Stool examination", the microscopic examination of samples is reported and this refers to a method.

Response: We have now moved all the methodological aspects to the Methods section. The findings through clinical, microbiological and the epidemiological study have been moved completely to the Results section. We have also renamed the section "confirmation of cases of NTS infection" as "Microbiological investigations" for better clarity.

Comment: It seems the authors did a very good "in the field" job but the way they describe it is not clear enough. The main issue is that it is not clear whether the outbreak investigation was performed as a learning tool (an exercise?) or if it was needed to identify the source of infection and thus to implement the sanitary measures to avoid additional cases.

Response: To avoid this ambiguity we have now added further clarification in the Settings section - "The data presented in the study was collected as part of an outbreak investigation which was a public health exercise to identify the source of infection and taking steps to prevent further infections."

Comment: In the section "stool examination" it seems that a sample of shawarma was analysed (but this matrix is not congruent with the "title") as well and resulted to be contaminated with Salmonella Enteritidis but it is not clear when this analysis was performed. This result, with the information obtained from the hospitalized cases, was sufficient to confirm the source of infection; further investigations were probably not needed.

Response: The section 'stool examination' is renamed as "Isolation of NTS". The analysis of shawarma sample was done on the same day when the outbreak was identified and this is now made clear in the section "Microbiological investigations".

Comment: Additionally, the flow of the diagnostic approach (section "confirmation of cases for NTS infection") is not clear. What was done as first analysis? With which purpose? Is there a sort of protocol to be followed in order to exclude step-by-step the potential hazards? As far as the serotyping, it is not clear which was the method performed.

The first step was the identification of a possible foodborne disease outbreak which was suspected when many cases with gastrointestinal illness was admitted after consumption of the same food item from a single outlet. An investigation to confirm the contaminated source was done as part of the public health exercise. The subsequent case control study was undertaken to ascertain that the clinically observed association is indeed true. Although no pre-specified protocol was followed, the case-control study was envisaged by the Head of our institution to make sure that the observed association of the illness with shawarma consumption was a scientifically valid one. The method used for serotyping is now made clear in the section "Microbiological investigations".

Comment: *Abstract: "NTE" is used for the first time, please explain this abbreviation.*

Response: We thank the reviewers for pointing this out. The suggested change has been made.

Comment: *Table 2 "Coherence": it has to be clarified the link between the question asked and the applicability of the criterion.*

Response: We have revised the explanation provided for this criterion in Table2.

Comment: *Case control study: we suggest here to use the original reference when you cite the Bradford hill guidelines.*

Response: The original reference has been added now.

Comment: *Conclusion: it is quite poor and generic; authors could add information on possible strategies in order to avoid similar outbreaks in the future. For example, the consideration "it is important that food...similar products." of the discussion could be moved here.*

Response: As suggested by the reviewers, we have moved the point about need for food safety guidelines to the Conclusions section.

Competing Interests: No competing interests

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research