Check for updates

SHORT RESEARCH ARTICLE

Maintenance of empathy levels among first and final year medical students: a cross sectional study [version 1; peer review: 3 approved]

Areeb Sohail Bangash¹, Nisreen Feroz Ali¹, Abdul Haseeb Shehzad¹, Sobia Haqqi²¹Ziauddin Medical University, Karachi, Pakistan²Department of Psychiatry, Ziauddin Medical University, Karachi, Pakistan

V1 First published: 16 Jul 2013, 2:157
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-157.v1>
Latest published: 16 Jul 2013, 2:157
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-157.v1>

Abstract

Objectives: The purpose of this study was to quantify the levels of empathy amongst medical students in the first year and final year of the medical curriculum at a medical university in Karachi, Pakistan.

Methods: A cross-sectional study, comprising of participating students in their first year and final year of the medical curriculum at Ziauddin University Medical College, was carried out, using the Empathy Quotient (EQ) scale consisting of 60 questions through a self-administered questionnaire. The results were collected anonymously over a time period of six months from a sample of 171 participants.

Results: According to our analysis, we found 82.67% of fifth year students and 80.21% of first years showing average or above average levels of empathy. Female mean scores were 42 ± 9.60 while males were 38.7 ± 9.358 ($P=0.03$). No association was found between empathy and age of the participants ($p=0.77$).

Conclusion: We found no significant difference in the levels of empathy between the first and fifth year medical students. However, it was shown that females exhibited higher levels of empathy than males.

Open Peer Review

Approval Status ✓✓✓

	1	2	3
version 1	✓	✓	✓
16 Jul 2013	view	view	view

1. **Kevin J Black** , Washington University in St. Louis, St Louis, MO, USA
2. **Pooria Sarraimi Foroushani** , University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia
3. **Iman Hegazi**, University of Western Sydney, NSW, Australia

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Areeb Sohail Bangash (areeb.sohail@hotmail.com)

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2013 Bangash AS *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

How to cite this article: Bangash AS, Ali NF, Shehzad AH and Haqqi S. Maintenance of empathy levels among first and final year medical students: a cross sectional study [version 1; peer review: 3 approved] F1000Research 2013, 2:157
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-157.v1>

First published: 16 Jul 2013, 2:157 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-157.v1>

Introduction

Carl Rogers, one of the founders of the humanist school of psychology, states that empathy is:

*“To sense the patient’s private world as if it were your own but without ever losing the “as if” quality.....to accurately sense the feelings and personal meanings the patient is experiencing and communicating...”*¹

Empathy plays a crucial role in the physician-patient therapeutic relationship². Patient satisfaction and their compliance with treatment improve when their physician understands them better³. In a recent survey, patients of physicians who had scored high in empathy, reported better disease control and prognosis, in comparison to patients of physicians with low empathy scores^{4,5}.

Empathy is as important for medical students as it is for physicians. Interestingly, there seems to be a decline in empathy levels during medical training as reported by Tavakol *et al.* and Pederson *et al.*^{6,7}. Of the many reasons given to explain this phenomenon, it was reported that, as they progressed through medical school, a number of medical students were starting to become more cynical about life in academia and the medical profession.

The purpose of this study was to quantify empathy levels amongst medical students in the first and the final year of their medical curriculum at a medical university in Karachi, Pakistan.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

Written consent was obtained from each participant. The ethical review committee at Ziauddin University approved this study and the consent procedure.

Study population

The study participants included 171 out of total 195 medical students from the first and fifth year curriculum of the Medical College at Ziauddin University in Karachi, Pakistan, in 2012. There were a total of 96 students in the first year and 75 students in the fifth year. There were 107 female and 64 male students in the population studied. Students who were not present during the administration of the questionnaire (N=18) and incomplete forms (N=6) were not included in the study. Ziauddin Medical College follows a five-year medical school curriculum with the first three years focused on pre-clinical study, with limited patient contact, followed by two years of clinical study. The teaching language at the institute is English.

Two cohorts completed the Empathy Quotient Scale questionnaire anonymously, one comprised of first year medical students, the other of fifth year students. The study population included all students who provided complete information in the questionnaire. A list of total students for both the cohorts was extracted from the admissions department at Ziauddin University.

Instruments used

The medical students completed the Baron-Cohen and Wheelwright Empathy Quotient Scale (EQ), which is a psychometrically validated and reliable instrument for measuring the components

of empathy^{8,9}. The questionnaire used was in its original language (English) and format. The EQ consists of 60 questions, divided into two types: 40 questions judge the empathy of the participant (see Table 1). The remainder are filler questions, which are included to distract the participant from the constant exposure to empathy questions, thus acting as a control.

Each question had the option of four different responses (strongly agree, slightly agree, slightly disagree and strongly disagree). The “strongly agree” response carried two points while “slightly agree” response scored one point, on the following questions: 1, 6, 19, 22, 25, 26, 35, 36, 37, 38, 41, 42, 43, 44, 52, 54, 55, 57, 58, 59, and 60.

The “strongly disagree” response carried two points while “slightly agree” response score one point, on the following questions: 4, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 18, 21, 27, 28, 29, 32, 34, 39, 46, 48, 49, and 50⁸.

The participants were asked to provide their age and gender before filling out the EQ. Gender was included because it has been previously reported that female medical students and physicians tend to have more empathy compared to their male counterparts^{10,11}. As people age they become mature and develop concern for others, which correlates with a gain in empathy hence we included age as a variable¹². The age range for first year students was 17–22 years and for fifth year students was 21–26 years.

Study design/procedure

One author distributed the self-administered questionnaires among the medical students between December 2011 and February 2012. Participation was voluntary and students were assured the responses were confidential. Informed consent was obtained from participants after explaining the purpose of the survey to them. The surveys were conducted immediately after a scheduled lecture, where attendance was compulsory for all medical students within the same cohort. Each student was given ample time to fill the questionnaire; students who were in a hurry were allowed to take the questionnaire and complete it at their own convenience and return it.

Participants who failed to complete or return the administered survey were defined as a non-responder. Baron-Cohen and Wheelwright define a score of 33 or more, out of a total of 80, as adequate empathy levels⁸. Individuals who scored below 33 were defined as “class 1”, they had a lower than average ability to understand and respond to other people’s feelings. Students who scored 33 or more were divided into 3 categories according to their scores. A score

Table 1. Item numbers for empathy assessment and filler questions in the Baron-Cohen and Wheelwright Empathy Quotient Scale.

Question type	Item numbers
Empathy assessment	1, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 18, 19, 21, 22, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 32, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 41, 42, 43, 44, 46, 48, 49, 50, 52, 54, 55, 57, 58, 59, 60
Filler	2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 13, 16, 17, 20, 23, 24, 30, 31, 33, 40, 45, 47, 51, 53, 56

between 33–52 was defined as “class 2”, where participants showed an average ability to understand and respond to others feelings. A score between 53–63 was defined as “class 3”, where the students showed an above average ability to understand and respond to another person’s feelings. A score between 64–80 was defined as “class 4”, where the responder showed a very high ability to understand and respond to the feelings of others.

Statistical analyses

All computation was done using SPSS (version 20). For numeric data mean and standard deviation was used. For categorical data, we used percentages and frequencies. An independent t-test was applied to assess differences between the means of gender and the year of study. The measure of association between age and score was calculated using coefficient of correlation. A chi-square test was applied between the score cohorts, year of study and age group. A P-value lower than 0.05 was deemed significant.

Results

Of the 177 surveys distributed, 171 were completed and returned. The responders represent 88% of the total body of students in first and fifth year of Ziauddin Medical College.

Figure 1 displays the distribution of EQ scores in the two groups. A comparative analysis revealed that the mean scores for fifth year students (40.85 ± 9.833) were almost equivalent to the first year students (40.70 ± 9.497).

Our analysis of the EQ score for first years revealed that 67.7% of the students had class 2 scores while the remaining 19.8% and 12.5% students scored within classes 1 and 3, respectively. Similarly, the majority of fifth years had class 2 scores (73.3%) while the remaining students obtained class 1, 3 and 4 scores (18.7%, 6.7% and 1.3%), respectively. However, a non-significant association was found between the year of study with EQ scores ($P=0.401$), as shown in Table 2.

We found no association between age and EQ scores ($P=0.77$).

Table 3 shows the empathy score classification according to gender in both years. According to the data, females had a higher mean score (85%) compared to their male counter parts (75%) when both year groups were combined. These results were also consistent with our findings between sexes within each year group. Female mean scores were 42 ± 9.60 while males were 38.7 ± 9.358 ($P=0.03$).

Empathy quotient scores of 1st and 5th year medical students at a medical university in Pakistan

1 Data File

<http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.741709>

Figure 1. Empathy Quotient (EQ) scores in first and final year medical students. EQ scores were not significantly different between year groups.

Table 2. Association between year of study with empathy class.

Class	1st year N (%)	5th year N (%)	Total
Class I	19 (19.79)	14 (18.67)	33
Class II	65 (67.71)	55 (73.33)	120
Class III	12 (12.5)	5 (6.66)	17
Class IV	0 (0)	1 (1.33)	1
Total	96 (100)	75 (100)	171

Table 3. Comparison between the Empathy Quotient score classes with respect to gender.

Gender	Class I	Class II	Class III	Class IV	Total
Male	26.6%	65.6%	7.8%	0%	100%
Female	15%	72.9%	11.2%	0.9%	100%

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, our study is one of the first to assess empathy amongst medical students in Pakistan. Our results display novel findings, with adequate empathy levels in both first year and final year medical students. Contrary to various studies, which state that empathy decreases as the level of medical education increases^{5,6}, in our study students in their final year had adequate empathy levels similar to students in first year. This is in support of results obtained in previous Japanese and Korean studies^{13,14}. Morling and Lamoreaux¹⁵ have reported that Asians have more 'collectivistic and less individualistic social cultures' than Westerners, and a possible parallel can be drawn between our results and those seen in other culturally similar Asian countries such as Japan and Korea.

The empathy scores recorded amongst senior medical students in our study could also be a result of cohort effects. The emphasis placed during medical training on medical ethics, a considerate attitude towards the patient's wellbeing and a concentration on a patient centered approach may increase empathy. Furthermore, after the second year of medical school, there is constant patient exposure where students are required to learn history-taking skills and regular examinations that build the student's professional attitude and approach to gaining patient cooperation, factors that may enhance student empathy. Subjects such as behavioral sciences and medical ethics are taught in the third year of medicine; integrating behavioral sciences at the undergraduate levels can help doctors-in-training to have a better understanding of behavioral issues in clinical settings later on¹⁶. Hence, the training obtained throughout medical school may persuade students to implement a sympathetic manner in their interpersonal relationships with patients. It is also worth noting that in Pakistan a great deal of emphasis is placed on apt history taking, focused clinical examina-

tions and particularly minimal lab tests to arrive at a diagnosis. The majority of the population is underprivileged and cannot afford the expense of treatment let alone costly diagnostic tests. Hence, the lack of 'computer based diagnostic and therapeutic technology'¹⁷ enables physicians and the students shadowing them to rely mainly on good patient interaction and clinical expertise.

In contrast, the literature often shows a positive association of empathy with respect to age. The younger year groups may be less exposed to clinical situations and may hold more idealistic views when starting out in their medical education. Stressors such as academic performance, long work hours^{18–26}, lack of sleep and subsequent increases in responsibility with age are all contributory factors to a decrease in empathy^{12,27,28}. It has been suggested by Rosenfield and Jones²⁹ that a high emphasis is placed on the student's ability to objectively assess the patient and to maintain a professional and neutral approach. This may all contribute to a decrease in empathy with over the course of medical training.

Through our results, it was also found that females are more empathic than males in both year groups, a finding that is consistent with many international studies^{30–33}.

Women show a greater understanding of the emotional support that the patient may need and generally tend to give a higher significance to developing inter-personal relationships with patients^{34–37}, whereas men tend to assign greater significance to authority, independence and control³⁸.

Many reasons have been cited for greater empathy levels in females, including the suggestion that women have evolved to be more gentle and compassionate towards their offspring than their male counterparts³⁰, and hence demonstrate better communication skills and a higher level of understanding towards their offspring. A possible connection can be drawn here, as offspring and patients both require care.

There were several limitations to our research. Due to the cross-sectional nature of our study, we were only able to examine empathy levels in year 1 and year 5. It would be of interest to measure how empathy varies each year throughout the five years of medical school using a prospective longitudinal study design. Secondly, it may also be that the candidates own self-perception influences his/her choices while filling out the questionnaires and this may vary from the actual behavior that is implemented in their everyday interactions. Thirdly, our study only focuses on students attending a private medical school in Pakistan. The results cannot be generalized for all medical colleges and a greater coverage of different medical schools and a larger study population are needed to validate our results further. It is also important to note that other characteristics such as cultural backgrounds and specialty preferences can have an impact on empathy levels; previous research has shown that students opting for 'people oriented specialties' show higher empathy levels than those who prefer 'technology oriented specialties'¹⁷.

The strengths of our study include an appropriate sample size, which represents 88% of the sample population. Our research is the first of its kind in Pakistan and assesses multiple variables such as age, gender and year of education.

Recommendations

It would be valuable to carry out a prospective study where students are followed annually from the beginning of first year until graduation, to give a true representation of change in empathy levels. Other variables such as cultural backgrounds and specialty preferences should be noted.

Conclusion

Medicine is a field where interpersonal skills and concern for others are of key importance, for it is a field whose very core is based on service to humanity. It is thus essential that empathy should be nurtured in medical students rather than eroded away with time and clinical exposure. It was surprising to see that a large majority of both first years and fifth years maintained adequate empathy levels despite no significant emphasis placed on the matter throughout the medical curriculum. Gender differences were significant and further research needs to be carried out to determine reasons for this. Our research paves the way for further research to be carried out with Pakistani medical students, which may further justify our results and identify additional influencing variables.

Author contributions

Areeb Sohail conceived the study and design, collected, analyzed and interpreted the data and drafted the article. Nisreen Ali and Abdul Haseeb contributed to the design of the study, collected and analyzed data and drafted the article. Sobia Haqqi supervised, interpreted the data and drafted the article.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all the students from batch 13 of Ziauddin Medical College for their voluntary participation in this study. Special thanks to Dr. Farhana Zafar and Dr. Nosheen Zehra, for their assistance during the data collection and for their endless support throughout the study.

References

- Rogers CR: **Empathic: An unappreciated way of being.** *Couns Psychol.* 1975; 5: 2–10.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Hemmerdinger JM, Stoddart SD, Lilford RJ: **A systematic review of tests of empathy in medicine.** *BMC Med Educ.* 2007; 7: 24.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Pederson C: **Presence as a nursing intervention with hospitalized children.** *Matern Child Nurs J.* 1993; 21(3): 75–81.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Hojat M, Louis DZ, Markham FW, *et al.*: **Physicians' empathy and clinical outcomes for diabetic patients.** *Acad Med.* 2011; 86(3): 359–64.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Tavakol S, Dennick R, Tavakol M: **Medical students' understanding of empathy: a phenomenological study.** *Med Educ.* 2012; 46(3): 306–16.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Pedersen R: **Empirical research on empathy in medicine-A critical review.** *Patient Educ Couns.* 2009; 76(3): 307–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Pedersen R: **Empathy development in medical education—a critical review.** *Med Teach.* 2010; 32(7): 593–600.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Baron-Cohen S, Wheelwright S: **The empathy quotient: an investigation of adults with asperger syndrome or high functioning autism, and normal sex differences.** *J Autism Dev Disord.* 2004; 34: 163–175.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Lawrence EJ, Shaw P, Baker D, *et al.*: **Measuring empathy: reliability and validity of the Empathy Quotient.** *Psychol Med.* 2004; 34: 911–919.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Hojat M, Mangione S, Nasca TJ, *et al.*: **The Jefferson Scale of Physician Empathy: development and preliminary psychometric data.** *Educ Psychol Meas.* 2001; 61: 349–65.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Hojat M, Gonnella JS, Mangione S, *et al.*: **Empathy in medical students as related to academic performance, clinical competence and gender.** *Med Educ.* 2002; 36: 522–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Davis MH: **Measuring individual differences in empathy; evidence for a multidimensional approach.** *J Pers Soc Psychol.* 1983; 44: 113–26.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kataoka HU, Koide N, Ochi K, *et al.*: **Measurement of empathy among Japanese medical students: psychometrics and score differences by gender and level of medical education.** *Acad Med.* 2009; 84(9): 1192–1197.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Roh MS, Hahm BJ, Lee DH, *et al.*: **Evaluation of empathy among Korean medical students: a cross-sectional study using the Korean version of the Jefferson scale of physician empathy.** *Teach Learn Med.* 2010; 22(3): 167–171.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Morling B, Lamoreaux M: **Measuring culture outside the head: a meta-analysis of individualism-collectivism in cultural products.** *Pers Soc Psychol Rev.* 2008; 12: 199–221.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Humayun A, Herbert M: **Towards behavioral sciences in undergraduate training: a core curriculum.** *J Pak Med Assoc.* 2011; 61(8): 800–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Hojat M, Vergare MJ, Maxwell K, *et al.*: **The devil is in the third year: a longitudinal study of erosion of empathy in medical school.** *Acad Med.* 2009; 84: 1182–1191.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Westerman GH, Grandy TG, Ocanto RA, *et al.*: **Perceived sources of stress in the dental school environment.** *J Dent Educ.* 1993; 57(3): 225–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Sanders AE, Lushington K: **Effect of perceived stress on student performance in dental school.** *J Dent Educ.* 2002; 66(1): 75–81.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Polychronopoulou A, Divaris K: **Perceived sources of stress among Greek dental students.** *J Dent Educ.* 2005; 69(6): 687–92.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Rajab LD: **Perceived sources of stress among dental students at the University of Jordan.** *J Dent Educ.* 2001; 65(3): 232–41.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Burk DT, Bender DJ: **Use and perceived effectiveness of student support services in a first-year dental student population.** *J Dent Educ.* 2005; 69(10): 1148–60.
[PubMed Abstract](#)

23. Yap AU, Bhole S, Teo CS: **A cross-cultural comparison of perceived sources of stress in the dental school environment.** *J Dent Educ.* 1996; **60**(5): 459–64.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
24. te Brake H, Bloemendal E, Hoogstraten J: **Gender differences in burnout among Dutch dentists.** *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 2003; **31**: 321–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
25. Acharya S: **Factors affecting stress among Indian dental students.** *J Dent Educ.* 2003; **67**(10): 1140–1148.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
26. Dahlin M, Joneborg N, Runeson B: **Stress and depression among medical students: a cross-sectional study.** *Med Educ.* 2005; **39**: 594–604.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
27. Spencer J: **Editorial: decline in empathy in medical education: how can we stop the rot?** *Med Educ.* 2004; **38**: 916–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
28. Aktekin M, Karaman T, Senol YY, *et al.*: **Anxiety, depression, and stressful life events among medical students: a prospective study in Antalya, Turkey.** *Med Educ.* 2001; **35**: 12–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
29. Rosenfield PJ, Jones L: **Striking a balance: training medical students to provide empathetic care.** *Med Educ.* 2004; **38**: 927–33.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
30. Hojat M, Gonnella JS, Nasca TJ, *et al.*: **Physician empathy: Definition, components, measurement and relationship to gender and specialty.** *Am J Psychiatry.* 2002; **159**: 1563–1569.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
31. Hojat M, Mangione S, Nasca TJ, *et al.*: **The Jefferson Scale of Physician Empathy: Development and preliminary psychometric data.** *Educ Psychol Meas.* 2001; **61**: 349–365.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
32. Hojat M, Gonnella JS, Nasca TJ, *et al.*: **The Jefferson Scale of Physician Empathy: Further psychometric data and differences by gender and specialty at item level.** *Acad Med.* 2002; **77**(10 suppl): S58–60.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
33. Hojat M, Zuckerman M, Magee M, *et al.*: **Empathy in medical students as related to specialty interest, personality, and perceptions of mother and father.** *Pers Individ Dif.* 2005; **39**: 1205–1215.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
34. Chen D, Lew R, Hershman W: **A cross-sectional measurement of medical student empathy.** *J Gen Intern Med.* 2007; **22**(10): 1434–1438.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
35. Austin EJ, Evans P, Magnus B, *et al.*: **A preliminary study of empathy, emotional intelligence and examination performance in MBChB students.** *Med Educ.* 2007; **41**: 684–689.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
36. Newton BW, Barber L, Clardy J, *et al.*: **Is there hardening of the heart during medical school?** *Acad Med.* 2008; **83**(3): 244–249.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
37. Hojat M, Gonnella JS, Mangione S, *et al.*: **Empathy in medical students as related to academic performance, clinical competence and gender.** *Med Educ.* 2002; **36**: 522–527.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
38. Di Lillo M, Cicchetti A, Lo Scalzo A, *et al.*: **The Jefferson Scale of Physician Empathy: preliminary psychometrics and group comparisons in Italian physicians.** *Acad Med.* 2009; **84**(9): 1198–1202.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 29 July 2013

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.1720.r1094>

© 2013 Hegazi I. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Iman Hegazi

Medical Education Unit, University of Western Sydney, NSW, Australia

I have read the manuscript with great interest. Empathy will remain an area of high importance for medical education and, despite being extensively studied, its research should still be encouraged world-wide. This is well-conducted research and the authors have succeeded in explaining the data clearly and concisely. The abstract is concise and provides an adequate summary of the article. The article is clearly written and well constructed. I recommend only a few minor changes: I believe that the current title may be somewhat misleading as the word "maintenance" implies a more longitudinal study, or a study across all cohorts from first to final year, whereas this research is more of a comparative cross-sectional study between first and final year medical students. I recommend that a more appropriate title be used. The methodological rigor is adequate with only a few pointers: Since the EQ test was not included in the article, I do not see any need for paragraphs 5 and 6 in the "Materials and methods" section. These paragraphs did not help explain the EQ and those who are interested in understanding the EQ test can easily refer to the reference. Similarly, table 1 does not add any information and can be removed. In the statistical analyses section, it was mentioned that the t-test and chi-square test were used. The values of these tests along with the p-values should be included in the text and in tables 2 and 3. The discussion and conclusion appear to be sensible and balanced. It would have been interesting to tell us about the selection process that medical students have to go through before being accepted in this private medical school. Overall, this is a well-written and interesting article.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 25 July 2013

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.1720.r1095>

© 2013 Foroushani P. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Pooria Sarrami Foroushani

Faculty of Medicine, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

The article has an appropriate title, and the abstract provides adequate information about the study. The paper is well written, containing clear and balanced data about the study and related literature. Bangash et al have used a standard questionnaire to compare empathy level of first and five year medical students. They had a high response rate and have found that empathy level remains at the high level of 80%. The authors have referred to the limitation of their methodology. They have argued that this finding is different from Westerners' studies and is similar to the results of researches in other Asian countries, such as Japan and Korea. This is an interesting topic for further explorations. As Bangash et al have also recommended, it will be interesting to undertake prospective longitudinal studies and also to explore to the relationship between self-reported empathy with actual interactions with patients.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 19 July 2013

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.1720.r1107>

© 2013 Black K. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Kevin J Black

Washington University in St. Louis, St Louis, MO, USA

The authors surveyed first- and fifth-year medical students in a private medical school in Pakistan with the goal of quantifying empathy according to a standard questionnaire. The hypothesis was that medical students' empathy declines during training.

A high survey return rate was achieved (88%). Women scored higher on empathy than men, which fits the results of other studies. However, there was no significant difference in empathy scores between beginning and senior medical students whether viewed as a mean or as a distribution between typical, low, and high score categories.

The authors discuss possible explanations for their results appropriately and do not go beyond the data. They note the primary limitations: the cross-sectional approach and the focus on one school.

The authors are impressed that ~80% of the students scored in the normal to above normal empathy ranges. By contrast, I was surprised that 19-20% of the students scored in the below-normal range. After all, these are people entering a profession devoted to taking care of others! I am not aware of whether other studies of empathy among physicians or trainees show higher or lower rates of empathy than in the general population, but if so, a mention of those results in Discussion would add interest.

Overall, this is an interesting tidbit of information about medical training, presented clearly and without exaggeration.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research