Check for updates

SHORT RESEARCH ARTICLE

UPDATE Mutation detection in cholestatic patients using microarray resequencing of *ATP8B1* and *ABCB11* [version 2; peer review: 2 approved, 1 approved with reservations]

Kirsten E McKay^{1,2}, Christopher K Bruce¹, Jane L Hartley³, A S Knisely⁴, Ulrich Baumann⁵, Sonja-Stephanie Bockisch⁵, Ekkehard Sturm⁶, Christian J Hendriksz⁷, Deidre A Kelly³, Fiona Macdonald², Paul Gissen⁸

¹University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

²Birmingham Women's Hospital, Birmingham, B15 3TG, UK

³Birmingham Children's Hospital, Birmingham, B4 6NH, UK

⁴Institute of Liver Studies, King's College Hospital, London, London, SE5 9RS, UK

⁵Medizinische Hochschule Hannover, Hannover, 130625, Germany

⁶University of Tübingen, Tübingen, 72074, Germany

⁷Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Salford, M6 8HD, UK

⁸Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children, London, WC1N 3JH, UK

V2 First published: 06 Feb 2013, 2:32
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-32.v1>

Latest published: 20 Mar 2013, 2:32
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-32.v2>

Abstract

Background: Neonatal cholestasis is a common presentation of childhood liver diseases and can be a feature of various conditions including disorders of bile acid biogenesis and transport, various inborn errors of metabolism and perinatal infections. Some inherited metabolic diseases can be easily screened using biochemical assays, however many can only be accurately diagnosed by DNA sequencing. Fluorescent capillary Sanger sequencing (FS) is the gold standard method used by clinical laboratories for genetic diagnosis of many inherited conditions; however, it does have limitations. Recently microarray resequencing (MR) has been introduced into research and clinical practice as an alternative method for genetic diagnosis of heterogeneous conditions. In this report we compared the accuracy of mutation detection for MR with FS in a group of patients with 'low-normal' gamma glutamyl transpeptidase (gGT) cholestasis without known molecular diagnoses.

Methods: 29 patient DNA samples were tested for mutations in the *ATP8B1* and *ABCB11* genes using both FS and MR. Other known causes of "low gGT cholestasis" such as ARC syndrome and bile acid biosynthesis disorders were excluded.

Results: Mutations were identified in 13/29 samples. In 3/29 samples FS and MR gave discordant results: MR had a false positive rate of 3.4% and a false negative rate of 7%.

Conclusions: The major advantage of MR over FS is that multiple

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3
version 2
(update)
20 Mar 2013
version 1
06 Feb 2013

1. **Struan Grant**, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Research Institute, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA
2. **Andrea Ballabio**, Telethon Institute of Genetics and Medicine, Naples, Italy
3. **Kornelia Neveling**, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

genes can be screened in one experiment, allowing rapid and cost-effective diagnoses. However, we have demonstrated that MR technology is limited in sensitivity. We therefore recommend that MR be used as an initial evaluation, with FS deployed when genetic and clinical or histopathological findings are discordant.

Keywords

Microarray resequencing, Neonatal cholestasis, ATP8B1, ABCB11

Corresponding author: Kirsten E McKay (kirsten.mckay@bwhct.nhs.uk)

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The funding for reagents was supported by an educational grant from Actelion Pharmaceuticals. *The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.*

Copyright: © 2013 McKay KE *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: McKay KE, Bruce CK, Hartley JL *et al.* **Mutation detection in cholestatic patients using microarray resequencing of *ATP8B1* and *ABCB11* [version 2; peer review: 2 approved, 1 approved with reservations]** F1000Research 2013, 2:32 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-32.v2>

First published: 06 Feb 2013, 2:32 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-32.v1>

UPDATED Changes from Version 1

In response to the referee comments, the discussion has been edited to acknowledge that several novel sequencing technologies have become available that are likely to or have already superseded microarray resequencing for clinical sequencing. These alterations help to put the work into context of recent advances in technology.

See referee reports

Introduction

Neonatal cholestasis is characterised by persistent hyperbilirubinaemia and has an incidence of around 1 in 2500 live births¹. It can occur as a result of impaired bile acid biosynthesis, defective bile secretion by hepatocytes (due to membrane transporter defects), or extrahepatic obstruction to biliary flow. Patients present with jaundice, dark urine, pale acholic stools and hepatomegaly. Older infants may have pruritus, develop fat-soluble vitamin deficiencies and fail to thrive¹. The aetiology of cholestasis is varied and includes multiple inherited causes, such as progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis (PFIC), Niemann-Pick disease type C and arthrogyriposis, renal dysfunction and cholestasis (ARC) syndrome¹. Furthermore cholestasis can be subdivided into 'low-normal' and high gamma glutamyl transpeptidase (gGT) categories depending on the level of serum gGT activity.

Accurate diagnosis is essential in order to inform decisions on treatment and management. As an example, familial intrahepatic cholestasis 1 (FIC1) protein deficiency caused by mutations in *ATP8B1* (PFIC type 1) and bile salt export protein (BSEP) deficiency caused by mutations in *ABCB11* (PFIC type 2) have similar presentations, however liver transplantation is used more frequently in PFIC type 2 than type 1⁶. Genetic testing allows accurate assessment of the genetic risk of cholestasis in families, in addition to providing definitive diagnoses. Clinical laboratories tend to use fluorescent capillary sequencing (FS; Sanger method), because it lends itself to automation and is highly sensitive. However, FS is limited by machine capacity and relatively high consumables costs.

Microarray resequencing (MR) is an alternative sequencing method previously used to detect mutations in patients with intrahepatic cholestasis². We have performed initial testing of a 300kb custom-designed microarray (Birmingham ReseqUencing Microarray, BRUM1) in patients with known mutations⁴. BRUM1 includes probes to sequence two genes (*ATP8B1* and *ABCB11*) involved in PFIC. This short research article describes further evaluation of the BRUM1 microarray using samples simultaneously tested by FS and MR in patients without previously known mutations and assesses the advantages and disadvantages of MR in clinical laboratory use.

Materials and methods

DNA samples

DNA samples from 29 children (15 females) who presented with intrahepatic cholestasis in the first year of life, low-normal range gGT activity without ARC syndrome or defects in bile acid biosynthesis were used. ARC syndrome was excluded by clinical examination³ and bile acid biosynthesis disorders were excluded by determination of urinary bile acid profile by electrospray ionisation

mass spectrometry. Thus there was a high likelihood of detecting mutations in *ATP8B1* and *ABCB11* in these samples.

Polymerase chain reaction

Polymerase chain reaction was used to amplify the coding exons of *ATP8B1* and *ABCB11* plus the intron-exon boundaries prior to both FS and MR. The PCR reaction used 50ng of DNA, 0.5µM each primer (Sigma), 0.5mM dNTPs (Bioline) and 2 units of Taq DNA polymerase (Biomix Red, Bioline UK) in 1x PCR buffer.

Fluorescent sanger sequencing

5µl of PCR product was treated with ExoSap IT (GE Healthcare) for 30 mins at 37°C before being denatured at 85°C for 15 mins. Sequencing primers (at a final concentration of 0.5µM) was added to 1µl of BigDye 3.1 and 1x BigDye buffer (Applied Biosystems) in a final volume of 10µl. The sequencing programme was thermal cycling for 34 cycles of denaturing at 95°C for 20 seconds, annealing 50°C for 20 seconds and extension at 60°C for 4 minutes. Resulting products were precipitated using EDTA/Na acetate and ethanol and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 30 minutes. Products were washed in 70% ethanol before being air dried, resuspended in 10µl of HiDi formamide (Applied Biosystems) and denatured. Resuspended products were analysed using a DNA analyzer 3730xl (Applied Biosystems) and the resulting sequence traces were analysed using Sequence Analysis 5.2.2 (Applied Biosystems).

Microarray resequencing

PCR product quantitation, pooling, fragmentation, labelling and hybridisation and was performed according to the GeneChip Custom Resequencing Array Protocol V2.1 (Affymetrix). Arrays were washed and stained using a FS450 fluidics station before being scanned with a GCS3000 7G scanner (Affymetrix). Intensity files were generated using AGCC (Command Console V1.0, Affymetrix) and processed in GSeq 4.1 (Affymetrix). Base calling assumed the diploid model and a quality score threshold of 2 (default settings were used for all other parameters).

Results

ATP8B1 and *ABCB11* were analysed using FS and MR. The sequence variants detected in 13 of the samples (44.5% detection rate) are listed in Table 1. Eight variants had previously been described in association with PFIC and ten variants were novel. Four of the variants were nonsense mutations (22%), two were splice site changes (11%) and the rest were missense (67%). No insertions or deletions were detected using the specifically designed probes. No mutations were detected by either technique in the remainder of the patients and therefore the cause of 'low-normal gGT' cholestasis remains undetermined in these cases. The negative results in these cases may be explained by whole exon deletions or duplications, intronic mutations affecting splicing, or promoter region mutations in *ATP8B1* and *ABCB11*, as such mutations would not be detected by either strategy. In addition, it is possible that further genes are involved in the phenotype of neonatal cholestasis with low gGT.

In sample 17, MR detected a variant not confirmed by FS, constituting a false positive result. Two samples (6 and 22) had compound heterozygous changes in *ATP8B1* detected by FS but not by MR. Both samples had two variants in close proximity; therefore we speculate that these are in *cis* and that each sequence variant has

Table 1. The sequence variants identified in this study.

Sample	Gene	DNA change ^b	Protein change ^c	Zygosity	Predicted effect	Previously reported?	Microarray resequencing	Fluorescent sanger sequencing
2	<i>ABCB11</i>	c.850G>C	p.V284L	Heterozygous	Missense	Yes ⁷	Y	Y
4	<i>ABCB11</i>	c.2178+1G>T		Heterozygous	Altered splicing	Yes ⁸	Y	Y
6	<i>ATP8B1</i>	c.1010T>G	p.M337R	Heterozygous	Missense	Novel ^d	N	Y
	<i>ATP8B1</i>	c.1018A>G	p.M340V	Heterozygous	Missense	Novel ^d	N	Y
7	<i>ATP8B1</i>	c.208G>A	p.D70N	Heterozygous	Missense	Yes ⁹	Y	Y
9	<i>ABCB11</i>	c.2170G>A	p.D724N	Heterozygous	Missense	Novel ^d	Y	Y
12	<i>ABCB11</i>	c.2611-2A>T		Heterozygous	Altered splicing	Yes ¹⁰	Y	Y
17	<i>ATP8B1</i>	c.1660G>A	p.D554N	Homozygous	Missense	Yes ¹¹	Y	Y
	<i>ATP8B1</i>	c.1564G>A ^a	p.D522N ^a	Heterozygous ^a	Missense	Novel ^d	Y	N ^a
18	<i>ABCB11</i>	c.290T>G	p.L97*	Homozygous	Truncated protein	Novel	Y	Y
19	<i>ATP8B1</i>	c.3040C>T	p.R1014*	Heterozygous	Missense	Yes ⁹	Y	Y
22	<i>ATP8B1</i>	c.1014C>G	p.N338K	Heterozygous	Missense	Novel ^d	N	Y
	<i>ATP8B1</i>	c.1018A>G	p.M340V	Heterozygous	Missense	Novel ^d	N	Y
	<i>ABCB11</i>	c.1636C>A	p.Q546K	Heterozygous	Missense	Novel ^d	Y	Y
25	<i>ABCB11</i>	c.499G>A	p.A167T	Heterozygous	Missense	Yes ¹²	Y	Y
	<i>ABCB11</i>	c.3458G>A	p.R1153H	Heterozygous	Missense	Yes ¹⁰	Y	Y
26	<i>ABCB11</i>	c.3484G>T	p.E1162*	Homozygous	Truncated protein	Novel	Y	Y
29	<i>ABCB11</i>	c.483C>A	p.C161*	Homozygous	Truncated protein	Novel	Y	Y

^a - Variant was not confirmed by FS and is therefore a false positive finding.

^b - DNA changes were experimentally determined by sequencing.

^c - Protein changes are predicted rather than experimentally determined.

^d - Novel missense changes are of unclear pathogenicity.

impaired the hybridisation of surrounding probes. Our experience of testing patients for mutations in these genes suggests that false negative results arising in this manner are likely to be uncommon. However, in this cohort they occurred in 7% of samples.

Discussion

MR is an attractive alternative to traditional FS for genetic testing in neonatal cholestasis. The BRUM1 microarray used in this study has a much larger capacity than was utilised in this experiment, as it contains probes for 92 genes associated with inherited disorders. Analysis of the ability of this microarray to detect known gene mutations has shown a 97% mutation detection rate for base substitutions⁴. The major advantage of MR is increased capacity, allowing sequencing of multiple genes in one experiment as quickly as one gene using FS. The main bottleneck is the requirement to quantify and to pool individual PCR products before hybridisation. This step is time-consuming and prone to error (due to pipetting volume variations and potential sample mix-ups). Therefore, automation to minimise such errors would be useful, if not essential, for adoption of this method into clinical laboratories. Alternatively, use of long range PCR as the preparatory step for the MR protocol could reduce the number of reactions to be pooled, although it is more sensitive to poor DNA quality than standard PCR. Finally, microfluidics-based PCR systems, such as the 48.48 Access Array (Fluidigm Corporation, San Francisco, CA), might be combined

with MR to avoid the quantification and pooling step altogether. This system allows the simultaneous but separate amplification of up to 48 PCR products for up to 48 samples, in nanolitre-sized reactions, using a semi-automated process. The end product of the process is a pool of PCR products for each sample, and it is commonly used for target enrichment for next generation sequencing experiments.

Of the mutations recorded in the Human Gene Mutation Database⁵ in *ATP8B1* and *ABCB11*, 24% and 16% were small insertions or deletions (indels) respectively, though their combined frequency in PFIC cases is unknown as most are private mutations. The major disadvantage of MR is its insensitivity for the detection of indels⁴. Whilst known indels can be detected with proper microarray design, novel indels will be missed. Larger insertions and deletions involving whole exons, of the type routinely detected by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA; MRC-Holland, Netherlands), are not detected by either MR or FS. Another disadvantage, underscored by the results of this study, is that mis-called base substitutions (both false negative and false positive calls), are frequent and were found in approximately 10% of samples in this study.

In summary, MR allows rapid and cost-effective genetic screening in neonatal cholestasis and yields results relevant for patient management. Many clinical laboratories are experienced in

oligoarray comparative genome hybridization (CGH) and thus have access to equipment required for MR, suggesting that MR could be implemented for a relatively small monetary investment. In principle, MR could be applied to many clinical scenarios involving heterogeneous conditions, especially if the mutations tend to be base substitutions. We recommend that MR methods be used for initial evaluation, with FS deployed when genetic and clinical or histopathologic findings are discordant.

In recent years, various next generation sequencing (NGS) platforms have become available which allow massively parallel resequencing experiments to be performed. In particular ‘benchtop’ sequencers like the GS Junior (Roche), Personal Genome Machine (Life technologies) and MiSeq (Illumina) are attractive to clinical laboratories because they allow fast, cheap resequencing of multiple genes, and when the capacity is used optimally the costs are lower than MR. This study has identified a significant rate of discordant results obtained by MR when compared to Sanger sequencing, and consequently we predict that NGS will be the method of choice for clinical laboratory resequencing tests.

Author contributions

KEM performed the experiments, analysed the data and prepared the first draft of the manuscript. CKB performed the experiments and analysed the data. SSB recruited patients and performed experiments, UB, ES, DAK, CJK and JLH recruited patients and analysed the data, ASK analysed the data, FMcD analysed the data. PG conceived the study and analysed the data. All authors were involved in the revision of the manuscript and have agreed to the final content.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

The funding for reagents was supported by an educational grant from Actelion Pharmaceuticals.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

References

- Suchy FJ, Sokol RJ, Balistreri WF: **Liver disease in children**. Cambridge University Press 2007.
[Reference Source](#)
- Liu C, Aronow BJ, Jegga AG, *et al.*: **Novel resequencing chip customized to diagnose mutations in patients with inherited syndromes of intrahepatic cholestasis**. *Gastroenterology*. 2007; **132**(1): 119–126.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Smith H, Galmes R, Gogolina E, *et al.*: **Association among genotype, clinical phenotype and intracellular localization of trafficking proteins in ARC Syndrome**. *Hum Mutat*. 2012; **33**(12): 1656–64.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Bruce CK, Smith M, Rahman F, *et al.*: **Design and validation of a metabolic disorder resequencing microarray (BRUM1)**. *Hum Mutat*. 2010; **31**(7): 858–865.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Human Gene Mutation Database. Accessed July 2012.
[Reference Source](#)
- Pawlikowska L, Strautnieks S, Jankowska I, *et al.*: **Differences in presentation and progression between severe FIC1 and BSEP deficiencies**. *J Hepatol*. 2010; **53**(1): 170–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Byrne JA, Strautnieks SS, Ihrke G, *et al.*: **Missense Mutations and Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms in ABCB11 Impair Bile Salt Export Pump Processing and Function or Disrupt Pre-Messenger RNA Splicing**. *Hepatology*. 2009; **49**(2): 553–567.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- van Mil SW, van der Woerd WL, van der Brugge G, *et al.*: **Benign Recurrent Intrahepatic Cholestasis Type 2 Is Caused by Mutations in ABCB11**. *Gastroenterology*. 2004; **127**(2): 379–384.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Klomp LW, Vargas JC, van Mil SW, *et al.*: **Characterization of Mutations in ATP8B1 Associated With Hereditary Cholestasis**. *Hepatology*. 2004; **40**(1): 27–38.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Strautnieks SS, Byrne JA, Pawlikowska L, *et al.*: **Severe Bile Salt Export Pump Deficiency: 82 Different ABCB11 Mutations in 109 Families**. *Gastroenterology*. 2008; **134**(4): 1203–1214.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Klomp LW, Bull LN, Knisely AS, *et al.*: **A Missense Mutation in FIC1 Is Associated With Greenland Familial Cholestasis**. *Hepatology*. 2000; **32**(6): 1337–1341.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Liu LY, Wang ZL, Wang XH, *et al.*: **ABCB11 gene mutations in Chinese children with progressive intrahepatic cholestasis and low gamma glutamyltransferase**. *Liver Int*. 2010; **30**(6): 809–15.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 2

Reviewer Report 13 September 2013

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.1255.r1812>

© 2013 Neveling K. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Kornelia Neveling

Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

This is a well-written article. The authors already discuss the weaknesses of their technique, therefore I do not need to comment on this further. However, I would have liked to see some more information on the identified variants. There is no information given about the predicted pathogenicity of the identified (missense) variants. This would be necessary in order to draw any conclusion on whether the disease-causing mutations have been identified or not.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 29 August 2013

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.1255.r1619>

© 2013 Grant S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Struan Grant

Center for Applied Genomics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Research Institute, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

The authors have adequately addressed all outstanding concerns.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 14 February 2013

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.301.r770>

© 2013 Ballabio A. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Andrea Ballabio

Telethon Institute of Genetics and Medicine, Naples, Italy

The authors compare, in a very thorough and detailed manner, the performance of Microarray resequencing (MS) vs. Sanger sequencing (FS) for mutation detection in the *ATP8B1* and *ABCB11* genes in cholestatic patients. They recommend the cautious use of microarray resequencing as an initial evaluation tool and propose to limit the use of Sanger sequencing to more problematic and discordant cases.

However, given the non-negligible discordance observed by the authors between the two approaches (MR had a false positive rate of 3.4% and a false negative rate of 7%), the definition of the cases that should be analyzed by FS is not entirely clear. Moreover, a number of other resequencing procedures that can be applied to the simultaneous analysis of multiple genes are now available. Some of them are very competitive in terms of efficacy and cost with MS and it is quite likely that in the near future they will be more widely used with respect to MS. Ideally, the authors should have discussed about this issue.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Reviewer Report 14 February 2013

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.301.r769>

© 2013 Grant S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Struan Grant

Center for Applied Genomics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Research Institute, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research