Check for updates

RESEARCH ARTICLE

REVISED A novel method for measuring sublingual temperature using conventional non-contact forehead thermometer

[version 2; peer review: 2 approved]

Chakrapani Mahabala ¹, Pradeepa H. Dakappa², Arjun R. Gupta ¹¹Department of Internal Medicine, Kasturba Medical College, Mangalore, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Karnataka, 575001, India²Department of Pharmacology, Nanjappa Hospitals, Durgigudi, Shivamogga, Karnataka, 577201, India

v2 First published: 07 Jan 2022, 11:13
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.74876.1>
 Second version: 18 Feb 2022, 11:13
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.74876.2>
 Latest published: 26 Aug 2022, 11:13
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.74876.3>

Abstract

Background: Sublingual temperature measurement is a quick and accurate representation of oral temperature and corresponds closely with core temperature. Sub-lingual temperature measurement using non-contact infrared thermometers has not been studied for this purpose and if accurate they would be a reliable and convenient way of recording temperature of a patient very quickly. The aim of the study was to evaluate the utility of recording sublingual temperature using an infrared non-contact thermometer and establish its accuracy by comparing the readings with tympanic thermometer recordings.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was carried out in 29 patients (328 paired recordings from sublingual and tympanic sites simultaneously). Subjects were requested to keep their mouth closed for five minutes before recording the temperature. Sublingual recordings were performed for each patient at different times of the day using an infrared thermometer. The infrared thermometer was quickly brought 1cm away from the sublingual part of the tongue and the recordings were then done immediately. Readings were compared with the corresponding tympanic temperature.

Results: The non-contact sublingual temperature correlated very closely with tympanic temperature ($r=0.86$, $p<0.001$). The mean difference between the infrared sublingual and tympanic temperature was 0.21°C (standard deviation [SD]: 0.48°C , 95% confidence interval [CI] of 0.16 - 0.27). The intra-class correlation co-efficient (ICC) between core and sublingual temperatures was 0.830 (95% CI: 0.794 to 0.861) $p<0.001$. The sensitivity of sublingual IR (infrared) temperature of 37.65°C was 90% and specificity was 89% for core temperature $>38^{\circ}\text{C}$.

Conclusions: This innovative modification of using the forehead infrared thermometer to measure the sublingual temperature offers

Open Peer Review**Approval Status**

	1	2
version 3 (revision) 26 Aug 2022		
version 2 (revision) 18 Feb 2022	 view	 view
version 1 07 Jan 2022	 view	

1. **Balakrishnan Valliyot** , Academy of Medical Sciences, Kannur, India

2. **Jaya Shanker Tedla** , King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

an accurate, rapid and non-contact estimation of core temperature.

Keywords

Sublingual temperature, infrared thermometer, tympanic thermometer.

This article is included in the **Manipal Academy**
of Higher Education gateway.

Corresponding author: Arjun R. Gupta (arjun.rg@manipal.edu)

Author roles: **Mahabala C:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Dakappa PH:** Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Gupta AR:** Data Curation, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2022 Mahabala C *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Mahabala C, Dakappa PH and Gupta AR. **A novel method for measuring sublingual temperature using conventional non-contact forehead thermometer [version 2; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2022, 11:13 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.74876.2>

First published: 07 Jan 2022, 11:13 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.74876.1>

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

Introduction section has been modified. Details regarding individual recording has been detailed further.

Any further responses from the reviewers can be found at the end of the article

Introduction

Body temperature monitoring can be done from multiple sites like oral, rectal, axillary, tympanic, pulmonary, oesophageal, gastrointestinal, bladder and temporal. Sollai *et al* have viewed an ideal thermometer as “An ideal thermometer should: accurately reflect the core body temperature in all age groups; be convenient, easy and comfortable to use; give rapid results; not cause cross infection among patients; not be influenced by room temperature; and be safe and cost-effective”.¹ Although oral site is widely utilised for routine temperature recording in adults, rectal site is still considered as a representative of core body temperature.² Rectal temperature measurement involves an invasive procedure, needs thorough sterilisation after each use and is inconvenient to use. It can be influenced by multiple factors and does not rapidly reflect core body temperature changes.²

The oral site is the most convenient and reliable site for intermittent temperature recordings.³ The oral site is supplied by branches of the external carotid artery. Oral temperature is influenced by many factors like smoking,⁴ salivation, consumption of cold or warm fluids, chewing gum or mastication,⁴ and fast breathing, oral disease.

Tympanic site is the most suitable and convenient for non-invasive core body temperature monitoring. The tympanic temperature readings correlate well with the core temperature and is rarely affected with ambient temperature. However, taking tympanic temperature is inconvenient and involves contact of the body with the device which and hence needs cleaning and sterilisation/new probes after each use.

The use of non-contact infrared thermometers (NCIT) has become more prominent over time because of the simplicity and convenience. NCIT for forehead temperature measurement have been very popular but lack accuracy. Non-contact measurements are generally taken over the forehead at 3-15 cm distance.⁵ Infrared skin thermometers are equivocal in their performance. Hence, they are not routinely used in clinical practice.⁵

In the search to determine a more practical method of temperature recording, an infrared auditory thermometer was studied to evaluate the temperature measurements at various sites in the oral cavity.⁶ The sublingual site was found to be the ideal site since the floor of mouth (underside of the tongue) represents core temperature very closely.⁶ Despite its merits, disposable tips had to be changed for each patient during the study and the study was conducted in healthy individuals, not in patients with fever.⁶ None of the existing methods mentioned fulfill all the requirements of an ideal thermometer for clinical use.

We innovated the method of measuring sublingual temperature using a forehead infrared thermometer and evaluated its utility in recording the sublingual temperature in a non-contact manner. We established its accuracy by comparing with a tympanic temperature measurement.

Methods

Setting

The study was conducted at a tertiary care centre at Kasturba Medical college, Mangalore (Manipal Academy of Higher Education), situated in the south Indian state of Karnataka. The study took place between 2019-2020.

Participants

Subjects were patients who were enrolled in an ongoing study for the evaluation of 24 hr continuous tympanic temperature in undifferentiated fever.⁷ Subjects were approached by the primary researcher and informed consent was obtained prior to enrollment into the study. No financial assistance was provided to the participants for enrollment into the study. Preliminary details of participants were obtained from medical records. All participants were subjected to detailed physical examination. Inclusion criteria included age 18-60 years, individuals with an intact tympanic membrane, individuals with normal oral cavity (no evidence of active inflammation/infection). Exclusion criteria were: Individuals who had any ear-related problems, subjects with neurological conditions and multiple clinical co-morbidities, pregnant women and subjects with any infective/inflammatory pathologies of oral cavity.

Ethical considerations

The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Kasturba Medical college, Mangalore. Ethics committee approval number: IEC KMC MLR 05/19/228) and all the subjects provided written informed consent (consent form provided as *Extended data*).

Procedure

From each patient, 10-12 randomly (with no diurnal preference) timed sublingual temperature recordings were obtained by the primary investigator over a period of 24 hours (waking hours only), using a non-contact thermometer (each recording requiring 5-10 seconds). Corresponding tympanic temperatures (automated minute per minute recording for a duration of 24 hours) were simultaneously recorded and noted with the help of TherCom device. Enrolled subjects were advised not to take food or water for 30 minutes and were requested to keep the mouth closed for five minutes before recording the temperature. The infrared thermometer was kept ready after switching it on and the subjects were asked to quickly open the mouth and lift the tongue exposing the sublingual part of the tongue and hold their breath. The infrared thermometer was quickly brought to 1 cm away from the sublingual part of the tongue and the recordings were then done immediately. The time of the temperature recording with the non contact thermometer was noted. The corresponding temperature recording with tympanic recording was accessed from the recorded data at the exact time, thus eliminating observer bias.

Thermometers

The sublingual non-contact temperature measurement was done by non-contact infrared digital thermometer (Accu DIGIT F1- BPL (India)). It has an accuracy of $\pm 0.3^{\circ}\text{C}$ and resolution of 0.1°C at a measuring distance of 1-5 cm. Its measuring range is from 34.9°C - 42.2°C . The operating environmental temperature should be between 10°C - 40°C . Tympanic temperature was measured by the T-clinic TherCom cartable device (Manufacturer: Innovatec Sensing and Communication, Alicante, Spain. Model No:SN 58021315) to obtain and store real time body temperature data.⁸ The device has an accuracy of $\pm 0.2^{\circ}\text{C}$ with sensor accuracy $\pm 0.1^{\circ}\text{C}$ at 37°C and $\pm 0.2^{\circ}\text{C}$ at 5°C to 45°C . It has temperature sensors, signal conditioner and amplifier for each channel, an analog to digital converter, a microcontroller, flash memory, and a Bluetooth module.^{8,9} The channel was connected by using tympanic thermistor probe, which senses the tympanic temperature and send to the T-clinic TherCom device to store the temperature readings. The complete specification of T-clinicTherCom and the temperature probe were described in previously published literature.^{8,9}

Fever definition

There is no universally accepted cut off temperature for defining fever.¹⁰ Cut offs of core temperature have varied between 37.5°C to 38°C in various studies.^{10,11} The Brighton Collaboration Fever Working Group has defined fever as temperature $>38^{\circ}\text{C}$.¹² We have followed this definition and tympanic temperature of $> 38^{\circ}\text{C}$ was categorized as fever in our study to calculate the sensitivity and specificity of sublingual measurement for the diagnosis fever.

Statistical analysis

Sample size was calculated for expected sensitivity and specificity of 95% each, 25% prevalence for fever, with a precision and confidence interval of 95% (95% CI). With additional 10% for drop outs/technical issues while recording, the required sample size was calculated to be 325 recordings. Data was analyzed with SPSS version 25. Mean and standard deviation (SD) were calculated for tympanic and sublingual readings. Scatter plots were generated to study the relation between these two variables. The correlation coefficient was calculated using Pearson's method. An intra-class correlation coefficient was calculated between tympanic and sublingual readings. Mean difference was calculated between these variables. Tympanic temperature of $>38^{\circ}\text{C}$ was defined as fever and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was analyzed for sublingual temperature for predicting fever and positive and negative predictive values, sensitivity and specificity was calculated. Agreement between the two methods for diagnosing fever was assessed by kappa (k) statistics.

Results

In total, 35 participants fulfilling the inclusion criteria were recruited for the study, yet six were excluded due to noncompliance towards tympanic probe placement (Figure 1). A total of 328 paired recordings from 29 patients were collected.¹³ The mean age of the subjects was 32.8 ± 11.57 yrs. Medical diagnoses were: dengue fever (22), malaria (4), leptospirosis (1), enteric fever (1), pulmonary tuberculosis (1). There was a high degree of correlation between sublingual infrared (IR) and tympanic temperature; (correlation coefficient $r = 0.860$, $p < 0.001$). However, correlation at higher temperatures was poor and sublingual temperature was about 1.5°C less than the tympanic temperature at extreme body temperatures (Figure 2). Mean difference between infrared sublingual and tympanic temperature was 0.21°C (SD 0.48°C , 95% CI 0.16°C - 0.27°C) (Table 1).

Figure 1. Summary of the study.

Figure 2. Correlation between tympanic and sublingual temperature (°C) recordings.

Table 1. Basic statistical values of tympanic and sublingual infrared temperature recordings.

Parameters	Tympanic Temperature	Sublingual Infrared Temperature
Mean (°C)	37.54	37.33
SD	0.93	0.71
Minimum (°C)	35.19	35.2
Maximum (°C)	40.36	39.10

SD = standard deviation.

Table 2. Contingency table for evaluating diagnostic accuracy of sublingual infrared recordings.

		Tympanic recording	
		Fever is present	Fever is absent
Sublingual IR recording	Fever is present	75	27
	Fever is absent	8	218

Diagonal segments are produced by ties.

Figure 3. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for sublingual infrared temperature recordings. AUC = area under curve; CI = confidence interval.

Figure 4. Agreement analysis.

The intra-class correlation co-efficient (ICC) between core and sublingual temperatures was 0.830°C (95% CI: 0.794 to 0.861) $p < 0.001$. Fever was defined as $>38^{\circ}\text{C}$. In total, 83 recordings (21.84%) had temperature $> 38^{\circ}\text{C}$ (Table 2). Sublingual infrared (IR) temperature had area under the curve (AUC) of 0.96 (95% CI 0.93 to 0.98) $p < 0.001$ for Core temperature of 38°C (Figure 3). Sensitivity of sublingual IR temperature of 37.65°C was 90%, specificity was 89%, positive predictive value was 73.5% and negative predictive value was 96.5% (Figure 1). The Kappa coefficient which is a measure of agreement was 0.738, $p < 0.001$, suggesting a good agreement between sublingual IR and tympanic temperature for diagnosing fever (Temperature $> 38^{\circ}\text{C}$) (Figure 4).

Discussion

We took a sublingual infrared non-contact thermometer recording by keeping the thermometer about 1 cm from the upturned undersurface of the tongue and compared the reading with tympanic temperature which represents core temperature. Subjects with fever and a variety of underlying clinical condition were included. We observed that there was a statistically significant degree of correlation between the non-contact sublingual infrared recording and the core temperature. There was very good agreement for diagnosing fever (temperature $>38^{\circ}\text{C}$) between the two methods with sensitivity and specificity of about 90%.

In our study, mean difference was 0.21°C (95% CI 0.16-0.27). 95% limit of agreement (LOA) was -0.73 to 1.15 . This value is consistent with other studies reporting temperature differences with various devices/sites. 95% LOA for mercury thermometers for rectal temperature is -0.44°C to 1.27°C and for electronic devices for rectal temperatures -0.46°C to 1.34°C . The inherent biological variations also contribute to the variations. The difference between oral temperature and criterion temperature has been found to be $-0.50^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 0.31^{\circ}\text{C}$ in steady state but increased to 1.5°C at temperatures above 39°C .¹⁴ Analysis of the LOA plot in our study also revealed that the difference increases above 39°C . Sublingual temperature lags behind the tympanic temperature by an average of 0.21°C . The difference widens at very high body temperatures as shown in the scatter plot (Figure 2). Similar observations were noted in previous studies also. Oral temperature was compared with rectal temperatures and the oral temperature lagged behind the rectal temperature by about 1.5°C at temperatures of $>39^{\circ}\text{C}$ ¹⁴ which is similar to our findings.

Sublingual temperatures closely reflect the core temperature, but current methods use contact devices which require sterilisation or change of probe after each use. Using our modification, it is possible to accurately record sublingual temperature quickly without the need to sterilise the equipment. Mass screening can be done quickly and accurately. It may be noted that mass screening with an infrared forehead thermometer is not accurate though convenient.⁹ This will also result in significant saving of nursing time since the present method of recording takes about 5 minutes, 4-6 times per day for each patient which includes the recording time and the time taken for cleaning the device. In addition, sublingual infrared thermometers are cost effective, easily accessible and can be self-utilised even in a home-based setting. The simpler technique provides an extra advantage that even general population could be easily trained regarding recording methods.

Scientific studies have documented close correlation between sublingual and tympanic temperatures. In one study, sublingual temperature was measured by mercury thermometer and compared with tympanic temperature.¹⁵ The mean difference between the two measurements was 0.09 °C (95% CI 0.07-0.12). The clinically acceptable difference for oral temperature compared to reference standard is 0.27°C (0.5° F).¹⁴ The difference in our study was 0.21°C, which is well within the clinically acceptable difference.

The non-contact infrared device used in our study is approved for measuring forehead temperature at a distance of 1-5 cm. We used the same device to record the temperature at the sublingual site at a distance of about 1 cm. It is possible to measure from a longer distance if distance to spot ratio is enhanced. If the distance to spot ratio is 12:1, which is possible with many of the routinely used infrared thermometers, readings can be taken from a distance of 1 foot (12 inches) to sample an area of 1 inch diameter of the sublingual area. This will allow the examiner to maintain a safe distance from the patient to avoid droplet infections. A guiding laser beam can be incorporated in the device to accurately select the sublingual area. This will improve the efficacy of mass screening of fever patients which is extremely important in the setting of highly contagious infective diseases. The entire procedure can be incorporated in mobile devices which will allow the mobile devices to work as accurate thermometers.

The main strength of our study is the fact that the measurements were done in varied clinical conditions and in hospital settings. Studies done in healthy volunteers or in controlled laboratory conditions may not be true reflections of their performance in actual clinical scenarios. Moreover, the measurements were correlated with tympanic temperature which is a close measure of core temperature.¹⁶

A limitation of our study is the relatively small number of subjects. The study needs to be repeated in a larger number of patients and multiple users to establish its validity. This method may not be accurate in emergency departments and critical care settings since a wide variation of tympanic and oral temperatures have been observed in these settings, probably due to the circulatory compromise.¹⁷

Our method satisfies all the requirements of an ideal thermometer defined by Sollali *et al.*¹ It has high accuracy for core temperature, it is easy and comfortable to use since it is non-contact, it is rapid since it gives instantaneous readings, does not cause cross infection since it is non-contact and non-invasive, it is not influenced by ambient temperature and is cost effective since initial cost is low and there are no recurring expenses except for the battery.

In conclusion, innovative modification of using the forehead infrared thermometer to measure the sublingual temperature offers an accurate, rapid and non-contact estimation of core temperature.

Conclusion

The novel modified use of an infrared non-contact thermometer could be better method to record the sublingual temperature of patients quickly and accurately. Implementation of this method could increase the working efficiency of the nursing staff in general patient wards, which is cost effective and there is no need to sterilise the device between patients.

In addition, sublingual temperature recording using an infrared non-contact thermometer could be implemented in mass screening of people in airports, railway stations etc., during global pandemic situations like coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and Ebola virus disease for example. Because the sublingual temperature closely reflects the core body temperature and could be more accurate and convenient without any influence of ambient temperature. This novel modified method could improve the efficacy and accuracy of body temperature recording.

Data availability

Underlying data

Zenodo: A novel method for measuring sublingual temperature using conventional non-contact forehead thermometer.
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5773910>.¹³

This dataset contains the following underlying data:

- Data file 1.xlsx

Extended data

Zenodo: A novel method for measuring sublingual temperature using conventional non-contact forehead thermometer.
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5773910>.¹³

This dataset contains the following extended data:

- Consent.docx (information sheet and consent form)

Data are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](#) (CC-BY 4.0).

References

- Sollai S, Dani C, Berti E, *et al.*: **Performance of a non-contact infrared thermometer in healthy newborns.** *BMJ Open*. 2016; **6**(3): e008695–e008698.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Sund-Levander M, Forsberg C, Wahren LK: **Normal oral, rectal, tympanic and axillary body temperature in adult men and women: a systematic literature review.** *Scand. J. Caring Sci*. 2002 Jun; **16**(2): 122–128.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kelly G: **Body temperature variability (Part 1): a review of the history of body temperature and its variability due to site selection, biological rhythms, fitness, and aging.** *Altern. Med. Rev*. 2006 Dec; **11**(4): 278–293.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Rabinowitz RP, Cookson ST, Wasserman SS, *et al.*: **Effects of anatomic site, oral stimulation, and body position on estimates of body temperature.** *Arch. Intern. Med*. 1996 Apr; **156**(7): 777–780.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health: **Non-Contact Thermometers for Detecting Fever: A Review of Clinical Effectiveness.** *CADTH Rapid Response Reports*. 2014; (November): 38.
- Villaescusa MJM, Pérez AS, Fenoll AB: **Reliability of an infrared auditory thermometer in the measurement of oral temperature.** *Med. Oral Patol. Oral Cir. Bucal*. 2008; **13**(6): 385–389.
- Cuesta-Frau D, Dakappa PH, Mahabala C, *et al.*: **Fever Time Series Analysis Using Slope Entropy. Application to Early Unobtrusive Differential Diagnosis.** *Entropy (Basel)*. 2020 Sep 15; **22**(9): 1034.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Cuesta-Frau D, Varela M, Aboy M, *et al.*: **Description of a portable wireless device for high-frequency body temperature acquisition and analysis.** *Sensors (Basel)*. 2009; **9**(10): 7648–7663.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Dakappa PH, Bhat GK, Bolumbu G, *et al.*: **Comparison of conventional mercury thermometer and continuous thermometer temperature recording in hospitalized patients.** *J. Clin. Diagn. Res*. 2016; **10**(9): OC43–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Oyakhrome S, Profanter K, Kremsner PG: **Short report: Assessment of fever in African children: Implication for malaria trials.** *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2010; **82**(2): 215–218.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Bitar D, Goubar A, Desenclos JC: **International travels and fever screening during epidemics: a literature review on the effectiveness and potential use of non-contact infrared thermometers.** *Euro. Surveill*. 2009 Feb 12; **14**(6): 1–5.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Marcy SM, Kohl KS, Dagan R, *et al.*: **Fever as an adverse event following immunization: case definition and guidelines of data collection, analysis, and presentation.** *Vaccine*. 2004 Jan 26; **22**(5–6): 551–556.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Gupta AR: **A novel method for measuring sublingual temperature using conventional non-contact forehead thermometer [Data set].** *Zenodo*. 2021.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Mazerolle SM, Ganio MS, Casa DJ, *et al.*: **Is oral temperature an accurate measurement of deep body temperature? A systematic review.** *J. Athl. Train*. 2011; **46**: 566–573.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Chue AL, Moore RL, Cavey A, *et al.*: **Comparability of tympanic and oral mercury thermometers at high ambient temperatures.** *BMC. Res. Notes*. 2012 Dec 16; **5**(1): 356.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Smitz S, Van de Winckel A, Smitz M-F: **Reliability of infrared ear thermometry in the of rectal temperature in older inpatients.** *J. Clin. Nurs*. 2009 Feb; **18**(3): 451–456.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Barnett BJ, Nunberg S, Tai J, *et al.*: **Oral and tympanic membrane temperatures are inaccurate to identify fever in emergency department adults.** *West. J. Emerg. Med*. 2011; **12**(4): 505–511.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 2

Reviewer Report 22 August 2022

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.121078.r144075>

© 2022 Tedla J. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Jaya Shanker Tedla

Department of Medical Rehabilitation Sciences, College of Applied Medical Sciences, King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia

The study was a great idea using the forehead non-contact thermometer for measuring the sublingual temperature is innovative in the current times of COVID-19. The authors have a clear idea of what they were doing. The study design was clear, cross-sectional, and suitable for the research question. Study methods were optimal for their findings, and statistical analysis by using the ICC was ideal.

I feel in your study; you were establishing concurrent validity (which is defined as " **Concurrent validity**. Concurrent validity indicates the amount of agreement between two different assessments. Generally, one assessment is new while the other is well established and has already been proven to be valid.") But it was not mentioned anywhere, and if I am correct, you can include this in the objectives at the end of the introduction.

The remaining methodology, results, discussion and conclusion were excellently written by the authors.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Disability and Rehabilitation

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 24 Aug 2022

ARJUN R GUPTA, Kasturba Medical College, Mangalore, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, India

Thank you for your valuable suggestion sir.

We have tried to implement your suggestion regarding our article.

We have made following suitable changes in the article.

Reviewer Comments; followed by the response (in bold) by Authors:

I feel in your study; you were establishing concurrent validity (which is defined as "Concurrent validity. Concurrent validity indicates the amount of agreement between two different assessments. Generally, one assessment is new while the other is well established and has already been proven to be valid.") But it was not mentioned anywhere, and if I am correct, you can include this in the objectives at the end of the introduction.

We established concurrent validity of sublingual temperature using a non-contact thermometer by comparing it with tympanic temperature measurement which is an established and standard method of recording the core temperature.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 28 February 2022

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.121078.r124311>

© 2022 Valliyot B. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Balakrishnan Valliyot

Department of General Medicine, Academy of Medical Sciences, Kannur, India

Sir,

Thank you for sending the revised articles. I have carefully reviewed the modification and corrections made by the authors and the authors made necessary modifications and revised the article thoroughly to the satisfaction of the reviewer. This modified article can be accepted for publication.

Prof. Dr. Balakrishnan Valliyot

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Internal medicine, Non-communicable diseases, Clinical medicine, Type 2 diabetes

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 11 January 2022

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.78677.r118955>

© 2022 Valliyot B. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Balakrishnan Valliyot

Department of General Medicine, Academy of Medical Sciences, Kannur, India

The research topic is innovative since human body temperature is conventionally measured using a mercury thermometer placed under the sublingual area. This method has several demerits and using a non-contact digital thermometer will overcome some of these demerits. This will offer more accuracy, more hygienic practices, and avoidance of mercury. Hence, this method has future potential in clinical medicine. But the following points need more clarifications:

1. The study mentioned that monitoring time is 24 hours, but how much time is required for a single recording needs more clarification.
2. Whether this can be recorded by patients or needs more technical support from staff and whether it will be cost-effective if a more sophisticated device is needed for interpretation (note ref 12, 13).
3. The introduction part needs thorough modifications and language editing since certain paragraphs contain statistical comparisons and nearly ten references of other studies were quoted in the introduction. The introduction section needs rewriting.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Internal medicine, Non-communicable diseases, Clinical medicine, Type 2 diabetes

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 16 Feb 2022

ARJUN R GUPTA, Kasturba Medical College, Mangalore, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, India

Thank you for your valuable suggestions sir. We are humbled by your positive views on our article. We have also taken your queries into consideration sir. We have tried to address all of your concerns regarding our article.

We have made following suitable changes. Reviewer Comments; followed by the response (in bold) by Authors:

- The study mentioned that monitoring time is 24 hours, but how much time is required for a single recording needs more clarification.

Each sublingual recording required about 5-10 seconds to complete. Corresponding core temperature recording was an automated process via Thercom device (automatic updating of tympanic temperature happens every minute for 24 hours, and was visible in the device screen).

- Whether this can be recorded by patients or needs more technical support from staff and whether it will be cost-effective if a more sophisticated device is needed for interpretation (note ref 12, 13).

The recording can be easily done by patient or any other personnel, given he/she is been provided with basic instructions before recording.

- The introduction part needs thorough modifications and language editing since certain paragraphs contain statistical comparisons and nearly ten references of other studies were quoted in the introduction. The introduction section needs rewriting.

The introduction part has been modified as suggested.

We intend to hear back from you soon sir. Kindly provide your valuable suggestions if any, for further betterment of our article.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research