RESEARCH ARTICLE

Pulmonary function parameters among marble industry workers in Lahore, Pakistan [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Imran Maqsood Butt¹, Tajammal Mustafa², Shahnaz Rauf³, Anjum Razzaq¹, Javaria Anwer⁴

¹Institute of Public Health, Lahore, 54000, Pakistan

²Family and Community Medicine Department, College of Medicine, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, 31952, Saudi Arabia

³Al Aleem Medical College, Gulab Devi Teaching Hospital, Lahore, 54000, Pakistan

⁴Fatima Jinnah Medical University, Lahore, 54000, Pakistan

V1 First published: 17 Sep 2021, 10:938
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.52749.1>
 Latest published: 17 Sep 2021, 10:938
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.52749.1>

Abstract

Background: Occupational contact with dust particles is a well-known phenomenon, particularly in developing countries of the world. Crystalline silica present in marble dust is the main etiology of a rising prevalence of obstructive lung diseases in marble stone workers, who are in direct contact with marble dust in the surrounding environment during their regular work. The purpose of this study was to compare the pulmonary function parameters of workers in marble workshops and age matched healthy individuals in the Lahore District of Pakistan.

Methods: The study included 164 male individuals, 82 individuals working in marble workshops and 82 healthy individuals from the same community. Data were collected through in-person interviews using a structured questionnaire after obtaining written consent. A Spiro Lab spirometry for pulmonary function tests was used to identify any change in the lung function parameters. FVC% (forced vital capacity), FEV₁ (forced expiratory volume in first second) and FEV₁ / FVC ratio were evaluated.

Results: Mean age in the exposed group (marble workers) and non-exposed group (healthy individuals) were 29.92 ± 6.19 and 30.58 ± 6.37 years, respectively. The mean years of work experience of the exposed group was 11.92 ± 5.67 years. A statistically insignificant difference was observed between marble exposed workers & healthy individuals from the demographic variables. Lung function parameters in marble workers exhibited a highly significant (P < 0.001) decrease in FVC%, FEV₁ & FEV₁ / FVC ratio when compared to healthy individuals.

Seventy-one percent of marble workers had abnormal pulmonary parameters whereas 34% of workers had restrictive pulmonary

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 1		
17 Sep 2021	view	view
1. Shamim Mohammad , International Ford Foundation Fellowship, Alumnus, New York, USA		
2. Ejaz Mahmood Ahmad Qureshi , The University of Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan		

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

impairment. Marble workers who had worked for more than 15 years had a highly significant risk of developing abnormal pulmonary function ($P < 0.001$).

Conclusion: Continuous exposure to marble dust deteriorates the lung function of marble workers.

Keywords

Pulmonary functions, Spirometry, Marble dust, Marble workers

Corresponding author: Tajammal Mustafa (tmostafa@iau.edu.sa)

Author roles: **Butt IM:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Mustafa T:** Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Rauf S:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Razzaq A:** Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Anwer J:** Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2021 Butt IM *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Butt IM, Mustafa T, Rauf S *et al.* **Pulmonary function parameters among marble industry workers in Lahore, Pakistan [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2021, 10:938 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.52749.1>

First published: 17 Sep 2021, 10:938 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.52749.1>

Introduction

Toxic elements, fumes, and respirable dust produced in marble workshops can cause a health-related risk to the workers in different units of marble workshops. Marble is a semi-translucent very fine to coarse grained crystalline rock. It mainly contains calcite, serpentine and dolomite and is mostly used in making monuments, headstones, and floors. Finely ground marble is used as a whitening agent in toothpastes, paint, and paper.^{1,2} Marble dust mainly contains free silica, which means “free of elements” as it is not combined with other elements or silicon dioxide (SiO₂). Silica is a common ingredient of the Earth’s crust³ and can be found in alpha quartz, beta quartz, moganite, granite, slate, sandstone, and keatite, and it is toxic to the human respiratory system. Occupational exposure to dust containing crystalline silica occurs in the stone, granite, construction, mining, metal foundry, ceramic production and glass industries.⁴ Airborne dust (free silica) is produced in marble workshops during quarrying, grinding, mining, cutting, and polishing activities and is the main causative factor for many occupational lung diseases such as pneumoconiosis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), silicosis and asthma.^{5,6}

Continuous inhalation of respirable silica causes many diseases including silicosis characterized by inflammation and pulmonary fibrosis. Silica dust particles enter the alveoli, increase the production of inflammatory mediators in the peripheral airway, and cause emphysema. The particles are mainly deposited on airway surfaces where air flow changes direction. Silica particles having a size 0.2 to 2 micrometers get deposited on the walls of the airway and particles less than 0.2 micrometers enter the terminal respiratory epithelial surfaces and finally diffuse into alveolar gas.^{7,8} Deposited crystalline silica particles cause respiratory mucosal irritation, mucosal hypersecretion in the large airways, mucosal gland hypertrophy of trachea and bronchi, an increase in the number of goblet cells in small airways, and excessive mucus formation, which results in the formation of a mucous plug in the lumen, and fibrosis of small airways.^{7,8} Obstruction in the air flow in airways results in decreased FVC (forced vital capacity), FEV₁ (forced expiratory volume in first second) and FEV₁/FVC ratio airways.^{9,10} The results of recent research showed a significant association between pulmonary problems and inhalation of silica dust in Bangladesh.¹¹ Previously published data from Australia demonstrated that stone workers working in factories that perform cutting and grinding activities produce higher contents of respirable silica, which is associated with a higher rate of severe silicosis.¹² A previous cross-sectional study among Indian stone-crush workers reported a noteworthy decrease in FEV₁, FVC and FEV₁/FVC parameters.¹³ Several previous studies conducted in Austria, Nigeria, and Lebanon demonstrated that longer exposure to occupational dust (silica) as well as smoking leads to a gradual decrease in Pulmonary Function Tests (PFTs).¹⁴⁻¹⁶

In low and middle economic countries, silica associated lung illness remains a major health threat. In China more than half a million silicosis cases were documented between 1991 and 1995^{17,18} and over ten thousand silicosis associated deaths were reported in South African miners.¹⁹ Despite better dust control safety measures and advancements in developed countries, there is a constant need to control dust due to a recent pneumoconiosis outbreak in Australia and the USA.^{20,21}

Risk of developing lung diseases among silica exposed workers was higher with prolonged exposure to respirable silica dust. The incidence of silica associated silicosis was 12% among the workers who worked for 30 years or more.²² Sharma *et al.* reported that silica is a key factor for other autoimmune diseases such as **systemic lupus erythematosus** (SLE), rheumatoid arthritis, systemic sclerosis, Caplan syndrome, and Erasmus syndrome, which derives from silica exposure and cytoplasmic antibody related vasculitis.²³

Marble workers are at a greater risk because of illiteracy, low socioeconomic status, poor knowledge of personal protective measures (PPM), lack of safety rules and their enforcement, and exploitation by employers.²⁴

In developing countries such as Pakistan, plenty of evolutionary projects such as roads, flyovers, underpasses, housing schemes, hospitals etc. are commissioned by the local government and federal agencies. These projects demand people working in the marble sector. Vast research has been done in the stone cutting sector globally but data on pulmonary function parameters for marble industry workers is scarce.²⁵ Thousands of individuals work in small to medium sized marble workshops in a poorly ventilated hazardous environment. The marble workers working in marble workshops are among the most neglected and work in poorly organized areas. The present study measured the impact of silica dust exposure on pulmonary function tests of these workers. The objective of the current study was to compare pulmonary function parameters among the workers of Lahore based marble workshops and age matched healthy individuals.

Methods

The study was carried out in the Ichra and Township areas of the Lahore District, which are heavily populated commercial areas having the majority of small to medium sized marble workshops. The study unit consisted of marble workers working in wet cutting and dry cutting (grinding) units of the marble workshops. The study group (exposed) comprised male marble workers, aged 18 to 40 years inclusive exposed to marble dust for more than one year, and working 30 hours

or more per week. Adult male workers with a diagnosed respiratory system disease (asthma, tuberculosis, bronchiectasis), those with thoracic deformity, those who underwent chest surgery, those who had history of pneumothorax, hemoptysis, recent abdominal or eye surgery, known respiratory malignancy, unstable cardiovascular status (recent heart attack, aneurysm), and those who were unfit for spirometry were excluded.

Normal healthy male individuals from the same community residing near each study site having similar socio demographic status but free from respiratory problems were selected as the control (non-exposed) group.

The sample size was calculated with the WHO sample size calculator using the formula:

$$n = \frac{2\sigma^2(Z_{1-\alpha/2} + Z_{1-\beta})^2}{(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2}$$

Assuming 90% power of study, 5% significance level, $Z_{1-\beta} = 90\%$ power of study, population variance (σ^2) = 0.5329, anticipated mean for study group I (μ_1) = 2.77 (Isara *et al.*),¹⁵ anticipated mean for study group II (μ_2) = 3.14 (Isara *et al.*),¹⁵ the calculated sample size (n) for one group was 82. The total required sample size for both groups was 164.

A total of 164 subjects were selected, 82 marble workers (study exposed group) by simple random sampling (lottery method) and 82 healthy individuals (study control group) were selected by convenience sampling.

The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Institute of Public Health (IPH), Lahore, vide letter number 478, dated July 23, 2018. The data was collected from February to April 2019. The data collection techniques (clinical examination, questionnaire, and pulmonary function parameters) were similar for both study groups (marble dust exposed workers and healthy individuals). The study procedures were explained to every study subject and a written consent was obtained from each individual who agreed to participate in the study. All participating subjects were interviewed in-person using functional proforma developed by the American Thoracic Society (ATS)²⁶ and the pulmonary function tests of each subject were addressed as outlined in the Medical Research Council Questionnaire²⁷ (<https://mrc.ukri.org/documents/pdf/questionnaire-on-respiratory-symptoms-1986/>). Some items of ATS proforma, like some symptoms and family history, were not included in the questionnaire as these did not relate to our study objectives. The first section of the functional proforma captured information on gender, area of residence, date of birth, marital & educational status. While the second section of the questionnaire documented information regarding occupational history, nature of work, working hours, and total duration of work in years. The height in centimeters and weight in kilograms were measured for all study participants.^{28,29} Spirometry was performed by using a Spirolab spirometer (MIR SRL, Roma, Italy). Spirolab spirometry was used throughout the study period for both study groups for PFTs. Spirometry pre-requisites (any potential contraindication, strenuous exercise an hour before test, consumption of bronchodilators) were followed in accordance with the standard protocols and guidelines by ATS.³⁰ The purpose of spirometry and the procedure were explained to each participant.^{30,31} The spirometry values obtained were reported with correction for body temperature at ambient pressure, saturated with water vapor (BTPS). Lung volumes (FVC and FEV₁) were measured in a standing position from a sequence of a minimum of three readings, having adequate start. After probing the data from whole usable curves, the highest FVC & FEV₁ were noted even though they didn't belong to the same curve. The FEV₁/FVC was also calculated from the same tracing.

Spirometry designates the presence of pulmonary impairment, if any of the following recordings were observed³²:

- FEV < 80% - predicted normal
- FEV₁ < 80% - predicted normal
- FEV₁/FVC ratio < 0.7

The percentages of the predicted values were calculated by Bellamy *et al.*'s following formula³²:

FVC (Reading/Predicted Values) × 100% = %age of predicted value

FEV₁ Reading/(Predicted Values) × 100% = %age of predicted value

FEV₁/FVC Reading/Reading %

The airflow obstruction can be defined as follows according to the NICE COPD guideline³³:

- Mild airflow obstruction - FEV₁ more than 80%
- Moderate airflow obstruction - FEV₁ between 50–80%
- Severe airflow obstruction - FEV₁ between 30–49%
- Very Severe airflow obstruction - FEV₁ <30% predicted

Obstructive Type Impairment: is characterized by a complete or partial narrowing of airways at any point, which results in an increased resistance and air flow.³⁴ Narrowing of airways is the main reason of obstructive type impairment and is typically found in asthma and COPD (<http://www.irishthoracicsociety.com>). Restrictive Type Impairment: The lung capacity is lower than the predicted value for age, sex & size. In this type of impairment, the capacity of lung volume is lower because the lungs are firm & less compliant. There is a decrease in the level of lung parenchymal mobility or chest wall.³⁴ Pneumoconiosis and pulmonary fibrosis are examples of restrictive types of impairment, which causes scarring (fibrosis) of the lungs. Combined Restrictive/Obstructive: In combined type of impairment, all the values of FVC, FEV₁, & FEV₁/FVC are decreased. It may be associated with two conditions i.e. asthma and another lung pathology or some pulmonary conditions (<http://www.irishthoracicsociety.com>).

Figure 1. Spirometry diagnostic flow chart – operational definitions of pulmonary impairment.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of marble workshop workers (Exposed Group) and healthy individuals from the community (Non-Exposed Group).

Study variables	Marble workshop workers (Exposed Group) N (%)	Healthy individuals from the community (Non-Exposed Group) N (%)
Age (years)		
21–25	22 (26.83)	18 (21.95)
26–30	25 (30.49)	27 (32.93)
31–35	14 (17.07)	13 (15.85)
36–40	21 (25.61)	24 (29.27)
Mean $\pm$ SD	29.92 $\pm$ 6.19	30.58 $\pm$ 6.37
Marital status		
Single	43 (52.44)	50 (60.98)
Married	39 (47.56)	32 (39.02)
Educational status		
Middle	63 (76.83)	4 (4.88)
Matric	10 (12.20)	7 (8.54)
Intermediate	7 (8.54)	28 (34.15)
Graduation	2 (2.44)	43 (52.44)
Smoking status		
Smoker	16 (19.50)	4 (4.90)
Non-smoker	66 (80.50)	78 (95.10)
Height (cm)		
Mean $\pm$ SD	172.84 $\pm$ 10.20	170.45 $\pm$ 9.56
Weight (kg)		
Mean $\pm$ SD	74.39 $\pm$ 13.77	74.89 $\pm$ 12.56

Figure 1 presents operational definitions of pulmonary impairment as recommended by spirometry flow chart for diagnosis (reference). The underlying study data (Table 1: Data Marble Feb 19 2021.sav) is available at Figshare.³⁵

The data were analyzed in SPSS version 24 and organized in tabulated form according to the frequency distribution table. The continuous variables such as age, duration of dust exposure, pulmonary function values were summarized in mean, median, standard deviation (SD), and categorical variables like nature of work were depicted as frequencies and percentages. The SD was calculated to understand the variation in the study data. The student t-test was employed to compare mean pulmonary function indicators (FVC, FEV₁, and FEV₁/FVC ratio) between marble workshop workers and healthy community controls. The ANOVA was applied to compare pulmonary function indicators (FVC, FEV₁, and FEV₁/FVC ratio) by years of exposure; Tukey's method was employed for post-hoc pairwise comparisons. ANCOVA was employed to control and test for confounding due to smoking on pulmonary function indicators. Chi-square test was used to test the difference in the proportion of smokers and pulmonary impairment between marble workshop workers and healthy community controls. The p-value of 0.05 or less was taken as statistically significant for all analyses.

Results

The current study showed that the average age, height, and weight of the study exposed group (participants exposed to marble dust) were 29.92 $\pm$ 6.19 years, 172.84 $\pm$ 10.2 cm and 74.39 $\pm$ 13.77 kg respectively and for the control group (non-exposed group) were 30.58 $\pm$ 6.37 years, 170.45 $\pm$ 9.56 cm and 74.89 $\pm$ 12.54 kg respectively. There was no statistically significant difference in the sociodemographic characteristics among marble dust exposed workers and the control group ($p > 0.05$). About 89% of the exposed group had education levels up to matriculation or below, as compared to 13.4% of the non-exposed group (statistically significant with $p < 0.001$). In the study exposed group, 48% of the subjects were married compared to 39% of participants among the non-exposed group ($p = 0.353$). Among the exposed group, 19.5% were smokers compared to 4.9% of non-exposed (healthy individuals), and this was statistically significant ($p = 0.007$) (Table 1).

About 71% of workers from the exposed group had statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) abnormal spirometry results whereas none of the healthy individuals (non-exposed) had abnormal spirometry results. The vast majority of lung impairments were of the restrictive type 40.25%; including mild (34.15%) and moderate (6.1%) restriction respectively followed by obstructive type 25.62% of impairment; which includes mild obstruction (10.98%), moderate obstruction (7.32%), moderate-severe obstruction (6.1%), and very severe obstruction (1.22%) respectively, while combined type of impairment was 4.88%.

The mean values $\pm$ SD of FVC%, FEV₁% and FEV₁/FVC ratio of non-exposed (healthy individuals) were 95.68 ± 10.66 , 92.85 ± 9.78 , and 80.73 ± 4.71 respectively and in the marble dust exposed group were 85.36 ± 14.80 , 75.93 ± 15.65 and 74.34 ± 12.68 , respectively (Table 2). The FVC%, FEV₁%, and FEV₁/FVC ratio for participants exposed to marble dust were significantly lower than healthy individuals ($p < 0.001$) (Figure 2).

Comparison of pulmonary function parameters (mean $\pm$ SD) in the study population showed the average duration of work in marble workshop workers was 11.2 ± 5.677 years, and 51.2% had been working for more than 10 years (Table 3).

All three pulmonary function parameters (FVC%, FEV₁% and FEV₁/FVC ratio) among marble workers by years of exposure showed a statistically significant reduction with increase in duration of work ($p < 0.001$). The maximum

Table 2. Comparison of pulmonary function parameters (PFTs) by study group (n = 164).

PFTs	Marble workshop workers (Exposed Group) n = 82	Healthy individuals (Non-Exposed Group) n = 82	p-value
	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD	
FVC%	85.37 ± 14.80	95.68 ± 10.66	$<0.001^*$
FEV ₁ %	75.94 ± 15.66	92.85 ± 9.78	$<0.001^*$
FEV ₁ /FVC ratio	74.34 ± 12.68	80.73 ± 4.71	$<0.001^*$

FVC = Forced vital capacity; FEV = Forced expiratory volume in first second.

*Statistically significant at $\alpha \leq 0.05$.

Figure 2. Comparison of pulmonary function parameters (PFTs) by study group (n = 164). Comparison of PFTs (mean $\pm$ SD) in study population. *p-value ≤ 0.05 , **p-value ≤ 0.01 , ***p-value ≤ 0.001 vs healthy group.

Table 3. Distribution of duration of work among marble workshop workers (n = 82).

Duration of work (years)	Marble workshop workers (Exposed Group) (n = 82) Mean $\pm$ SD
1–5	18 ± 21.95
6–10	22 ± 26.83
11–15	23 ± 28.05
16–20	19 ± 23.17
Mean $\pm$ SD	11.2 ± 5.67

Table 4. Results of pulmonary function parameters among marble workers by years of work exposure (n = 82).

Duration of work exposure (years) in marble workshop						
Pulmonary function parameters	1–5 (yrs) (n = 18) Mean ± SD	6–10 (yrs) (n = 22) Mean ± SD	11–15 (yrs) (n = 19) Mean ± SD	6–20 (yrs) (n = 23) Mean ± SD	F	p-value
FVC%	95.90 ± 16.10	84.14 ± 12.21	82.74 ± 14.20	80.48 ± 13.28	7.683	<0.001*
FEV ₁ %	92.36 ± 17.73	73.35 ± 13.38	73.44 ± 7.11	67.62 ± 11.94	14.106	<0.001*
FEV ₁ /FVC ratio	80.81 ± 6.31	73.39 ± 13.60	75.52 ± 13.60	69.20 ± 13.02	6.077	<0.001*

FVC = Forced vital capacity; FEV = Forced expiratory volume in first second; SD = standard deviation.

*Statistically significant at $\alpha \leq 0.05$.

Table 5. Pairwise comparison of pulmonary function parameters among marble workers by years of exposure.

Pulmonary function parameters	Duration of work exposure (years) in marble industry workers				
	Years	1–5 p-value	6–10 p-value	11–15 p-value	16–20 p-value
FVC%	1–5	-	0.006*	0.005*	<0.001*
	6–10	0.006*	-	0.949	0.733
	11–15	0.005*	0.949	-	0.979
	16–20	<0.001*	0.733	0.979	-
FEV ₁ %	1–5	-	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*
	6–10	<0.001*	-	0.906	0.18
	11–15	<0.001*	0.906	-	0.661
	16–20	<0.001*	0.18	0.661	-
FEV ₁ /FVC ratio	1–5	-	0.234	0.305	<0.001*
	6–10	0.234	-	0.999	0.078
	11–15	0.305	0.999	-	0.192
	16–20	<0.001*	0.078	0.192	-

Post hoc (Tukey's test).

*Statistically significant ($p \leq 0.05$).

reduction in pulmonary function indices was observed among marble workers who had been working for 16–20 years (Table 4).

Table 5 shows pairwise comparison of pulmonary function indices among marble workers with years of work in marble industry categories. Compared to participants with 1–5 years' work exposure, the FVC% and FEV₁% were significantly decreased among individuals with 6–10 years' work exposure, individuals with 11–15 years' work exposure, and individuals with 16–20 years' work exposure ($p < 0.001$). Compared to participants with 1–5 years' work exposure, FEV₁/FVC ratio was significantly less among workers with 16–20 years' work exposure. No other significant differences between groups were observed.

Discussion

PFTs are the main tool to help identify pulmonary abnormalities. PFTs also provide information about airways, pulmonary bed integrity, and parenchymal size. Sharma *et al.* concluded in his study that airborne crystalline silica significantly reduced the FVC and FEV₁ values in workers who were engaged in marble factories when they were exposed to marble dust as an air pollutant.²³ These particles cause respiratory irritation mechanically and release of histamine mediators, which leads to obstruction in the airway.

The current study showed a significant reduction ($p < 0.001$) in mean values of PFT indices (FVC%, FEV₁% and FEV₁/FVC ratio) of marble dust exposed workers as compared to the non-exposed (healthy individuals) group. These results were also in agreement with data reported by Ophir *et al.* in his recent study, who found that ultrafine silica particles are strongly associated with and responsible for the deterioration of pulmonary function parameters among stone factory workers.³⁶ In another study, Ullah *et al.* reported that exposure to high concentration silica particles by stone crushing

workers significantly affects their lungs.²⁵ Researchers also observed that carbon containing particles have a contrary effect on the dysfunction of pulmonary functions in addition to silica particles.

Ahmed *et al.* documented direct association of marble dust on lung functions in marble factory workers who had constant exposure to silica dust.³⁷ The spirometry values (FVC%, FEV₁) were statistically significant ($p < 0.01$) and had similar differences between the control group and marble workers to our study. Furthermore, many previous studies in India,³⁸ Thailand,³⁹ Iran,⁴⁰ Egypt,⁴¹ Nigeria,¹⁵ and Libya¹⁶ reported similar findings and mean values of pulmonary function parameters were found to be remarkably deteriorated resulting in pulmonary dysfunction.

The inhaled dust particles get deposited in lungs, cause irritation, and cause an inflammatory reaction leading to fibrosis, faulty oxygen diffusion and abnormal lung functions.⁶ The change in the elasticity and viscosity of mucous influences its clearance and results in a luminal mucous plug, which is responsible for obstruction to the air flow resulting in decrease in FVC, FEV₁ and FEV₁/FVC ratio.⁸

Reduced values of FEV₁ and FVC are indications of obstructive and restrictive types of lung changes respectively. The deviations of pulmonary function indicators (FVC, FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC ratio) are the major characteristic features of restrictive lung disease.³¹ In our study, 71% of marble workers had abnormal PFTs while 29% had normal PFT values. Among abnormal PFTs, 40.25% had the highest proportion of pulmonary impairment labelled as restrictive lung pathology, which was followed by 25.62% obstructive type, while 4.88% of cases demonstrated a mixed type of impairment. Our current study findings are quite similar to past studies where researchers reported that 20.1% and 24.3% of lung impairments were of restricted type and 1.5% and 5.8% were of obstructive type.^{42,43} Similar results (restriction 23.3% and obstruction 6.2%) were also reported in a previous study among stone crushing industrial workers.²⁵ In contrast to our study, notably less restrictive (2.5%) and obstructive (6.7%) types of pulmonary impairment were reported in a recent study on stone crushing workers.¹¹ The major causes of restrictive type impairment are chest wall limitations, neuromuscular disorder, fibrosis of lung parenchyma, and pleural disorder, whereas obstructive type of pulmonary impairment is commonly seen in chronic bronchitis, asthma and emphysema.¹¹

Age of the study subject could be considered an important causal factor for variation in lung functions and it accounts for a 1.41 fold higher incidence rate using a fixed ratio of variation of lung function parameters.⁴⁴ Previously published data showed that the anthropometric parameters have a strong association with lung function parameters.^{45,46} The present study showed an equal distribution of age group among marble dust exposed workers and non-exposed healthy individuals, both groups were insignificant ($p < 0.491$). Similar studies on marble stone workers found no statistically significant ($p > 0.05$) differences in the ages of marble workers and control groups.^{47,48}

In our study, the results of sociodemographic characteristics showed no statistical difference in age ($p < 0.491$), weight ($p < 0.808$) and height ($p < 0.1274$). Our findings for the mean age, height, and weight are similar to previously reported data by Vyas,⁴⁸ Shaik *et al.*,³⁸ and Dostbil *et al.*⁴⁹ for case control studies among marble stone workers.

In the current study, only 20 (12.2%) of the total study participants were smokers, while the proportion of marble workers who smoked were 16 (19.50%) only, compared to four healthy individuals (4.90%). The smoking effect on all PFT parameters was statistically not significant ($p > 0.05$). Similar findings were reported in a previous study, which showed that smoking did not ($p < 0.98$) affect PFTs¹⁵ although other authors, Rathod *et al.*,⁵⁰ Sheikh *et al.*,⁵¹ in India, Ullah *et al.*, in Pakistan,²⁵ and Jaber *et al.*,⁴² in Cairo reported a significant association ($p < 0.05$) of smoking on pulmonary function impairment, which contradicts our study. In our study the possible reason of these non-significant effects could be due to shorter smoking duration, the low intensity (only four packs per year) of smoking in the study population, a fewer number of study participants, and less inhalation during smoking.

The mean years of work experience of our participants (marble workers) was 11.20 ± 5.67 years, which was statistically highly significant ($p < 0.001$). The majority of the marble workers (23; 28.05%) were working for a period of more than 16 years and their PFT values markedly decreased due to the high duration of exposure ($p < 0.001$). The maximum reduction in pulmonary function indices were noted among the marble workers who had been working for 16–20 years. All three pulmonary functions parameters, FVC%, FEV₁% and FEV₁/FVC ratio, showed significant reduction with increase in duration of work ($p < 0.001$).

Our study results are analogues to a previous study in which the study author reported a statistically highly significant ($p < 0.001$) reduction in PFT values.⁵² He claimed that the duration of exposure to silica is most important and documented predictor of decreased pulmonary functions in the workers exposed to silica dust.

Our study results are parallel to an earlier study in which the researchers documented a statistically highly significant ($p < 0.05$) reduction in pulmonary function parameters with longer duration of work exposure in marble factories.⁵⁰ The researchers also reported that the deterioration of PFTs is strongly associated with increased duration of exposure to silica.⁵⁰

Similar types of results were also reported among quarry workers in Nigeria where the mean values of PFTs, the negative correlation between longer work exposure and FEV₁ ($p < 0.05$), and lower lung function values were reported.⁵² In concurrence to our study, researchers (Shaik *et al.*³⁸ Nandini *et al.*,⁵³) also reported a significant reduction in FVC, FEV₁ and FEV₁/FVC ratio ($p < 0.001$) in quarry and granite workers having greater than 10 years of silica dust exposure.

There is a possibility of confounding due to age and smoking. Age matched participants from the community (control group) were selected, hence confounding due to age is unlikely. No differences in the pulmonary function test values between smokers and non-smokers were observed. Also, on ANCOVA analysis, smoking was not statistically significant, therefore, confounding due to smoking is also unlikely.

Conclusion

Pulmonary function parameters were found to be significantly decreased among marble workers who have marble dust exposure compared to healthy individuals from the same community. The current study disclosed that the continuous occupation of the marble industry for more than 15 years resulted in further reduction of workers' pulmonary function parameters, which ultimately would have harmful effects on their health status.

Limitations

Exposure to marble dust is not the only reason for pulmonary function deterioration. There could be other possible factors such as toxic materials in the work environment as well as undetectable concentrations of dust particles other than silica. Dust exposed particle sampling was not used to quantify its composition and concentration, and a comparison to silica dust was not made in both study groups. In addition to this, further respiratory procedures like chest radiographs should be carried out for comparison with previous literature in order to verify findings.

Data availability

Underlying data

Figshare: Underlying data for 'Pulmonary function parameters among marble industry workers in Lahore, Pakistan', <https://figshare.com/s/7a24edab6fc0d64c526c>.³⁵

This project contains the following underlying data:

- **Table 1:** Data Marble Feb 19 2021.sav.

Data are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

Consent statement

Written informed consent was taken from each study participant, the informed consent form was in Urdu, the national language. Under the confidentiality section of the informed consent, it was clearly mentioned that confidentiality will be strictly maintained at all levels, and in reporting the results, no personal information will be shared, and data will be reported in aggregate form. Specific permission was obtained for the publication of participants' data.

References

1. Hae-Rim NAM, Yue SH, Hwan-Seok PARK, *et al.*: *Artificial marble composition and production method for artificial marble using same*. U.S. Patent Application 15/762,049; 2018.
2. Esswein EJ, Breitenstein M, Snawder J, *et al.*: **Occupational exposures to respirable crystalline silica during hydraulic fracturing**. *J. Occup. Environ. Hyg.* 2013; **10**(7): 347–356. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Rees D, Murray J: **Silica, silicosis and tuberculosis. (State of the art)**. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* 2007; **11**(4): 474–484.
4. Poinen-Rughooputh S, Rughooputh MS, Guo Y, *et al.*: **Occupational exposure to silica dust and risk of lung cancer: an updated meta-analysis of epidemiological studies**. *BMC Public Health.* 2016; **16**(1): 1137. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

5. Chen W, Liu Y, Huang X, *et al.*: **Respiratory diseases among dust exposed workers.** *Respir. Dis.* 2012; **131**.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
6. Pollard KM: **Silica, silicosis, and autoimmunity.** *Front Immunol.* 2016; **7**: 97.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
7. Singh S, Chowdhary G, Purohit G: **Assessment of impact of high particulate concentration on peak expiratory flow rate of lungs of sandstone quarry workers.** *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health.* 2006; **3**(4): 355–359.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
8. Koeppen BM, Stanton BA: *Berne & Levy Physiology*. 9th ed. Mosby, USA: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2009.
9. Camelo A, Dunmore R, Sleeman MA, *et al.*: **The epithelium in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: breaking the barrier.** *Front Pharmacol.* 2014; **4**: 173.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
10. Mohan H: *Textbook of pathology*. 6th ed. Jaypee Brothers, Medical Publishers Pvt. Limited; 2010.
11. Kabir E, Islam A, Taufikuzzaman M: **An investigation into respiratory health problems of workers at stone crushing industries in Bangladesh.** *J. Health Res.* 2018; **32**(2): 172–178.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
12. Hoy RF, Baird T, Hammerschlag G, *et al.*: **Artificial stone-associated silicosis: a rapidly emerging occupational lung disease.** *Occup. Environ. Med.* 2018; **75**(1): 3–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
13. Mirdha P, Solanki S, Singh B: **Deterioration of pulmonary function with duration of exposure to silica dust in stone crusher workers.** *Ind. J. Clin. Anat. Physiol.* 2016; **3**(4): 544–546.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
14. Hochgatterer K, Moshhammer H, Haluza D: **Dust is in the air: effects of occupational exposure to mineral dust on lung function in a 9-year study.** *Lung.* 2013; **191**(3): 257–263.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
15. Isara AR, Adam VY, Aigbokhaode AQ, *et al.*: **Respiratory symptoms and ventilatory functions among quarry workers in Edo state, Nigeria.** *Pan Afr. Med. J.* 2016; **23**(1): 1–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
16. Draid MM, Ben-Elhaj KM, Ali AM, *et al.*: **Lung function impact from working in the pre-revolution Libyan quarry industry.** *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health.* 2015; **12**(5): 5006–5012.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
17. Leung CC, Yu ITS, Chen W: **Silicosis.** *Lancet.* 2012; **379**(9830): 2008–2018.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
18. Chen W, Liu Y, Huang X, *et al.*: **Respiratory diseases among dust exposed workers.** *Respir. Dis.* 2012; **131**.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
19. Ndlovu N, Nelson G, Vorajee N, *et al.*: **38 years of autopsy findings in South African mine workers.** *Am J Ind Med.* 2016; **59**(4): 307–314.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
20. Zosky GR, Hoy RF, Silverstone EJ, *et al.*: **Coal workers' pneumoconiosis: an Australian perspective.** *Med J Aust.* 2016; **204**(11): 414–418.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
21. Blackley DJ, Hallidin CN, Laney AS: **Continued increase in prevalence of coal workers' pneumoconiosis in the United States, 1970–2017.** *Am J Public Health.* 2018; **108**(9): 1220–1222.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
22. Iftikhar B, Khan MH, Hussain H, *et al.*: **Relationship between silica dust exposure and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in workers of dust generating industries of district Peshawar.** *Gomal J Med Sci.* 2009; **7**(1): 46–50.
23. Sharma M, Chaturvedi MK: *To assess the pulmonary function test of marble industries worker.* 2018; **7**(3): 21–22.
24. Sivacoumar R, Jayabalou R, Swarnalatha S, *et al.*: **Particulate matter from stone crushing industry: size distribution and health effects.** *J Environ Eng.* 2006; **132**(3): 405–414.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
25. Ullah I, Sarwar G, Hamayun M, *et al.*: **Frequency of silicosis and its relationship with dust and pulmonary functions tests in stone crushing industries workers in Peshawar.** *KJMS.* 2018; **11**(2): 193.
26. Miller MR, Hankinson JATS, Brusasco V, *et al.*: **Standardisation of spirometry.** *Eur. Respir. J.* 2005; **26**(2): 310–338.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
27. Cotes JE: **Medical Research Council Questionnaire on Respiratory Symptoms (1986).** *Lancet.* 1987; **2**(8566): 1028.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
28. Popovic S, Gardasevic J, Masanovic B, *et al.*: **Standing height and its estimation utilizing foot length measurements in adolescents from western region in Kosovo.** *Sport Mont.* 2017; **15**(3): 3–7.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
29. Sebo P, Herrmann FR, Haller DM: **Accuracy of anthropometric measurements by general practitioners in overweight and obese patients.** *BMC obesity.* 2017; **4**(1): 23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
30. Miller MR, Crapo R, Hankinson J, *et al.*: **General considerations for lung function testing.** *Eur. Respir. J.* 2005; **26**(1): 153–161.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
31. García-Río F, Calle M, Burgos F, *et al.*: **Spirometry. Spanish Society of Pulmonology and Thoracic Surgery (SEPAR).** *Arch. Bronconeumol.* 2013; **49**(9): 388–401.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
32. Gruffydd-Jones K, Jones MM: **NICE guidelines for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: implications for primary care.** 2011.
33. Berdal G, Halvorsen S, van der Heijde D, *et al.*: **Restrictive pulmonary function is more prevalent in patients with ankylosing spondylitis than in matched population controls and is associated with impaired spinal mobility: a comparative study.** *Arthritis Res. Ther.* 2012; **14**(1): R19.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
34. Rabe KF, Hurd S, Anzueto A, *et al.*: **Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: GOLD executive summary.** *Am. J. Respir. Critic. Care Med.* 2007; **176**(6): 532–555.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
35. Butt IM, Mustafa T, Rauf S, *et al.*: **Underlying data for 'Pulmonary function parameters among marble industry workers in Lahore, Pakistan'.** *Figshare.* 2021.
[Reference Source](#)
36. Ophir N, Shai AB, Korenstein R, *et al.*: **Functional, inflammatory and interstitial impairment due to artificial stone dust ultrafine particles exposure.** *Occup Environ Med.* 2019; **76**(12): 875–879.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
37. Ahmed QR, Sau SK, Kar SK, *et al.*: **The Effect of Marble Dust on Different Pulmonary Parameters in Marble Factory Workers.** *Natl. J. Integrated Res. Med.* 2011; **2**(3): 40.
38. Shaik A, Afroz MKH, Latha M, *et al.*: **Lung function test in quarry workers.** *Int. J. Innovat. Res. Dev.* 2015; **4**(1): 50–55.
39. Jaakkola MS, Sripaiboonkij P, Jaakkola JJK: **Effects of occupational exposures and smoking on lung function in tile factory workers.** *Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health.* 2011; **84**(2): 151–158.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
40. Gholami A, Sajedifar J, Dehaghi BF, *et al.*: **Lung function and respiratory symptoms among mine workers in the Eastern part of Iran.** *Russ. Open Med. J.* 2018; **7**(3): 1–4.
41. Sheta S, El Laithy N: **Brick kiln industry and workers' chronic respiratory health problems in mit ghamr district, dakahlia governorate.** *Egypt J. Occup. Med.* 2015; **39**(1): 37–51.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
42. Jaber HM, Mohamed MS, El-safty MA, *et al.*: **Pulmonary problems among stone cutting workers in West Bank, Palestine.** *Med. J. Cairo Univ.* 2015; **83**(1): 769–775.
43. Rafeemaneh E, Majdi MR, Ehteshamfar SM, *et al.*: **Respiratory diseases in agate grinding workers in Iran.** *Int. J. Occup. Environ. Med.* 2014; **5**(3): 350–350.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
44. Luoto JA, Elmstahl S, Wollmer P, *et al.*: **Incidence of airflow limitation in subjects 65–100 years of age.** *Eur Respir J.* 2016; **47**(2): 461–472.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
45. Mohammed J, Maiwada SAA, Sumaila FG: **Relationship between anthropometric variables and lung function parameters among primary school children.** *Ann. Niger. Med.* 2015; **9**(1): 20.
46. Rao VMM, Rameswarudu M: **Peak expiratory flow rate in healthy school children.** *Natl. J. Physiol. Pharm. Pharmacol.* 2017; **7**(4): 363–365.
47. Sezgi C, Abakay Ö, Önder H, *et al.*: **The respiratory functions and radiologic findings of the marble factory workers.** *J. Clin. Exp. Invest.* 2012; **3**(2): 250–254.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
48. Vyas S: **A study of pulmonary function tests in workers of different dust industries.** *Int. J. Basic App. Med. Sci.* 2012; **2**(2): 15–21.
49. Dostbil Z, Polat C, Karakus A, *et al.*: **Evaluation of the nasal mucociliary transport rate by rhinoscintigraphy in marble workshop workers.** *Toxicol. Ind. Health.* 2011; **27**(9): 826–830.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
50. Rathod SB, Sorte SR: **Effect of Duration of Exposure to Silica Dust on Lung Function Impairment in Stone Crusher Worker of Marawatdha Region.** *Int. J. Cur. Res. Rev.* 2013; **5**(4): 121–126.

51. Sheikh JA, Khan ZA, Khan T, *et al.*: **Pulmonary function among stone quarry workers in India: The effect of duration of exposure, smoking status and job profile on pulmonary function tests.** *J. Health Social Sci.* 2018; **3**(2): 137–146.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
52. Nwibo AN, Ugwuja EI, Nwambele NO, *et al.*: **Pulmonary problems among quarry workers of stone crushing industrial site at Umuoghara, Ebonyi State, Nigeria.** *Int. J. Occup. Environ. Med.* 2012; **3**(4): 178–185.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
53. Nandini C, Malleshappa K, Vastrad BC, *et al.*: **Study of lung function in granite workers based on duration of exposure.** *Natl. J. Physiol. Pharm. Pharmacol.* 2018; **8**(10): 1374–1376.
[Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 22 November 2021

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.56065.r94844>

© 2021 Qureshi E. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Ejaz Mahmood Ahmad Qureshi

University Institute of Public Health, The University of Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan

COMMENTS

It is one of the best efforts made by the authors to conduct such a study on workers of the marble industry. As reported by the authors, the study setting where this study was carried out is thickly populated, located in a residential area, hence named cottage industry where marble crushing/cutting activities have been going on in houses since long ago. Being located in a thickly populated place, lots of people are directly and indirectly exposed to silica dust. It would have been a remarkable effort if particulate matter (PM), both PM 2.5 and PM 10 were also measured as mentioned in observation 3.

Secondly, as silica particles (being heavy in weight) are suspended in the atmosphere for a short distance around these plants, it would have been a great effort if a pulmonary function test was performed in people living at least 50 meters from the marble industry as noted in observation 1. Respiratory symptoms in these people should also be recorded.

In addition to that, one of the limiting factors of this study was its short duration. It would have been better if this study was carried out in a larger time period, 6 months or one year, as the weather conditions can affect the outcome of the result. It is usually seen that in hot weather, workers try to stay and work at the point where some fans are plying with the result that dust spread is more in such cases and chances of getting affected is more than in winter season. Technology being used in the marble industry is also very important. In those places where wet technology is used for cutting marbles, the spread of dust particles is far less than in those places where marble is cut in dry conditions.

Regarding confounding factors like the presence of pollutants around the marble industry, it is pertinent to mention that lots of pollutants, like NOX, SOX, CO₂, etc, are emitted from other sources, like vehicles, burning of waste, etc., which is very common practice, especially in an industrial area. These pollutants might have augmented bad effects on the lungs of workers of the marble industry and people residing nearby and so should have been measured. In addition to

that, few workers were smokers as the result of this study reported, but also many people might have been addicted to other substances prepared locally like opium, niswar, battery, etc., which can also enhance the respiratory symptoms and decrease lung function test. These workers should have been included in the study.

Looking at the prevailing situation in the industrial area in general and study setting in particular, it is the need of an hour that Environmental Monitoring, namely Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for larger marble cutting units and Environmental Examination (EE) for small industries, cottage units by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) should be done. Although these measures have been in place but unfortunately not strictly followed.

COMMENTS ABOUT QUALITY OF PAPER

- **Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?**

Methods of data collection have been given in detail and were quite comprehensive. A list of inclusiveness and exclusiveness is also complete. One can say that this has increased the understanding of the matter even for a layman. Another good thing is the comprehensiveness of data analysis. So, I will say that sufficient details of methods and analysis have been provided and it has added the knowledge of the reader significantly. It is also a very good source of reference for other studies.

- **The status you would give this article:**

I can say with confidence that the article is scientifically valid in its current form. The experimental design, including controls and methods, is adequate; results are presented accurately and the conclusions are justified and supported by the data. Revisions/modifications suggested are minor in nature, and are considered to be non-essential.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Public Health, Environmental and Occupational Health

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 29 October 2021

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.56065.r95979>

© 2021 Mohammad S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Shamim Mohammad

International Ford Foundation Fellowship, Alumnus, New York, NY, USA

The study "Pulmonary function parameters among marble industry workers in Lahore, Pakistan" is a methodologically sound case-control study. The researchers have taken all the necessary steps to ensure adherence to the application of basic protocols of research. The study finds the negative impact of the long duration of silica dust exposure on the lungs of the marble industry workers. Findings are in line with similar studies conducted around the world on occupational exposure to dust, particularly stone or marble dust. However, a brief justification about the selection of the research site, the absence of female participants in the study, and the incentive provided to the participants, if any, will strengthen the generalizability of the study further.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Respiratory diseases, mental health, and health inequities

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of

expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research