SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

REVISED Prevalence of cancer as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients and their characteristics: a meta-analysis study

[version 2; peer review: 2 approved]

Previous Title: Prevalence and characteristics of cancer patients with COVID-19: a meta-analysis study

Johan S. Sitanggang ¹, Kamal B. Siregar ², Henry H. Sitanggang ³,
Noverita Sprinse Vinolina⁴

¹Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia

²Department of Surgery, Oncology Subdivision, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia

³Department of Surgery, Head and Neck Oncology Subdivision, Faculty of Medicine, Deli Serdang Hospital, Deli Serdang, Indonesia

⁴Statistics Division, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia

v2 First published: 27 Sep 2021, 10:975
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.53539.1>
 Latest published: 27 Jan 2022, 10:975
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.53539.2>

Abstract

Background: Cancer patients are considered susceptible to coronavirus disease (COVID-19) due to an immunosuppressive state. This study determined the prevalence of cancer as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients, severe events, case fatality rate, history of anticancer therapy associated with severe events, and type of cancer in cancer patients with COVID-19 in the world.

Methods: This study used a meta-analysis study approach, sourcing studies from various countries related to cancer and COVID-19. Inclusion and exclusion criteria were established to select studies. A PRISMA flowchart was presented to assess the selection process. Data from inclusion studies were analyzed using Review Manager 5.4.

Results: The prevalence of cancer as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients was 4.63% (95% CI, 3.78-5.49%) worldwide. The lowest prevalence was the Asian study group with 2.36% (95% CI, 1.86-2.87%) and the highest prevalence was the European study group with 10.93% (95% CI, 6.62-15.24%). About 43.26% (95% CI, 34.71-51.80%) of COVID-19 patients with cancer as comorbid experienced severe events of COVID-19. In total, 58.13% (95% CI, 42.79-73.48%) of COVID-19 patients with cancer as a comorbid who in the last month had a history of anticancer therapy experienced severe events. The prevalence of lung cancer in cancer patients with COVID-19 was 20.23% (95% CI, 7.67-32.78%). Forest plots are also presented related to the results of meta-analysis research.

Conclusions: High prevalence of cancer as a comorbid among COVID-19 patients indicates the susceptibility of cancer patients to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Cancer as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients and use of

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 2		
(revision)		
27 Jan 2022	view	view
version 1		
27 Sep 2021	view	

1. Tjakra Wibawa Manuaba,

2. Patumrat Sripan ,

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

anticancer therapy increase severe events of COVID-19.

Keywords

prevalence; COVID-19; cancer; comorbid; severe event; fatality

This article is included in the **Oncology** gateway.

This article is included in the **Coronavirus** collection.

Corresponding authors: Johan S. Sitanggang (johansitanggang0701@gmail.com), Kamal B. Siregar (kamal@usu.ac.id)

Author roles: **Sitanggang JS:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Siregar KB:** Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Supervision, Validation; **Sitanggang HH:** Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization; **Sprins Vinolina N:** Funding Acquisition, Methodology, Project Administration, Software, Validation

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2022 Sitanggang JS *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Sitanggang JS, Siregar KB, Sitanggang HH and Sprins Vinolina N. **Prevalence of cancer as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients and their characteristics: a meta-analysis study [version 2; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2022, 10:975 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.53539.2>

First published: 27 Sep 2021, 10:975 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.53539.1>

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

Based on the reviews that have been done, the sentence in the title and also some sentences in the previous version of the article related to cancer and COVID-19 may be misinterpreted that COVID-19 was somehow causing cancer. Therefore, we have changed some words in the title and also the sentences in this article, so that there are no misunderstandings regarding the prevalence information provided. From "Prevalence and characteristics of cancer patients with COVID-19: a meta-analysis study" to "Prevalence of cancer as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients and their characteristics: a meta-analysis study".

Any further responses from the reviewers can be found at the end of the article

Introduction

On December 31, 2019, the World Health Organization (WHO) was notified of cases of pneumonia of unknown cause, which were detected in Wuhan City, Hubei Province, China. From 31 December 2019 to 3 January 2020, a total of 44 pneumonia cases with unknown etiology were reported to the WHO by national authorities in China. The Chinese Centers for Disease Control and Prevention identified a new strain of coronavirus, namely Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), with the name of disease given as Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)¹.

Confirmed cases of COVID-19 are continually increasing in the world. On January 30, 2020, WHO designated COVID-19 as a Public Health Emergency of International Concern². Approximately 197,788,117 cumulative cases of COVID-19 had been confirmed and 4,219,578 cumulative deaths had been caused by the COVID-19 disease as of August 3, 2021³.

The existence of the COVID-19 pandemic also affects and increases various risks in individuals with chronic diseases. Of the 1,590 cases of COVID-19 in 575 hospitals in 31 provinces of China, 399 cases were reported to have comorbid diseases. The most common comorbidity found was hypertension with 269 people (16.9%), followed by cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases with 59 (3.7%) and 30 (1.9%), respectively. Meanwhile, cancer was also found in 18 (1.1%) of 1,590 people⁴. Patients with cancer are more susceptible to infection and may have a higher risk of experiencing a severe event of COVID-19 than individuals without cancer because of their systemic immunosuppressive states caused by malignancies and anticancer treatments, such as chemotherapy or surgery⁵. The severe event in this study was defined as the condition of patients with severe symptoms, patients admitted to the intensive care unit, patients requiring ventilation, or patient death.

Therefore, the existence of a meta-analysis study which in principle combines the results of research from various countries around the world, could make epidemiological assessments of the prevalence of cancer in COVID-19 patients more accurate. In addition, this meta-analysis study also explained the latest developments based on inclusion studies related to cancer and COVID-19. The prevalence of severe event, death, history of anticancer therapy, and types of cancer in cancer patients with COVID-19 were also included in this study.

Using the PICO (patient, intervention, comparison, and outcome) principle, the patients in this study are COVID-19 patients and, there is no intervention. The comparison found in this study is the history of using anticancer therapy, and the outcome sought is the prevalence of cancer as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients, the prevalence of severe event in COVID-19 patients with cancer as a comorbid, case fatality rate of cancer patients with COVID-19, and the prevalence of severe event in COVID-19 patients with cancer as a comorbid and the history of using anticancer therapy within one month. The PICO question statement that may be obtained is related to the prevalence of cancer patients in COVID-19 patients, what is the prevalence of severe event in COVID-19 patients with cancer as a comorbid, and the prevalence of COVID-19 patients with cancer as a comorbid who experienced severe events with a history of anticancer therapy, especially in the last one month.

Methods

Type of research

This research uses a meta-analysis study method to estimate the frequency of clustered diseases, such as prevalence and case fatality rate. The time for conducting the research was four months, from August to November 2020. The total series of processes starting from submitting ethics to accountability for research results at Universitas Sumatera Utara took seven months, from July 2020 to January 2021. The checklist used in this meta-analysis was the PRISMA 2009 Checklist.

Research sample

The data extraction was carried out using a piloted form with inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria were studies with COVID-19 patient subjects, number and prevalence of COVID-19 patients who also experienced cancer, and journals were in English (pre-print articles and full peer reviewed) that had been circulating on the internet until October 31, 2020. The exclusion criteria of this study were review articles, comments, research conducted on animals, and research that did not contain information regarding the number and prevalence of COVID-19 patients with cancer.

An online literature search was conducted, sourcing from Pubmed, Science Direct, Springerlink, and Google Scholar. Medical subject headings (MeSH) words used to form the search strategy were "prevalence" AND ("cancer" OR "malignancy" OR "tumor") AND ("COVID-19" OR "coronavirus" OR "SARS-CoV-2"). The data retrieved was the name of the first author, year of publication, data on the number, prevalence, and several characteristics of cancer patients in COVID-19 patients based on research that had been circulating on the internet until October 31, 2020.

There were three reviewers, namely Johan S. Sitanggang, Kamal B. Siregar, and Henry H. Sitanggang, who screened articles for this meta-analysis. Initial screening was carried out by looking at the suitability of the title against the inclusion and exclusion criteria as well as the study abstract. Studies were then assessed in full-text to assess the presence of information related to prevalence that can be retrieved according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Information related to the

prevalence of cancer patients in COVID-19 patients, the prevalence of cancer patients and COVID-19 who experienced severe events, case fatality rate of cancer patients and COVID-19, prevalence of severe events in cancer patients with a history of using chemotherapy in the last one month, and prevalence of specific cancer (lung cancer) in COVID-19 patients with cancer, was extracted from the full-text study data and recapitulated with table in Review Manager 5.4.

In the process of selecting and extracting information from the original study, the reviewers also looked at the research methodology of the original study, the confidence interval, and the p-value of each related study to assess the potential bias of individual studies. The method used in relation to the risk of bias across studies in this prevalence meta-analysis is quantitative assessment of the p value and z test of each prevalence data table. Prevalence rate and case fatality rate data processing in this meta-analysis study was determined whether by random effect or fixed effect by assessing I^2 . If I^2 is more than 50%, it indicates high heterogeneity between studies, so the random effects model is used. Meanwhile, if I^2 is less than 50%, then the fixed effects model is used. There is no additional analysis other than what has been described previously.

Processing and analysis of data

Data processing that would be carried out in this study was a meta-analysis study. Prevalence rate (PR), 95% confidence interval (CI) were analyzed using *Review Manager 5.4* software (*The Cochrane Collaboration*, Oxford, UK). The

heterogeneity between the studies was estimated using the I^2 test and q .

Results and discussions

Literature tracing and selection

From the results of literature searches up to October 31, 2020 using MeSH words predetermined, 19,045 literatures were found on Google Scholar, Pubmed, Springer Link, and Science Direct. Of the total 19,045 literatures obtained, 16,500 came from a Google Scholar search. Meanwhile, 1,794 literatures came from Science Direct, 558 literatures came from Springer Link, and 193 literatures came from Pubmed. After going through the selection process, in the end, 47 research literatures were included in this study. The process of searching and selecting the literature for this study can be seen in [Figure 1](#).

Characteristics of the studies

These studies included information regarding the number, prevalence, and characteristics of cancer patients with COVID-19. The characteristics of each study that had been included in this study could be seen in [Table 1](#).

According to the origin of the studies, these studies were found to come from several countries which could be divided based on the location of the country on the continent. The majority of studies that were included in this meta-analysis came from Asia with a total of 33 studies. In this study, there were nine studies (19.1% of all studies) originating from America with all studies originating from the United States of

Figure 1. Flowchart (a. detailed literature tracing and selection flowchart, b. PRISMA flowchart).

Table 1. Included studies' characteristics.

Ref.	Study origin	Case identification date	Type of study	Total COVID-19 patients (n)	Patients with cancer (n)	Age of COVID-19 patients (years; median (range))	Gender (Male n (%) / Female n (%))
Asia							
Cai <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ⁶	Shenzhen, China.	January 11, 2020 – February 6, 2020	Retrospective study, single-center	298	4	47,5 (33 – 61)	145 (48.7) / 153 (51.3)
Cao <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ⁷	Shanghai, China	January 20, 2020 – February 15, 2020	Cohort study, single-center	198	4	50,1 (33,8 – 66,4)	101 (51) / 97 (49)
Chen, N. <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ⁸	Wuhan, China	January 1, 2020 – January 20, 2020	Retrospective study, single-center	99	1	55,5 (42,4 – 68,6)	67 (67.7) / 32 (32.3)
Chen, Q. <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ⁹	Zhejiang, China	January 1, 2020 – March 11, 2020	Retrospective study, single-center	145	3	47,5 (32,9 – 62,1)	79 (54.5) / 66 (45.5)
Chen, T. <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ¹⁰	Wuhan, China	January 13, 2020 – February, 12 2020	Retrospective study, single-center	274	7	62 (44 – 70)	171 (62.4) / 103 (37.6)
Chen, T.L. <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ¹¹	Wuhan, China	January 1, 2020 – February 10, 2020	Retrospective study, single-center	203	7	54 (20 – 91)	108 (53.2) / 95 (46.8)
Cheng <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ¹²	Wuhan, China	January 28, 2020 – February 11, 2020	Cohort study, single-center	698	32	63 (50 – 71)	367 (52.6) / 334 (47.4)
Du <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ¹³	Wuhan, China	January 9, 2020 – February 15, 2020	Retrospective study, multi-center	85	6	65,8 (51,6 – 80)	62 (72.9) / 23 (27.1)
Feng <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ¹⁴	Wuhan, Shanghai, and Anhui, China	January 1, 2020 – February 15, 2020	Retrospective study, multi-center	476	12	53 (40 – 64)	271 (56.9) / 205 (43.1)
Guan <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ¹⁵	30 provinces, China	December 11, 2019 – January 29, 2020	Cohort study, multi-center	1099	10	47 (35 – 58)	640 (58.2) / 459 (41.8)
Guo, T. <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ¹⁶	Wuhan, China	January 23, 2020 – February 23, 2020	Retrospective study, single-center	187	13	58,5 (43,84 – 73,16)	91 (48.7) / 96 (51.3)
Guo, W. <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ¹⁷	Wuhan, China	February 10, 2020 – February 29, 2020	Retrospective study, single-center	174	17	59 (49 – 67)	76 (43.7) / 98 (56.3)
Huang <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ¹⁸	Wuhan, China	December 16, 2019 – January 2, 2020	Cohort study, single-center	41	1	49 (41 – 58)	30 (73.2) / 11 (26.8)
Lian <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ¹⁹	Zhejiang, China	January 17, 2020 – February 12, 2020	Retrospective study, single-center	788	6	≥ 60 group: 68,28 (60,966 – 75,594) < 60 group: 41,15 (29,77 – 52,53)	407 (51.6) / 381 (48.4)
Liang <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ⁵	31 provinces, China	January 31, 2020	Cohort study, multi-center	1590	18	Cancer and COVID-19 patients' age: 63,1 (51 – 75,2); COVID-19 patients' age without cancer: 48,7 (32,5 – 64,9)	Not available
Liu, K. <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ²⁰	Hubei Province, China	December 30, 2019 – January 24, 2020	Retrospective study, multi-center	137	2	57 (20 – 83)	61 (44.5) / 76 (55.5)
Ma <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ²¹	Wuhan, China	January 1, 2020 – March 30, 2020	Retrospective study, single-center	1380	37	Cancer and COVID-19 patients' age: 62 (59 – 70)	20 (54.1) / 17 (45.9) (Cancer and COVID-19 patients' gender ratio)

Ref.	Study origin	Case identification date	Type of study	Total COVID-19 patients (n)	Patients with cancer (n)	Age of COVID-19 patients (years; median (range))	Gender (Male n (%) / Female n (%))
Asia							
Mo <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ²²	Wuhan, China	January 1, 2020 – February 5, 2020	Retrospective study, single-center	155	7	54 (42 – 66)	86 (55.5) / 69 (44.5)
Shi <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ²³	Wuhan, China	December 20, 2019 – January 23, 2020	Retrospective study, multi-center	81	4	49.5 (38.5 – 60.5)	42 (51.9) / 39 (48.1)
Wan <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ²⁴	North East Chongqing, China	January 23, 2020 – February 8, 2020	Retrospective study, single-center	135	4	47 (36 – 55)	72 (53.3) / 63 (46.7)
Wang, D. <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ²⁵	Wuhan, China	January 1, 2020 – January 28, 2020	Retrospective study, single-center	138	10	56 (42 – 68)	75 (54.3) / 63 (45.7)
Wu <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ²⁶	Wuhan, China	December 25, 2019 – January 26, 2020	Retrospective study, single-center	201	1	51 (43 – 60)	128 (63.7) / 73 (36.3)
Yang <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ²⁷	Wuhan, China	December 31, 2019 – January 26, 2020	Retrospective study, single-center	52	2	59.7 (46.4 – 73)	35 (67.3) / 17 (32.7)
Zhang, G. <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ²⁸	Wuhan, China	January 2, 2020 – February 10, 2020	Retrospective study, single-center	221	9	55 (39 – 66.5)	108 (48.9) / 113 (51.1)
Zhang, J. <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ²⁹	Wuhan, China	January 13, 2020 – February 16, 2020	Retrospective study, single-center	111	8	38 (32 – 57)	46 (41.4) / 65 (58.6)
Zhang, L. <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ³⁰	Wuhan, China	January 13, 2020 – February 26, 2020	Retrospective study, multi-center	1276	28	Cancer and COVID-19 patients' age: 65 (56 – 70)	17 (60.7) / 11 (39.3) (Cancer and COVID-19 patients' gender ratio)
Zhou <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ³¹	Wuhan, China	December 29, 2019 – January 31, 2020	Retrospective study, multi-center	191	2	56 (46 – 67)	119 (62.3) / 72 (37.7)
Zhu <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ³²	Hefei, Anhui Province, China	January 24, 2020 – February 20, 2020	Retrospective study, multi-center	32	2	46 (35 – 52)	15 (46.9) / 17 (53.1)
Jeong <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ³³	South Korea	March 12, 2020	Retrospective study, multi-center	66	7	77 (35 – 93)	37 (56.1) / 29 (43.9)
Kang <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ³⁴	South Korea	March 16, 2020	Retrospective study, multi-center	75	10	Not available	Not available
Kim, E.S. <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ³⁵	South Korea	January 19, 2020 – February 17, 2020	Cohort study, multi-center	28	1	42.6 (29.2 – 56)	15 (53.6) / 13 (46.4)
Tabata <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ³⁶	Tokyo, Japan	February 11, 2020 – February 25, 2020	Retrospective study, single-center	104	4	68 (46.75 – 75)	54 (51.9) / 50 (48.1)
Nikpour-aghdam <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ³⁷	Tehran, Iran	February 19, 2020 – April 15, 2020	Retrospective study, single-center	2964	17	56 (46 – 65)	1955 (65.9) / 1009 (34.1)
Americas							
Argenzi-ano <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ³⁸	New York, USA	March 1, 2020 – April 5, 2020	Retrospective study, single-center	1000	67	63 (50 – 75)	596 (59.6) / 404 (40.4)
Cummings <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ³⁹	New York, USA	March 2, 2020 – April 1, 2020	Cohort study, multi-center	257	18	62 (51 – 72)	171 (66.5) / 86 (33.5)

Ref.	Study origin	Case identification date	Type of study	Total COVID-19 patients (n)	Patients with cancer (n)	Age of COVID-19 patients (years; median (range))	Gender (Male n (%) / Female n (%))
Americas							
McMichael <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ⁴⁰	Washington, USA	February 27, 2020 – March 18, 2020	Retrospective study, single-center	167	15	72 (21 – 100)	55 (32.9) / 112 (67.1)
Miyashita <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ⁴¹	New York, USA	March 1, 2020 – April 6, 2020	Cohort study, single-center	5688	334	Not available	Not available
Myers <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ⁴²	California, USA	March 1, 2020 – March 31, 2020	Retrospective study, multi-center	377	18	61 (50 – 73)	212 (56.2) / 165 (43.8)
Petrilli <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ⁴³	New York, USA	March 1, 2020 – April 2, 2020	Cross-sectional study, single-center	1582	110	62.5 (46 – 77)	1002 (63.3) / 580 (36.7)
Paranjape <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ⁴⁴	New York, USA	February 27, 2020 – April 2, 2020	Descriptive study, multi-center	2199	151	65 (54 – 76)	1293 (58.8) / 906 (41.2)
Rentsch <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ⁴⁵	USA	February 8, 2020 – March 30, 2020	Retrospective study, multi-center	585	83	66.1 (60.4 – 71)	558 (95.4) / 27 (4.6)
Richard-son <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ⁴⁶	New York, USA	March 1, 2020 – April 4, 2020	Retrospective study, multi-center	5700	320	63 (52 – 75)	3437 (60.3) / 2263 (39.7)
Europe							
Benelli <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ⁴⁷	Crema, Italy	February 21, 2020 – March 13, 2020	Cohort study, single-center	411	33	66.8 (50.4 – 83.2)	274 (66.6) / 137 (33.4)
Colaneri <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ⁴⁸	Pavia, Italy	February 21, 2020 – February 28, 2020	Cohort study, single-center	44	6	67.5 (52.95 – 82.05)	28 (63.6) / 16 (36.4)
Grasselli <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ⁴⁹	Milan, Italy	February 20, 2020 – March 18, 2020	Retrospective study, multi-center	1591	81	63 (56 – 70)	1304 (81.9) / 287 (18.1)
Rossi <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ⁵⁰	Reggio Emilia, Italy	February 27, 2020 – April 2, 2020	Cohort study, multi-center	2653	301	Not available	1328 (50.1) / 1325 (49.9)
Lovell <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ⁵¹	London, England	March 4, 2020 – March 26, 2020	Retrospective study, multi-center	101	25	82 (72 – 89)	64 (63.4) / 37 (36.6)

America. From Europe, there were five studies (10.6%) included in this meta-analysis.

Meta-analysis results of cancer prevalence as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients

Prevalence by continent area

In Figure 2, a forest plot for a total of 47 studies that had been included from various regions of the world. Based on this

meta-analysis, it had been found that the overall prevalence of cancer as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients was 4.63% (95% CI, 3.78-5.49%). As for the heterogeneity test in this meta-analysis, it had been found that the I^2 value was 96% (>75%). This indicates a high degree of heterogeneity in the overall study results. Therefore, a meta-analysis was performed with random effect (>50%). High heterogeneity was also indicated by the P value <0.0001 (<0.05) in this study. The

Figure 2. Forest plot of the prevalence of cancer as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients by continent.

result of the P value on the Z-test was <0.0001 (<0.05), which means that the 47 studies' data had significant and important values.

Therefore, the prevalence of cancer as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients in the world had been found to be eight times higher than the prevalence value of cancer in the whole world population based on the latest WHO data. The prevalence of cancer sufferers in the world community only reaches 0.57%⁵². The high prevalence of cancer as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients shows that cancer sufferers are more susceptible to infection from the SARS-CoV-2 virus, which must be closely monitored.

On the Asian continent, the results of the meta-analysis of the prevalence of cancer as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients was 2.36% (95% CI, 1.86-2.87%). Meanwhile, in the Americas, the results of the meta-analysis of the prevalence of cancer as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients was 6.92% (95% CI, 5.92-7.92%).

Based on studies originating from Europe, the results of the meta-analysis of the prevalence of cancer as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients was 10.93% (95% CI, 6.62-15.24%). The prevalence of cancer as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients in Europe was the highest compared to the prevalence of the two other continents.

Severe event and death in COVID-19 patients with cancer as a comorbid

According to the studies that had been included, there were reports of COVID-19 patients with cancer as a comorbid experiencing severe event, and case deaths. The severe event in this study was defined as the condition of patients with severe symptoms, patients admitted to the intensive care unit, patients requiring ventilation, or even death.

Figure 3 presents the prevalence of severe event that occurs in cancer patients with COVID-19. Based on meta-analysis calculations from a total of 26 studies containing information regarding severe event in COVID-19 patients with cancer as a comorbid, it was found that the prevalence value was 43.26% (95% CI, 34.71-51.80%). The I^2 value was 91% ($>75\%$), so that the calculation of this meta-analysis also used random effect. Heterogeneous P values and P on the Z-test were found to be <0.00001 (<0.05) which was heterogeneous and significant.

Based on the studies originating from China, it was found that the prevalence of severe event in COVID-19 patients with cancer as a comorbid was 49.67% (95% CI, 37.34-62.00%). Meanwhile, according to three other studies originating from Asia outside China, it was found that 67.02% (95% CI, 0.00-100.00%) of COVID-19 patients with cancer as a comorbid experienced severe event. The prevalence of severe event based on studies originating from Asia outside of China had the highest prevalence value among other groups^{33,34,37}.

The prevalence of severe event based on American studies was the lowest of the other groups. Based on 5 studies from

America, 27.99% (95% CI, 12.21-43.76%) COVID-19 patients with cancer as a comorbid experienced severe event. Additionally, it was found that 44.25% (95% CI, 7.64-80.86%) of European COVID-19 patients with cancer as a comorbid experienced severe event.

The fatality rate of COVID-19 cases in cancer patients was based on 12 studies which were described in detail in Figure 4. The result was a case fatality rate of 26.29% (95% CI, 18.09-34.49%) of cancer patients with COVID-19 who experienced death. Based on the I^2 value related to the heterogeneity of the study, it was found that a high level of heterogeneity was obtained with a I^2 of 88%. Therefore, the principle of random effect was used in calculating the prevalence meta-analysis. Heterogeneous P values and P on the Z-test were found <0.00001 (<0.05) which was heterogeneous and significant.

History of anticancer therapy for COVID-19 patients with cancer as a comorbid

In Figure 5, the prevalence of severe event in COVID-19 patients with cancer as a comorbid and a history of anticancer therapy was described at least in the last month. There were three studies that specifically contained this data. Based on meta-analysis calculations from the three studies, 58.13% (95% CI, 42.79-73.48%) of COVID-19 patients with cancer as comorbid who in the last month at least had a history of anticancer therapy experienced severe event. The P value on the Z-test was found to be <0.00001 , which means that the calculation remained significant and important. In addition to exposure and mobility factors in cancer patients, the state of immunosuppression caused by anticancer therapy in cancer patients is also considered an important factor of susceptibility to COVID-19. The prevalence of the COVID-19 severe event in patients with cancer as a comorbid who had a history of anticancer therapy in the last month was 1.34 times higher than the prevalence of severe event of COVID-19 patients with cancer as a comorbid as a whole.

Types of cancer in cancer patients with COVID-19

Figure 6 shows that from five studies that specifically described data on the types of cancer found in cancer patients with COVID-19, lung cancer was found in five studies. The value I^2 of the five studies was 74%, which was greater than 50%. So, the principle of random effect was used in the calculation. The P value of heterogeneity was found to be 0.004 (<0.05) and the P value of the Z-test was 0.002 (<0.05), which means that the study data from this calculation was heterogeneous and significant.

Discussion

This meta-analysis study covered a large area and representative as prevalence and epidemiological data related to cancer and COVID-19, which can be seen in Figure 2. The overall prevalence of cancer as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients in the world was 4.63% (95% CI, 3.78% - 5.49%). The highest prevalence of cancer as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients by continent area was found in Europe with 10.93% (95% CI, 6.62% - 15.24%). The highest prevalence of cancer in COVID-19 patients reported by a single study came from the UK, with 24.75% (95% CI, 16.34% - 33.16%)⁵¹. Meanwhile, the lowest prevalence was Asia

Figure 3. Forest plot of prevalence of severe event in COVID-19 patients with cancer as a comorbid.

2.36% (95% CI, 1.86-2.87%). The lowest prevalence by a single study was obtained with a prevalence of 0.50% (0.01% – 0.99%), which was taken from a study from China²⁶.

In the calculation of the meta-analysis of 47 studies, the I^2 value, the P value of heterogeneity, and the P value of the Z -test were also presented. The heterogeneity test of the I^2 value in this meta-analysis was found to be 96% (>75%). This

indicates that the data from the 47 studies has a high level of heterogeneity. The P value of heterogeneity was also found to be <0.0001 (<0.05) which indicates a high level of heterogeneity and significance. The P value of the Z -test in this forest plot is <0.0001 (<0.05), which means that 47 studies' data have significant and important values. The high level of heterogeneity and significance value in this meta-analysis calculation can prove that there is no possibility of bias from

Figure 4. Forest plot of case-fatality rates of COVID-19 patients with cancer as a comorbid.

Figure 5. Forest plot of prevalence of severe event in COVID-19 patients with cancer as a comorbid and a history of anticancer therapy.

the authors on the results of the meta-analysis calculations. In addition, all meta-analysis calculations in this study were found to be meaningful or significant in the results.

Cancer as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients and use of anticancer therapy affect severe events of COVID-19 patients.

Based on inclusion studies that specifically describe the history of anticancer therapy, we found 58.13% (95% CI, 42.79% – 73.48%) of COVID-19 patients with cancer as a comorbid and a history of anticancer therapy, experienced severe event (in Figure 5). This prevalence value is 1.34 times higher than the overall prevalence value of severe event in COVID-19 patients

Figure 6. Forest plot of lung cancer prevalence in cancer patients with COVID-19.

with cancer as a comorbid (43.26%, 95% CI, 34.71% – 51.80%) which can be seen in [Figure 3](#).

Specifically, this study also includes a meta-analysis study related to the prevalence of certain types of cancer, namely lung cancer (in [Figure 6](#)). This is because in several inclusion studies, lung cancer was mentioned as the type of cancer with the highest prevalence compared to other cancers in COVID-19 patients in their study research samples^{5,6,21,30,41}. Based on the calculation of the prevalence of lung cancer in cancer patients with COVID-19, it was found that 20.23% (95% CI, 7.67% – 32.78%) of cancer patients with COVID-19 were lung cancer patients. The I^2 value of the five studies was 74%, which was greater than 50%. Indeed, based on these results, the heterogeneity level of the inclusion study was not at the highest level of heterogeneity, but the results were heterogeneous enough to make this meta-analysis calculation using random effects. The P value of heterogeneity was found to be 0.004 (<0.05) and the P value of the Z -test was 0.002 (<0.05), which means that the study data from this calculation was heterogeneous and significant.

The high prevalence of COVID-19 severe event in cancer patients with a history of anticancer therapy means that anticancer therapy is an important factor in the occurrence of poor outcomes in cancer patients with COVID-19. Therefore, cancer patients who are about to undergo anticancer therapy must be closely monitored so they are not exposed to SARS-CoV-2. In patients with suspected symptoms of COVID-19, it is advisable to consider delaying some anticancer therapies such as chemotherapy, surgery, radiotherapy, and others.

Aside from the results reported above, there are several obstacles and shortcomings found in the work of this study. In determining a criteria for a severe event, until now there is still no specific value or scoring criteria that determines how severe a patient's condition is caused by COVID-19.

High prevalence of cancer as a comorbid among COVID-19 patients indicates the susceptibility of cancer patients to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Cancer in COVID-19 patients and use of anticancer therapy affect the prevalence of a severe event of COVID-19 patients. The prevalence of severe event in patients with cancer and COVID-19 who had a history of

anticancer therapy in the last 1 month was 1.34 times higher than the prevalence of severe event in cancer patients with COVID-19 as a whole. This means that a history of anticancer therapy may influence the occurrence of COVID-19 severity in cancer patients with COVID-19. All authors hope that more specific research about COVID-19 and certain type of cancer in the future will be carried out.

Data availability

Underlying data

All data underlying the results are available as part of the article and no additional source data are required.

Reporting guidelines

figshare: PRISMA checklist for 'Prevalence and characteristics of cancer patients with covid-19: a meta-analysis study'. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.16590044>⁵³

Data are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](#) (CC-BY 4.0).

Ethical approval

Based on the approval of the health research implementation ethics committee No. 462 / KEP / USU / 2020, Chair of the Research Ethics Committee of the Universitas Sumatera Utara, after carrying out discussion and assessment of research proposals based on the rules of the Neuremberg Code and the Declaration of Helsinki, decided on a study entitled, "Prevalence and Characteristics of Cancer Patients with COVID-19: a Meta-Analysis Study", approved for implementation.

Author contributions

Johan S. Sitanggang: Conceptualization, methodology, software, validation, formal analysis, investigation, data curation, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing.

Kamal B. Siregar: Methodology, validation, formal analysis, investigation, data curation, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition.

Henry H. Sitanggang: Methodology, validation, formal analysis, investigation, data curation, visualization.

Noverita S. Vinolina: Methodology, software, validation, project administration, funding acquisition.

References

- World Health Organization: **Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Situation Report – 1**. 2020; [Accessed 24 April 2020]. [Reference Source](#)
- World Health Organization: **COVID-19 Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) Global research and innovation forum**. 2020; [Accessed 24 April 2021]. [Reference Source](#)
- World Health Organization: **COVID-19 Weekly Epidemiological Update**. 2021; [Accessed 06 August 2020]. [Reference Source](#)
- Guan WJ, Liang WH, Zhao Y, *et al.*: **Comorbidity and its impact on 1590 patients with COVID-19 in China: a nationwide analysis**. *Eur Respir J*. 2020; 55(5): 2000547. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Liang W, Guan W, Chen R, *et al.*: **Cancer patients in SARS-CoV-2 infection: a nationwide analysis in China**. *Lancet Oncol*. 2020; 21(3): 335–337. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Cai Q, Huang D, Ou P, *et al.*: **COVID-19 in a designated infectious diseases hospital outside Hubei Province, China**. *Allergy*. 2020; 75(7): 1742–1752. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Cao M, Zhang D, Wang Y, *et al.*: **Clinical Features of Patients Infected with the 2019 Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) in Shanghai, China**. *medRxiv*. 2020; 2020.03.04.20030395. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, *et al.*: **Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study**. *Lancet*. 2020; 395(10223): 507–513. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Chen Q, Zheng Z, Zhang C, *et al.*: **Clinical characteristics of 145 patients with corona virus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Taizhou, Zhejiang, China**. *Infection*. 2020; 48(4): 543–551. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Chen T, Wu D, Chen H, *et al.*: **Clinical characteristics of 113 deceased patients with coronavirus disease 2019: Retrospective study**. *BMJ*. 2020; 368: m1091. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Chen T, Dai Z, Mo P, *et al.*: **Clinical Characteristics and Outcomes of Older Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Wuhan, China: A Single-Centered, Retrospective Study**. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2020; 75(9): 1788–1795. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Cheng Y, Luo R, Wang K, *et al.*: **Kidney disease is associated with in-hospital death of patients with COVID-19**. *Kidney Int*. 2020; 97(5): 829–838. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Du Y, Tu L, Zhu P, *et al.*: **Clinical features of 85 fatal cases of COVID-19 from Wuhan. A retrospective observational study**. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2020; 201(11): 1372–1379. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Feng Y, Ling Y, Bai T, *et al.*: **COVID-19 with different severities: A multicenter study of clinical features**. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2020; 201(11): 1380–1388. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, *et al.*: **Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China**. *N Engl J Med*. 2020; 382(18): 1708–1720. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Guo T, Fan Y, Chen M, *et al.*: **Cardiovascular Implications of Fatal Outcomes of Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)**. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2020; 5(7): 811–818. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Guo W, Li M, Dong Y, *et al.*: **Diabetes is a risk factor for the progression and prognosis of COVID-19**. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*. 2020; 36(7): e3319. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, *et al.*: **Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China**. *Lancet*. 2020; 395(10223): 497–506. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Lian J, Jin X, Hao S, *et al.*: **Analysis of Epidemiological and Clinical Features in Older Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outside Wuhan**. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020; 71(15): 740–747. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Liu K, Fang YY, Deng Y, *et al.*: **Clinical characteristics of novel coronavirus cases in tertiary hospitals in Hubei Province**. *Chin Med J (Engl)*. 2020; 133(9): 1025–1031. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Ma J, Yin J, Qian Y, *et al.*: **Clinical characteristics and prognosis in cancer patients with COVID-19: A single center's retrospective study**. *J Infect*. 2020; 81(2): 318–356. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Mo P, Xing Y, Xiao Y, *et al.*: **Clinical characteristics of refractory COVID-19 pneumonia in Wuhan, China**. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020; ciaa270. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Shi H, Han X, Jiang N, *et al.*: **Radiological findings from 81 patients with COVID-19 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study**. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2020; 20(4): 425–434. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Wan S, Xiang Y, Fang W, *et al.*: **Clinical features and treatment of COVID-19 patients in northeast Chongqing**. *J Med Virol*. 2020; 92(7): 797–806. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, *et al.*: **Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients with 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China**. *JAMA*. 2020; 323(11): 1061–1069. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Wu C, Chen X, Cai Y, *et al.*: **Risk Factors Associated with Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome and Death in Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pneumonia in Wuhan, China**. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2020; 180(7): 934–943. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J, *et al.*: **Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a single-centered, retrospective, observational study**. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2020; 8(5): 475–481. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Zhang G, Hu C, Luo L, *et al.*: **Clinical features and short-term outcomes of 221 patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China**. *J Clin Virol*. 2020; 127: 104364. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Zhang J, Yu M, Tong S, *et al.*: **Predictive factors for disease progression in hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China**. *J Clin Virol*. 2020; 127: 104392. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Zhang L, Zhu F, Xie L, *et al.*: **Clinical characteristics of COVID-19-infected cancer patients: a retrospective case study in three hospitals within Wuhan, China**. *Ann Oncol*. 2020; 31(7): 894–901. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, *et al.*: **Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study**. *Lancet*. 2020; 395(10229): 1054–1062. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Zhu W, Xie K, Lu H, *et al.*: **Initial clinical features of suspected coronavirus disease 2019 in two emergency departments outside of Hubei, China**. *J Med Virol*. 2020; 92(9): 1525–1532. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Jeong EK, Park O, Park YJ, *et al.*: **Coronavirus disease-19: The first 7,755 cases in the Republic of Korea**. *Osong Public Health Res Perspect*. 2020; 11(2): 85–90. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Kang YJ: **Mortality rate of infection with COVID-19 in Korea from the perspective of underlying disease**. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep*. 2020; 14(3): 384–386. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Kim ES, Chin BS, Kang, CK, *et al.*: **Clinical course and outcomes of patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection: A preliminary report of the first 28 patients from the Korean cohort study on COVID-19**. *J Korean Med Sci*. 2020; 35(13): e142. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Tabata S, Imai K, Kawano S, *et al.*: **The clinical characteristics of COVID-19: a retrospective analysis of 104 patients from the outbreak on board the Diamond Princess cruise ship in Japan**. *medRxiv*. 2020. [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Nikpouraghdam M, Jalali Farahani A, Alishiri G, *et al.*: **Epidemiological characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients in IRAN: A single center study**. *J Clin Virol*. 2020; 127: 104378. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Argenziano MG, Bruce SL, Slater CL, *et al.*: **Characterization and clinical course of 1000 patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in New York: retrospective case series**. *BMJ*. 2020; m1996. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Cummings MJ, Baldwin MR, Abrams D, *et al.*: **Epidemiology, clinical course, and outcomes of critically ill adults with COVID-19 in New York City: a prospective cohort study**. *Lancet*. 2020; 395(10239): 1763–1770. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- McMichael TM, Currie DW, Clark S, *et al.*: **Epidemiology of Covid-19 in a Long-Term Care Facility in King County, Washington**. *N Engl J Med*. 2020; 382(21): 2005–2011. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Miyashita H, Mikami T, Chopra N, *et al.*: **Do patients with cancer have a poorer prognosis of COVID-19? An experience in New York City**. *Ann Oncol*. 2020; 31(8): 1088–1089. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Myers LC, Parodi SM, Escobar GJ, *et al.*: **Characteristics of Hospitalized Adults with COVID-19 in an Integrated Health Care System in California**. *JAMA*. 2020; 323(21): 2195–2198. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Petrilli CM, Jones SA, Yang J, *et al.*: **Factors associated with hospital admission and critical illness among 5279 people with coronavirus disease 2019 in New York City: prospective cohort study**. *BMJ*. 2020; 369: m1966. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

44. Paranjpe I, Russak AJ, De Freitas JK, *et al.*: **Clinical Characteristics of Hospitalized Covid-19 Patients in New York City.** *medRxiv.* 2020.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
45. Rentsch CT, Kidwai-Khan F, Tate JP, *et al.*: **Covid-19 Testing, Hospital Admission, and Intensive Care Among 2,026,227 United States Veterans Aged 54-75 Years.** *medRxiv.* 2020.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
46. Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, *et al.*: **Presenting Characteristics, Comorbidities, and Outcomes among 5700 Patients Hospitalized with COVID-19 in the New York City Area.** *JAMA.* 2020; **323**(20): 2052–2059.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
47. Benelli G, Buscarini E, Canetta C, *et al.*: **SARS-COV-2 comorbidity network and outcome in hospitalized patients in Crema, Italy.** *BMJ.* 2020; **16**(3): e0248498.
48. Colaneri M, Sacchi P, Zuccaro V, *et al.*: **Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) early findings from a teaching hospital in Pavia, North Italy, 21 to 28 February 2020.** *Euro surveill.* 2020; **25**(16): 2000460.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
49. Grasselli G, Zangrillo A, Zanella A, *et al.*: **Baseline Characteristics and Outcomes of 1591 Patients Infected with SARS-CoV-2 Admitted to ICUs of the Lombardy Region, Italy.** *JAMA.* 2020; **323**(16): 1574–1581.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
50. Rossi PG, Marino M, Formisano D, *et al.*: **Characteristics and outcomes of a cohort of COVID-19 patients in the Province of Reggio Emilia, Italy.** *PLoS One.* 2020; **15**(8): e0238281.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
51. Lovell N, Maddocks M, Etkind SN, *et al.*: **Characteristics, Symptom Management, and Outcomes of 101 Patients With COVID-19 Referred for Hospital Palliative Care.** *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2020; **60**(1): e77–e81.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
52. GLOBOCAN: **World Cancer Prevalence.** 2018; Gco.iarc.fr. [Accessed 31 October 2020].
[Reference Source](#)
53. Sitanggang JS, Siregar KB, Sitanggang HH, *et al.*: **Prevalence and Characteristics of Cancer Patients with COVID-19: A Meta-analysis Study (PRISMA Flowchart and PRISMA Checklist).** figshare. Dataset. 2021.
<http://www.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.16590044.v1>

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 2

Reviewer Report 16 August 2022

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.79084.r145517>

© 2022 Sripan P. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Patumrat Sripan

This study used the meta-analysis approach to describe the prevalence of cancer as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients, severe events, case fatality rate, history of anticancer therapy associated with severe events, and type of cancer in cancer patients with COVID-19.

I have a few comments as follows.

1. In the conclusion, the authors pointed out that the use of anticancer therapy may increase the prevalence of severe events in COVID-19 patients. I found this interesting. It can raise the related research questions for a specific type of research study design to confirm this result. However, this conclusion is based on the evidence from only 3 studies in China. The authors should comment that this conclusion would be stronger with a larger number of publications from various areas including outside of China.
2. The number of sample sizes in each study should be provided in Figures 2-6 where the prevalence was estimated so that the reader can consider how accurate the estimation is.

Are the rationale for, and objectives of, the Systematic Review clearly stated?

Yes

Are sufficient details of the methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

Is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results presented in the review?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Public health and biostatistics

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 28 June 2022

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.79084.r121262>

© 2022 Manuaba T. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Tjakra Wibawa Manuaba

I have read the recent revision and the comment made by the authors. I have no further questions and this article, in my opinion, is good enough to be indexed.

Are the rationale for, and objectives of, the Systematic Review clearly stated?

Partly

Are sufficient details of the methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Partly

Is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Partly

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results presented in the review?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 02 Jul 2022

Noverita Sprinse

Thank you for all the suggestions and comments that have been given by the peer reviewer. All inputs and suggestions that have previously been given by reviewer are very useful in the development of this article.

Competing Interests: All authors declare no competing interests.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 01 November 2021

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.56935.r96847>

© 2021 Manuaba T. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Tjakra Wibawa Manuaba

¹ Division of Surgical Oncology, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Udayana University, Denpasar, Indonesia

²

There is only one thing I want to clear up, which is the understanding of the title? Prevalence and characteristics of cancer patients with COVID-19? shouldn't it be the prevalence of covid-19 in cancer patients and their characteristics? Because looking at the study design - this study is to determine the prevalence of cancer in covid-19 patients, it seems to me that COVID-19 was somehow causing cancer.

When actually we were looking at how many cancer patients were infected by covid-19 and how they reacted to the infection and whether certain types of cancer and their treatments influenced the outcomes of covid-19 infection. We understand "the sample finding" was looking at patients with COVID-19 infection, and looked from those patients who had cancer, so this created a thought that COVID-19 was directly correlated to cancer in fact was not, they were cancer patients who happened to be infected by COVID-19.

In general, I feel that this article was good and worth indexing.

Since I am not a biostatistician and this is a meta-analysis study using available data from other studies. One thing you have to look for is the heterogeneity of the data used. The data have been analysed, and data heterogeneity is not significant (?), so the differences in the study variables are really due to the research hypothesis.

Are the rationale for, and objectives of, the Systematic Review clearly stated?

Yes

Are sufficient details of the methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

Is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results presented in the review?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 02 Nov 2021

Noverita Sprinse

Dear reviewer,

Thank you for the review that had been given to this meta-analysis article. We will immediately improve some of the points that have been described by reviewer as follows.

The first is related to the title, which was originally "Prevalence and Characteristics of Cancer Patients with COVID-19" to "The Prevalence of COVID-19 in Cancer Patients and Their Characteristics". Indeed, our current title may imply the assumption that COVID-19 infection may cause cancer. Therefore, based on suggestions and reviews from reviewer, we made the decision to change the title to "Prevalence of Cancer as a Comorbid in COVID-19 Patients and Their Characteristics". In our opinion, as the authors, the title "COVID-19 Prevalence in Cancer Patients" does not really suitable with the results of the study because the results and sources of the studies used is the prevalence of cancer as a comorbid in COVID-19 patients, which affects the severity of the patients' COVID-19 conditions.

Then, regarding the heterogeneity and significance of each data, we have conducted heterogeneity and significance tests for each meta-analytical calculation and studies included in this article. In each meta-analysis calculation and included studies, we use the p-value test, Z-score test, and also the I^2 test with the results showing heterogeneous and significant, which we have included the results in the discussions column and can also be seen directly in the meta-analysis calculation figure at the bottom left.

Thank you for the time and thoughts that have been given by reviewer to review this article.

Best regards,
Authors

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research