Check for updates

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Estimated number of deaths directly avoided because of COVID-19 vaccination among older adults in Colombia in 2021: an ecological, longitudinal observational study [version 1; peer review: 1 approved with reservations]

Maylen Liseth Rojas-Botero¹, Julián Alfredo Fernández-Niño^{1,2},
Leonardo Arregocés-Castillo¹, Fernando Ruiz-Gómez¹

¹Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social, Bogota, Bogota, 110311, Colombia

²Universidad del Norte, Barranquilla, Colombia

V1 First published: 16 Feb 2022, 11:198
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.109331.1>
 Second version: 19 May 2022, 11:198
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.109331.2>
 Latest published: 07 Jul 2022, 11:198
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.109331.3>

Abstract

Background: Colombia's national COVID-19 vaccination plan began in February of 2021. It gave priority to older adults, who constituted 77.7% of deaths from this illness in the year 2020. The main goal of the plan is to decrease specific mortality and the number of serious COVID-19 cases, however, the number of deaths avoided by this strategy is unknown. The objective of this study was to estimate the number of avoided deaths in Colombia by fully vaccinating older adults against COVID-19, during the first year of the implementation of the national vaccination plan.

Methods: This study took on the design of an ecological, longitudinal study. Full vaccination coverage for older adults was calculated for each epidemiological week and age group from March to December 2021, based on which the number of avoided COVID-19 deaths was estimated. A sensitivity analysis was performed taking into account variations in the vaccines' effectiveness by age group.

Results: In Colombia, over 5.3 million adults 60 years of age and older received full COVID-19 vaccinations between March and December 2021. During that same period, nearly 46,000 deaths of older adults from this cause were registered. We estimated that vaccination has avoided around 22,000 more older adults from dying from COVID-19 in Colombia, that is, 32.4% of expected deaths in 2021. According to the sensitivity analysis, the number of lives saved ranged from 19,597 to 36,507.

Conclusions: Colombia's strategy to vaccinate older adults against COVID-19 has avoided mortality for this age group from being 48.0%

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 3		
(revision)		
07 Jul 2022		
version 2		
(revision)
19 May 2022	view	view

version 1
16 Feb 2022	view	

1. **B. Piedad Urdinola**, Universidad Nacional de Colombia-Bogotá, Bogotá, Colombia
2. **Jenny Garcia** , Université Paris 1 Panthéon Sorbonne, Paris, France

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

higher than what was observed during the study period. Even more lives have been saved when taking into account the parameters that were defined and the omission of the contribution from partial vaccinations.

Keywords

SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, COVID-19 Vaccines, Aged, Mortality, Colombia.

This article is included in the **Emerging Diseases and Outbreaks** gateway.

This article is included in the **Sociology of Health** gateway.

This article is included in the **Dignity in Aging** collection.

Corresponding author: Julián Alfredo Fernández-Niño (jfernandezn@minsalud.gov.co)

Author roles: **Rojas-Botero ML:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Fernández-Niño JA:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Arregocés-Castillo L:** Methodology, Project Administration, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Ruiz-Gómez F:** Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation

Competing interests: All the authors worked at the Ministry of Health and Social Protection. FRG is the Colombian Minister of Health. Authors do not have other conflicts of interest to declare.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2022 Rojas-Botero ML *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Rojas-Botero ML, Fernández-Niño JA, Arregocés-Castillo L and Ruiz-Gómez F. **Estimated number of deaths directly avoided because of COVID-19 vaccination among older adults in Colombia in 2021: an ecological, longitudinal observational study [version 1; peer review: 1 approved with reservations]** F1000Research 2022, 11:198 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.109331.1>

First published: 16 Feb 2022, 11:198 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.109331.1>

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has caused some of the worst social, economic and health crises in recent history, which poses unprecedented challenges for public health worldwide.¹ The number of people affected by the SARS-CoV-2 virus increased rapidly, with 297.4 million cases having been registered globally as of December 2021, and at least 5.4 million deaths.² In the case of Colombia, 5.1 million cases and roughly 130,000 deaths were reported during this same period.³

While this illness can occur at any age, it has been found that older adults have an increased risk of negative outcomes from COVID-19, such as serious illness, hospitalization and death.^{4,5} In fact, 77.7% of the deaths registered in Colombia during the year 2020 were adults 60 years of age and older.³ Given the recognition of the greater load of serious morbidity and death, it has been recommended to prioritize older adults when implementing prevention measures.⁶

Thanks to global efforts and academic, industry and government groups, successful vaccines have been developed at an unprecedented rate. Given these advances, current vaccinations, along with non-pharmacological measures, have become the best strategy for sustainably controlling the COVID-19 pandemic.⁷

Colombia's national COVID-19 vaccination plan was implemented on February 17, 2021.⁸ Since it was first proposed, the Ministry of Health prioritized older adults, beginning with those 80 years of age and older, and making access to the vaccines progressively available based on higher risks of complication and death caused by COVID-19.⁸

The first full vaccinations of older adults in Colombia were registered as of the second week of March, 2021 (epidemiological week 10) after the first vaccines to this age group were administered by the end of February, 2021.⁹ As part of the evaluation, Esperanza cohort, a population-based-study estimated the effectiveness of the COVID-19 vaccines in older adults according to laboratory and age group.¹⁰ Nevertheless, the number of deaths that were avoided among older adults in Colombia was still unknown at the end of the year.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to estimate the number of deaths that were avoided by fully vaccinating the population of adults 60 years of age and older in Colombia in 2021, between epidemiological weeks 10 and 52.

Methods

Study design and population

An observational, ecological, population-based longitudinal study was performed. The unit of analysis corresponded to the country during the time between epidemiological weeks 10 and 52, in the year 2021. This analysis only considers the coverage of complete vaccination schedule (we excluded those partially vaccinated) among all older adults (aged 60 years and over) residing in Colombia in 2021. No other exclusion criteria were applied.

This analysis included information from 5340863 older adults registered as fully vaccinated in Colombia during 2021. Given the lags in reporting to information systems, the actual number of vaccinated would be higher.

Information sources

This study used the weekly number of full COVID-19 vaccinations for adults ages 60 to 110 years old, according to age group. These data were managed by the PAIWEB information system of the Ministry of Health and Social Protection (MinSalud in Spanish). PAIWEB records the application of the doses since the beginning of the distribution of the vaccines against COVID-19 in Colombia. The study also used the number of weekly COVID-19 deaths according to simple ages, made available by the Integrated Social Protection Information System (SISPRO) and it is publicly available through the Colombian government's [open data page](#). In addition, population projections for the year 2021 were used, available through DANE,¹¹ as was the vaccines' effectiveness in preventing deaths by age group, as reported for Colombia.¹⁰

Data analysis

The results are presented with texts and figures. The analysis was performed with Excel® (Microsoft corporation, 2019) (RRID:SCR_016137) and STATA® 16.1 for Mac (StataCorp. 2019) (RRID:SCR_012763).

Statistical analysis

First, the evolution of full vaccination coverage among older adults was presented by age groups: 60-69, 70-79 and 80 and older. Coverage was calculated using the population projection at the midpoint of the period as the denominator, for each age group. Full vaccination was defined as one dose of Ad26.COV2.S (Johnson & Johnson) or two doses of BNT162b2 (Pfizer), ChAdOx1 (AstraZeneca) nCoV-19, CoronaVac (Sinovac) or mRNA-1273 (Moderna).¹²

The number of COVID-19 deaths that were avoided for fully vaccinated adults 60 years and older was then estimated for epidemiological weeks 10 through 52 of 2021 using the methodology proposed by Machado *et al.*¹³ The number of avoided deaths was estimated as follows:

$$D_a = \sum_{ij} \left[D_{oij} \times \frac{FV_{i-2,j} \times VE_j}{1 - (FV_{i-2,j} \times VE_j)} \right]$$

where D_a corresponds to the avoided deaths, D_{oij} corresponds to the observed deaths during week i , age group j , FV_{i-2} corresponds to full vaccine coverage two weeks before week i for age group j , and VE_j corresponds to the vaccines' effectiveness for preventing COVID-19 deaths for age group j .

As mentioned previously, the national vaccination plan was implemented on February 17, 2021.¹⁴ Therefore, the first full vaccinations were observed beginning on March 7.⁹ Thus, i ranges between epidemiological weeks 10 and 52 of the year 2021.

In addition, the time it takes for the vaccines to generate immune protection was considered, in this case, approximately 14 days. Thus, week $i - 2$ corresponds to the lag time between vaccination coverage and the clinically relevant time for vaccine protection.

The effectiveness of the vaccines in preventing death was based on the Esperanza cohort study,¹⁰ which reported 87.6% for adults ages 60 to 69 years old, 78.9% for those ages 70 to 79 years, and 61.2% for adults 80 years of age and older. These effectiveness rates are lower than those found for preventing death after hospitalization¹⁰ and were selected in order to obtain conservative estimates of the number of avoided deaths.

After estimating the avoided deaths with the strategy, the number of expected deaths D_{exp} was calculated for an unvaccinated scenario, as follows¹³:

$$D_{exp} = D_o + D_a$$

This information was used to graph expected versus observed mortality rates per 100,000 using, as the denominator, the population projection as of the midpoint of the period for each age group. In addition, the preventable fraction was calculated as the proportion of the number of deaths observed with respect to expected deaths.

Following on from Machado's *et al. study*, the number needed to be vaccinated (NNV) to avoid a death was estimated as¹³:

$$NNV_j = \frac{1}{VE_j \times \frac{D_{oj} + D_{aj}}{\text{Population}_j}}$$

Sensitivity analysis

The analysis was replicated from the Esperanza cohort's report⁹ of the lowest and highest effectiveness rates for preventing death without prior hospitalization, for each age group. The age groups were based on those defined originally in the Esperanza cohort.⁹ The number of avoided deaths was calculated for an effectiveness of 82.5% and 95.0% for the 60–69-year-old group, an effectiveness of 70.7% and 95.7% for the 70–79-year-old group, and 59.1% and 83.4% for the 80 years and older age group.¹⁰

Ethical considerations

This investigation meets the scientific, technical, administrative, and ethical considerations stipulated by existing regulations for research with human beings in Colombia. In accordance with 1993 resolution 8430, this investigation is classified as no risk given its exclusive use of aggregated and secondary information sources. None of the study researchers accessed the databases with the original personal identifiers, and only the anonymized databases. All information handling standards were followed. Due to these characteristics, this study did not require review or approval by a research ethics committee.

The Ministry of Health and Social Protection is governed by national legislation on information management, habeas data laws, and institutional manuals of good practices. All information sources are directly managed by the Ministry, and the

bases are anonymized, joined, and consolidated by an independent technician, through the generation of their own encrypted key code that allows the sources to be linked without using the original personal citizen identification. In this way it is not possible for researchers or external agents to recover the original identity numbers or personal data.

Results

Between March and December of 2021 (epidemiological weeks 10 through 52), over 5.3 million adults 60 years and older in Colombia received full COVID-19 vaccinations. As the vaccination strategy progressed (Figure 1) 3,45,983 COVID-19 deaths were recorded for this population, for a specific mortality rate of 646.9 per 100,000 for that epidemiological period¹⁶ (Table 1).

As seen in Figure 1, the population 80 years and older was vaccinated more quickly, with over 70% full vaccination coverage for this age group by epidemiological week 19 (May 9-15, 2021). In contrast, adults 60 to 69 years old reached that level of coverage on epidemiological week 41 (October 10-16, 2021).²⁰

The weekly number of avoided deaths by full vaccination of adults 60 years and older was estimated. Using effectiveness indicators for each age group, it was estimated that 32.4% of the total expected deaths of adults 60 years of age and older was avoided by full vaccinations during the study period (a total of 22,078 lives saved), ranging from 21.7% of deaths avoided in the population 60 to 69 years old to 40.6% in those 80 years and older (Table 1).

The largest preventable fraction was found among adults 80 years of age and older. In a scenario without COVID-19 vaccinations, the expected mortality rate would be 2,254.7 deaths from COVID-19 per 100,000 inhabitants for this age group during the observation period. Nevertheless, the observed rate was 40.6% lower, with 1,338.3 deaths per 100,000. As shown in Figure 2, the number of observed deaths is lower than the number of expected deaths as of epidemiological week 17.

Figure 1. Evolution of full COVID-19 vaccination coverage for older adults. Colombia. Epidemiological weeks 10 to 52, of 2021.

Table 1. COVID-19 avoided deaths by being fully vaccinated for adults 60 years of age and older. Colombia. Epidemiological weeks 10 to 52 of 2021.

Age group	Effectiveness of full vaccinations	Vaccination coverage (%)	Deaths observed	Avoided deaths	Observed mortality rate per 100,000	Specific expected mortality per 100,000	Preventable fraction (%)
60-69	87.6	71.5	16,503	4,570	584.2	745.9	21.7
70-79	78.9	77.2	15,431	7,889	733.1	1,107.9	33.8
80+	61.2	84.8	14,049	9,619	1,338.3	2,254.7	40.6
60 +	--	--	45,983	22,078	646.9	957.5	32.4

Figure 2. Accumulated COVID-19 mortality rates expected vs observed. Avoided deaths for adults 60 years of age and older as of vaccination. Colombia, 2021.

Lastly, the number needed to be vaccinated to prevent a death was estimated at 166 for the 60- to 69-year-old group, 136 for those between 70 to 79 years old and 77 for adults 80 years of age and older.

Discussion

The results of this preliminary analysis suggest that Colombia's national COVID-19 vaccination plan has avoided at least 22,000 deaths of older adults between epidemiological weeks 10 and 52 in its first year of implementation.

Colombia designed a plan based on risk prioritization criteria, in which the first two stages included adults 60 years of age and older, beginning with those who were at least 80 years old—along with health personnel.⁸ The strategy that was defined made it possible to attain a high vaccination coverage more quickly for this age group than for younger people. As a result of this decision, which was based on ethical and epidemiological principles,⁷ a greater number of lives could be saved given that older adults constitute the group with the greatest mortality load.¹¹ Protecting them first optimized the benefits that immunization provides for specific mortality. In addition, this initial prioritization led the way for this group to be the first to receive the booster dose.

Of the 22,078 deaths that were prevented among adults 60 years of age and older, 43.6% were avoided among those who were 80 years old and over. Similar results were reported by Meslé *et al.*¹² who found that adults 80 years and older constituted 57.1% of the deaths that were avoided in 30 countries in Europe due to partial and full COVID-19 vaccinations. These findings affirm the importance of prioritizing older adults when implementing prevention measures.

In the same study,¹² the researchers found that between December 2020 and November 2021, COVID-19 vaccinations prevented 469,186 deaths of older adults (51.5% of expected deaths) in 33 countries in Europe, with preventable fractions ranging from 5.6% in Ukraine to as much as 92.9% in Iceland. These results are related with the speed with which the countries achieved high vaccination coverage for adults 60 years of age and older. Specifically, in Moldova, Romania and Ukraine, where full coverage was under 60.0%, the highest preventable fraction was 19.9%, whereas countries that

quickly achieved full coverage for over 95.0% of their population (Iceland and England) prevented at least 85.8% of expected deaths.¹²

While the number of deaths that were found to have been prevented in Europe is greater than that reported for Colombia, this comparison should be made cautiously. There are several reasons the studies may not be directly comparable for example, that study used effectiveness measures that were higher than what has been previously reported for older adults (60.0% for partial and 95.0% for full vaccinations). The estimates used in the present work are more precise since they take into account differences in the effectiveness of the vaccines by age group, although partial vaccinations are not included. If an estimate of 95.0% were to be used for protection from death with full vaccinations—such as in the study mentioned—then the number of avoided deaths in Colombia would double, reaching 46,214 lives saved.

This research offers a conservative measurement of the number of avoided deaths by vaccinating older adults in Colombia, given that it only included lives saved by full vaccinations and it used the lowest available effectiveness measures for each age group, which corresponded to the deaths without prior hospitalization that were reported in the Esperanza cohort.⁹ In addition, the effectiveness of boosters was not considered, nor was a significant proportion of older adults who had hybrid immunity, whose protection would be greater.¹³ Thus, the number of avoided deaths with the implementation of the national vaccination plan could be much higher than the estimates reported herein.

The limitations of this study include those that are inherent to the use of secondary information sources, such as the lag between registering events such as vaccinations and deaths. In addition, since this is an ecological analysis, the results could vary when considering individual variables with which counterfactual scenarios are projected.¹⁴ In addition, in Colombia, the coverage with boosters only began at the end of November, so its effects on the prevention of deaths could not be estimated in this first analysis.

Nevertheless, this approach enables comparisons with previous analyses of other countries, and constitutes one of the components in the comprehensive evaluation of the national vaccination plan, which has as one of its main objectives as the reduction of specific mortality.¹⁵ Another strength of this work is the use of vaccine effectiveness measures estimated in real-life conditions for Colombia's older adult population for each of the age groups,⁹ thereby providing results that are closer to the specific situation of the country.

Future studies could evaluate the number of avoided deaths for the entire population or for special groups, such as children, across the different stages of implementing the national vaccination plan, which is continuing into the year 2022. The scientific and academic communities are encouraged to use other approaches to evaluate and compare the consistency of the conclusions. Lastly, this work serves as input for continuing to promote vaccinations as one of the key strategies for overcoming the COVID-19 pandemic, especially in the older adult population.

Data availability

Source data

All the original data used to build the final dataset of this study are of public access and can be downloaded from the official websites. The links to access them are shown below:

The dataset with all the COVID-19 confirmed cases and deaths in Colombia at an individual level is public available at the following link: https://www.datos.gov.co/widgets/gt2j-8ykr?mobile_redirect=true.

This database has individual and anonymous information on each confirmed case of COVID-19 in Colombia. For each case, the date of onset of symptoms, the date of report, sex, age, and final clinical status (dead or alive) are presented.

Board that allows consultation of vaccination coverage for COVID-19 by epidemiological week, municipality and age group in Colombia.

Extended data

Figshare: Dataset of Study: Estimated number of deaths directly avoided because of COVID-19 vaccination among older adults in Colombia. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.19122530>²⁰

This project contains the following extended data:

- Dataset Avoided Deaths (aggregated data used for final analysis)

The authors have permission to publish data from the databases used or if the data were under open licenses that allowed republication.

Data are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](#) (CC-BY 4.0).

References

1. The Lancet: **COVID-19 in Latin America: a humanitarian crisis.** *Lancet.* 2020; **396**: 1463.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Johns Hopkins University & Medicine: *Coronavirus Resource Center. Global Map.* Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center; 2021;
[Reference Source](#)
3. Instituto Nacional de Salud (INS): **Coronavirus Colombia.** 2021 [cited 2021 Jun 18].
[Reference Source](#)
4. Liu Y, Mao B, Liang S, *et al.*: **Association between ages and clinical characteristics and outcomes of coronavirus disease 2019.** *Eur. Respir. J.* 2020 Apr 20; **55**(5): 2001112.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
5. Bonanad C, García-Blas S, Tarazona-Santabalbina F, *et al.*: **The Effect of Age on Mortality in Patients With COVID-19: A Meta-Analysis With 611,583 Subjects.** *J. Am. Med. Dir. Assoc.* 2020 Jul; **21**(7): 915–918.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
6. OMS: *WHO Sage roadmap for prioritizing uses of COVID-19 vaccines in the context of limited supply: An approach to inform planning and subsequent recommendations based upon epidemiologic setting and vaccine supply scenarios. Version 1.1.* OMS; 2020 [cited 2020 Dec 16].
[Reference Source](#)
7. Omer SB, Benjamin RM, Brewer NT, *et al.*: **Promoting COVID-19 vaccine acceptance: recommendations from the Lancet Commission on Vaccine Refusal, Acceptance, and Demand in the USA.** *Lancet.* 2021 Dec; **398**(10317): 2186–2192.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
8. Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social: *Plan Nacional de Vacunación contra el COVID-19. Documento técnico, v2.*: MinSalud; 2021 [cited 2022 Jan 6].
[Reference Source](#)
9. Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social: *Resolución 195.* Minsalud; 2021 [cited 2022 Jan 6].
[Reference Source](#)
10. Arregoces Castillo L, Fernández-Niño JA, Rojas-Botero ML, *et al.*: **Effectiveness of COVID-19 Vaccines in Older Adults in Colombia: First Report of the Esperanza Cohort. A Matched-Pair, National Study.** *SSRN Journal.* 2021 [cited 2022 Jan 6].
[Publisher Full Text](#) | [Reference Source](#)
11. DANE: *Proyecciones de población a nivel departamental. Periodo 2018-2050.* DANE; 2020.
12. Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social: *Resolución 1151.* Minsalud; 2021 [cited 2022 Jan 6].
[Reference Source](#)
13. Machado A, Mazagatos C, Dijkstra F, *et al.*: **Impact of influenza vaccination programmes among the elderly population on primary care, Portugal, Spain and the Netherlands: 2015/16 to 2017/18 influenza seasons.** *Eurosurveillance.* 2019 Nov 7 [cited 2022 Jan 6]; **24**(45).
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
14. Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social: *Resolución 168.* Minsalud; 2021 [cited 2022 Jan 6].
[Reference Source](#)
15. Yanez ND, Weiss NS, Romand J-A, *et al.*: **COVID-19 mortality risk for older men and women.** *BMC Public Health.* 2020 Dec; **20**(1): 1742.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
16. Meslé MM, Brown J, Mook P, *et al.*: **Estimated number of deaths directly averted in people 60 years and older as a result of COVID-19 vaccination in the WHO European Region, December 2020 to November 2021.** *Eurosurveillance.* 2021 Nov 25 [cited 2022 Jan 6]; **26**(47).
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
17. Keeton R, Richardson SI, Moyo-Gwete T, *et al.*: **Prior infection with SARS-CoV-2 boosts and broadens Ad26.COV2.S immunogenicity in a variant-dependent manner.** *Cell Host Microbe.* 2021 Nov; **29**(11): 1611–1619.e5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
18. VanderWeele TJ: **Invited Commentary: Counterfactuals in Social Epidemiology—Thinking Outside of “the Box.”** *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 2020 Mar 2; **189**(3): 175–178.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
19. MinSalud: *Decreto 109 de 2021. Por el cual se adopta el Plan Nacional de Vacunación contra el COVID-19 y se dictan otras disposiciones.* Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social; 2021 [cited 2021 Jul 13];
[Reference Source](#)
20. Fernández-Niño JA, Botero R, Liseth M, *et al.*: **Dataset of Study: Estimated number of deaths directly avoided because of COVID-19 vaccination among older adults in Colombia.** figshare. Dataset. 2022.
[Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: ?

Version 1

Reviewer Report 11 May 2022

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.120820.r128107>

© 2022 Urdinola B. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

B. Piedad Urdinola

School of Economics, Universidad Nacional de Colombia-Bogotá, Bogotá, Colombia

The research question is a very important one that will allow us to understand the effects of vaccination on mortality for the most affected age group by the disease in Colombia and the proposed methods lead to a conservative estimation, however it can be further refined by adding the following comments:

1. The methods the authors proposed follow closely Machado et al.'s, that article explicitly exposes that they are accounting for the number of medically attended influenza-confirmed cases (MAICC). I believe this is also the case for the article at hand, accounting for medically attended cases, however it is not explicit in the document, and it makes an important difference to mention it. Because a part of the Colombian population inhabits low density municipalities with little or hard to reach health facilities where could be properly attended by a medical doctor. Besides other reasons why people pass unattended even with access to medical assistance, such as congestion due to the pandemic, postponement to visit MDs due to fear of contagion and the like.
2. On the same note, please make explicit if the paper is accounted deaths are all confirmed deaths or if they also include suspicious cases as well.
3. Finally, there could also be confounding with other government policies or self-imposed habits that reduce the mortality in the group under study. Particularly in Colombia, lockdowns were prolonged for elderly, and many may have changed their behavior even after the restrictions were removed. Also, the national protests occurred during the period under analysis and made very hard to move across some towns and even within certain towns such as Cali and Bogota, particularly for elderly who mostly were not involved in them. Authors could be inspired by the following work: Arbel, R., Moore, C. M., Sergienko, R., & Pliskin, J. (2022). How many lives do COVID vaccines save? Evidence from Israel. American journal of infection control, 50(3), 258-261.¹

References

1. Arbel R, Moore CM, Sergienko R, Pliskin J: How many lives do COVID vaccines save? Evidence from Israel. *Am J Infect Control*. **50** (3): 258-261 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Partly

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Partly

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 16 May 2022

Julián Alfredo Fernández-Niño, Universidad del Norte, Colombia

We thank Dr. Urdinola for reviewing our manuscript. We present our responses below each comment as well as a new version of our manuscript (Version #2).

- The methods the authors proposed follow closely Machado et al.'s, that article explicitly exposes that they are accounting for the number of medically attended influenza-confirmed cases (MAICC). I believe this is also the case for the article at hand, accounting for medically attended cases, however it is not explicit in the document, and it makes an important difference to mention it. Because a part of the Colombian population inhabits low density municipalities with little or hard to reach health facilities where could be properly attended by a medical doctor. Besides other reasons why people pass unattended even with access to medical assistance, such as congestion due to the pandemic, postponement to visit MDs due to fear of contagion and the like.

Response: *Our analysis only included **confirmed** COVID-19 deaths. These deaths included some that were surely medically treated (the majority) and some that were not.*

We use confirmed deaths obtained from the official national registry called RAUF-ND. Confirmed

deaths includes deaths certified by a medical doctor regardless of whether the person was able to receive medical care before they died. COVID-19 death was defined as a death resulting from clinically compatible illness in a confirmed case of COVID-19, unless there was a clear alternative cause of death that could not be related to COVID-19. In this sense, Colombia uses the definitions recommended by the WHO for surveillance of COVID-19 (Pan American Health Organization Case definitions for COVID-19 surveillance. <https://www.paho.org/en/case-definitions-covid-19-surveillance-16-december-2020>).

Certainly, we know that some COVID-19 deaths went undetected as some people might not have had access to a diagnostic test before or after they died. (Although, according to national guidelines, applying a diagnostic test for clinical suspicious deaths (including post-mortem test) is mandatory in Colombia). Furthermore, for any cause of death, there will always be issues related to the final classification of the leading cause of death or even the previous clinical diagnosis, as it also depends on access to medical care, clinical judgment, and ultimately the quality of death certificates.

In addition, it is important to distinguish “deaths with COVID-19” from “deaths from COVID-19”, and as matter of fact for some deaths it may be difficult to identify the actual causal chain that led to death even when there is a positive diagnostic test.

We agree with the reviewer that this could be a big limitation of the study.

To address this, we need some adjustments that are not possible now considering the COVID death reclassification is a process that is still in progress. Colombia, like other countries in the world, is still advancing the process of reclassifying COVID-19 deaths by carrying out clinical algorithms, verbal autopsies, and the search for unidentified diagnostic tests. This is a process that takes months and ends in a process of statistical amendment. Therefore, we are thinking of repeating this same analysis when final classification is available.

We added this as a limitation in our discussion.

- On the same note, please make explicit if the paper is accounted deaths are all confirmed deaths or if they also include suspicious cases as well.

Response: Only confirmed deaths from COVID-19 were considered in our analysis as in previous studies. Suspicious deaths include deaths where the cause cannot be confirmed to be associated with a SARS-Cov-2 infection, certainly this may include a proportion of real deaths from COVID-19, but also probably includes an important share of syndromatically similar deaths from other causes such as Influenza.

The use of suspicious deaths would imply making some risky assumptions about the proportion of suspicious deaths that would be COVID-19 deaths, which varies at the territorial level. This may be a later analysis as soon as we have the final reclassification of deaths in Colombia.

Now, that is mentioned in the discussion of the manuscript.

- Finally, there could also be confounding with other government policies or self-imposed habits that reduce the mortality in the group under study. Particularly in

Colombia, lockdowns were prolonged for elderly, and many may have changed their behavior even after the restrictions were removed. Also, the national protests occurred during the period under analysis and made very hard to move across some towns and even within certain towns such as Cali and Bogota, particularly for elderly who mostly were not involved in them. Authors could be inspired by the following work: Arbel, R., Moore, C. M., Sergienko, R., & Pliskin, J. (2022). How many lives do COVID vaccines save? Evidence from Israel. *American journal of infection control*, 50(3), 258-261.1

Response: *The estimate of avoided deaths made in this study is a conservative measure for reasons explained in the manuscript. However, the prevention of deaths is certainly multifactorial, and non-pharmacological interventions (NPI) likely also played a role, especially during the early phases of the pandemic as we showed before:*

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8289626/>

In Colombia, the differential measures for older adults lasted only a few weeks after being suspended by the Colombian Constitutional Court in June of 2020 before of the beginning of the National Vaccination Plan in Colombia during 2021. (<https://www.elespectador.com/salud/corte-constitucional-amparo-los-derechos-de-participantes-de-la-revolucion-de-las-canas/>)

The impact of these other measures (NPI) is not evaluated in this study, and to do so would require another and more complex approach, which is beyond our objectives. However, we can affirm that the NPI (as national lockdowns) affected the entire population, although certainly in real life, some social groups could have different responses, for example to isolation whose adherence depends on employment or housing conditions (as well as other social determinants), however these factors are likely more important for the risk of infection than for the risk of death. Certainly, this study is a rough approximation from an ecological level, and it is not intended to evaluate the effect of other measures, nor their interaction with other factors.

Regarding the impact of the protests, it is certainly something very difficult to evaluate. We tried to explore this impact in one previous study:

https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3899602

We added a comment in our discussion.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research