SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Effect of inspiratory muscle training on respiratory muscle strength, post-operative pulmonary complications and pulmonary function in abdominal surgery- Evidence from systematic reviews. [version 1; peer review: awaiting peer review]

Sampath Kumar Amaravadi ^{1,2}, Khyati Shah², Stephen Rajan Samuel ², Ravishankar N³

¹Department of Physiotherapy, College of Health Sciences, Gulf Medical University, Ajman, United Arab Emirates, United Arab Emirates

²Department of Physiotherapy, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Mangalore, Karnataka, 575001, India

³Department of Biostatistics, Vallabhbhai Patel Chest Institute, University of Delhi, New Delhi, New Delhi, India

V1 First published: 03 Mar 2022, 11:270
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.79550.1>
Latest published: 03 Mar 2022, 11:270
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.79550.1>

Open Peer Review

Approval Status Awaiting Peer Review

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Abstract

Introduction

Postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs) following abdominal surgery are common in patients owing to patient-related and procedure-related risk factors. Inspiratory Muscle Training (IMT) along with various chest physiotherapy manipulations and adjuncts have been proven to reduce PPCs. Current evidence suggests that IMT proves beneficial in reducing PPCs without additional management in varying types of surgeries. The objective of this review was to synthesize the findings from systematic reviews that evaluate the effectiveness of IMT on abdominal surgery and assess their methodological quality.

Methods

This review was formed following PRISMA guidelines (PROSPERO Registration number: CRD42020177876, OSF registry: DOI 10.17605/OSF.IO/K8NGV). A comprehensive search strategy identifying the effectiveness of IMT on abdominal surgery was developed using electronic databases such as PubMed, Cochrane database of a systematic review, and ClinicalKey. Methodological quality assessment was done using AMSTAR 2 tool. Data on characteristics of intervention and outcome measures were extracted.

Results

The search yielded 1249 articles, out of which 4 systematic reviews

and meta-analysis; reviewing 9 randomized controlled trials; met the inclusion criteria. The most-reported outcome measures were respiratory muscle strength, PPCs, and pulmonary function tests. The overall quality of systematic reviews reported was high. The results for meta-analysis conducted on outcome measure PPCs, i.e., atelectasis and pneumonia reported $RR=0.40$ (95%CI 0.19 to 0.88), $I^2=0\%$, and $RR=0.41$ (95%CI 0.41 to 1.19), $I^2=0\%$ respectively and maximum inspiratory pressure was $MD=4.97$, (95% CI -5.07 to 15.01), $I^2= 53\%$.

Conclusions

The review concluded that IMT is a beneficial intervention when given 2 weeks before surgery for a minimum of 15 minutes in reducing PPCs. However, factors concerning breathing cycles, respiratory flow, and rest interval should be observed for better management.

Keywords

Inspiratory muscle training, Abdominal surgery, Systematic review, Postoperative complications, Functional capacity

This article is included in the **Manipal Academy of Higher Education** gateway.

Corresponding author: Sampath Kumar Amaravadi (sampathkpt@gmail.com)

Author roles: **Amaravadi SK:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Shah K:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Methodology, Resources, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Samuel SR:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **N R:** Formal Analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2022 Amaravadi SK *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Amaravadi SK, Shah K, Samuel SR and N R. **Effect of inspiratory muscle training on respiratory muscle strength, post-operative pulmonary complications and pulmonary function in abdominal surgery- Evidence from systematic reviews.** [version 1; peer review: awaiting peer review] F1000Research 2022, 11:270 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.79550.1>

First published: 03 Mar 2022, 11:270 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.79550.1>

Introduction

The early postoperative period following abdominal surgery is associated with fatigue and limited chest movements due to surgical pain, restricted diaphragmatic mobility, anesthetic effect, site, and length of the surgical incision, reduced physical activity, and positional dependence.¹ These factors alter the thoracoabdominal mechanism and length-tension relationship, reducing chest mobility and various post-surgical impairments such as inadequate air entry and impaired cough mechanism leading to postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs).^{2,3}

Alteration in the breathing pattern due to the effect of general anesthesia and peri-operative drugs causes changes in neural drive, which further reduces functional residual capacity (FRC) post-operatively, leading to ventilation-perfusion mismatch and eventually leading to hypoxemia and increase in respiratory rate.^{1,4}

Additional factors such as surgical incision around the diaphragm and abdominal muscles, and length of incision influence the development of PPCs. The site of an incision limits the respiratory movement due to reflex inhibition of the phrenic nerve and response to pain stimulus from nerve innervating abdominal muscles. Also, as the length of the incision increases, the peritoneal area near the abdominal viscera is severely affected. As a result, open abdominal surgery has a higher chance of developing PPCs than laparoscopic surgery.^{2,4,5}

Postoperative pain, limited respiratory movements, and analgesics are believed to be important factors associated with cough impairment.⁶ Inadequate cough reflex post-surgery is commonly related to the pathophysiological basis of PPCs as it leads to excessive secretion accumulation, reduced vital capacity, and increases the risk of respiratory infection eventually causing PPCs.^{6,7}

Similarly, preoperative patient-related risk factor causes PPC. Risk factors such as age, pre-existing respiratory disease, obesity, and smoking history alter normal respiratory physiology.⁸ Thus, identification of preoperative risk factors and modification of modifiable risk factors is essential to reduce the occurrence of PPCs.^{9,10}

Diaphragmatic weakness pre-operatively and post-operatively are identified as potential modifiable contributors in developing PPCs.^{11,12} The incidence of postoperative lung atelectasis and pneumonia is the main pathophysiological mechanism behind the development of PPCs. Hypoventilation due to altered consciousness, respiratory muscle weakness, decrease FRC with dependency in supine position causes reversible alveolar collapse resulting from obstruction of airways due to impaired mucociliary function and inadequate cough reflex, resulting in the retention of mucus and altering ventilation-perfusion ratio.¹³

Pre-rehabilitation and preoperative chest physiotherapy include deep breathing techniques, splinted active coughing, incentive spirometry (IS), inspiratory muscle training (IMT), and education regarding early mobilization helps in reducing the occurrence of PPCs. Effective training improves respiratory function pre-operatively and benefits in improving lung expansion postoperatively than no intervention.^{8,14}

However, recent literature suggests that the effectiveness of incentive spirometry post-abdominal surgery has no impact on reducing PPCs and that IMT with or without additional therapy, given as pre-rehabilitation has a beneficial effect of reducing PPCs and length of hospital stay.^{8,11}

Clinical trials of preoperative IMT have revealed fewer declines in inspiratory muscle strength postoperatively by promoting deep breathing and reducing the occurrence of PPCs.⁸ IMT aims to increase strength and endurance by applying a resistive load to inspiratory muscle to achieve a training effect.³ It helps to restore lung function rapidly thus assisting in improving lung expansion which facilitates forceful expiratory maneuver for secretion clearance and earlier recovery in the postoperative period.¹²

Despite the beneficial effect of IMT, there are few limitations such as improper experimental design depending on participants pre-surgical status, type of surgery, control of training intensity, and patient selection as its benefits changes according to training dose given, i.e., starting load, load increment, duration of intervention, frequency, duration of the training, number of sessions and degree of supervision.^{11,15}

Various outcome measures are used by researchers to observe the clinical course of IMT with its relationship with PPCs, most notable being such as length of hospital stay, respiratory muscle strength (RMS), lung volume, and capacities. It has been observed that RMS reduces following major abdominal surgery due to surgical pain, exertion while breathing, and maybe pre-cursor of PPCs affecting lung volume and capacities postoperatively.¹²

Thus, this review aims to synthesize findings from a systematic review that evaluates the effectiveness of IMT on abdominal surgery.

Methods

An evidence-based review, on IMT for participants undergoing abdominal surgery was undertaken. The review adheres to the PRISMA checklist for reporting the systematic review and the same has been deposited into the online repository. (OSF registry (DOI 10.17605/OSF.IO/K8NGV). This review was registered in PROSPERO (177876).

Eligibility criteria

The following criteria describe the scope of review

- A. **Population:** This review included adult participants of either gender undergoing elective abdominal surgery. Abdominal surgery such as bariatric surgery, abdominal oncological surgery, abdominal aortic aneurysm, urological, esophageal, gastric, and biliary surgery, affecting peritoneal area due to surgical incision. Reviews on non-abdominal surgery such as cardiac, pulmonary, or thoracic surgery were excluded. Systematic reviews on mixed populations, i.e., focusing on abdominal surgery and other types of surgery were only included if data for abdominal surgery were presented separately.
- B. **Intervention:** The review focused on the intervention of IMT (pre-operatively or postoperatively) as prescribed by the therapist for participants undergoing abdominal surgery.
- C. **Comparator:** Comparison between the intervention of IMT and no IMT, sham IMT, or usual care such as deep breathing exercises, splinted coughing, and incentive spirometry was studied in this review.
- D. **Outcomes:** All outcome measures presented in primary Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were eligible for the review.
 - I. Respiratory muscle strength (maximum inspiratory pressure (MIP)/maximal expiratory pressure (MEP))
 - II. Incidence of the occurrence of PPCs
 - III. Pulmonary function test
 - IV. Length of hospital stay
 - V. Functional capacity

Inclusion criteria

- I. A systematic review of RCTs comparing the effect of IMT for participants undergoing abdominal surgery were included in this review.
- II. This review included systematic reviews if they specified a search strategy in at least one literature database.

Exclusion criteria

- I. A systematic review consisting of both RCTs and observational studies on the comparison between IMT and other rehabilitation care.
- II. Systematic Reviews of RCTs on pre rehabilitation without IMT or intervention other than IMT were excluded.
- III. Literature reviews that did not have a specific research question, search strategy, or process of selecting articles were excluded.

Search strategy: Electronic databases searched were [Medline/PubMed](#) (RRID:SCR_004846), [Cochrane database](#) of a systematic review (RRID:SCR_013000) and ClinicalKey. The search was limited to English-language publications. Search strategies were developed to use across databases, combining terms of keywords of “Inspiratory muscle training, abdominal surgery, and systematic review.” Search terms were combined using Boolean operators ‘AND’ & ‘OR.’ To identify further relevant reviews, a reference list of screened articles was assessed for eligibility.

Study selection: Searches were done on Medline/PubMed, Cochrane, and ClinicalKey that were downloaded on Mendeley (RRID:SCR_002750) and de-duplicated. Two researchers (SK) and (KS) independently screened titles and abstracts. Any paper identified as potentially eligible for review by either researcher was studied in full text and independently screened by both reviewers.

The full-text articles were excluded for the following reasons:

- I. IMT not undertaken as the primary intervention

Data extraction: The data was extracted under the following titles:

- I. Study characteristics, i.e., name of the author, year of publication
- II. Intervention
- III. Comparator
- IV. Outcome measures

One researcher completed the data extraction, and the second researcher cross-checked. Discrepancies were cross-checked by both researchers at a second review and reached a consensus. Although all outcome measures were extracted and presented in tables, only those that were measured in two or more studies were synthesized for meta-analysis.

Quality of evidence assessment: Quality assessment of systematic review was done using the AMSTAR-2 tool, a validated quality assessment tool for the systematic review. Quality assessment was done by one researcher and checked by the second researcher. Discrepancies were resolved in the discussion.

Meta-analysis methodology

Meta-analysis was completed using RevMan software (RevMan 5.3) (RRID: SCR_003581). This review included both dichotomous and continuous outcome measures. Risk ratio (RR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) was computed for the dichotomous outcome, whereas, for continuous outcomes, mean difference/standardized mean difference with 95% CI was computed.

All meta-analyses were presented in the forest-plot graph. The random-effect model was adopted for the meta-analysis. To identify heterogeneity, chi² statistic ($p < 0.1$ was considered statistically significant) and evaluated heterogeneity with I^2 statistic (>60% considered substantial heterogeneity).

Results

From the electronic database search, 1249 articles were identified; 40 were eligible for full-text review, and four systematic reviews and meta-analyses were included in the final review (Figure 1). Reviews were excluded because of unsuitable title and/or study design, primary intervention other than IMT, and report written other than the English language. Data for 276 participants were analyzed, 137 in the intervention group, and 139 in the control group.

Study selection and characteristics

The description of characteristics of the systematic review and primary RCTs are documented in table format (Table 1). The most common outcome measures reported were respiratory muscle strength (Maximum Inspiratory Pressure/Maximum Expiratory Pressure), the incidence of PPCs, pulmonary function test, length of hospital stay, and functional capacity (Table 2).

The RCTs included in this review varied in terms of age, lifestyle, and type of surgery. Elderly populations were focused on the study Dronkers *et al.* (2008)³ and Dronkers *et al.* (2010).¹⁷ The obese population was focused on studies of Casali *et al.*,² Llorens *et al.*,¹⁴ and Barbalho-Moulim *et al.*⁷ variation in the type of abdominal surgery was also observed. Relation of age, lifestyle, and type of surgery is being discussed under the discussion section.

Overlap between systematic review

The four systematic reviews focused on 9 RCTs. Overlapping between systematic reviews was observed. The overlapping of review has been summarized in Table 3.

Figure 1. PRISMA Flow chart.

Quality assessment

Quality assessment done by AMSTAR-2 has been summarized in [Tables 4](#) and [5](#). Out of 4 systematic reviews, 3 systematic reviews were reported as high-quality reviews.

This review involved meta-analyses of the following outcome measures:

1. Respiratory Muscle Strength
 - i. **Maximum inspiratory pressure (MIP):** Six studies were analyzed for MIP,^{1-3,7,14,17} observing 93 participants in the intervention group and 87 participants in the control group. Heterogeneity [I^2] was 53% ($P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.06$). The mean difference was 4.97 (95% CI -5.07 to 15.01) for the intervention group versus the control group ([Figure 2](#)).

Table 1. Characteristics of systematic reviews.

Author, year	Type of review	Number of studies related to abdominal surgery	Number of studies included in the review	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome measures
Mans Christina <i>et al.</i> , ² 2014	Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials or quasi-randomized controlled trial	3	8	IMT	no pre-operative training or sham inspiratory muscle training or usual pre-operative care: early mobilization, coughing, wound support, deep breathing exercises, walking	I. PPCs II. Length of stay III. Respiratory muscle strength IV. Inspiratory muscle endurance V. Exercise tolerance VI. Pulmonary function test VII. Duration of postoperative ventilation VIII. Oxygenation IX. Post-operative mortality X. Early mobilization XI. Early ambulation XII. Anxiety and depression XIII. Health-related quality of life XIV. Patient satisfaction XV. Adverse events XVI. Costs
Katsura M <i>et al.</i> , ⁵ 2015	A systematic review of RCTs	7	12	IMT	Non-exercise intervention or no intervention, usual care: deep breathing exercise, incentive spirometry, coughing, and early mobilization	I. PPCs II. All-cause mortality within 30 days of the postoperative period III. Evidence of adverse effect from IMT IV. Maximal inspiratory pressure V. Duration of hospital stay VI. Other complications (cardiac, neurological) VII. Total dropout VIII. Quality of life IX. Cost analysis
Filipa Kendall <i>et al.</i> , ¹¹ 2017	Systematic reviews and meta-analysis of RCTs	7 (pre-operative studies:6, post-operative studies:1)	17	IMT (before and after surgery)	Sham IMT, breathing exercise, incentive spirometry	I. PPCs II. Length of hospital stay
Xiaoqing Ge <i>et al.</i> , ¹⁶ 2018	Systematic reviews of RCTs	4	13	IMT	No preoperative training or sham inspiratory muscle training	I. Length of hospital stay II. Maximum inspiratory pressure III. Quality of life

Table 2. Characteristics of RCTs included in systematic reviews.

Author, year	Treatment dosage						Outcome measure		
	Control group	IMT group	Initial load	Number of sessions per week	Duration of each session	Session per day	Number of weeks of training	Primary outcome measure	Secondary outcome measure
Dronkers <i>et al.</i> , 2008 ³	Deep breathing exercises (DBE), incentive spirometry (IS), coughing, and forced expiratory technique (FET)	20% of MIP	6 sessions, 6 days per week	15 minutes	2 weeks before surgery			1. PPCs: atelectasis 2. Feasibility of occurrence of adverse events 3. Participant satisfaction	1. MIP 2. Inspiratory muscle endurance 3. Vital capacity
Dronkers <i>et al.</i> , 2010 ¹⁷	DBE, IS, coughing, FET, and home-based exercise program (30 mins per day)	10-60% of MIP (supervised) And 20% of MIP (home-based)	2 times per week in OPD	15 minutes	2-4 weeks before surgery			1. PPCs 2. Feasibility 3. Maximum aerobic capacity 4. MIP 5. Inspiratory muscle endurance 6. Functional mobility (Time up and go) 7. LASA-Physical activity questionnaire 8. EORTC QLQ-C30	
Kulkarni <i>et al.</i> , 2010 ¹⁸	Group A: no training Group B: DBE Group C: IS	20-30% of MIP		15 minutes	2 weeks before surgery	2		1. Respiratory muscle strength 2. Pulmonary function	1. Length of stay 2. Time in HDU/ITU 3. Time on a ventilator 4. Infection and pulmonary complication
Barbalho-Moulim <i>et al.</i> , 2011 ¹⁷	Usual care	30% of MIP	6 times per week under the supervision	15 minutes	2-4 weeks before surgery	1		1. Respiratory muscle strength 2. Lung volumes and capacities 3. PPCs 4. Length of stay (LOS) 5. Diaphragmatic excursion	
Casali <i>et al.</i> , 2011 ²	IMT sham training	40% of MIP	daily	30 minutes	1		Post-operative day 2 to postoperative day 30	1. MIP/MEP 2. Inspiratory muscle endurance 3. Pulmonary function test	

Table 2. Continued

Author, year	Treatment dosage						Outcome measure		
	Control group	IMT group	Initial load	Number of sessions per week	Duration of each session	Session per day	Number of weeks of training	Primary outcome measure	Secondary outcome measure
Soares <i>et al.</i> , 2013 ¹	Pre-operative: no treatment Post-operative till POD7: DBE, huffing and coughing, airway clearance, and active limb mobilization	15% of MIP	daily	15 minutes			2-3 weeks before surgery	1. PPCs 2. Respiratory muscle strength 3. Lung volume and capacities 4. Functional assessment: Functional independence measure, 6MWD	
Llorens <i>et al.</i> , 2014 ¹⁴	Usual care and incentive spirometry	30% of MIP	daily	20 minutes			30 days before surgery	1. Pulmonary function: FVC, FEV1 2. MIP/MEP 3. Arterial blood gas analysis 4. Static compliance of the respiratory system 5. End expiratory lung volume 6. Ratio of arterial oxygen partial pressure to fractional inspired oxygen (PaO2/FiO2) 7. Partial pressure of CO2 (PaCO2)	
Heynen <i>et al.</i> , 2012 (conference article) ¹⁹	Usual care	60% of MIP	7 times per week				2 weeks before surgery	1. MIP 2. PPCs	
Da Cunha <i>et al.</i> , 2013 (conference article) ²⁰	Breathing exercise associated with upper and lower limb exercises	60% of MIP, 3 series of 12 repetitions	5 times a week				2 weeks before surgery	1. PPCs	1. MIP 2. LOS

Table 3. Overlapping RCTs in systematic reviews.

	Dronkers <i>et al.</i> ³ (2008)	Dronkers <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷ (2010)	Kulkarni <i>et al.</i> ¹⁸ (2010)	Barbalho-Moulim <i>et al.</i> ⁷ (2011)	Casali <i>et al.</i> ² (2011)	Heynan <i>et al.</i> ¹⁹ (2012)	Soares <i>et al.</i> ⁴ (2013)	Da Cunha <i>et al.</i> ²⁰ (2013)	Llorens <i>et al.</i> ¹⁴ (2014)
Christina Mans <i>et al.</i> ¹² (2014)	+		+	+					
Katsura M. <i>et al.</i> ⁸ (2015)	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	
Filipa Kendall <i>et al.</i> ¹¹ (2017)	+	+	+	+	+		+		+
Xiaoqing Ge <i>et al.</i> ¹⁶ (2018)	+	+	+				+		

“+” sign indicates that the RCT was included in that review.

Table 4. Quality assessment using AMSTAR-2 for systematic reviews.

	PICO	Deviation from protocol	Explanation from study design	Comprehensive search strategy	Study selection in duplicate	Data extraction in duplicate	Justification from exclusion	Adequacy of detail	RoB assessment	funding	Meta-analysis: measures for statistics	Impact of RoB	Impact of RoB on results	Explanation for heterogeneity	Test for publication bias	Conflict of interest
Christina Mans <i>et al.</i> ¹² (2014)	Y	Y	Y	PY	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
Katsura M. <i>et al.</i> ⁸ (2015)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Filipa Kendall <i>et al.</i> ¹¹ (2017)	Y	PY	Y	PY	Y	Y	PY	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Xiaoqing Ge <i>et al.</i> ¹⁶ (2018)	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	PY	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y

Y = yes, N = no, PY = partial yes.

Table 5. Level of evidence.

Sr no.	Author name	Level of evidence (AMSTAR 2)
1	Mans <i>et al.</i> ¹²	High-quality review
2	Katsura M. <i>et al.</i> ⁸	High-quality review
3	Filipa Kendall <i>et al.</i> ¹¹	High-quality review
4	Xiaoqing Ge <i>et al.</i> ¹⁶	Low-quality review

Figure 2. Meta-analysis of Maximum Inspiratory Pressure (MIP). I² = heterogeneity/test for heterogeneity, CI = confidence interval.

- ii. **Maximum expiratory pressure (MEP):** Two studies were analyzed for MEP,^{7,14} observing 38 participants in the intervention group and 38 participants in the control group. Heterogeneity [I²] was 0% (P_{heterogeneity} = 0.49). The mean difference was 3.32(95% CI -9.10 to 15.74) for the intervention group versus the control group (Figure 3).

2. Postoperative pulmonary complications:

- i. **Atelectasis:** Three studies were analyzed for the occurrence of an adverse event of PPC (atelectasis),^{1,3,19} observing 35 participants in the intervention group and 37 participants in the control group. Heterogeneity [I²] was 0% (P_{heterogeneity} = 0.93). The risk ratio was 0.40 (95% CI 0.19 to 0.88) for the intervention group versus the control group. The test for overall effect (P = 0.02) was statistically significant, favoring intervention (Figure 4).
- ii. **Pneumonia:** Five studies were analyzed for the occurrence of the adverse effect of PPC (pneumonia)^{1,17-20} observing 74 participants in the intervention group and 76 participants in the control group. Heterogeneity [I²] was 0% (P_{heterogeneity} = 0.57). The risk ratio was 0.41 (95% CI 0.14 to 1.19) for the intervention group versus the control group. Out of the five studies analyzed, four studies favor intervention (Figure 5).

Figure 3. Meta-analysis of Maximum Expiratory Pressure. I² = heterogeneity/test for heterogeneity, CI = confidence interval. **Figure 4. Meta-analysis of PPC atelectasis.** I² = heterogeneity/test for heterogeneity, CI = confidence interval.

Figure 5. Meta-analysis of PPC Pneumonia. I^2 = heterogeneity/test for heterogeneity, CI = confidence interval.

3. Pulmonary function test

- i. **Forced vital capacity:** Two studies were analyzed for forced vital capacity (FVC),^{2,7} observing 30 participants in the intervention group and 32 participants in the control group. Heterogeneity [I^2] was 0% ($P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.93$). The mean difference was 0.25 (95% CI -0.25 to 0.75) for the intervention group versus the control group (Figure 6).
- ii. **Forced Expiratory Volume (FEV1) in the first second:** Two studies were analyzed for FEV1^{2,7} observing 30 participants in the intervention group and 32 participants in the control group. Heterogeneity [I^2] was 0% ($P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.61$). The mean difference was 0.26 (95% CI -0.24 to 0.76) for the intervention group versus the control group (Figure 7).
- iii. **Inspiratory vital capacity (IVC)/Vital Capacity (VC):** Three studies were analyzed for IVC/VC^{3,7,18} observing 39 participants in the intervention group and 39 participants in the control group. Heterogeneity [I^2] was 31% ($P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.23$). The mean difference was -0.11 (95% CI -0.59 to 0.38) for the intervention group versus the control group (Figure 8).
4. **Length of hospital stay:** Three studies were analyzed for the length of hospital stay^{1,17,20} observing 44 participants in the intervention group and 45 participants in the control group. Heterogeneity [I^2] was 0% ($P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.54$). The mean difference was 0.15 (95% CI -3.43 to 3.74) for the intervention group versus the control group. The test for overall effect ($P = 0.93$), concludes data to be statistically insignificant (Figure 9).
5. **Functional capacity:** One study reported functional capacity using a six-minute walk test. The preoperative and postoperative six-minute walk distance value (median and range) in the intervention group was higher as compared to the control group (Table 6).

Figure 6. Meta-analysis of PFT parameter Forced vital capacity. I^2 = heterogeneity/test for heterogeneity, CI = confidence interval.

Figure 7. Meta-analysis of PFT parameter forced expiratory volume in 1st second. I^2 = heterogeneity/test for heterogeneity, CI = confidence interval.

Figure 8. Meta-analysis of PFT parameter Vital Capacity. I^2 = heterogeneity/test for heterogeneity, CI = confidence interval.

Figure 9. Meta-analysis of length of stay. I^2 = heterogeneity/test for heterogeneity, CI = confidence interval.

Discussions

This review was summarized using 4 systematic reviews and meta-analyses. The included systematic reviews highlighted the effect of IMT not only on abdominal surgery but also included cardiac and thoracic surgeries. However, this review only focused on abdominal surgery. Abdominal, cardiac, or thoracic surgeries lead to PPCs but the incision close to the diaphragm or respiratory muscle may have a higher incidence of PPCs compared to others. The reason can be viable tissue damage during surgery, pain, and diaphragm dysfunction.²¹

In cardiac surgeries, the most common procedure sternotomy has reported less respiratory muscle dysfunction as compared to abdominal surgery and thoracic surgery where the respiratory muscles are directly affected.²² The inspiratory muscle diaphragm accounts for 60–70% change in lung volume and capacities and, also, the inspiratory tone in the diaphragm prevents abdominal viscera from compressing lungs.²³

Following abdominal or thoracic surgery, due to respiratory muscle dysfunction, a restrictive pattern develops in the immediate post-operative period. As per Laplace's law, "curvature of the diaphragm is an important determinant of its ability to produce pressure." Thus, in abdominal surgery, it is common to find a decrease in maximal inspiratory, transdiaphragmatic, and expiratory muscle pressure.²²

In addition, surgery-associated conditions such as peritonitis, abdominal trauma, and fluid shifts can cause respiratory failure by increasing intra-abdominal pressure. This increase in intra-abdominal pressure can decrease chest wall compliance and diaphragmatic excursion.²³

The meta-analysis was performed to compare various outcome measures for the participants undergoing abdominal surgery. The different outcome measures for quantitative analysis were respiratory muscle strength (MIP/MEP), PPCs (atelectasis and pneumonia), pulmonary functional capacity, and length of hospital stay, and qualitative analysis on functional capacity Six Minute Walk Distance (6MWD). The result suggests that IMT as preoperative or postoperative intervention plays a significant role in improving inspiratory capacity and then eventually reduces the incidence of PPC and health-related cost of care.

In a meta-analysis of MIP, the studies Barbalho-Moulim *et al.*, Llorens *et al.*, Soares *et al.* found a significant difference in pre-intervention and Casali *et al.* in post-intervention MIP level in the intervention group vs. control group, whereas Dronkers *et al.* (2008) and Dronkers *et al.* (2010) could not find any significant difference. Meta-analysis showed moderate heterogeneity between studies $I^2 = 53\%$ and found a combined positive effect of IMT on MIP.^{1–3,7,14,17}

Studies have shown that better MIP values among intervention groups are acknowledged due to the recruitment of motor units of respiratory muscle that promote increased muscle strength. Respiratory muscle, like any skeletal muscle, responds to the increasing load imposed by IMT and follows the physiological principle of muscle training. Threshold IMT offers a flow-independent one-way valve to ensure constant resistance. Thus, increment in frequency, duration, and intensity of IMT provides more load/resistance, improving muscle function and observing morphological changes in the diaphragm.^{24,25}

Table 6. Functional capacity (6MWD).

6MWD in meters: Soares <i>et al.</i> 2013 ¹											
Intervention group						Control group					
Pre-operative median	Pre-operative range	Pre-operative (N)	Post-operative median	Post-operative range	Post-operative (N)	Pre-operative median	Pre-operative range	Pre-operative (N)	Post-operative median	Post-operative range	Post-operative (N)
514.4	460.8-557.5	12	486	392.3-562.3	12	441.5	412.3-505.9	8	447.3	373.7-465.8	8

Post-surgery, participants show restrictive ventilator defects due to reducing chest movement and modified breathing patterns. Threshold IMT is characterized by active recruitment of diaphragm and abdominal muscles by providing constant specific pressure for strength and endurance training of inspiratory muscles. Post IMT training, it has been observed that by increasing the inspiratory muscle function, there is an improvement in lung volume and capacities and improving physical capacity.

The result of the incidence of PPC atelectasis was found clinically and statistically significant. PPC pneumonia was found clinically significant, favoring the intervention group. IMT helps to achieve higher MIP pre-operatively and helps to retain higher MIP, as compared to control, postoperatively as well, by restoring pulmonary function rapidly. Filipa Kendall *et al.* suggest that IMT provides a better result as it helps to maintain strength and endurance postoperatively and prevents the decline of MIP in the early postoperative period and may reduce the occurrence of PPC.¹¹

Postoperative respiratory muscle dysfunction reduces vital capacity, tidal volume, and total lung capacity, eventually leading to a reduction in FRC and causing atelectasis. According to Kulkarni *et al.*, post IMT training participants' ability to overcome elastic load to inhalation improved and helped in maintaining baseline level vital capacity. The author suggests that the maintenance of vital capacity improves cough efficacy and reduces changes to PPC. Similarly, Casali *et al.* reported that IMT was associated with early and faster recovery of FEV1, Peak Expiratory Force (PEF), and forced expiratory flow 25-75 (FEF25-75). Improved respiratory muscle strength permits larger lung volumes and provides an improvement in expiratory flow volumes.¹⁸

The length of hospital stay was neither clinically nor statistically significant. The incidence of PPC may increase the length of hospital stay. However, there are other reasons than PPCs such as pain, systemic complications, or wound dehiscence, which further affects the course of postoperative management effectively.

Functional capacity was measured using a 6MWD, which showed results favoring the intervention group, pre-operatively as well as postoperatively. A recent study done by Keeratchananont W. *et al.* reported that pre-operative 6MWD less than 325 m had a sensitivity of 77% and specificity of 100% to predict a high risk of PPC. However, only one study reported the use of 6MWD as an assessment of functional capacity. Similarly, a study done by Cargnin *et al.* showed that post-IMT there is a positive linear association between lung function and functional capacity due to increase inspiratory capacity which eventually leads to higher tolerance to fatigability and improve functional capacity.^{26,27} This concludes that 6MWD can be used as an alternative predictor of PPCs.

Most of the systematic review and primary RCTs focused on preoperative IMT training, only one study (Casali *et al.*) Focused on postoperative IMT training from post-operative day (POD)-2 to POD-30. Studies on preoperative IMT training show a positive effect on the reduction of PPC and prevent significant changes in MIP postoperatively. However, preoperative IMT training suggests elective abdominal surgery. Thus, more studies are required, which concentrate on postoperative IMT to provide better information regarding its effect on emergency surgeries. The primary RCTs included in the systematic review had a smaller sample size, thus providing a larger confidence interval among studies affecting pooled data analysis.

The population among studies varied according to their age, gender, and body mass index (BMI). Studies on elderly participants,^{3,17} obese participants,^{2,14} and obese female participants⁷ provide a broader spectrum to focus, and its effect on pooled data. Llorens *et al.* and Barbalho-Moulim *et al.* suggested that obese participants develop an increased risk of hypoxemia postoperatively because of altered body mechanics such as fat deposition over the abdominal region, abdominal viscera affecting descent of the diaphragm, and compression over the chest wall. This compression results in excessive strain to the diaphragm and causes mechanical disadvantage to the muscle and affects effective training.^{7,14} Dronkers *et al.* (2008) had a study population with age group younger in the control group (CG) as compared to the intervention group (IG) (mean (SD) of CG VS IG 59 (6) vs. 70 (6), respectively). The physiological changes that occur with age and the effect of surgery, cumulatively, might affect data analysis.³

Abdominal surgery involves a large variety of surgical procedures. Different types of surgery, based on the type of incision, i.e., open abdominal or laparoscopic surgery, area of the incision, length of incision, and the number of organs affected, helps to acknowledge the level of severity on the diaphragm and intercostal muscles and eventually to affect the biomechanics of respiration. The review had a heterogeneous group of upper and lower abdominal surgical procedures producing different levels of impairment on muscles of respiration. Kulkarni *et al.* focused on colorectal, gastrointestinal, vascular, and urological surgery, Llorens *et al.*, on laparoscopic bariatric surgery, Barbalho-Moulim *et al.* and Casali *et al.* on bariatric surgery, Dronkers *et al.* (2008) on abdominal aortic aneurysm surgery, Dronkers *et al.* (2010) on elective abdominal oncological colon surgery and Soares *et al.* on surgery of esophagus, stomach, and biliary tract.^{1-3,7,14,17,18} Thus, variation in the type of surgery may have a varied effect on lung function and capacity.

The review involved a different type of surgical procedure, varied type of population, and lack of proper guidelines on IMT for upper and lower abdominal surgery. The starting load, maximal load, and dosage were different among studies. However, the maximum number of studies had starting load in the range of 20-30% of their MIP, pre-operatively,^{1,3,7,14,18} and Dronkers *et al.* (2010) had 10-60% of MIP as their starting load. The increment of the load depends upon participants' tolerance subjectively on Borg rating of perceived exertion (RPE). In most of the preoperative IMT studies, the duration of training is 15 minutes per day,^{1,3,7,17} Kulkarni *et al.*¹⁸ had two sessions of 15 minutes per day, and Llorens *et al.*¹⁴ had two sessions of 10 minutes.

In the only study on postoperative IMT, Casali *et al.* had two sessions of 20 minutes and 40% of MIP as their starting load from discharge to POD-30 for 30 minutes a day.² Although the studies provided information regarding the starting load and duration of the training, authors of primary RCT failed to report on the number of breathing cycles, respiratory flow and pattern, and rest interval between or within each set. Subjective increment based on RPE was adopted by most of the therapists. However, the chances of participants achieving a maximal level of load according to their capacity are debatable. Fear of eliciting pain, fatigue, and low quality of adherence are some of the factors affecting proper increment.

The ideal respiratory maneuver should include alveolar inflating pressure, time for alveolar inflation, and inflating volume.²⁸ Thus, the lack of knowledge on parameters such as inspiratory breathing pattern, volume near vital capacity or tidal volume, number of breaths per set, required flow, perioperative use, and progression of the procedure i.e., increment in frequency or dosage or both hinder various ideas about the methodological aspects of training and its effect on results and identify best effective IMT protocol.²⁹ Also, supervision played a significant role. Studies with increment strictly imposed by the therapist and supervised had a longer duration of the training, higher progressive loading, and adhered to the given protocol, which indicates that such groups had a higher final maximal load and greater chance to produce better results.

Strengths and limitations of the study

The present review consists of only a systematic review of RCT. This review includes quantitative analyses of all objective outcome measures covered in RCTs and covered all types of abdominal surgery (bariatric, oncological, urological, oesophageal, gastric, and biliary). Thus, provides a wide range of understanding of the effect of IMT on abdominal surgery. There are fewer RCTs on abdominal surgery. Therefore, meta-analysis includes a smaller number of studies and a smaller population in few objective outcome measures. This study failed to focus on the effect of confounding factors (elderly population and obesity) on meta-analyses. Less number of RCTs that observe the effect of IMT in the post-operative period. Also, most of the studies were elective abdominal surgery; leading to the requirement of a study that focuses on IMT in the postoperative period, for emergency surgeries.

Conclusion

Inspiratory muscle training proves to be a beneficial intervention in improving MIP in patients undergoing abdominal surgery. Improvement in MIP has a positive association in reducing PPCs and improving lung function and capacity. Most of the systematic review included in this review agreed on the intervention of 2 weeks before surgery for 15 minutes can bring effective and positive outcomes in a wide range of the high-risk population. However, factors concerning breathing cycles, respiratory flow, and rest interval should be observed for better management post-operatively.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Sampath Kumar Amaravadi, Khyati Shah, Stephen Samuel.

Data curation: Sampath Kumar Amaravadi, Khyati Shah.

Methodology: Sampath Kumar Amaravadi, Khyati Shah, Stephen Samuel, Ravi Shankar.

Resources: Sampath Kumar Amaravadi, Khyati Shah.

Writing – original draft: Sampath Kumar Amaravadi, Khyati Shah, Stephen Samuel, Ravi Shankar.

Writing – review & editing: Sampath Kumar Amaravadi, Khyati Shah, Stephen Samuel.

Formal analysis: Ravi Shankar

Data availability

Underlying data

All data underlying the results are available as part of the article and no additional source of data is required.

Reporting guidelines

PRISMA checklist for 'Effect of Inspiratory Muscle Training on Respiratory Muscle Strength, Post-operative Pulmonary Complications and Pulmonary Function in abdominal surgery- Evidence from Systematic reviews'. doi: 10.17605/OSF.IO/K8NGV.³⁰

Data are available under the terms of [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](#) (CC-BY 4.0).

Acknowledgments

Nil.

References

- Soares SM, Nucci LB, da Silva MM, *et al.*: **Pulmonary function and physical performance outcomes with preoperative physical therapy in upper abdominal surgery: a randomized controlled trial.** *Clin. Rehabil.* 2013 Jul; **27**(7): 616–627.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Casali CC, Pereira AP, Martinez JA, *et al.*: **Effects of inspiratory muscle training on muscular and pulmonary function after bariatric surgery in obese participants.** *Obes. Surg.* 2011 Sep 1; **21**(9): 1389–1394.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Dronkers J, Veldman A, Hoberg E, *et al.*: **Prevention of pulmonary complications after upper abdominal surgery by preoperative intensive inspiratory muscle training: a randomized controlled pilot study.** *Clin. Rehabil.* 2008 Feb; **22**(2): 134–142.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Canet J, Gallart L, Gomar C, *et al.*: **Prediction of postoperative pulmonary complications in a population-based surgical cohort.** *Anesthesiology: The Journal of the American Society of Anesthesiologists.* 2010 Dec 1; **113**(6): 1338–1350.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Lawrence VA, Cornell JE, Smetana GW: **Strategies to reduce postoperative pulmonary complications after non-cardiothoracic surgery: a systematic review for the American College of Physicians.** *Ann. Intern. Med.* 2006 Apr 18; **144**(8): 596–608.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Colucci DB, Fiore JF, Paisani DM, *et al.*: **Cough impairment and risk of postoperative pulmonary complications after open upper abdominal surgery.** *Respir. Care.* 2015 May 1; **60**(5): 673–678.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Barbalho-Moulim MC, Miguel GP, Forti EM, *et al.*: **Effects of preoperative inspiratory muscle training in obese women undergoing open bariatric surgery: respiratory muscle strength, lung volumes, and diaphragmatic excursion.** *Clinics.* 2011; **66**(10): 1721–1727.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Katsura M, Kuriyama A, Takeshima T, *et al.*: **Preoperative inspiratory muscle training for postoperative pulmonary complications in adults undergoing cardiac and major abdominal surgery.** *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2015; (10): CD010356.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Shander A, Fleisher LA, Barie PS, *et al.*: **Clinical and economic burden of postoperative pulmonary complications: patient safety summit on definition, risk-reducing interventions, and preventive strategies.** *Crit. Care Med.* 2011 Sep 1; **39**(9): 2163–2172.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Patel K, Hadian F, Ali A, *et al.*: **Postoperative pulmonary complications following major elective abdominal surgery: a cohort study.** *Perioperative Medicine.* 2016 Dec; **5**(1): 10–17.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kendall F, Oliveira J, Peleteiro B, *et al.*: **Inspiratory muscle training is effective to reduce postoperative pulmonary complications and length of hospital stay: a systematic review and meta-analysis.** *Disabil. Rehabil.* 2018 Apr 10; **40**(8): 864–882.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Mans CM, Reeve JC, Elkins MR: **Postoperative outcomes following preoperative inspiratory muscle training in patients undergoing cardiothoracic or upper abdominal surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis.** *Clin. Rehabil.* 2015 May; **29**(5): 426–438.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Sehgal R, Trikha A: *Yearbook of Anesthesiology-8.* JP Medical LTD; 2019; 192–203.
- Lloréns J, Rovira L, Ballester M, *et al.*: **Preoperative inspiratory muscular training to prevent postoperative hypoxemia in morbidly obese patients undergoing laparoscopic bariatric surgery. A Randomized Clinical Trial.** *Obesity surgery.* 2015 Jun 1; **25**(6): 1003–1009.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Gosselink R, Decramer M: **Inspiratory muscle training: where are we?** *Eur. Respir. J.* 1994 Dec 1; **7**(12): 2103–5.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Ge X, Wang W, Hou L, *et al.*: **Inspiratory muscle training is associated with decreased postoperative pulmonary complications: evidence from randomized trials.** *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* 2018 Sep 1; **156**(3): 1290–1300.e5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Dronkers JJ, Lamberts H, Reutelingsperger IM, *et al.*: **Preoperative therapeutic program for elderly patients scheduled for elective abdominal oncological surgery: a randomized controlled pilot study.** *Clin. Rehabil.* 2010 Jul; **24**(7): 614–622.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kulkarni SR, Fletcher E, McConnell AK, *et al.*: **Pre-operative inspiratory muscle training preserves postoperative inspiratory muscle strength following major abdominal surgery—a randomized pilot study.** *Ann. R. Coll. Surg. Engl.* 2010 Nov; **92**(8): 700–705.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Heynen H, De Jonge C, Kerkkamp H, *et al.*: **Preconditioning in patients undergoing esophagectomy: A randomized controlled pilot study.** *Conference: 13th World Congress of the International Society for Diseases of the Esophagus, Venice, Italy. October 15–17, 2012; Vol. Programme:36.*
- Da Cunha FMR, Ruas G, Fanan JMV, *et al.*: **Effects of preoperative respiratory muscle training on early and late postoperative outcome of patients undergoing esophageal surgery.** *Conference abstract; 26th Annual Congress of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine, Paris France Oct 5–9, 2013.*
- Ávila AC, Fenili R: **Incidence and risk factors for postoperative pulmonary complications in patients undergoing thoracic and abdominal surgeries.** *Rev. Col. Bras. Cir.* 2017 May; **44**: 284–292.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Siafakas NM, Mitrouska I, Bouras D, *et al.*: **Surgery and the respiratory muscles.** *Thorax.* 1999 May 1; **54**(5): 458–465.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

23. Sasaki N, Meyer MJ, Eikermann M: **Postoperative respiratory muscle dysfunction: pathophysiology and preventive strategies.** *Anesthesiology*. 2013 Apr; **118**(4): 961–978.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
24. Elmarakby A: **Effect of Threshold Inspiratory Muscle Training on Maximal Inspiratory Pressure and Pulmonary Gas Exchange in Patients Undergoing Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery.** *Critical ReviewsTM in Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine*. 2016; **28**(4): 249–261.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
25. Mohamed FA: **Does Inspiratory Muscle Training Following Thoracic Surgery Have an Effect On The Outcomes?.** *J. Am. Sci.* 2012; **8**(3): 140–143.
26. Keeratichananont W, Thanadetsuntorn C, Keeratichananont S: **Value of preoperative 6-minute walk test for predicting postoperative pulmonary complications.** *Ther. Adv. Respir. Dis.* 2016 Feb; **10**(1): 18–25.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
27. Cargnin C, Karsten M, da Costa Guaragna JC, *et al.*: **Inspiratory muscle training after heart valve replacement surgery improves inspiratory muscle strength, lung function, and functional capacity: a randomized controlled trial.** *J. Cardiopulm. Rehabil. Prev.* 2019 Sep 1; **39**(5): E1–E7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
28. Bartlett RH, Gazzaniga AB, Geraghty TR: **Respiratory maneuvers to prevent postoperative pulmonary complications: a critical review.** *JAMA*. 1973 May 14; **224**(7): 1017–1021.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
29. Eltorai AE, Szabo AL, Antoci V, *et al.*: **Clinical effectiveness of incentive spirometry for the prevention of postoperative pulmonary complications.** *Respir. Care*. 2018 Mar 1; **63**(3): 347–352.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
30. Reporting guidelines: **PRISMA checklist for “Effect of Inspiratory Muscle Training on Respiratory Muscle Strength, Post-operative Pulmonary Complications and Pulmonary Function in abdominal surgery- Evidence from Systematic reviews.”.**
[Publisher Full Text](#)

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research