Check for updates

RESEARCH ARTICLE

UPDATE Parasitic wasp females are attracted to blends of host-induced plant volatiles: do qualitative and quantitative differences in the blend matter? [version 2; peer review: 2 approved]

Masayoshi Uefune¹, Soichi Kugimiya^{1,2}, Rika Ozawa¹, Junji Takabayashi¹

¹Center for Ecological Research, Kyoto University, Otsu, Shiga, 520-2113, Japan

²National Institute for Agro-Environmental Science (NIAES), Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-8604, Japan

v2 First published: 21 Feb 2013, 2:57
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-57.v1>
 Latest published: 22 Apr 2013, 2:57
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-57.v2>

Abstract

Naïve *Cotesia vestalis* wasps, parasitoids of diamondback moth (DBM) larvae, are attracted to a synthetic blend (Blend A) of host-induced plant volatiles composed of sabinene, *n*-heptanal, α -pinene, and (Z)-3-hexenyl acetate, in a ratio of 1.8:1.3:2.0:3.0. We studied whether qualitative (adding (*R*)-limonene: Blend B) or quantitative changes (changing ratios: Blend C) to Blend A affected the olfactory response of *C. vestalis* in the background of intact komatsuna plant volatiles. Naïve wasps showed equal preference to Blends A and B and Blends A and C in two-choice tests. Wasps with oviposition experience in the presence of Blend B preferred Blend B over Blend A, while wasps that had oviposited without a volatile blend showed no preference between the two. Likewise, wasps that had starvation experience in the presence of Blend B preferred Blend A over Blend B, while wasps that had starved without a volatile blend showed no preference between the two. Wasps that had oviposition experience either with or without Blend A showed equal preferences between Blends C and A. However, wasps that had starvation experience in the presence of Blend A preferred Blend C over Blend A, while those that starved without a volatile blend showed equal preferences between the two. By manipulating quality and quantity of the synthetic attractants, we showed to what extent *C. vestalis* could discriminate/learn slight differences between blends that were all, in principle, attractive.

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 2		
(update)
22 Apr 2013
version 1
21 Feb 2013	view	view

1. **Jarmo K. Holopainen**, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland

2. **Yonggen Lou**, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Junji Takabayashi (junji@ecology.kyoto-u.ac.jp)

Competing interests: No relevant competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This research was partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) (No. 19101009) from the Global Centre of Excellence Program "Formation of a Strategic Base for Biodiversity and Evolutionary Research: from Genome to Ecosystem" of Kyoto University, Japan, and by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS): Core-to-Core project "Studies on ecological interaction networks that promote biodiversity — From gene to ecosystem."

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2013 Uefune M *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

How to cite this article: Uefune M, Kugimiya S, Ozawa R and Takabayashi J. **Parasitic wasp females are attracted to blends of host-induced plant volatiles: do qualitative and quantitative differences in the blend matter?** [version 2; peer review: 2 approved] F1000Research 2013, 2:57 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-57.v2>

First published: 21 Feb 2013, 2:57 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-57.v1>

UPDATED Changes from Version 1

In this version of our manuscript, we have addressed the minor concerns expressed by Yonggen Lou in his referee report, clarifying our definition of “quantitative difference in a blend” (methods section, page 5, line 6 from the bottom).

See referee reports

Introduction

Plants infested by herbivorous insects release volatiles called herbivore-induced plant volatiles (HIPVs), which attract carnivorous natural enemies such as parasitic wasps and predators^{1–3}. Blends of HIPVs differ from those of volatiles emitted by intact or artificially damaged plants and are specific to plant species, cultivars and developmental stage, as well as to herbivore species and developmental stage^{1–3}. Natural enemies facilitate this specificity to find their victims. For example, parasitic wasps can distinguish between a blend of suitable host-induced plant volatiles and one of unsuitable host- or nonhost-induced plant volatiles to find a host^{4–6}. Discrimination by the predatory mite between volatiles from plants infested with the prey and plants infested with the nonprey was also reported⁷. These plant-specific responses by carnivores may be due to innate olfactory preferences or to olfactory learning of prey-infested plant volatiles^{4–15}.

Learning is widespread among insects, and is relied on for all major activities¹⁶. Parasitic wasps are well-established model systems for research on insect learning¹⁷. Adult wasps can learn specific blends of HIPVs^{7–13,15}. For example, Fukushima *et al.* (2002) reported that *Cotesia kariyai* (Watanabe) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), a parasitoid of *Mythimna separata* larvae (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), that were preconditioned by simultaneous exposure to infested maize volatiles and host feces showed increased responses to a synthetic blend of five HIPVs of low specificity (i.e., induced by both artificial and host damage)⁸. Takemoto *et al.* (2009) reported that *Aphidius ervi*, an aphid parasitoid, were not attracted to volatiles from host-infested broad bean plants over intact plant volatiles when they had emerged in clean Petri dishes, but when artificially exposed to infested-plant volatiles during emergence, the wasps showed a significant preference for infested-plant volatiles¹².

Cotesia vestalis is a specialist parasitoid of diamondback moth (DBM) (*Plutella xylostella*) larvae, which feed on crucifer plants. We previously reported that naive *C. vestalis* were preferentially attracted to crucifer plants with DBM larval damage over artificially-damaged or nonhost (*Pieris rapae* larvae)-infested plants⁶. More recently, we reported that a blend of four compounds in the headspaces of DBM-infested cabbage plants attracted naive *C. vestalis*¹⁴. The synthetic mixture was composed of sabinene, *n*-heptanal, α -pinene, and (Z)-3-hexenyl acetate at a ratio of 1.8:1.3:2.0:3.0. The attractiveness of the synthetic blend was confirmed both under laboratory^{14,18} and field conditions¹⁸.

An intriguing question about HIPV-mediated interactions between host-infested plants and natural enemies is to what extent natural

enemies can distinguish qualitative and/or quantitative differences between two attractive volatile blends in combination with learning. To answer this question, we qualitatively or quantitatively manipulated the synthetic blend of volatiles that attracts *C. vestalis* to DBM-infested cabbage plants. By changing the ratio or adding another host-induced component to the artificial volatile blend, we tested whether these differences affected the olfactory responses of *C. vestalis* via learning of plant volatiles associated with hosts or foods. To our knowledge, this is the first report to show the extent to which wasps can recognize/learn qualitative or quantitative differences in volatile blends by using a synthetic blend of HIPVs attractive to *C. vestalis*.

Materials and methods

Insects and plants

Unless specified otherwise, all procedures described below were conducted in a climate-controlled room (25±3°C, 60±10% relative humidity [RH], 16-hour light: 8-hour dark [16L:8D] lighting schedule). Komatsuna (*Brassica rapa* var. *perviridis* L. cv. Rakuten) plants (Takii & Co., Ltd., Kyoto Japan) were cultivated in soil (Iku-byou-baido: Takii & Co., Ltd., Kyoto Japan) in individual plastic pots (diameter: 90 mm, depth: 70 mm) for 4–5 weeks.

DBM were collected in a field near Kyoto, Japan, and mass-reared on potted komatsuna plants in the climate-controlled room. Eggs were collected every a few days, and hatched larvae were reared on cut plants in small cages (25 × 15 × 10 cm high).

Cotesia vestalis were obtained from parasitized DBM larvae collected in the same field. Newly-emerged adults were maintained in acrylic cages (35 × 25 × 30 cm high) with 50% aqueous honey as food for 3 d to ensure mating. Female wasps were then individually transferred to glass tubes (2 cm diameter, 13 cm long) containing 50% aqueous honey as food and kept in a dark climate-controlled chamber (18±3°C, 60±10% RH, 24D) to suppress flight and prolong lifespan before use. Females were never kept for more than 6 d. At least 1 h before the start of each experiment, naive female wasps were transferred to the experimental chamber (25±3°C, 60±10% RH).

Synthetic blend of HIPVs

The synthetic mixture of HIPVs was prepared as follows. Pure compounds of (Z)-3-hexenyl acetate (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan), *n*-heptanal (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan), α -pinene (Tokyo Chemical Industry Co. Ltd., Tokyo Japan), sabinene (Soda Aromatic Co. Ltd. Tokyo, Japan) and (R)-limonene (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan) were dissolved in triethyl citrate (TEC) (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan) (0.01% (w/w) TEC solution) to achieve slow volatilization. Using gas chromatography–mass spectroscopy (GCMS; Agilent 6890N/5973MSD System, Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) with a TC-Wax capillary column (GL Sciences, Tokyo, Japan), the ratios of compounds in synthetic mixtures were adjusted to match those released by an infested cabbage plant¹⁴. The gas chromatograph oven temperature was programmed to rise from 65°C to 120°C at 3°C/min, and then from 120°C to 245°C at 5°C/min. The synthetic mixture (Blend A) was composed of sabinene, *n*-heptanal, α -pinene, and (Z)-3-hexenyl acetate at a ratio of 1.8:1.3:2.0:3.0¹⁴. This blend was called Blend A.

We already reported that Blend A did not become more attractive to *C. vestalis* by adding (*R*)-limonene, which was found in higher amounts in the headspaces of DBM-larvae-infested cabbage plants than intact ones¹⁴. Thus, to test whether *C. vestalis* discriminated qualitative differences in volatile blends, we added limonene to make Blend B. The ratios of sabinene, *n*-heptanal, α -pinene, (*Z*)-3-hexenyl acetate, and (*R*)-limonene were adjusted to be 1.8:1.3:2.0:3.0:1.0 by GCMS. Next, to test whether female wasps could discriminate the ratios of the four compounds in the blend (quantitative differences in the blend), we prepared a third blend (Blend C) of sabinene, *n*-heptanal, α -pinene, and (*Z*)-3-hexenyl acetate at a ratio of 1.0:1.0:1.0:1.0. In two-choice tests, we compared either Blends A vs. B or Blends A vs. C.

Wasps' conditioning

Female wasps that had experienced oviposition or starvation were prepared as follows. To generate oviposition-experienced *C. vestalis*, we confined a second stadium DBM larva in a glass vial (2 cm in diameter, 5 cm long), and then introduced a female *C. vestalis*. In most cases, oviposition occurred within 5 min. Wasps that oviposited on the larvae were used for bioassays. Starvation-experienced *C. vestalis* were then confined in a glass vial (2 cm in diam., 5 cm long) for 2 h without food.

To prepare wasps that had been exposed to volatiles during oviposition or starvation experience, we placed a piece of filter paper (1.5 × 1.5 cm) impregnated with 10 μ L of a TEC solution of a volatile blend (see below) into the tube before confining a host larva and a wasp. For control (unexposed) experiments, we used a blank piece of filter paper of the same size. To compare the effects of added limonene to those of the original blend (Blend A), we used Blend B for the oviposition and starvation experiments. In the comparison of quantitatively-different blends (Blends A and C), we used Blend A for the oviposition and starvation experiments.

Two-choice tests

Female *C. vestalis* were tested for their flight responses toward two potted intact komatsuna plants with a different blend. Two potted plants were placed in an acrylic cage (25 × 30 × 35 cm with three nylon-gauze-covered windows and one door) in a climate room at 25±2°C, 50–70% RH, and with continuous fluorescent light (20W, 3000 lux) without directed airflow⁶. We placed a piece of filter paper (2 × 2 cm) impregnated with a 0.2 g blend in a Petri dish (diameter: 3.5 cm) at the base of the potted plants. Wasps were released individually from a glass tube positioned halfway between the plants. Each repeatedly hovered over the plants inside the cage, and when it first visited a plant (landed and initiated ambulatory search), it was removed with an aspirator. The plant visited was scored as its choice. For each replicate, usually 10 wasps were tested sequentially using the same pair of potted seedlings. Each treatment had three or four independent replicates. Female *C. vestalis* were used only once. New plants and blends were used for each replicate.

Statistics

Two-choice data were analyzed using a replicated *G*-test¹⁹. Wasps that chose neither plant were discarded from this analysis.

Results

Response of *cotesia vestalis* females to Blend A vs. Blend B

We first confirmed that oviposition-inexperienced (naïve) female wasps showed no significant preference between Blends A and B in the choice chamber (*G*-test, *Gt* = 5.9925, *P* = 0.1997; heterogeneity among samples: *Gh* = 3.9711, *P* = 0.2646; pooled effect of treatment: *Gp* = 2.0213, *P* = 0.1551) (Figure 1a). When the female wasps had prior oviposition experience on hosts in the absence of synthetic blends, they showed an equal distribution between Blends A and B (*Gt* = 1.2421, *P* = 0.7429; *Gh* = 1.2050, *P* = 0.5474; *Gp* = 0.0370, *P* = 0.8474) (Figure 1b). However, when the female wasps had prior oviposition experience in the presence of Blend B, they showed a significant preference for Blend B over Blend A (*Gt* = 7.9547, *P* = 0.0470; *Gh* = 2.6415, *P* = 0.2669; *Gp* = 5.3131, *P* = 0.0212) (Figure 1c).

When the female wasps had experienced starvation in the absence of synthetic blends, they distributed equally between Blends A and B in the choice chamber (*Gt* = 3.0677, *P* = 0.3813; *Gh* = 2.2013, *P* = 0.3326; *Gp* = 0.8664, *P* = 0.3520) (Figure 1d). However, when they had been starved in the presence of Blend B, they significantly preferred Blend A over Blend B (*Gt* = 9.3013, *P* = 0.0255; *Gh* = 0.6395, *P* = 0.7263; *Gp* = 8.6618, *P* = 0.0032) (Figure 1e). Thus, both oviposition and starvation experience affected wasp preferences for Blend A or Blend B.

Response of *cotesia vestalis* females to Blend A vs. Blend C

We first confirmed that naïve female wasps showed no significant preference between Blends A and C in the choice chamber (*Gt* = 3.6264, *P* = 0.4589; *Gh* = 0.8118, *P* = 0.8466; *Gp* = 2.8147, *P* = 0.0934) (Figure 2a). When the female wasps had previously oviposited in the absence of any synthetic blend, they distributed equally between Blends A and C in the choice chamber (*Gt* = 1.7570, *P* = 0.6243; *Gh* = 0.7502, *P* = 0.6872; *Gp* = 1.0068, *P* = 0.3157) (Figure 2b). Even when female wasps had oviposited in the presence of Blend A, they still showed no preference between Blends A and C in the choice chamber (*Gt* = 6.0272, *P* = 0.1103; *Gh* = 5.987, *P* = 0.0501; *Gp* = 0.0400, *P* = 0.8415) (Figure 2c).

When the female wasps had previously experienced starvation in the absence of any synthetic blend, they also distributed equally between Blends A and C in the choice chamber (*Gt* = 1.4221, *P* = 0.7004; *Gh* = 1.3876, *P* = 0.4997; *Gp* = 0.0344, *P* = 0.8527) (Figure 2d). However, when they experienced starvation in the presence of Blend A, they significantly preferred Blend C over Blend A (*Gt* = 6.9032, *P* = 0.0750; *Gh* = 0.3765, *P* = 0.8284; *Gp* = 6.5268, *P* = 0.0106) (Figure 2e). Thus, only starvation experience affected the choice between Blends A and C.

Raw data for figure 1 and figure 2

1 Data File

<http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.157079>

Figure 1. Responses of *Cotesia vestalis* to Blend A vs. Blend B. Each bar showed % of females that chose either of the blends (x axis). Numbers within bars indicate the numbers of females that landed on each plant. **(a)** Response of naïve *C. vestalis*. **(b)** Response of *C. vestalis* with oviposition experience. **(c)** Response of *C. vestalis* with oviposition experience in the presence of Blend B. **(d)** Response of *C. vestalis* with starvation experience. **(e)** Response of *C. vestalis* with starvation experience in the presence of Blend B. *: $0.05 > P > 0.01$, ns: not significantly different by G-test.

Figure 2. Responses of *Cotesia vestalis* to Blend A vs. Blend C. Each bar showed % of females that chose either of the blends (x axis). Numbers within bars indicate the numbers of females that landed on each plant. (a) Response of naïve *C. vestalis*. (b) Response of *C. vestalis* with oviposition experience. (c) Response of *C. vestalis* with oviposition experience in the presence of Blend A. (d) Response of *C. vestalis* with starvation experience. (e) Response of *C. vestalis* with starvation experience in the presence of Blend A. *: $0.05 > P > 0.01$, ns: not significantly different by G-test.

Discussion

We already reported the flight preference of *C. vestalis* to pure and mixed synthetic chemicals (Blend A)¹⁴. When offered alone against pure solvent, none of the pure compounds elicited a significant preference in naive females of the parasitoid. However, when offered in mixtures against pure solvent, Blend A stands out as eliciting a significant preference. This mixture did not become more attractive by adding myrcene, camphor or limonene (compounds found to increase significantly in response to herbivory), and was just not significantly different in attractiveness from DBM-induced cabbage odor. Thus, Blend A triggered innate response in naive parasitoids, whereas the individual compounds did not. It was suggested that predatory mites, *Phytoseiulus persimilis*, did not recognize attractive HIPV in odor mixture but perceived odors as a synthetic whole^{11,20}. Here, we showed to what extent parasitic wasps, *C. vestalis*, could recognize artificially created blend variation.

We manipulated the quality (Blend B) and quantity (Blend C) of the normal attractive synthetic blend (Blend A). The qualitative difference between Blends A and B was the presence in Blend B of (*R*)-limonene, which is not attractive to *C. vestalis*¹⁴, and the quantitative difference between Blends A and C was the ratio of the four compounds (1.8:1.3:2.0:3.0 vs. 1.0:1.0:1.0:1.0, respectively). Naïve wasps did not distinguish either qualitative or quantitative differences, suggesting that this wasp may have relatively broad responses to blends that contain these four essential compounds. By contrast, when the wasps had a positive experience (oviposition success) with a volatile blend, they could distinguish quality, i.e., they preferred the modified Blend B, but not quantitative differences, i.e., they preferred neither Blend A nor Blend C. Furthermore, when the wasps had a negative experience (starvation) in the presence of a volatile blend, they could distinguish both qualitative and quantitative differences. We believe this is the first study to show the extent to which parasitic wasps can identify qualitative and quantitative volatile differences by comparing naïve and tuned responses via associative learning.

In this study, the wasps experienced oviposition or starvation with or without volatile blends in glass tubes. Under natural conditions, parasitic wasps on host-infested plants would encounter not only

HIPVs, but also host by-products such as feces. Fukushima *et al.* (2001) reported the ability of *C. kariyai* females to learn plant volatiles together with feces in a wind tunnel⁷. The females experiencing host by-products together with the volatiles extracted from infested leaves for the first time showed an increased olfactory response. However, such behavioral changes were not observed in females that experienced only the host by-products or the volatiles. Whether multiple experiences of *C. vestalis* with host by-products together with HIPVs affects their olfactory responses to qualitatively and/or quantitatively different blends of HIPVs must be studied to clarify the mechanisms involved in plant-specific responses by parasitic wasps to HIPVs.

Author contributions

MU, SK and JT conceived the study and designed the experiments. MU, RO and SK carried out the research. MU, SK, and JT wrote the paper. All authors read and improved the manuscript and agreed to the final content.

Competing interests

No relevant competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

This research was partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) (No. 19101009) from the Global Centre of Excellence Program “Formation of a Strategic Base for Biodiversity and Evolutionary Research: from Genome to Ecosystem” of Kyoto University, Japan, and by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS): Core-to-Core project “Studies on ecological interaction networks that promote biodiversity—From gene to ecosystem”.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the members of the Center for Ecological Research, Kyoto University, Japan, for valuable discussions.

References

1. Takabayashi J, Dicke M: **Plant–carnivore mutualism through herbivore-induced carnivore attractants**. *Trends Plant Sci.* 1996; 1(4): 109–113.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Arimura G, Matsui K, Takabayashi J: **Chemical and Molecular Ecology of Herbivore-Induced Plant Volatiles: Proximate Factors and Their Ultimate Functions**. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 2009; 50(5): 911–923.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Dicke M, van Loon JJ, Soler R: **Chemical complexity of volatiles from plants induced by multiple attack**. *Nat Chem Biol.* 2009; 5(5): 317–324.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Takabayashi J, Takahashi S, Dicke M, *et al.*: **Developmental stage of herbivore *Pseudaletia separata* affects production of herbivore-induced synomone by corn plants**. *J Chem Ecol.* 1995; 21(3): 273–287.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
5. De Moraes CM, Lewis WJ, Paré PW, *et al.*: **Herbivore-infested plants selectively attract parasitoids**. *Nature.* 1998; 393: 570–573.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
6. Shiojiri K, Takabayashi J, Yano S, *et al.*: **Flight response of parasitoids toward plant-herbivore complexes: A comparative study of two parasitoid-herbivore systems on cabbage plants**. *Appl Entomol Zool.* 2000; 35(1): 87–92.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
7. Fukushima J, Kainoh Y, Honda H, *et al.*: **Learning of host-infested plant volatiles in the larval parasitoid *Cotesia kariyai***. *Entomol Exp Appl.* 2001; 99(3): 341–346.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
8. Fukushima J, Kainoh Y, Honda H, *et al.*: **Learning of herbivore-induced and nonspecific plant volatiles by a parasitoid *Cotesia kariyai***. *J Chem Ecol.* 2002; 28(3): 579–586.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
9. De Boer JG, Posthumus MA, Dicke M: **Identification of volatiles that are used in discrimination between plants infested with prey or nonprey herbivores by a**

- predatory mite. *J Chem Ecol.* 2004; **30**(11): 2215–2230.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
10. De Boer JG, Snoeren TAL, Dicke M: **Predatory mites learn to discriminate between plant volatiles induced by prey and nonprey herbivores.** *Animal Behav.* 2005; **69**(4): 869–879.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 11. van Wijk M, de Bruijn PJ, Sabelis MW: **Predatory mite attraction to herbivore-induced plant odors is not a consequence of attraction to individual herbivore-induced plant volatiles.** *J Chem Ecol.* 2008; **34**(6): 791–803.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 12. Takemoto H, Powell W, Pickett J, *et al.*: **Learning is involved in the response of parasitic wasps *Aphidius ervi* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) to volatiles from a broad bean plant, *Vicia faba* (Fabaceae), infested by aphids *Acyrtosiphon pisum* (Harris) (Homoptera: Aphididae).** *Appl Entomol Zool.* 2009; **44**(1): 23–28.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 13. Costa A, Ricard I, Davison AC, *et al.*: **Effects of rewarding and unrewarding experiences on the response to host-induced plant odors of the generalist parasitoid *Cotesia marginiventris* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae).** *J Insect Behav.* 2010; **23**(4): 303–318.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 14. Shiojiri K, Ozawa R, Kugimiya S, *et al.*: **Herbivore-specific, density-dependent induction of plant volatiles: Honest or “cry wolf” signals?** *PLoS One.* 2010; **5**(8): e12161.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 15. Takemoto H, Powell W, Pickett J, *et al.*: **Two-step learning involved in acquiring olfactory preferences for plant volatiles by parasitic wasps.** *Animal Behav.* 2012; **83**(6): 1491–1496.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 16. Papaj DR, Lewis AC: **Insect learning.** Chapman & Hall, New York 1993; 398.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 17. Dukas R: **Evolutionary biology of insect learning.** *Annu Rev Entomol.* 2008; **53**: 145–60.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 18. Uefune M, Choh Y, Abe J, *et al.*: **Application of synthetic herbivore-induced plant volatiles causes increased parasitism of herbivores in the field.** *J Appl Entomol.* 2012; **136**(8): 561–567.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 19. Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ: **Biometry.** 3rd Edition. Freeman WH and Company, New York. 1995.
[Reference Source](#)
 20. van Wijk M, de Bruijn PJ, Sabelis MW: **Complex odor from plants under attack: Herbivore’s enemies react to the whole, not its parts.** *PLoS One.* 2011; **6**(7): e2174.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 2

Reviewer Report 05 March 2014

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.1385.r3980>

© 2014 Lou Y. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Yonggen Lou

Institute of Insect Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 20 January 2014

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.1385.r3212>

© 2014 Holopainen J. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Jarmo K. Holopainen

Chemical Ecology Research Group, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 18 March 2013

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.1227.r851>

© 2013 Lou Y. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Yonggen Lou

Institute of Insect Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

The paper written by Uefune *et al.* studied the effect of qualitative and quantitative changes in a synthetic blend on the behavioural response of *Cotesia vestalis*, a specialist parasitoid of diamondback moth. The experimental design and methods are correct, and have been clearly described. By bioassay, the authors found that the wasps could distinguish qualitative changes when they had a positive experience (oviposition success) with the volatile blend; while when they had a negative experience (starvation) with the blend, the wasps could distinguish both qualitative and quantitative differences. The result provides new insights into the role of associative learning in the host searching behavior of wasps, and also new information of the extent to which qualitative and quantitative changes can influence the behavioral responses of the parasitoid.

Minor concerns:

- It would be better to regard "ratio change" as a qualitative change;
- For both quantitative and qualitative changes, only one case was measured. It would be better to investigate more cases.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 04 March 2013

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.1227.r807>

© 2013 Holopainen J. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Jarmo K. Holopainen

Chemical Ecology Research Group, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland

Uefune *et al.* have studied if qualitative or quantitative changes in the synthetic blend of the herbivore-induced plant volatiles will affect the olfactory response of *Cotesia vestalis*, a parasitoid of Diamondback moth. Their experiments are clearly described, carefully designed and conducted. The results of this study show the significance of associative learning for the behaviour this wasp

species. The results are also giving valuable new information of the extent to which parasitic wasps can identify qualitative and quantitative differences in herbivore-induced plant volatiles. When we know how to fine tune the associative learning in parasitic wasps that will improve the efficiency of the use of *C. vestalis* in biological control.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research