RESEARCH ARTICLE

REVISED The effect of MEP pathway and other inhibitors on the intracellular localization of a plasma membrane-targeted, isoprenylable GFP reporter protein in tobacco BY-2 cells
[version 2; peer review: 3 approved]

Michael Hartmann^{1,2}, Andrea Hemmerlin¹, Elisabet Gas-Pascual^{1,3}, Esther Gerber^{1,4}, Denis Tritsch⁵, Michel Rohmer⁵, Thomas J Bach¹

¹Département Réseaux Métaboliques, Institut de Biologie Moléculaire des Plantes, CNRS UPR 2357, Université de Strasbourg, F-67083 Strasbourg, France

²Current address: Institute of Biological Chemistry, Washington State University, Pullman WA, 99164-6340, USA

³Current address: Department of Horticulture and Crop Science, Ohio State University, Wooster OH, 44691, USA

⁴Current address: Deinove SA, F-34830 Clapiers, France

⁵UMR 7177 CNRS, Laboratoire de Chimie et Biochimie des Microorganismes, Institut de Chimie de Strasbourg, Université de Strasbourg, F-67008 Strasbourg, France

v2 First published: 12 Aug 2013, 2:170
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-170.v1>

Latest published: 15 Nov 2013, 2:170
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-170.v2>

Abstract

We have established an *in vivo* visualization system for the geranylgeranylation of proteins in a stably transformed tobacco BY-2 cell line, based on the expression of a dexamethasone-inducible GFP fused to the carboxy-terminal basic domain of the rice calmodulin CaM61, which naturally bears a CaaL geranylgeranylation motif (GFP-BD-CVIL). By using pathway-specific inhibitors it was demonstrated that inhibition of the methylerythritol phosphate (MEP) pathway with known inhibitors like oxoclozoxone and fosmidomycin, as well as inhibition of the protein geranylgeranyltransferase type 1 (GGT-1), shifted the localization of the GFP-BD-CVIL protein from the membrane to the nucleus. In contrast, the inhibition of the mevalonate (MVA) pathway with mevinolin did not affect the localization. During the present work, this test system has been used to examine the effect of newly designed inhibitors of the MEP pathway and inhibitors of sterol biosynthesis such as squalestatin, terbinafine and Ro48-8071. In addition, we also studied the impact of different post-prenylation inhibitors or those suspected to affect the transport of proteins to the plasma membrane on the localization of the geranylgeranylable fusion protein GFP-BD-CVIL.

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3
version 2			
(revision)			
15 Nov 2013			
version 1			
12 Aug 2013			

1. **Ivo Feussner**, Georg-August-University, Göttingen, Germany

2. **Michael Pirrung**, University of California, Riverside, CA, USA

3. **Angelos Kanellis**, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Associated Method Article

Hartmann M, Gas-Pascual E, Hemmerlin A *et al.* » Development of an image-based screening system for inhibitors of the plastidial MEP pathway and of protein geranylgeranylation, F1000Research 2015, **4**:14 (<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.5923.2>)

Corresponding author: Thomas J Bach (bach@unistra.fr)

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: We are grateful for a Ph.D. fellowship attributed to Michael Hartmann provided by the Région Alsace. The research conducted in the laboratories of T.J. Bach and M. Rohmer was supported by grants from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-05-BLAN-0217-01 and -02 TERPENE; ANR-06-BLAN-0291-02 BIOSIS). General support was also provided by the Centre national de la recherche scientifique (CNRS) and the Université de Strasbourg.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2013 Hartmann M *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Hartmann M, Hemmerlin A, Gas-Pascual E *et al.* **The effect of MEP pathway and other inhibitors on the intracellular localization of a plasma membrane-targeted, isoprenylable GFP reporter protein in tobacco BY-2 cells [version 2; peer review: 3 approved]** F1000Research 2013, **2**:170 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-170.v2>

First published: 12 Aug 2013, **2**:170 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-170.v1>

REVISED Changes from Version 1

In this updated version we have corrected the chemical structure errors in Figure S4 and have replaced the term 'Equal intensity' in both Figure 6B and the corresponding sentence in the main text with 'Equal distribution'.

See referee reports

Introduction

In higher plants, the synthesis of the general isoprenoid precursors isopentenyl diphosphate (IPP) and dimethylallyl diphosphate (DMAPP) is accomplished through two different routes, the cytosolic mevalonic acid (MVA) pathway and the plastidial methylerythritol phosphate (MEP) pathway (cf.¹).

The MVA pathway supplies the biosynthetic precursors for isoprenoids in the majority of eukaryotes (including all animals, the archaea, some eubacteria, fungi and the cytosol/mitochondria of some algae and higher plants). It can be found in several important human parasites, such as *Trypanosoma* and *Leishmania*². In humans, the MVA pathway operates alone and produces a variety of critical end products, including cholesterol, steroid hormones, dolichols and the prenyl moiety of cancer-associated cell signaling proteins like RAS³⁻⁶. In plants, the cytosolic IPP provided by the MVA pathway serves as precursor for the synthesis of sterols, brassinosteroids, polyprenols, dolichols and most sesquiterpenes and to some extent as a substrate for protein prenylation (cf.^{7,8}). Moreover, cytosolic IPP is imported into the mitochondria, where it serves as precursor for ubiquinone⁹⁻¹¹.

The alternative pathway (or MEP-pathway) for the synthesis of isoprenoids occurs in eubacteria, cyanobacteria, and the plastids of phototrophic algae and plants¹²⁻¹⁹. In plants, the precursors, provided by the plastidial MEP pathway are used for the biosynthesis of essential isoprenoids of the photosynthetic apparatus such as carotenoids, the side-chains of chlorophyll and plastoquinone, as well as for isoprene, tocopherols, phylloquinones and the phytohormones ABA and gibberellin²⁰, with ABA being a cleavage product of carotenoids, like the more recently discovered strigolactones^{21,22}. In addition to these ubiquitous compounds in plants, the MEP pathway is the route for the biosynthesis of the vast majority of plant terpenoids, including countless secondary metabolites with defensive, allelopathic or signaling properties^{11,18,23} (and Hemmerlin *et al.*²⁴ for review of literature). Furthermore, precursors derived from the MEP pathway are used for the post-translational modification of certain proteins by the covalent addition of a farnesyl-(C₁₅) or geranylgeranyl (C₂₀) residue, a process commonly referred to as protein prenylation (cf. the accompanying paper²⁵ and literature cited therein).

In preceding studies investigating the differential effect of a series of inhibitors of isoprenoid biosynthesis and function in cell cycle progression in unsynchronized and synchronized BY-2 cells²⁶, it had been observed that blockage of protein farnesylation by chaetomelic acid not only led to a considerable percentage increase in dead cells during the culture period, but also to a specific arrest in the transition from G2 into M phase. By contrast,

mevinolin for instance (which inhibits the key-regulatory enzyme HMG-CoA reductase in the cytosolic MVA pathway) arrested cells mainly at the transition from G1 to S phase²⁷. This latter finding is most likely due to the lack of some MVA-derived signal formed at the end of mitotic phase that might be implied in the regulation of the cytoplasmic pH²⁸. These and other observations prompted a series of further studies in which the possibility that the plastidial MEP pathway could complement MVA deficiency was tested. Indeed it was demonstrated that exogenously added deoxyxylulose (DX, the dephosphorylated first product of the MEP pathway) could overcome mevinolin-induced growth inhibition, even more efficiently than exogenous MVA²⁹. To enter the plastidial MEP route, this DX needs conversion into its phosphate (DXP) by a cytosolic xylulose kinase³⁰, followed by translocation into plastids. As a logical follow-up, and in view of early reports that in plants geranylgeranylated proteins seem to be present in higher quantities than farnesylated ones^{31,32}, we embarked on studying this phenomenon more closely. Our interest is not only focused on elucidation of the biosynthetic origin of farnesyl and geranylgeranyl residues, but also on the action of inhibitors on precursor availability and on the specificity of prenyltransferases. For instance, we could demonstrate the incorporation of ¹⁴C-DX into proteins in BY-2 cells²⁹.

The central element of our recent studies was an *in vivo*-visualization system based on a stably transformed tobacco BY-2 (TBY-2) cell line for monitoring the prenylation status of a GFP fusion protein. Isoprenylation of proteins, which occurs in all eukaryotic cells, involves the covalent attachment of a C₁₅ (farnesyl) or C₂₀ (geranylgeranyl) group to a C-terminal CaaX motif, followed by a series of post-prenylation reactions. This lipidic post-translational modification plays an important role in the correct membrane targeting of certain proteins and in their interactions with other proteins.

This system consisted of a dexamethasone-inducible cell line that expressed a reporter protein (GFP) fused to the carboxy-terminal basic domain of the rice calmodulin (CaM61), which naturally bears a CaaL geranylgeranylation motif (GFP-BD-CVIL). After induction, the prenylated fusion protein predominantly associated with the plasma membrane. By using pathway-specific inhibitors, we demonstrated that inhibition of the MEP pathway with oxoclozoxone and fosmidomycin, as well as inhibition of the protein geranylgeranyltransferase type 1 (GGT1), triggered a shift in the localization of the GFP-BD-CVIL protein from the plasma membrane to the nucleus¹. By contrast, inhibition of the MVA pathway (by mevinolin) or protein farnesyltransferases did not affect the localization of the chimeric fusion protein. Among other experiments, complementation assays with pathway-specific intermediates were performed and clearly indicated that the precursors for the geranylgeranylation of the fusion protein in tobacco BY-2 cells were predominantly provided by the MEP pathway¹.

However, at the end of this previous study several questions remained unsolved that will be addressed in more detail in the present work, including the impact of inhibitors of sterol biosynthesis and post-prenylation reactions on the subcellular localization of the His₆-tagged GFP-BD-CVIL fusion protein. In order to prove that the present bioassay was not only able to serve as a qualitative approach

for the identification of new drug candidates, but also as a statistical tool to compare the potential drug candidates with other known inhibitors *in vivo*, we also performed a quantitative analysis of the intracellular distribution of GFP-DB-CVIL in response to different concentrations of novel prodrugs, targeting the early steps of the MEP pathway.

Results

The robustness of the BY-2 cell test system - effects of treatments with sterol biosynthesis inhibitors

By blocking the early steps of sterol biosynthesis with pathway-specific inhibitors we tried to determine the impact of sterol depletion in the plasma membrane as well as of the modulation of the pool of endogenously available FPP on the localization of the H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL fusion protein.

First of all, squalostatin-1 (SQ), also referred to as zaragozic acid³³, was used to specifically inhibit the conversion of farnesyl diphosphate (FPP) to squalene by the first committed enzyme in the sterol/triterpene pathway, squalene synthase (SQS). SQ is a competitive inhibitor of SQS and structurally mimics the reaction intermediate presqualene diphosphate^{33–37}.

Seven-day-old BY-2 cells were diluted at a ratio of 1 to 6 in fresh medium and treated with 1 μM SQ for 18–24 h (in different independent experiments). 15 h before observation of the cells by fluorescence microscopy, expression of the prenylatable fusion protein H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL was induced by addition of 10 μM dexamethasone (150 rpm, 26 °C, growth in the dark).

The results of this treatment are shown in Figure 1a. Two general observations can be made. First of all, treatment with SQ resulted in a partial mislocalization of the prenylated fusion protein from the PM to the nuclear compartment, with the strongest signal being emitted by the nucleolus. In addition, the overall morphology of the treated cells was changed. Cells treated with 0.5 or 1 μM SQ showed stunted growth with clearly reduced length-to-diameter ratios as compared to control cells. This latter effect has already been described³⁸.

In order to examine whether this effect was due to sterol depletion or a side effect of squalostatin on prenyl transferases in general (cf.³⁹), BY-2 cells were treated with terbinafine (Tb), a specific, non-competitive inhibitor of the fungal squalene epoxidase (SQE). In mammals, however, it acts as a competitive inhibitor of this enzyme⁴⁰. Its inhibitory efficiency in plant cells has been demonstrated with celery (*Apium graveolens*) cell suspension cultures⁴¹, wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) seedlings⁴², cat's claw (*Uncaria tomentosa*)⁴³ and with our model system, BY-2 cell suspension cultures³⁸. Treatments with Tb were performed at 30 μM up to 24 h (as well as 18 h). The cells treated with Tb showed a very slightly stunted growth as compared to the control cells and displayed faint GFP signals in the cytosol, close to the PM. However, no mislocalization of the prenylatable fusion protein to the nuclear compartment could be observed under the chosen experimental conditions (Figure 1a).

Finally, Ro48-8071 (Ro) was used to inhibit one of the key steps of sterol biosynthesis, the conversion of the linear oxidosqualene into cycloartenol, the first cyclic precursor of phytosterols. This reaction

is catalyzed by cycloartenol-synthase (CAS) in plants⁴⁴. Ro is a potent inhibitor of oxidosqualene cyclases (OSC) in general, including lanosterol synthase (LAS) in mammals and fungi⁴⁵. The structure of Ro48-8071, which is an orally active inhibitor of human hepatic OSC, has been determined in complex with the squalene-hopene cyclase (SHC), the prokaryotic counterpart of OSCs, responsible for the conversion of squalene into cyclic compounds in bacteria, and it is suggested that Ro reacts with the expected binding site for squalene⁴⁶. Ro treatments were performed at 2 μg/ml under the same experimental conditions as described previously for SQ and Tb applications. Cells treated with Ro for 18/24 h looked morphologically more or less like the control cells. The GFP fusion protein was mainly localized at the level of the plasma membrane, although there were also faint signals (small speckles) of fluorescence near the PM like those observed for the previous Tb treatments. However, no mislocalization of the GFP fluorescence to the nucleus/nucleolus was observed (Figure 1a). When compared to treatments like those described by Gerber *et al.*¹ and repeated here (Figure 1b), the effects were slightly less pronounced.

Various complementation experiments were performed with SQ-treated cells. Whereas DX (0.5 mM) and geranylgeraniol (GGol, 20 μM) were able to re-establish the membrane localization in 100% of the cells (better than the control), neither geraniol (Gol, 20 μM) nor mevalonolactone (MVL, 5 mM) and its open carboxylic form mevalonate (MVA, 3 mM) could complement the mislocalization under the chosen experimental conditions. Finally, squalene was added at 2–4 mM, but did not overcome the SQ-induced effect either (Figure 2).

Post-prenylation inhibitors and transport of GFP-BD-CVIL to the plasma membrane

As mentioned earlier, the purification and analysis of the His₆-tagged GFP-BD-CVIL fusion protein revealed that it was geranylgeranylated and carboxyl-methylated in BY-2 cells¹. In the past the study of post-prenylation inhibition has become a very attractive topic, as both reactions are essential for the localization of many prenylated proteins by mediating their attachment to membranes and protein-protein interactions.

In preliminary experiments we tested inhibitors of RAS converting enzyme 1 (RCE1), and of isoprenylcysteine carboxyl methyltransferase (ICMT), but most of them induced cell death when used in commonly cited concentrations and time-scales in our model system. This is most likely due to the efficient uptake and high metabolic activity of BY-2 cells²⁹ and requires adjustment of the experimental conditions. Nonetheless, the results obtained after various treatments indicated that both inhibition of RCE1 and ICMT affected the localization of H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL (Figure 3). For instance, short-term treatment (15 h induction, then treatment for 3 h with 200 μM of the prenylcysteine analog *N*-acetyl-*S*-farnesyl-L-cysteine (AFC)) strongly changed the distribution pattern of the H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL and besides the PM, both the cytosol as well as the nucleolus displayed strong GFP signals (Figure 3). Interestingly, a similar effect on the localization of the prenylated GFP-CaM53 fusion protein of *Petunia* was observed by Rodríguez-Concepción *et al.*⁴⁷ in response to AFC treatment (200 μM), using bombarded *Petunia* leaves. Long-term treatments of BY-2 cells (> 10 h) however led to loss of GFP fluorescence and cell death.

Figure 1. a) Localization of H_6 -GFP-BD-CVIL in transgenic BY-2 cells after treatment with inhibitors of sterol biosynthesis. Control: GFP fluorescence is almost exclusively associated with the plasma membrane (PM). SQ: Cells treated with squalenyl synthase (SQS), a specific inhibitor of squalene synthase (SQS) show partial translocation of GFP fluorescence to the nucleus (N) and the nucleolus (No). Tb: Cells treated with terbinafine (Tb), an inhibitor of squalene epoxidase (SQE), showing GFP fluorescence associated with the plasma membrane (very faint fluorescence is also seen in the cytoplasm). Ro: Localization of H_6 -GFP-BD-CVIL in cells treated with Ro48-8071, a general inhibitor of oxidosqualene cyclases (OSC), such as cycloartenol synthase (CAS) in plants, showing GFP fluorescence associated with the plasma membrane. **b) Localization of H_6 -GFP-BD-CVIL fusion protein in transgenic BY-2 cells after treatment with inhibitors of key enzymes of the MVA and MEP pathways.** Control cells were induced 15 h before observation by confocal microscopy as described. Treatment with inhibitors occurred 3 h prior to dexamethasone induction¹¹⁶. Mevinolin (Mev, 5 μ M) did not cause any detectable effect on the localization of GFP-BD-CVIL fusion protein when compared to the untreated control. GFP fluorescence was predominantly located at the periphery of the cells. By contrast, Fosmidomycin (Fos) as well as oxoclozoxone (OC) (here both at 30 μ M) caused a mislocalization of the fusion protein to the nucleus and in particular to the nucleolus. The same phenotype could also be observed after application of 40 μ M GGTI-2133, a peptidomimetic inhibitor of protein geranylgeranyl transferase 1 (PGGT1). White bar = 10 μ m.

Squalestatine treatment	Complementation assay		Observed phenotype
	Treatment	Final concentration	
1µM	Control (substrate carrier)	up to 0.1% of the assay volume
1µM	DX	0.5mM
1µM	MVA/MVL	3mM/5mM
1µM	GOI	1µM and 20µM
1µM	FOI	5µM and 20µM
1µM	GGol	5, 10 and 20µM
1µM	Squalene	2mM and 4mM

Figure 2. Chemical complementation of squalestatin-induced H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL mislocalization. Squalestatin was added at 1µM throughout the series of experiments. Partial delocalization (from the PM to the nucleus/nucleolus) with pathway intermediates, isoprenols and squalene in an attempt to chemically complement the effect of squalestatin. MVA: mevalonic acid; MVL: mevalonolactone; DX: 1-deoxyxylulose; Gol: geraniol; Fol: farnesol; GGol: geranylgeraniol. White bars = 20µm.

Inhibition of the –AAX-proteolysis by *N*-tosyl-L-phenylalanine chloromethyl ketone (TPCK⁴⁸) led to a partial mislocalization of the fusion protein to the nuclear compartment. However, optimal conditions to observe the induced effects still need to be identified.

DXS as a molecular target for oxoclofazone and pyruvate derivatives

DXS (1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate synthase) catalyzes the first step of the alternative MEP pathway, the condensation of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate (GAP) and pyruvate, yielding 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate (DXP). DXS enzymes are highly conserved in bacteria and plants and analyses of their sequences revealed a weak homology

with other thiamine-dependent enzymes, such as transketolases, and the pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 subunit^{49–52}. These enzymes all catalyze similar biochemical reactions^{53,54} by using thiamine diphosphate (TPP) as a cofactor and pyruvate as a substrate. In addition to TPP, DXS also requires a divalent cation (Mg²⁺ or Mn²⁺) for optimum enzyme activity^{55,56}. More recently, efforts were successful to partially crystallize DXS from *E. coli* and *Deinococcus radiodurans* (in complex with TPP), after *in situ* proteolysis of the purified enzyme by a fungal protease^{57,58}, which led to a better understanding of the catalytic mechanism of DXS and possibly paves the way for the design of novel active inhibitors. As to date, only two inhibitors of this enzyme are known: oxoclofazone (OC), for the plant DXS⁵⁹

Figure 3. Effect of post-prenylation inhibitors on the localization of the geranylgeranylated fusion protein H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL. AFC: *N*-acetyl-*S*-farnesyl-L-cysteine, an inhibitor of isoprenylcysteine carboxyl methyltransferase; TPCK: *N*-tosyl-L-phenylalanine chloromethyl ketone, a serine and cysteine proteinase inhibitor. White bars = 20µm.

and fluoropyruvate, for the DXS of *E. coli*⁶⁰. OC, sometimes also referred to as 5-ketoclozazone, was only recently reported to have exhibited antibacterial activity against a pathogenic bacterium, *Haemophilus influenza*⁶¹.

The synthesis of different classes of pyruvate analogs was inspired by known inhibitors of pyruvate decarboxylases and pyruvate dehydrogenases (cf.⁶²⁻⁶⁴). These compounds were then tested with our bioassay for possible effects on the localization of the H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL (Figure 4), thus indicating an inhibitory effect on the biosynthesis of GGPP via the MEP pathway. All compounds (cf. Figure S4) were dissolved in their respective solvent (water or acetonitrile). The only exception was *p*-hydroxyphenylpyruvate, which did not dissolve but gave a homogenous, yellow suspension.

The cells were treated for 18 h, in the presence of 100µM of pyruvate analogs, and the next day examined by confocal fluorescence microscopy. None of the tested compounds induced a mislocalization of green fluorescence, as it was observed for the positive control, which was treated with 50µM OC. However, the dibromopyruvate-treated cell culture showed significant cytotoxic effects as over 90% of cells did not display any GFP-related fluorescence, indicative of cell death. The fluorescence in the remaining cells appeared in cytoplasmic strands and in the cytoplasm surrounding the nucleus (Figure 4).

DXR as a molecular target for fosmidomycin and derived compounds

1-Deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate reductoisomerase (DXR) is the second enzyme of the MEP pathway and catalyzes the conversion of

1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate (DXP) to 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate (MEP). Part of this transformation is an intramolecular rearrangement, yielding 2-C-methyl-D-erythrose 4-phosphate, which is then reduced to MEP in an NADPH-dependent reaction step⁶⁵⁻⁶⁸. Several studies have revealed detailed information about the structure and biochemical properties of the DXR enzyme from *E. coli*⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹. Those results – including the three-dimensional structures of DXR in a ternary complex with DXP/fosmidomycin (Fos) and the co-factor NADPH – suggest a physiologically active homodimer, with each subunit consisting of three distinct domains^{70,71}. In addition to NADPH, DXR requires a divalent metal cation for activity, such as Mn²⁺, Mg²⁺ or, *in vitro*, Co²⁺, which is bound by three highly conserved amino acid residues^{70,72}. This metal cation is chelated by DXP before the first step of the conversion to MEP – an intramolecular rearrangement – takes place. According to the structural data, binding of DXR to its substrate (or to Fos) involves a major conformational rearrangement of the enzyme in the presence of NADPH. Fos acts as a competitive inhibitor, chelating a bivalent cation with its hydroxamate group and binding slowly but very tightly to the catalytic site of DXR⁷⁰. The substrate binding site of the DXR enzyme can be divided into three distinct regions: first of all, a positively charged pocket which interacts with the phosphonate function of Fos (“phosphate-recognition site”), a hydrophobic region covering the backbone of the molecule, as well as an amphipathic region that binds the hydroxamic acid moiety of the molecule. This results in a conformation with a flexible loop covering the central catalytic site, thus forming a barrier with the surrounding solvent⁷¹.

Fos is known to inhibit the DXR enzyme from higher plants^{73,74}, and experiments with various plant species have demonstrated its potential as herbicide, as approved by the chlorotic and bleaching phenotypes that have been observed after its application⁷⁵. In addition, Fos successfully inhibited the isoprenylation of the GFP-BD-CVIL fusion protein in our fluorescent bioassay at concentrations in the micromolar range¹. Fos and its methylated derivative FR-90098 are phosphonohydroxamic acids. In both compounds a hydroxamate function is linked to a phosphonic acid function by a propyl chain. Fos and FR-90098 as well as two hydroxamate derivatives 4-(hydroxyamino)-4-oxobutyl-phosphonic acid (LK1) and 4-[hydroxy(methyl)amino]-4-oxobutyl-phosphonic acid (LK2⁷⁶) have been tested on the transgenic BY-2 cell line expressing the H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL marker protein using the well-established standard conditions. At 100µM, the majority of fluorescence emitted by the GFP marker protein of the cells treated with Fos, with FR-90098 or LK2 accumulated in the nucleus, indicating an efficient inhibition of the MEP pathway (Figure 5a,b). At this concentration, the mislocalization affected about 95% of the observed cells. The only exception was LK1, which needed considerably higher concentrations to cause a similar effect.

A major disadvantage of phosphonate drugs is that the phosphonate group is highly deprotonated at physiological pH. Because of the resulting high polarity (and/or low lipophilicity), the transport across biological membranes and the general bioavailability are restricted (cf.⁷⁷). A common strategy to overcome this problem is masking the phosphonic acid moiety by esterification. For instance, double ester drugs of FR-90098 have shown a 2 to 3 fold higher biological

Figure 4. Confocal microscopy images showing the subcellular localization of the H_6 -GFP-DB-CVIL fusion protein, after treatment with different analogs of pyruvate based on known inhibitors of pyruvate decarboxylase and pyruvate dehydrogenase. The molecules (at a final concentration of 100 μ M) were dissolved and tested under standard conditions (3 h treatment, followed by induction with 10 μ M dexamethasone and examination by fluorescence microscopy 15 h later). Cells were also treated by 50 μ M oxoclozomazone as described previously (positive control). None of the six tested compounds showed a mislocalization of the GFP fluorescence to the nucleus. The compound TJ150, however, appeared to be cytotoxic at the given concentration as cells displayed typical signs of cell death (over 90% of treated cells did not show any fluorescence).

activity compared to their unmodified models in experiments with malaria-infected mice⁷⁸.

Several double ester prodrugs based on the original structures of LK1 (derived from fosmidomycin) and LK2 (derived from FR-900098) were recently synthesized⁷⁹. They will be referred to as SP1 to SP6 in this work (Figure S4). The goal was to enhance the bioavailability of both parent compounds by overcoming barriers, such as poor uptake of the drug by target organisms. After entering the cell by diffusion through the cell wall and transport through the PM, the drug should be released by esterases and chemical hydrolysis. In addition, the drug has to overcome a second barrier within BY-2 cells, the plastid envelope, to reach its target enzyme. The experiments were performed for two major reasons: First of all as

a proof of concept to validate the bioassay with yet untested inhibitors. Second, it was desirable to see how the prodrugs will act in comparison to the reference compound Fos or the direct drug “role models”, LK1 and LK2. It was also important to see whether the bioassay may prove to be valuable for a statistical approach. As all inhibitors, with the exception of LK1, were found to be totally inhibitory at 100 μ M we started testing six prodrug derivatives of LK1 at 50 μ M⁷⁹ to detect a first “all-or-nothing” effect. At 50 μ M, all the six prodrugs were able to induce a mislocalization of the H_6 -GFP-BD-CVIL fusion protein in at least 50% of treated cells. The cells were counted by the operator of the fluorescence microscope at low magnification (using a 10x apochromatic objective) and divided into three major groups according to their respective phenotype – to facilitate a statistical evaluation (Figure 6a):

Figure 5. Localization of H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL in transformed BY-2 cells after treatment with different inhibitors of DXR. a) Confocal images were taken after treatment with different inhibitors of DXR, under standard conditions (3 h pretreatment, followed by 15 h induction with 10µM dexamethasone). Control: Cells were treated with the same volume of solvent (DMSO). Inhibitors: All inhibitors (Fosmidomycin = FOS, FR-900098, LK1 and LK2) were tested at 100µM and caused a significant translocation of the GFP fusion protein to the nucleus/nucleolus. White bars = 10µm. **b)** Quantitative analysis of H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL localization in BY-2 cells treated with inhibitors of DXR. Percentage of cells showing a partial localization of the fluorescence to the nucleus and nucleolus (without taking care of the total intensity of this translocation) and the plasma membrane. For each treatment more than 100 individual cells were counted.

1. Cells in which the fluorescence emitted from the nucleus was clearly dominant;
2. Cells with significant intensity of fluorescence still emitted from the PM (nucleus and membrane were more or less equal in the distribution of fluorescence);
3. Cells in which the fluorescence was mainly associated with the PM and looking like the untreated control (the important part being that no fluorescence was visible in the nucleolus).

The results obtained at a final concentration of 50µM indicated that most of the prodrugs, especially SP2 and SP4, caused a fluorescence shift comparable to that observed after treatment with 50µM Fos (Figure 6b). Among the six prodrugs, SP2 and SP4 appeared to be very active. In both cases, more than 80% of counted cells showed a dominant fluorescent signal from the nuclear region of the cell, indicating a significant inhibition of GGPP biosynthesis through the MEP pathway. The other compounds

Figure 6. a) Confocal microscopy images showing the subcellular localization of H₆-GFP-DB-CVIL fusion protein after treatment with a series of prodrug molecules (SP1–SP6) derived from Fos, an effective inhibitor of DXR. Six molecules have been tested in total. The tested molecules can be divided into two groups. **I) Three non-methylesterprodrugs (derived from LK1: SP1, SP3 and SP5). **II)** Three molecules with a methyl group bound to the N-atom (derived from LK2: SP2, SP4 and SP6). The respective phosphonate groups were masked as acyloxymethyl phosphonate esters⁷⁹. Cells were pre-treated for 3 h with 50μM of each inhibitor (solvent: methanol) before expression of H₆-GFP-DB-CVIL was induced by addition of dexamethasone (10μM final concentration). At the chosen concentration, the majority of all treated cells showed partial or very dominant delocalization of the fluorescence from the plasma membrane to the nucleus/nucleolus. The images are overlays from pictures in transmission light and fluorescence mode and were acquired as described in the main text. Bars = 10μm. **b) Statistical approach to compare the impact of six different pro-drugs on the *in vivo* localization of the prenylable fusion protein H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL. The cells (> 100) were treated with 50μM of the respective inhibitor. Cells were counted by view and sorted in three main categories (dominantly membrane localized (PM), nucleus localized and intermediate localization). The number of cells analyzed in each condition is indicated. **c) Statistical approach to compare the impact of six different pro-drugs on the *in vivo* localization of the prenylable fusion protein H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL. The cells were treated with 5μM of the respective inhibitor. Cells (> 100) were counted by view and sorted in three main categories as described above.******

did not act so efficiently, such as Fos. In summary, a general tendency could be observed, clearly indicating that the methylated analogs of the respective prodrugs were far more efficient than their non-methylated counterparts. This corroborates the results obtained with LK1 and LK2 (cf.⁷⁶) as well as those obtained with Fos and FR-900098 (cf.⁷⁸).

However, at 50μM it was not possible to determine whether the prodrug SP2 was a better inhibitor than Fos. To address this issue, we therefore conducted a second set of experiments, using the inhibitors at a final concentration of 5μM. At this concentration, two major results are noteworthy (Figure 6c): First of all, the efficiency of Fos to induce a mislocalization of the fusion protein drops dramatically. More than 30% of the cells treated with 5μM Fos did not show any fluorescence translocation to the nucleus and gave a phenotype similar to that of the untreated control cells. In addition, the percentage of cells with a dominant fluorescence signal emitted by the nucleus (among the remaining cells with a partial mislocalization) decreased by more than 50%. In contrast, SP2 as well as SP4 only showed a slightly reduced efficiency to induce a dominant mislocalization of the GFP fusion protein from the PM to the nucleus. For SP2, 72% of the cells were still significantly inhibited by the treatment at 5μM. As for SP4, the fact that over 90% of cells had mislocalization patterns indicates that there was almost no detectable loss of efficiency after a 10-fold dilution from 50 to 5μM.

Discussion

Treatment with inhibitors of the sterol biosynthetic pathway

The inhibition of the sterol biosynthetic pathway was used as a tool to check whether a possible re-direction of the metabolic flux in BY-2 cells, in particular FPP molecules non-incorporated into sterols, could lead to a change in the GFP fluorescence pattern or even contribute to overcome the Fos-induced mislocalization of the H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL, reported earlier. The fact that MVA, at high concentrations, was able to rescue the fluorescence at the PM, whereas farnesol (Fol) only partially complemented the inhibition by Fos suggests (among other possibilities) the existence of a bottleneck for the synthesis of GGPP from FPP in the cytosol (no GGPP synthase expression, under our conditions), or the incapacity of FPP to be translocated into the plastid, where the formation of GGPP could take place.

This bottleneck is perhaps HMG-CoA reductase (HMGR), which is generally considered to catalyze the rate-limiting step in sterol synthesis, for both animals² and plants^{7,8,80}. This is supported by the fact that tobacco plants over-expressing HMGR were shown to produce higher amounts of sterol intermediates and end products^{81,82}, whereas the over-expression of a FPP synthase in *Arabidopsis* did not result in an increased production of sterols⁸³.

Due to previous experiments with sterol biosynthesis inhibitors we had some experience on the effective concentrations of SQ and Tb in BY-2 cells³⁸. SQ was shown to be a very efficient inhibitor of SQS (IC₅₀ = 5.5nM), almost completely inhibiting SQS activity after treatment for 24 h at 50nM. In addition, the same concentration of SQ led to a 97% decrease in total radioactivity incorporated into sterols, when radiolabeled [¹⁴C] sodium acetate was added for 2 h before cell harvesting. At 0.5μM, the cells showed a significant decrease in cell mass (50%), which correlates very well with the

stunted growth observed during this study. In a preceding study it was demonstrated that the presence of SQ led to a growth arrest of BY-2 cells in the early G1 phase, but did not cause any cytotoxic effect or apoptosis²⁶. Inhibition of SQS by SQ was also shown to trigger a stimulation of HMGR expression and activity³⁸.

Inhibition by Tb was revealed to cause a significant decrease in the cell sterol content as well as a massive accumulation of squalene, which accumulated in cytosolic lipid bodies. However, the cell growth was not impaired as indicated by the analysis of the cell mass after treatment with 30μM Tb for 24 h. Interestingly, Tb treatment also stimulated HMGR activity, but not the corresponding transcript levels³⁸.

Keeping all this in mind, how can the particular phenotype observed with SQ-treated cells, i.e. the partial mislocalization of the GFP fusion protein be explained? In fact, in a first set of experiments, we added 20μM exogenous Fol to BY-2 cells treated with both Fos and SQ. In cells treated with SQ only (control experiment), a partial mislocalization of the fluorescence was observed in the majority of treated cells (> 85%), whereas neither Tb nor Ro-treated cells displayed a similar effect. In cells treated with both SQ and Fos and complemented with Fol, the same pattern of partial mislocalization of the fluorescence was observed. It needs to be pointed out that this mislocalization was clearly visible, but less pronounced at the level of a single cell, as compared to Fos- or OC-treatments.

Hypothetically, due to SQS inhibition, the redirection of the metabolic flux might provide much more FPP to other FPP-metabolizing enzymes, such as GGPP synthase or protein farnesyltransferase. FPP in excess could also be transported to mitochondria (cf.⁸⁴) or other organelles or converted to Fol, which might become cytotoxic^{85,86}. Feeding experiments with radiolabeled farnesol showed that all these scenarios could occur. However, inhibition with SQ did not lead to an additional incorporation of FPP into the ubiquinone side-chain⁸⁴.

Thus, a protein farnesyltransferase (PFT) might use the excess FPP to non-specifically farnesylate the H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL fusion protein, despite its geranylgeranylation motif. Some *in vitro* cross-reactivity of PFT from *Arabidopsis* has been suggested⁸⁷, however such observations might not reflect the situation *in vivo*. By the same token, a PGGT-1 might accept FPP as substrate if present at concentrations that are much higher than that of GGPP. When the CVIL motif in our fusion protein was mutated to CVIM, thus transforming it into a potential substrate for PFT, it resulted in a fluorescence pattern with the majority of fluorescence still being associated to the PM of the cell, but also significantly present in and around the nuclear membrane, with less in the nucleus and nucleolus^{88,89}. As the basic domain of the chimeric protein not only serves as a second signal for protein prenylation (besides the CaaX motif), but also contains a putative nuclear localization sequence (NLS), it is possible to imagine that the farnesyl moiety is not sufficient either to guarantee an efficient integration in the PM (because of a shorter hydrophobic domain) or to mediate the transport to the PM (which is still an open question for H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL). In addition, the occurrence of several farnesylated, nuclear proteins have been recently reported⁹⁰. Very recently Chandra *et al.*⁹¹ identified a role for the GDI-like solubilizing factor (GSF) photoreceptor cGMP phosphodiesterase

δ subunit δ (PDE δ) in modulating signaling through Ras family G proteins by sustaining their dynamic distribution in cellular membranes. In this study it was shown that the GDI-like pocket of PDE δ binds and solubilizes farnesylated Ras proteins, thereby enhancing their diffusion in the cytoplasm⁹¹. This would allow more effective trapping of (depalmitoylated) Ras proteins at the Golgi and polycationic Ras proteins at the plasma membrane⁹¹.

Studies with SQ in mammalian systems revealed that besides acting as a specific inhibitor of SQS, SQ was able to inhibit mammalian prenyltransferases, in particular protein farnesyl transferase (PFT)^{39,92}. PFT and PGGT-1, purified from bovine brain, were inhibited by SQ *in vitro* with an IC₅₀ of 216 and 620nM, respectively⁹². As we applied comparably high concentrations of SQ (500nM to 1 μ M) to BY-2 cells, it seems quite reasonable to assume that the treatment with SQ might also have affected the PGGT-1 enzyme *in vivo*, responsible for the prenylation of the H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL, even though *in vitro* data are not yet available. In addition, by using lower concentrations of SQ (50nM already blocked most of SQS activity), the protein prenyltransferase should theoretically not be inhibited, allowing us to more or less rule out the cross-reactivity and the farnesylation scenario. In order to investigate the capability of isoprenols like geraniol (Gol), farnesol (Fol) and geranylgeraniol (GGol) and intermediates of both isoprenoid biosynthetic pathways (MVA-pathway: mevalonolactone (MVL) and its open-acid form MVA; MEP-pathway: DX) to overcome the (partial) mislocalization induced by SQ, different chemical complementation experiments were performed. Interestingly, both DX and GGol completely complemented the SQ-induced effect. As discussed extensively before, the most likely explanation is an efficient uptake and metabolism of both compounds: DX, after being converted to DXP by cytosolic xylulose kinase³⁰ enters the plastid and is incorporated into IPP, GPP and GGPP, which might then be exported towards the cytosol, where it serves as a substrate for prenylation of the H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL. For GGol, again, two scenarios are possible: either its import into the plastid, phosphorylation and export to the cytosol, or direct phosphorylation in the cytosol. The existence of a system for phosphorylation of prenyldiphosphates was mentioned previously⁹³.

SQ is known to be an irreversible, competitive inhibitor of squalene synthase (SQS). Therefore, the DX-induced recovery of the PM fluorescence itself cannot result from an effect on SQS. As SQ structurally resembles FPP, it would be more likely that, by increasing the pool of bio-available GGPP, we succeeded in replacing SQ by GGPP at the substrate-binding site of PGGT-1 (or even both prenyltransferases) and thereby restored the correct geranylgeranylation of H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL.

Interestingly, mevalonate kinase is down-regulated at the post-transcriptional level by high levels of FPP and GGPP⁹⁴. Therefore, if the tobacco BY-2 mevalonate-kinase were also sensitive to the feedback-regulation by FPP and GGPP, this would very conveniently explain why externally fed MVA could not complement the SQ-induced phenotype due to a large pool of FPP. Moreover, the resulting decrease in cytosolic IPP due to the block in the MVA pathway could also prevent a sufficient supply of IPP for the putative cytosolic conversion of FPP to GGPP.

The fact that Gol does not complement the effects of SQ is in agreement with previous results⁸⁸ and suggests that Gol is not accepted as a substrate for the phosphorylation system that exists in plants⁹³. Intriguingly, exogenously applied Fol was previously able to restore the PM localization of H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL to over 60% in Fos-treated cells⁸⁸. However, as there are very specific inhibitors that act either on PFT or on PGGT-1, and are thus useful for “calibration”, in a follow-up experiment an unknown inhibitor giving a “hit”, but then applied at much lower concentration and carrying out similar complementation assays, would easily distinguish between inhibition of sterol biosynthesis or protein prenylation.

Transport of prenylated H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL – post-prenylation effects?

Some previous studies in our laboratory focused on the intracellular transport of the prenylated GFP-fusion protein from the ER to the PM in BY-2 cells⁸⁸. However, the transport of GFP-BD-CVIL was not significantly affected by any treatment targeting either components of the cytoskeleton (microtubules: taxol and oryzalin; actin-filaments: cytochalasin D) or the *trans*-Golgi-network (TGN: brefeldin A⁸⁸), suggesting that GFP-BD-CVIL was not transported to the PM *via* the classical secretory pathway. This result was surprising, as this vesicular transport route is used by the majority of identified prenylated proteins⁹⁵, such as HRAS, NRAS and KRAS4A in mammals. But the correct targeting of Ras proteins is successfully accomplished only when the proteins contain a second “signal” in addition to their prenylation. In the case of HRAS, NRAS and KRAS4A this second signal consists of one or more S-acylation sites (“palmitoylation”)^{96,97}, whereas KRAS4B contains a lysine-rich polybasic (hypervariable) domain, located nearby the prenylation site^{98–100}. The same combination, a polybasic domain with a cluster of basic amino acids, in addition to the CAAX motif is also found in the H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL fusion protein.

Besides this structural resemblance, KRAS4B is also insensitive to inhibitors of vesicular transport and transits to the PM by a largely unknown, non-vesicular (Golgi-independent) pathway^{95,101,102} that requires, at least in yeast, mitochondrial function and vacuolar sorting proteins (VPS)¹⁰³.

Despite the fact that corresponding experimental efforts with transformed BY-2 cells were not crowned by much success⁸⁸, the study of molecules that could interfere with the transport of the H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL fusion protein might be a promising tool to observe the effects of post-prenylation inhibition in plants in general, and this knowledge might even be transferred to other models.

Application of the BY-2 cell system for the test of synthetic inhibitors of MEP pathway enzymes

Overall, two sets of experiments have been conducted in the context of validating the test system. Two groups of novel inhibitor candidates, each targeting a specific enzyme of the MEP pathway, DXS in the first and DXR in the second case, were tested to assess their capacity to inhibit the *in vivo* isoprenylation (more precisely: geranylgeranylation) of the chimeric H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL protein.

First, six compounds that were inspired by known inhibitors of pyruvate decarboxylases and pyruvate dehydrogenases were tested.

In summary, all tested pyruvate derivatives were inefficient in our *in vivo* assay, despite the fact that one of them, TJ150, induced cytotoxic effects at extreme concentrations (Figure 4). At this point two scenarios were plausible: either their uptake into the cells and subsequent transport through the plastidial envelope might have been impaired or they did not inhibit their molecular target, DXS. However, in parallel to the tests conducted with the H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL cell line, *in vitro* DXS activity from *E. coli* was determined in the presence of some of the tested molecules using an end-point assay, in which the remaining pyruvate substrate was converted to lactate by lactate dehydrogenase. The consumption of NADH (and the formation of NAD⁺) can be measured by spectrometry at 340nm (cf.⁵⁷). None of the tested compounds exhibited an inhibitory effect, in agreement with the results obtained with our bioassay (D. Tritsch, unpublished observations).

The second set of experiments focused on inhibitors and novel prodrugs targeting the second enzyme of the plastidial pathway, DXR. Only recently, analogs of Fos and FR-900098 with a rearrangement

of the hydroxamate group had been tested *in vitro*⁷⁶. Those compounds (here referred to as LK1 and LK2) successfully inhibited *E. coli* DXR. The IC₅₀ values measured were 170nM and 48nM for LK1 and LK2, respectively. The IC₅₀ value of LK2 was even comparable to that of Fos (32nM). In addition, both molecules inhibited the growth of *E. coli*, but with a lower efficiency than Fos⁷⁶. Interestingly, the N-methylated compound (LK2 – derived from FR-900098) also successfully inhibited the growth of an *E. coli* strain that was cross-resistant to Fos and fosfomycin, which bears some faint structural similarity, but acts on another molecular target, UDP-N-acetylglucosamine enolpyruvyltransferase¹⁰⁴. Among other reasons, this could be due to a difference in the uptake or detoxification of these two antibiotics, which are known to share a common import mechanism via the L-3-glycerolphosphate and the glucose 6-phosphate pathway in *E. coli*¹⁰⁵. As the N-methylated compound LK2 nearly equaled Fos in terms of *in vitro* inhibition of the DXR enzyme⁷⁶, changing the bioavailability of this compound was a promising strategy to further enhance the performance of the hydroxamic drugs and provide new active compounds against resistant pathogen strains.

Figure 7. Clonal transgenic tobacco BY-2 cell line expressing the H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL fusion protein. GFP expression was induced according to the previously described standard protocol. Both images were taken with a confocal laser scanning microscope (Zeiss LSM510 equipped with an inverted Axiovert 100M). HM-High magnification image taken with a 63x water immersion objective (C-Apochromat 63x/1.2W M27), which is specifically designed for the examination of an aqueous specimen and delivers high resolution in optical thin sections, with satisfying levels of fluorescence brightness. LM-Low magnification image taken with a 10x “Enhanced Contrast Plan-Neofluar” universal objective (EC Plan-Neofluar 10x/0.3 M27), adapted for general observations in fluorescence microscopy. If not otherwise stated, the label HM and LM designates the use of these both types of objectives for the image acquisition with the confocal laser scanning microscope. White bars = 20µm. Please, note the different saturation of the images and the significantly higher saturation in the LM image that was used to highlight the homogenous levels of bright fluorescence of the re-selected clonal cell line.

The *in vivo* experiments with transformed BY-2 cells were conducted under similar conditions: clonal cells tested under pre-defined standard conditions were counted and examined by visual inspection after different treatments (cf. [Figure 7](#)).

The test of the six new prodrugs⁷⁹, here referred to as SP1 to SP6, includes a whole new aspect: for the first time, novel drugs specifically designed on the basis of known pathway inhibitors were tested under standardized conditions and the generated data were exploited in both a qualitative and a quantitative/statistical way. This means that, besides answering the question of whether an inhibitor candidate could efficiently block the MEP pathway, the exploitation of the same set of data would allow a direct comparison of the efficiency of this compound with established MEP pathway inhibitors. By testing the inhibitors at different concentrations, we were able to first define a starting point for the experiments, which consisted in a concentration that inhibited the majority of cells (> 95% of cells showing a dominant mislocalization). The results obtained at 100µM clearly proved that Fos and FR-900098 as well as LK2 were applied at saturating concentrations. We therefore decided to better start our direct comparison at 50µM. At this concentration, Fos, SP2 and SP4 were very active, causing a partial mislocalization in nearly 100% of the cells. The other tested prodrugs did not act that well at this concentration, even though they were able to induce a partial mislocalization in at least 50% of treated cells. At 5µM, only SP2 and SP4 proved to be more efficient than Fos, whereas the other inhibitors only induced a barely detectable effect. At 500nM final concentration, SP2 and SP4 were still able to induce a mislocalization of the GFP fluorescence in more than 50% of the cells (data from a single experiment).

Obtaining only two out of the six compounds with a higher efficiency than the respective model drugs might appear deceiving at first view. However, it is a fine example confirming the prodrug concept ([Figure S1](#))^{106,107}. The efficiency of a prodrug in an *in vivo* assay indeed depends on several parameters, such as transfer through biological membranes and the stability of the prodrug against hydrolysis or esterases.

All the six prodrugs tested in these experiments were able to induce a localization shift of the prenylable GFP fusion protein to some extent, which indicates that the active drug at least partially entered the plant cell, where it could inhibit the DXR enzyme (located in the plastidial compartment). This point is important to mention, as it indicates that:

- i) The prodrugs were soluble in the BY-2 medium;
- ii) They successfully crossed the plant cell wall;
- iii) They were imported inside the plant cell across the PM.

How this transport took place and which transporters were involved remains unclear, even though data from bacteria suggest that a cAMP-dependent glycerol 3-phosphate transporter could be a likely candidate¹⁰⁸. Until now, a similar transporter has only been identified in the mitochondrial membrane of plant cells¹⁰⁹.

By relating the results to the structural features of the tested molecules, another important observation can be made. The data obtained

for all inhibitors showed that the methylated prodrugs displayed a far better activity than their non-methylated counterparts. This result correlates with observations obtained with Fos, FR-90098, LK1 and LK2 in bacterial systems^{76,110}. Interestingly, the best performing methylated prodrug SP4 also shared the same pro-moieties with the best performing non-methylated prodrug SP3. Although the better performance of the methylated drugs, such as FR-900098 or LK2, could be attributed to the hydrophobic interaction of the methyl-group at the catalytic site of the DXR enzyme, the methyl group may also have an influence on the lipophilicity of the prodrug and be responsible for its overall better import rate in tobacco BY-2 cells ([Figure S2](#)). Other factors could be the different preferences of endogenous esterases for the three types of substituents used to synthesize the prodrugs or the rate of spontaneous hydrolysis (that may occur either in the extracellular medium or the cytosol).

It should be noted that the best performing prodrugs in the bioassay with BY-2 cells showed the same order of efficiency when tested on *Mycobacterium smegmatis* cells, suggesting that knowledge gained from plant cells might be transferred to other organisms⁷⁹. Nevertheless, keeping in mind the potential of MEP pathway inhibitors as herbicides, these results are very promising and set the course for the development of a chemical drug screen, with both biomedical and agricultural applications, particularly in the light of newly emerging strains of multi-drug resistant bacterial pathogens.

The experimental approaches used and applied to the specific situation in tobacco BY-2 cells synthesizing isoprenoids *via* two compartmentalized pathways and expressing the reporter GFP fusion protein are summarized in [Figure S3](#). The scheme indicates also some more hypothetical links to observations in mammalian cells, for instance as to the post-prenylation reactions and the transport of covalently modified proteins to their intracellular destination.

Methods and materials

Chemicals

If not indicated otherwise, chemicals used were provided by Sigma-Aldrich/Fluka. Squalenstatin (Sq) was obtained from Glaxo (Greenford, Middlessex, UK) and dissolved in 0.1M Tris-HCl (pH 7.4) to give a 0.1mM stock solution. Terbinafine (Tb) was kindly provided by Dr. N.S. Ryder (Vienna) and dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide. MVA lactone, Gol, FoI, and GGol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Mevinolin (MV) was a kind gift from Dr. Alfred Alberts (MSD, Rahway, NJ). Before use, the lactones of MV and MVA were converted to their open acid forms. Fosmidomycin (Fos)¹¹¹ was made available by Robert J. Eilers (Monsanto, St. Louis, MO). The peptidomimetic protein geranylgeranylation inhibitor GGti-2133¹¹² was purchased from Calbiochem (Merck). Oxoclozone (OC) was kindly supplied by Dr. Klaus Grossmann (BASF, Limburgerhof, Germany). Please see [Table 1](#) for a list of compounds and their final concentration used in this study.

Plant materials: Tobacco BY-2 cells

The original untransformed tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) cv Bright Yellow-2 (BY-2) cell line used in this work was provided by Professor Toshiyuki Nagata (University of Tokyo, Japan). It was initially isolated by Kato *et al.*¹¹³ and is derived from calli induced from young plants¹¹⁴. The cell suspension was maintained by subculturing the 7-day-old cells (in stationary phase) as described^{1,28,85}.

Table 1. List of inhibitors and other compounds used in this study.

Prodrugs – DXR (Abbreviation)	M_r	Solvent	Stock solution	Final concentration
SP1	411.38	MeOH	100mM	0.5–50 μ M
SP2	425.41	MeOH	100mM	0.5–50 μ M
SP3	383.33	MeOH	100mM	0.5–50 μ M
SP4	397.36	MeOH	100mM	0.5–50 μ M
SP5	451.35	MeOH	100mM	0.5–50 μ M
SP6	465.39	MeOH	100mM	0.5–50 μ M
Potential inhibitors – DXS (Abbreviation)	M_r	Solvent	Stock solution	Final concentration
TJ449	176.17	H ₂ O	100mM	100 μ M
TJ129	142.03	H ₂ O	100mM	100 μ M
TJ346	192.17	CH ₃ CN	100mM	100 μ M
TJ67	206.19	CH ₃ CN	100mM	100 μ M
TJ150	245.85	H ₂ O	100mM	100 μ M
TJ290	102.09	H ₂ O	100mM	100 μ M
Other inhibitors (Abbreviation)	M_r	Solvent	Stock solution	Final concentration
Fosmidomycin (FOS)	183.10	DMSO	100mM	5–100 μ M
FR-900098	197.13	DMSO	100mM	up to 100 μ M
LK1	183.10	H ₂ O	100mM	up to 100 μ M
LK2	197.13	H ₂ O	100mM	up to 100 μ M
Farnesyl thiosalicylic acid (FTS)*	358.5	DMSO	28mM	2 μ M
N-Acetyl-S-geranylgeranyl-L-cysteine (AGGC)*	435.7	EtOH	23mM	2 μ M
S-(5'-Adenosyl)-L-homocysteine (AHC)*	384.4	H ₂ O	2.6mM	2 μ M and 20 μ M
N-Acetyl-S-farnesyl-L-cysteine (AFC)	384.58	MeOH	50mM	20–200 μ M
N-Tosyl-L-phenylalanine chloromethyl ketone	351.8	DMSO	20mM	20 μ M
Squalenstatin	690.73	KH ₂ PO ₄ (50mM)	1mM	1 μ M
Ro48-8071	448.40	methyl acetate	2mg/L	2 μ g/ml
Terbinafine	291.43	DMSO	27.5mM or 8mg/ml	up to 30 μ M
Complementation Treatments (Abbreviation)	M_r	Solvent	Stock solution	Final concentration
1-Deoxy-D-xylulose	134.133	H ₂ O	150mM	0.5mM
Mevalonolactone (MVL)	130.14	H ₂ O	1.15M	5mM
Mevalonic acid (MVA)	148.16	see text	3M	3mM
Geraniol (Gol)	154.25	EtOH	10mM	5 and 20 μ M
Farnesol (Fol)	222.37	EtOH	20mM	5 and 20 μ M
Geranylgeraniol (GGol)	290.48	EtOH	20mM	5, 10 and 20 μ M
Squalene	410.72	stock solution	~2M at 25°C	~2 and 4mM

*AHC, AGGC and FTS were also tested in initial screening experiments but showed no obvious effects on the localization of the GFP fluorescence under the chosen experimental conditions. FTS treatment however resulted in a fewer amount of fluorescent cells.

The transgenic dexamethasone-inducible Tobacco BY-2 H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL cell line has been extensively described by Gerber *et al.*¹ and was maintained as described.

Routinely, 0.75ml of a 7-day-old culture was diluted into 40ml of modified Murashige and Skoog medium¹¹⁵. The cells were then cultured at 27°C in the dark on a rotary shaker (154 rpm).

Inducible expression of GFP fusion protein and treatments

The inducible test system (cf.¹¹⁶) has been adjusted to the 7-day growth cycle of TBV-2 cells. After 6-fold dilution in fresh medium, the cells were treated in commercial multi-well plates. Total volume of treated cells was scaled to 3ml. In general, initial screenings started between 50 and 100 μ M of a given inhibitor/prodrug and were refined if a

delocalization occurred. Induction of the fluorescent marker proteins took place 15 to 24 h before observation; the treatments were scheduled 3 to 6 h after the induction. Observation and image acquisition were made by laser scanning microscopy as described (Figure S2).

Microscopy and cell imaging

Cell imaging was performed using a LSM510 confocal laser scanning microscope equipped with an inverted Zeiss axiovert 100M microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). For statistical observations, such as counting cells after various treatments, a 10x Zeiss objective (“Plan-Neofluar”) was used. At confocal resolution, images were taken using a 63x, 1.2 numerical aperture water immersion objective (“C-Apochromat”). In both cases, differential interference contrast (DIC) images were recorded.

Epifluorescence microscopy was performed using a Nikon E 800 fluorescence microscope equipped with a Nikon DXM1200 CCD high-resolution color camera (20 x 0.45 objective) and ACT-1 image software. Images were processed with the latest version of the [Zeiss LSM Image Browser software](#) (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) and exported as Tiff or JPEG files. Final image analysis and adjustments was performed using either Photoshop version 8.0 (Adobe Systems, San Jose, USA) or the [ImageJ software version 1.31](#) (Wayne Rasband, NIH, USA).

Author contributions

Michael Hartmann was responsible for the experimental design and carried out the majority of analyses with confocal microscopy. In addition he transformed, selected and maintained BY-2 cell lines. He generated the figures, wrote major parts of the initial manuscript as part of his PhD thesis and contributed to the final version of the manuscript. Andréa Hemmerlin and Elisabet Gas-Pascual transformed, selected and maintained BY-2 cell lines and carried out complementary experiments. Esther Gerber conducted the initial experiments with transformed BY-2 cells within the frame of a Ph.D. thesis, which paved the way to the sequel studies described here. Denis Tritsch has carried out enzymatic studies with MEP pathway inhibitors designed by Michel Rohmer and synthesized in his laboratory. Michel Rohmer was instrumental in the interpretation of results and Denis Tritsch selected the best conditions for application of inhibitors to BY-2 cells. Thomas J. Bach initiated and supervised all those studies with the GFP fusion protein expressing BY-2 cells and their use as to the cross-talk between the cyto plasmic

MVA and the plastidial MEP pathways. He brought the manuscript into its updated and final form. The project, especially inhibitor testing, represented an essential part of the repeatedly funded collaboration between the research groups of Michel Rohmer and Thomas J. Bach. All authors critically revised the manuscript and agreed the final version for publication.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

We are grateful for a Ph.D. fellowship attributed to Michael Hartmann provided by the Région Alsace. The research conducted in the laboratories of T.J. Bach and M. Rohmer was supported by grants from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-05-BLAN-0217-01 and -02 TERPENE; ANR-06-BLAN-0291-02 BIOSIS). General support was also provided by the Centre national de la recherche scientifique (CNRS) and the Université de Strasbourg.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Prof. Nam-Hai Chua (Rockefeller University) for making available dexamethasone and estrogen inducible expression vectors. We are indebted to Sarah Ponaire, Catherine Zinglé and Catherine Grosdemange-Billiard for the synthesis of MEP pathway inhibitors. Thanks go to Dr. Jérôme Mutterer (Microscopy Platform at the IBMP) for his advices and the maintenance of confocal microscopes and corresponding software.

Supplementary figures

Figure S1. Simplified illustration of the prodrug concept in context with the fluorescent reporter system based on the prenylatable and inducible GFP fusion protein H_6 -BD-GFP-CVIL (modified from Rautio *et al.*¹⁰⁷). The prodrug is a chemically modified version of the pharmacological active compound. The addition of a covalently linked "promoiety" masks the parent drug and improves for example its physicochemical or pharmacokinetic properties. **A**) If the parent drug has difficulties overcoming a barrier, such as a biological membrane or is poorly soluble, the addition of a promoiety can be seen as a strategy to overcome this barrier. In an optimal scenario, the prodrug releases the active drug after removal of the promoiety by enzymatic or chemical transformation ("bioactivation"). The drug should ideally be recovered at high rates and the promoiety should be non-toxic. **B**) In the case of the inhibitors synthesized and described elsewhere⁷⁹ in the model system BY-2, the prodrugs are ester derivatives of phosphonohydroxamic drugs. After entering the cell by diffusion through the cell wall (CW) and transport through the plasma membrane (PM), the drug is supposed to be released by esterases and/or chemical hydrolysis. In addition, the drug has to overcome a second barrier within the cell, the double membrane of the plastid, to reach its target within the plant cell. Inhibition of DXR activity leads to a dramatic change in the pool of relevant precursors for the geranylgeranylation of H_6 -BD-GFP-CVIL. This terpenoid precursor, GGPP, is almost completely derived from the plastidial MEP pathway in our test system. Without the prenylation of the C-terminal moiety, the fusion protein is likely to mislocalize from the PM (and to some extent the nuclear membrane) to the nuclear compartment, which is due to the presence of a nuclear localization sequence (NLS) in the truncated peptide derived from OsCaM61 (for further explanation: Gerber *et al.*¹).

Figure S2. Setup for the bioassay developed during preceding studies⁸⁸ and used as basis for the experiments in the present work. The test system is based on a stably transformed tobacco BY-2 cell line expressing the chimeric, prenylable fusion protein H₆-BD-GFP-CVIL. The expression is under the control of a dexamethasone-inducible promoter¹¹⁶. The usual timeline for an experiment consisted of 3 h treatment followed by an induction of protein expression varying between 15–48 h depending on the experimental setup. During that period the cells were grown under constant shaking in the dark at 27°C before the phenotype was analyzed using confocal laser microscopy.

Figure S3. Overview of the treatments in the context of previous results obtained with a test system based on the fluorescent reporter protein H₆-GFP-BD-CVIL in transgenic tobacco BY-2 cells. In previous studies, the following phenotypes were observed after treatments of the transgenic H₆-GFP-DB-CVIL cell line with inhibitors of both isoprenoid biosynthetic pathways: Inhibition of the cytosolic MVA pathway by mevinolin (MEV) a specific inhibitor of HMG-CoA reductase (HMGR) did not exhibit any detectable effect on the intracellular localization of the prenylable GFP fusion protein which predominantly associated with the plasma membrane (PM), as observed under control conditions. Specific inhibition of DXS and DXR, key enzymes in the plastidial MEP pathway by oxoclofazone (OC) and fosmidomycin (Fos), respectively, however lead to a dramatic mislocalization of the GFP fluorescence (compare main text for more detailed information) to the nuclear compartment (N/Nu). A similar effect was observed with compounds that interfere with protein geranylgeranyltransferase type I (PGGT-1). This differential localization of the GFP fusion protein in response to inhibitors of the two coexisting routes leading to the synthesis of the universal C5 precursors IPP (and its isomer DMAPP) in plants indicates the suitability of this system for screening of compounds with potential antimicrobial and herbicidal activities. In the present study this potential for drug screening will be addressed by testing novel compounds, specifically designed to inhibit DXS and DXR enzyme activity, thereby validating the test system (yellow box A). In addition, the impact of various inhibitors targeting enzymes involved in the early steps of phytosterol biosynthesis, one of the main routes of cytosolic FPP within the plant cell, will be investigated (yellow box B). Finally, the effect of post-prenylation inhibitors on the localization/transport of H6-GFP-DB-CVIL is addressed, especially in the light of prior experiments aimed at clarifying the details of its yet unknown transport to the PM (yellow box C). These experiments have to be seen in the context of the fate and intracellular transport of typical mammalian isoprenylated proteins, such as members of the RAS family, that play an important role in the development of certain varieties of cancer (compare with discussion in the main text).

References

1. Gerber E, Hemmerlin A, Hartmann M, *et al.*: **The plastidial 2-C-methyl- α -erythritol 4-phosphate pathway provides the isoprenyl moiety for protein geranylgeranylation in tobacco BY-2 cells.** *Plant Cell*. 2009; 21(1): 285–300.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
2. Goldstein JL, Brown MS: **Regulation of the mevalonate pathway.** *Nature*. 1990; 343(6257): 425–430.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Edwards PA, Ericsson J: **Sterols and isoprenoids: signaling molecules derived from the cholesterol biosynthetic pathway.** *Annu Rev Biochem*. 1999; 68: 157–185.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Veillard NR, Mach F: **Statins: the new aspirin?** *Cell Mol Life Sci*. 2002; 59(11): 1771–1786.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
5. Buhaescu I, Izzedine H: **Mevalonate pathway: A review of clinical and therapeutic implications.** *Clin Biochem*. 2007; 40(9–10): 575–584.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
6. Mizioro HM: **Enzymes of the mevalonate pathway of isoprenoid biosynthesis.** *Arch Biochem Biophys*. 2011; 505(2): 131–143.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
7. Bach TJ: **Hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA reductase, a key enzyme in phytosterol synthesis?** *Lipids*. 1986; 21(1): 82–88.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
8. Bach TJ: **Some new aspects of isoprenoid biosynthesis in plants – a review.** *Lipids*. 1995; 30(3): 191–202.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
9. Disch A, Hemmerlin A, Bach TJ, *et al.*: **Mevalonate-derived isopentenyl diphosphate is the biosynthetic precursor of ubiquinone prenyl side chain in tobacco BY-2 cells.** *Biochem J*. 1998; 331(Pt 2): 615–621.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
10. Chappell J: **The genetics and molecular genetics of terpene and sterol origami.** *Curr Opin Plant Biol*. 2002; 5(2): 151–157.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
11. Ai-Xia C, Yong-Gen L, Ying-Bo M, *et al.*: **Plant terpenoids: Biosynthesis and ecological functions.** *J Integr Plant Biol*. 2007; 49(2): 179–186.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
12. Lichtenthaler HK, Rohmer M, Schwender J: **Two independent biochemical pathways for isopentenyl diphosphate and isoprenoid biosynthesis in higher plants.** *Physiol Plant*. 1997; 101: 643–652.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
13. Lichtenthaler HK, Schwender J, Disch A, *et al.*: **Biosynthesis of isoprenoids in higher plant chloroplasts proceeds via a mevalonate-independent pathway.** *FEBS Lett*. 1997; 400(3): 271–274.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
14. Lichtenthaler HK: **The 1-deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate pathway of isoprenoid biosynthesis in plants.** *Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol*. 1999; 50: 47–65.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
15. Rohmer M: **The discovery of a mevalonate-independent pathway for isoprenoid biosynthesis in bacteria, algae and higher plants.** *Nat Prod Rep*. 1999; 16(5): 565–574.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
16. Rohmer M: **Mevalonate-independent methylerythritol phosphate pathway for isoprenoid biosynthesis. Elucidation and distribution.** *Pure Appl Chem*. 2003; 75(2–3): 375–387.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
17. Rohmer M: **From molecular fossils of bacterial hopanoids to the formation of isoprene units: Discovery and elucidation of the methylerythritol phosphate pathway.** *Lipids*. 2008; 43(12): 1095–1107.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
18. Rodríguez-Concepción M, Boronat A: **Elucidation of the methylerythritol phosphate pathway for isoprenoid biosynthesis in bacteria and plastids. A metabolic milestone achieved through genomics.** *Plant Physiol*. 2002; 130(3): 1079–1089.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
19. Eisenreich W, Bacher A, Arigoni D, *et al.*: **Biosynthesis of isoprenoids via the non-mevalonate pathway.** *Cell Mol Life Sci*. 2004; 61(12): 1401–1426.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
20. Kasahara H, Hanada A, Kuzuyama T, *et al.*: **Contribution of the mevalonate and methylerythritol phosphate pathways to the biosynthesis of gibberellins in Arabidopsis.** *J Biol Chem*. 2002; 277(47): 45188–45194.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
21. Gomez-Roldan V, Fermas S, Brewer PB, *et al.*: **Strigolactone inhibition of shoot branching.** *Nature*. 2008; 455(7210): 189–194.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
22. Umehara M, Hanada A, Yoshida S, *et al.*: **Inhibition of shoot branching by new terpenoid plant hormones.** *Nature*. 2008; 455(7210): 195–200.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
23. Croteau R, Kutchan T, Lewis N: **Natural products (secondary metabolites), Biochemistry and Molecular Biology of Plants.** In: Buchanan B, Gruissem W, Jones R, editors. Biochemistry and Molecular Biology of Plants. Rockville, MD: American Society of Plant Biologists. 2000; pp. 1250–1268.
[Reference Source](#)
24. Hemmerlin A, Harwood JL, Bach TJ: **A raison d'être for two distinct pathways in the early steps of plant isoprenoid biosynthesis?** *Prog Lipid Res*. 2012; 51(2): 95–148.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
25. Hartmann M, Gas-Pascual E, Hemmerlin A, *et al.*: **Development of an image-based screening system for inhibitors of the plastidial MEP pathway and of protein geranylgeranylation.** 2013.
26. Hemmerlin A, Fischl I, Bach TJ: **Differential interaction of branch-specific inhibitors of isoprenoid biosynthesis with cell cycle progression in tobacco BY-2 cells.** *Physiol Plant*. 2000; 110(3): 343–350.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
27. Hemmerlin A, Bach TJ: **Effects of mevinolin on cell cycle progression and viability of tobacco BY-2 cells.** *Plant J*. 1998; 14(1): 65–74.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
28. Hemmerlin A, Brown SC, Bach TJ: **Function of mevalonate in tobacco cell proliferation.** *Acta Bot Gall*. 1999; 146(1): 85–100.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
29. Hemmerlin A, Hoeffler JF, Meyer O, *et al.*: **Cross-talk between the cytosolic mevalonate and the plastidial methylerythritol phosphate pathways in tobacco bright yellow-2 cells.** *J Biol Chem*. 2003; 278(29): 26666–26676.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
30. Hemmerlin A, Tritsch D, Hartmann M, *et al.*: **A cytosolic Arabidopsis D-xylulose kinase catalyzes the phosphorylation of 1-deoxy-D-xylulose into a precursor of the plastidial isoprenoid pathway.** *Plant Physiol*. 2006; 142(2): 441–457.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
31. Swiezewska E, Thelin A, Dallner G, *et al.*: **Occurrence of prenylated proteins in plant cells.** *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 1993; 192(1): 161–166.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
32. Shipton CA, Parmryd I, Swiezewska E, *et al.*: **Isoprenylation of plant proteins in vivo. Isoprenylated proteins are abundant in the mitochondria and nuclei of spinach.** *J Biol Chem*. 1995; 270(2): 566–572.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
33. Baxter A, Fitzgerald BJ, Hutson JL, *et al.*: **Squalenstatin 1, a potent inhibitor of squalene synthase, which lowers serum cholesterol in vivo.** *J Biol Chem*. 1992; 267(17): 11705–11708.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
34. Bergstrom JD, Kurtz MM, Rew DJ, *et al.*: **Zaragozic acids: a family of fungal metabolites that are picomolar competitive inhibitors of squalene synthase.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1993; 90(1): 80–84.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
35. Procopiou PA, Bailey EJ, Bamford MJ, *et al.*: **The squalenstatins: novel inhibitors of squalene synthase. Enzyme inhibitory activities and in vivo evaluation of C1-modified analogues.** *J Med Chem*. 1994; 37(20): 3274–3281.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
36. Thelin A, Peterson E, Hutson JL, *et al.*: **Effect of squalenstatin 1 on the biosynthesis of the mevalonate pathway lipids.** *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1994; 1215(3): 245–249.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
37. Liu CI, Jeng WY, Chang WJ, *et al.*: **Binding modes of zaragozic acid A to human squalene synthase and staphylococcal dehydrosqualene synthase.** *J Biol Chem*. 2012; 287(22): 18750–18757.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
38. Wentzinger LF, Bach TJ, Hartmann M: **Inhibition of squalene synthase and squalene epoxidase in tobacco cells triggers an up-regulation of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase.** *Plant Physiol*. 2002; 130(1): 334–346.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
39. Dufresne C, Wilson KE, Singh SB, *et al.*: **Zaragozic acids D and D2: potent inhibitors of squalene synthase and of Ras farnesyl-protein transferase.** *J Nat Prod*. 1993; 56(11): 1923–1929.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
40. Ryder NS: **Terbinafine: mode of action and properties of the squalene epoxidase inhibition.** *Br J Dermatol*. 1992; 126(Suppl 39): 2–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
41. Yates PJ, Haughan PA, Lenton JR, *et al.*: **Effects of terbinafine on growth, squalene and sterol ester content of celery cell suspension culture.** *Pestic Biochem Phys*. 1991; 40: 221–226.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
42. Simmen U, Gisi U: **Effects of seed treatment with SAN 789F, a homopropargylamine fungicide, on germination and contents of squalene and sterols of wheat seedlings.** *Pestic Biochem Physiol*. 1995; 52: 25–32.
[Reference Source](#)
43. Flores-Sánchez IJ, Ortega-López J, del Carmen Montes-Horcasitas M, *et al.*: **Biosynthesis of sterols and triterpenes in cell suspension cultures of *Uncaria tomentosa*.** *Plant Cell Physiol*. 2002; 43(12): 1502–1509.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
44. Abe I, Rohmer M, Prestwich GD: **Enzymatic cyclization of squalene and oxidosqualene to sterols and triterpenes.** *Chem Rev*. 1993; 93(6): 2189–2206.
[Publisher Full Text](#)

45. Morand OH, Aebi JD, Dehmow H, *et al.*: **Ro 48-8.071, a new 2, 3-oxidosqualene:lanosterol cyclase inhibitor lowering plasma cholesterol in hamsters, squirrel monkeys, and minipigs: comparison to simvastatin.** *J Lipid Res.* 1997; **38**(2): 373–390.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
46. Lenhart A, Weihofen WA, Pleschke AE, *et al.*: **Crystal structure of a squalene cyclase in complex with the potential anticholesteremic drug Ro48-8071.** *Chem Biol.* 2002; **9**(5): 639–645.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
47. Rodríguez-Concepción M, Toledo-Ortiz G, Yalovsky S, *et al.*: **Carboxyl-methylation of prenylated calmodulin CaM53 is required for efficient plasma membrane targeting of the protein.** *Plant J.* 2000; **24**(6): 775–784.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
48. Chen Y: **Selective inhibition of ras-transformed cell growth by a novel fatty acid-based chloromethyl ketone designed to target Ras endoprotease.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 1999; **886**: 103–108.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
49. Sprenger GA, Schorken U, Wiegert T, *et al.*: **Identification of a thiamin-dependent synthase in *Escherichia coli* required for the formation of the 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate precursor to isoprenoids, thiamin, and pyridoxol.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1997; **94**(24): 12857–12862.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Free Full Text](#)
50. Lange BM, Wildung MR, McCaskill D, *et al.*: **A family of transketolases that directs isoprenoid biosynthesis via a mevalonate-independent pathway.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1998; **95**(5): 2100–2104.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#) [Free Full Text](#)
51. Lois LM, Campos N, Putra SR, *et al.*: **Cloning and characterization of a gene from *Escherichia coli* encoding a transketolase-like enzyme that catalyzes the synthesis of D-1-deoxyxylulose 5-phosphate, a common precursor for isoprenoid, thiamin, and pyridoxol biosynthesis.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1998; **95**(5): 2105–2110.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#) [Free Full Text](#)
52. Walter MH, Hans J, Strack D: **Two distantly related genes encoding 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate synthases: differential regulation in shoots and apocarotenoid-accumulating mycorrhizal roots.** *Plant J.* 2002; **31**(3): 243–254.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
53. Costelloe SJ, Ward JM, Dalby PA: **Evolutionary analysis of the TPP-dependent enzyme family.** *J Mol Evol.* 2008; **66**(1): 36–49.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
54. Widmann M, Radloff R, Preiss J: **The thiamine diphosphate dependent enzyme engineering database: A tool for the systematic analysis of sequence and structure relations.** *BMC Biochemistry.* 2010; **11**: 9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#) [Free Full Text](#)
55. Kuzuyama T, Takagi M, Takahashi S, *et al.*: **Cloning and characterization of 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate synthase from *Streptomyces* sp. Strain CL190, which uses both the mevalonate and nonmevalonate pathways for isopentenyl diphosphate biosynthesis.** *J Bacteriol.* 2000; **182**(4): 891–897.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#) [Free Full Text](#)
56. Hahn FM, Eubanks LM, Testa CA, *et al.*: **1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate synthase, the gene product of open reading frame (ORF) 2816 and ORF 2895 in *Rhodobacter capsulatus*.** *J Bacteriol.* 2001; **183**(1): 1–11.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#) [Free Full Text](#)
57. Xiang S, Usunow G, Lange G, *et al.*: **Crystal structure of 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate synthase, a crucial enzyme for isoprenoids biosynthesis.** *J Biol Chem.* 2007; **282**(4): 2676–2682.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
58. Xiang S, Usunow G, Lange G, *et al.*: **1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate synthase, a crucial enzyme for isoprenoids biosynthesis.** In: Bach TJ and Rohmer M (eds) *Isoprenoid Synthesis in Plants and Microorganisms: New Concepts and Experimental Approaches.* Springer Science + Business Media New York 2013; pp. 17–28.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
59. Müller C, Schwender J, Zeidler J, *et al.*: **Properties and inhibition of the first two enzymes of the non-mevalonate pathway of isoprenoid biosynthesis.** *Biochem Soc Trans.* 2000; **28**(6): 792–793.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
60. Eubanks LM, Poulter CD: ***Rhodobacter capsulatus* 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate synthase: steady-state kinetics and substrate binding.** *Biochemistry.* 2003; **42**(4): 1140–1149.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
61. Matsue Y, Mizuno H, Tomita T, *et al.*: **The herbicide ketocloromazone inhibits 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate synthase in the 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate pathway and shows antibacterial activity against *Haemophilus influenzae*.** *J Antibiot (Tokyo).* 2010; **63**(10): 583–588.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
62. Lowe PN, Perham RN: **Bromopyruvate as an active-site-directed inhibitor of the pyruvate dehydrogenase multienzyme complex from *Escherichia coli*.** *Biochemistry.* 1984; **23**(1): 91–97.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
63. Menon-Rudolph S, Nishikawa S, Zeng X, *et al.*: **Rate of decarboxylation, monitored via the key enzyme-bound enamine, of conjugated α -keto acids by pyruvate-activated pyruvate decarboxylase is kinetically competent with turnover.** *J Am Chem Soc.* 1992; **114**(26): 10110–10112.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
64. Brown A, Nemeria N, Yi J, *et al.*: **2-Oxo-3-alkynoic acids, universal mechanism-based inactivators of thiamin diphosphate-dependent decarboxylases: synthesis and evidence for potent inactivation of the pyruvate dehydrogenase multienzyme complex.** *Biochemistry.* 1997; **36**(26): 8071–8081.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
65. Takahashi S, Kuzuyama T, Watanabe H, *et al.*: **A 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate reductoisomerase catalyzing the formation of 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate in an alternative nonmevalonate pathway for terpenoid biosynthesis.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1998; **95**(17): 9879–9884.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#) [Free Full Text](#)
66. Kuzuyama T, Takahashi S, Takagi M, *et al.*: **Characterization of 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate reductoisomerase, an enzyme involved in isopentenyl diphosphate biosynthesis, and identification of its catalytic amino acid residues.** *J Biol Chem.* 2000; **275**(26): 19928–19932.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
67. Hoeffler JF, Tritsch D, Grosdemange-Billiard C, *et al.*: **Isoprenoid biosynthesis via the methylerythritol phosphate pathway. Mechanistic investigations of the 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate reductoisomerase.** *Eur J Biochem.* 2002; **269**(18): 4446–4457.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
68. Koppisch AT, Fox DT, Blagg BS, *et al.*: ***E. coli* MEP synthase: steady-state kinetic analysis and substrate binding.** *Biochemistry.* 2002; **41**(1): 236–243.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
69. Reuter K, Sanderbrand S, Jomaa H, *et al.*: **Crystal structure of 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate reductoisomerase, a crucial enzyme in the non-mevalonate pathway of isoprenoid biosynthesis.** *J Biol Chem.* 2002; **277**(7): 5378–5384.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
70. Steinbacher S, Kaiser J, Eisenreich W, *et al.*: **Structural basis of fosmidomycin action revealed by the complex with 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate synthase (IspC). Implications for the catalytic mechanism and anti-malaria drug development.** *J Biol Chem.* 2003; **278**(20): 18401–18407.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
71. Mac Sweeney A, Lange R, Fernandes RP, *et al.*: **The crystal structure of *E. coli* 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate reductoisomerase in a ternary complex with the antimalarial compound fosmidomycin and NADPH reveals a tight-binding closed enzyme conformation.** *J Mol Biol.* 2005; **345**(1): 115–127.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
72. Takenoya M, Ohtaki A, Noguchi K, *et al.*: **Crystal structure of 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate reductoisomerase from the hyperthermophile *Thermotoga maritima* for insights into the coordination of conformational changes and an inhibitor binding.** *J Struct Biol.* 2010; **170**(3): 532–539.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
73. Zeidler J, Schwender J, Müller C, *et al.*: **Inhibition of the non-mevalonate 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate pathway of plant isoprenoid biosynthesis by fosmidomycin.** *Z Naturforsch C.* 1998; **53**: 980–986.
[Reference Source](#)
74. Fellermeier M, Kis K, Sagner S, *et al.*: **Cell-free conversion of 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate and 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate into β -carotene in higher plants and its inhibition by fosmidomycin.** *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1999; **40**: 2743–2746.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
75. Kamuro Y, Kawai T, Kakiuchi T: **Herbicidal methods and compositions comprising Fosmidomycin.** Fujisawa Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., U.S. Patent Application No. 5,002,602. March 26, 1991.
[Reference Source](#)
76. Kuntz L, Tritsch D, Grosdemange-Billiard C, *et al.*: **Isoprenoid biosynthesis as a target for antibacterial and antiparasitic drugs: phosphonohydroxamic acids as inhibitors of deoxyxylulose phosphate reductoisomerase.** *Biochem J.* 2005; **386**(Pt 1): 127–135.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#) [Free Full Text](#)
77. Baumeister S, Wiesner J, Reichenberg A, *et al.*: **Fosmidomycin uptake into *Plasmodium* and *Babesia*-infected erythrocytes is facilitated by parasite-induced new permeability pathways.** *PLoS One.* 2011; **6**(5): e19334.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#) [Free Full Text](#)
78. Ortmann R, Wiesner J, Reichenberg A, *et al.*: **Alkoxy-carbonyloxyethyl ester prodrugs of FR-900098 with improved *in vivo* antimalarial activity.** *Arch Pharm (Weinheim).* 2005; **338**(7): 305–314.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
79. Ponaire S, Zinglé C, Tritsch D, *et al.*: **Growth inhibition of *Mycobacterium smegmatis* by prodrugs of deoxyxylulose phosphate reductoisomerase inhibitors, promising anti-mycobacterial agents.** *Eur J Med Chem.* 2012; **51**: 277–285.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
80. Gondet L, Weber T, Maillot-Vernier P, *et al.*: **Regulatory role of microsomal 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase in a tobacco mutant that overproduces sterols.** *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 1992; **186**(2): 888–893.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
81. Chappell J, Wolf F, Proulx J, *et al.*: **Is the reaction catalyzed by 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl Coenzyme A reductase a rate-limiting step for isoprenoid biosynthesis in plants?** *Plant Physiol.* 1995; **109**(4): 1337–1343.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Free Full Text](#)
82. Schaller H, Grausem B, Benveniste P, *et al.*: **Expression of the *Hevea brasiliensis***

- (H.B.K.) Mull. Arg. 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase 1 in tobacco results in sterol overproduction. *Plant Physiol.* 1995; **109**(3): 761–770. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
83. Masferrer A, Arró M, Manzano D, *et al.*: Overexpression of *Arabidopsis thaliana* farnesyl diphosphate synthase (FPS1S) in transgenic *Arabidopsis* induces a cell death/senescence-like response and reduced cytokinin levels. *Plant J.* 2002; **30**(2): 123–132. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 84. Hartmann MA, Bach TJ: Incorporation of all-trans-farnesol into sterols and ubiquinone in *Nicotiana tabacum* L. cv Bright Yellow cell cultures. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001; **42**(4): 655–657. [Publisher Full Text](#)
 85. Hemmerlin A, Bach TJ: Farnesol-induced cell death and stimulation of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase activity in tobacco cv bright yellow-2 cells. *Plant Physiol.* 2000; **123**(4): 1257–1268. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 86. Hemmerlin A, Reents R, Mutterer J, *et al.*: Monitoring farnesol-induced toxicity in tobacco BY-2 cells with a fluorescent analog. *Arch Biochem Biophys.* 2006; **448**(1–2): 93–103. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 87. Andrews M, Huizinga DH, Crowell DN: The CaaX specificities of *Arabidopsis* protein prenyltransferases explain *era1* and *ggb* phenotypes. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2010; **10**: 118. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 88. Gerber E: Localisation cellulaire de protéines fluorescentes isoprénifiables dans les cellules de tabac BY-2. Thèse doctorale, Université Louis Pasteur (ULP) Strasbourg, France 2005. [Reference Source](#)
 89. Gerber E, Hemmerlin A, Bach TJ, *et al.*: The role of plastids in protein geranylgeranylation in tobacco BY-2 cells. In: The Chloroplast: Basics and Applications, CA Rebeiz *et al.* (eds), Advances in Photosynthesis and Respiration Vol 31, Springer Science + Business Media B.V., 2010; pp. 127–137. [Publisher Full Text](#)
 90. Barth O, Vogt S, Uhlemann R, *et al.*: Stress induced and nuclear localized HIP26 from *Arabidopsis thaliana* interacts via its heavy metal associated domain with the drought stress related zinc finger transcription factor ATHB29. *Plant Mol Biol.* 2009; **69**(1–2): 213–226. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 91. Chandra A, Grecco HE, Pisupati V, *et al.*: The GDI-like solubilizing factor PDEd sustains the spatial organization and signalling of Ras family proteins. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2012; **14**(2): 148–158. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 92. Gibbs JB, Pompliano DL, Mosser SD, *et al.*: Selective inhibition of farnesyl-protein transferase blocks ras processing *in vivo*. *J Biol Chem.* 1993; **268**(11): 7617–7620. [PubMed Abstract](#)
 93. Thai L, Rush JS, Maul JE, *et al.*: Farnesol is utilized for isoprenoid biosynthesis in plant cells via farnesyl pyrophosphate formed by successive monophosphorylation reactions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1999; **96**(23): 13080–13085. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 94. Hinson DD, Chambliss KL, Toth MJ, *et al.*: Post-translational regulation of mevalonate kinase by intermediates of the cholesterol and nonsterol isoprene biosynthetic pathways. *J Lipid Res.* 1997; **38**(11): 2216–2223. [PubMed Abstract](#)
 95. Choy E, Chiu VK, Silletti J, *et al.*: Endomembrane trafficking of ras: the CAAX motif targets proteins to the ER and Golgi. *Cell.* 1999; **98**(1): 69–80. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 96. Hancock JF, Magee AI, Childs JE, *et al.*: All ras proteins are polyisoprenylated but only some are palmitoylated. *Cell.* 1989; **57**(7): 1167–1177. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 97. Goodwin JS, Drake KR, Rogers C, *et al.*: Depalmitoylated Ras traffics to and from the Golgi complex via a nonvesicular pathway. *J Cell Biol.* 2005; **170**(2): 261–272. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 98. Hancock JF, Paterson H, Marshall CJ: A polybasic domain or palmitoylation is required in addition to the CAAX motif to localize p21ras to the plasma membrane. *Cell.* 1990; **63**(1): 133–139. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 99. Hancock JF, Cadwallader K, Paterson H, *et al.*: A CAAX or a CAAL motif and a second signal are sufficient for plasma membrane targeting of RAS proteins. *EMBO J.* 1991; **10**(13): 4033–4039. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 100. Meder D, Simons K: Cell biology. Ras on the roundabout. *Science.* 2005; **307**(5716): 1731–1733. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 101. Apolloni A, Prior IA, Lindsay M, *et al.*: H-ras but not K-ras traffics to the plasma membrane through the exocytic pathway. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2000; **20**(7): 2475–2487. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 102. Silvius JR, Bhagatji P, Leventis R, *et al.*: K-ras4B and prenylated proteins lacking “second signals” associate dynamically with cellular membranes. *Mol Biol Cell.* 2006; **17**(1): 192–202. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 103. Wang G, Deschenes RJ: Plasma membrane localization of Ras requires class C Vps proteins and functional mitochondria in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2006; **26**(8): 3243–3255. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 104. Schönbrunn E, Sack S, Eschenburg S, *et al.*: Crystal structure of UDP-N-acetylglucosamine enolpyruvyltransferase, the target of the antibiotic fosfomycin. *Structure.* 1996; **4**(9): 1065–1075. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 105. Sakamoto Y, Furukawa S, Ogihara H, *et al.*: Fosmidomycin resistance in adenylate cyclase deficient (*cya*) mutants of *Escherichia coli*. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem.* 2003; **67**(9): 2030–2033. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 106. Albert A: Chemical aspects of selective toxicity. *Nature.* 1958; **182**(4633): 421–423. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 107. Rautio J, Kumpulainen H, Heimbach T, *et al.*: Prodrugs: design and clinical applications. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2008; **7**(3): 255–270. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 108. Takahata S, Ida T, Hiraishi T, *et al.*: Molecular mechanisms of fosfomycin resistance in clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli*. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* 2010; **35**: 333–337. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 109. Shen W, Wei Y, Dauk M, *et al.*: Involvement of a glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase in modulating the NADH/NAD⁺ ratio provides evidence of a mitochondrial glycerol-3-phosphate shuttle in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell.* 2006; **18**(2): 422–441. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 110. Jomaa H, Wiesner J, Sanderbrand S, *et al.*: Inhibitors of the nonmevalonate pathway of isoprenoid biosynthesis as antimalarial drugs. *Science.* 1999; **285**(5433): 1573–1576. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 111. Iguchi E, Okuhara M, Kohsaka M, *et al.*: Studies on new phosphonic acid antibiotics. II. Taxonomic studies on producing organisms of the phosphonic acid and related compounds. *J Antibiotics (Tokyo).* 1980; **33**(1): 19–23. [PubMed Abstract](#)
 112. Vasudevan A, Qian Y, Vogt A, *et al.*: Potent, highly selective, and non-thiol inhibitors of protein geranylgeranyltransferase-I. *J Med Chem.* 1999; **42**(8): 1333–1340. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 113. Kato K, Matsumoto T, Koiwai S, *et al.*: Liquid suspension culture of tobacco cells. In: Terui G, editor. Fermentation Technology Today. Osaka: Society of Fermentation Technology. 1972; pp. 689–695.
 114. Nagata T, Nemoto Y, Hasezawa S: Tobacco BY-2 cell line as the “Hela” cell in the cell biology of higher plants. *Int Rev Cytol.* 1992; **132**: 1–30. [Publisher Full Text](#)
 115. Murashige T, Skoog F: A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiol Plant.* 1962; **15**(3): 473–497. [Publisher Full Text](#)
 116. Aoyama T, Chua NH: A glucocorticoid-mediated transcriptional induction system in transgenic plants. *Plant J.* 1997; **11**(3): 605–612. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 2

Reviewer Report 20 November 2013

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.2967.r2464>

© 2013 Kanellis A. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Angelos Kanellis

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

I believe the authors have successfully addressed the reviewer comments and thus, I again approve the publication of this article.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 19 November 2013

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.2967.r2462>

© 2013 Feussner I. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Ivo Feussner

Department of Plant Biochemistry, Georg-August-University, Göttingen, Germany

The authors have answered my questions and I have no further comments.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 06 November 2013

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.1257.r1583>

© 2013 Kanellis A. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Angelos Kanellis

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

The article entitled "*The effect of MEP pathway and other inhibitors on the intracellular localization of a plasma membrane-targeted, isoprenylable GFP reporter protein in tobacco BY-2 cells*" by Hartmann *et al.*, describes the use of an in vivo system to study the effectiveness of known inhibitors of MEP and MVA pathways and also to screen for new chemicals that affect these pathways with the hope of eventually being able to use them as herbicides, antibacterial/ant-parasitic drugs and as inhibitors of early sterol biosynthesis and of post-prenylation reactions.

The experiments were well designed and executed and showed that this is a promising system that can be used not only for the screening of new inhibitors of the MEP pathway, but also to study the possible channelling of the intermediates to different compartments and/or to follow the interplay between the two pathways. The latter can also help with applying metabolic engineering to terpenoid production in plants or microorganisms.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 13 Nov 2013

Thomas J. Bach, Université de Strasbourg, F-67083 Strasbourg, France

We are quite grateful for the very positive comments by this colleague, who has provided a broader and deeper perspective to our article than might at first be obvious by a superficial read of the manuscript. It is clear that he is a specialist in the realm of plant isoprenoid research.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 04 September 2013

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.1257.r1438>

© 2013 Pirrung M. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Michael Pirrung

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Riverside, CA, USA

This is an interesting chemical genetics study addressing the parallel biosynthetic pathways for isoprenoids in plants (which are also significant in human pathogens). As such, this work provides a paradigm for the discovery of bioactives that might be applied in several contexts. Of course, the unique characteristics of plant cell walls / membranes, contrasted with bacteria, may make this screen less general than might be desirable. Being based on imaging, this screen is relatively low throughout, but cell-based assays typically have some of this sort of limitation. A few new chemotypes have been investigated and found to have significant activity. This study follows well-established processes for examining the sequence of steps in a biosynthetic pathway, with opposing effects of inhibitors and molecules downstream of the site of inhibition.

A slight ambiguity about the chemical structures of the pro-drugs and their active forms hinders understanding of the structure-activity relationships. It should be emphasized that the most active SP compounds have N-methyl groups on their hydroxamate nitrogens. Also, structures presented on p. 20 are in error, in that all but TJ290 are missing a carbonyl group.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 13 Nov 2013

Thomas J. Bach, Université de Strasbourg, F-67083 Strasbourg, France

The referee went quite deeply into the details of the manuscript and we are very grateful for his sharp eyes, detecting a mistake in the supplementary figure summarizing some (synthetic) inhibitors checked in our study. The corresponding figure will of course be replaced.

The colleague points to some limits of such a system, but we have prepared a sequel manuscript also to be sent to F1000research, in which all has been "miniaturized" to the use of 96-well plates and a semi-automatic analysis by confocal microscopy. It was necessary however, to shown that the reporter system as such, based on this GFP fusion protein works sufficiently well before publishing the second manuscript. As to the efficacy of compounds that interact with the MEP pathway or with downstream reactions of protein isoprenylation the first criteria is to penetrate into the BY-2 cells, and *ad extremum* to get into the plastids. It is obvious and also a major result that "pro-drugs" with increased lipophilicity enter more easily, like is known for a large number of pesticides and pharmaceuticals. It is somewhat ambiguous to go too deeply into the field of structure-activity relationships, but Dr. Pirrung is right in ascribing the highest activity to a certain

group of compounds, like those that have N-methyl groups and their hydroxamate nitrogens.

There is no “ideal” system for testing inhibitors, and this concerns those whose research is based around bacteria, as well. Let us take for instance the well-known fosmidomycin that penetrates into *E. coli*, but not into the humano-pathogenic *Mycobacterium*. Once an inhibitor has been found efficient in our plant cell-based test system, it is clear that in follow-up experiments one has to investigate whether it acts similarly upon a whole collection of such bacteria. Human pathogens are not even limited to bacteria. Simply pragmatically speaking, a plant cell is somewhat representative of different pathogenic cells: protists, bacteria and fungi.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 15 August 2013

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.1257.r1442>

© 2013 Feussner I. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Ivo Feussner

Department of Plant Biochemistry, Georg-August-University, Göttingen, Germany

This manuscript by Bach, Rohmer and colleagues describes a new and powerful plant screening system for chemical inhibitors of the MEP or Rohmer pathway. The basic concept as well as the underlying chemistry of the manuscript is excellent, but the presentation of the results and conclusions driven from the biological experiments, as well as a number of paragraphs need careful revision.

A few points that shall help the authors to improve the manuscript are:

- None of the inhibitors the authors measure show their uptake. This should be mentioned/discussed.
- The size of the cells should be shown with exactly the same magnification in all figures. Otherwise it is hard to compare the morphology of the cells upon treatment with different inhibitors. To my mind it seems that the treatment does result in changes in cell size and shape i.e. Figure 1, unlike what is stated for Ro in the 7th paragraph of page 4. In Figure 2 only the cells in the top line show stunting; the cells shown in the lines below look normal to me.
- The authors should carefully check again whether parts/paragraphs can be moved into the method section and vice versa.
- In Figure 6 fluorescence intensity is given. How was this measured, do the authors not mean distribution?

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 09 Nov 2013

Thomas J. Bach, Université de Strasbourg, F-67083 Strasbourg, France

This was the first comment to be delivered (thank you), but we waited until now, so as to respond to all reviews at the same time.

The criticism on the organization of the paper is certainly justified, but in fact it is the result of frequent exchanges of e-mails and versions with the editorial office of F1000. The initial version was a long manuscript with a very large supplement. Upon the advice received, everything was restructured and finally two separate manuscripts were prepared, and to tell you the truth, what was a major part of the so-called supplement is now this first manuscript that describes the effects of a series of MEP pathway inhibitors and some that putatively interfere with protein isoprenylation and processing of correspondingly modified proteins. The follow-up manuscript will provide information on the establishment of a medium-throughput system and what measures need to be taken to miniaturize the system and to facilitate microscopic analysis of rather large numbers of samples, based on BY-2 cells grown and treated in small volumes (96-well plates). We think that by then all questions that remain open in this paper will become clear, including when it comes to statistics and so forth.

Only if a compound is absorbed, meaning that it had passed the thin cell wall and the plasma membrane of BY-2 cells it can exert some effect in the cytoplasm (i.e., protein geranylgeranylation), or beyond after having surmounted the barrier of the double membrane that makes up the plastidial envelope to target the MEP pathway. Thus specific uptake studies, for instance with radiolabeled compounds would go far beyond the scope of this paper, not to speak of costs!

Dr. Feussner is right in pointing to the different size of cells as they appear in the figures. But for that reason the (high resolution) photographs made with the confocal microscope are automatically completed with white bars for scaling. We know from earlier studies with BY-2 cells treated with sterol biosynthesis inhibitors that cells become more stunted, but in this series of experiments here we searched for putative effects on the insertion of the isoprenylated GFP reporter protein and found none. (Just as an aside, in a completely different study, sterols were depleted from the membrane by cyclodextrin treatment beyond 30%, without noticeable effect on the viability of cells.) Treatment of BY-2 cells with squalostatin (inhibitor of squalene synthase) and by terbinafin (blocks squalene epoxidase) led to similar morphological adaptations (reference #38): After cell division sterols are needed for cell elongation. However, a clear effect on the GFP reporter protein was seen with squalostatin, but this could be attributed to its direct inhibition of protein isoprenylation, as already described in literature.

Indeed, the treatment with Ro at the concentrations applied did not really affect the cell shape (Fig. 1a) and the statement criticized in the main text needs to be weakened. Dr. Feussner is right: In Fig. 6 we mean fluorescence distribution, not intensity. This will be changed accordingly.

In Fig. 2 chemical complementation assays are displayed, with 1 μ M squalastatin as the inhibitor. (This is already a pretty high concentration of this very efficient $\pm$ irreversible inhibitor, but which also needs to penetrate into the cells.) The only compound that really counteracted the apparent side effect on protein geranylgeranylation besides DX was geranylgeraniol (GGol). However, in previous studies we had already demonstrated that under certain conditions, when the cytoplasmic MVA pathway is blocked, in the BY-2 cell system exogenous DX can override the inhibition of sterol biosynthesis, which would result in less stunted cells. GGol, at the concentrations tested might prevent the binding of squalastatin to protein geranylgeranyl transferase. This does not hold true for MVA (open acid or lactone form), which would reconstitute sterol biosynthesis to some extent resulting in some cell elongation, but not entirely the inhibition of PGGT type I. The slight effect of geraniol might be due to its conversion into farnesyl-PP, but that is more speculation. We think we can leave this as it is described and discussed now.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research