RESEARCH ARTICLE

UPDATE **Stability, orientation and position preference of the stem region (residues 689-703) in Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) envelope glycoprotein E2: a molecular dynamics study [version 2; peer review: 2 approved, 1 approved with reservations]**

Rahmad Akbar, Siti Azma Jusoh

Bioinformatics Unit, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), Bandar Puncak Alam, 42300, Malaysia

V2 First published: 28 Feb 2013, 2:64
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-64.v1>
 Latest published: 02 Jul 2013, 2:64
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-64.v2>

Abstract

Envelope glycoproteins of Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) play an important role in the virus assembly and initial entry into host cells. Conserved charged residues of the E2 transmembrane (TM) domain were shown to be responsible for the heterodimerization with envelope glycoprotein E1. Despite intensive research on both envelope glycoproteins, the structural information is still not fully understood. Recent findings have revealed that the stem (ST) region of E2 also functions in the initial stage of the viral life cycle. We have previously shown the effect of the conserved charged residues on the TM helix monomer of E2. Here, we extended the model of the TM domain by adding the adjacent ST segment. Explicit molecular dynamics simulations were performed for the E2 amphiphilic segment of the ST region connected to the putative TM domain (residues 683-746). Structural conformation and behavior are studied and compared with the nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR)-derived segment of E2 (2KQZ.pdb). We observed that the central helix of the ST region (residues 689 - 703) remained stable as a helix in-plane to the lipid bilayer. Furthermore, the TM domain appeared to provide minimal contribution to the structural stability of the amphipathic region. This study also provides insight into the orientation and positional preferences of the ST segment with respect to the membrane lipid-water interface.

Keywords

molecular dynamics, HCV, simulation, NMR, domain

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3
version 2 (update) 02 Jul 2013	 view	 view	
version 1 28 Feb 2013	 view	 view	 view

- Martin Zacharias**, Technical University Munich, Garching bei München, Germany
- Gloria Fuentes**, Bioinformatics Institute, A*STAR, Matrix, Singapore
- Victor Munoz**, University of Maryland, College Park, College Park, MD, USA
Ravishankar Ramanathan, CNB-CSIC, Madrid, Spain

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Siti Azma Jusoh (sitiazma@puncakalam.uitm.edu.my)

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was supported by the Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM) Dana Cluster 600-RMI/DANA 5/3/CG (2/2012). *The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.*

Copyright: © 2013 Akbar R and Jusoh SA. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

How to cite this article: Akbar R and Jusoh SA. **Stability, orientation and position preference of the stem region (residues 689-703) in Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) envelope glycoprotein E2: a molecular dynamics study [version 2; peer review: 2 approved, 1 approved with reservations]** F1000Research 2013, 2:64 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-64.v2>

First published: 28 Feb 2013, 2:64 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.2-64.v1>

UPDATED Changes from Version 1

The following revisions have been made to the article in response to the reviewer comments: two new sections added to the manuscript "Residue contributions and relevance" and "Limitations and future work", the term "membrane interface" has been replaced with "lipid-water interface", the conclusion has been rephrased and the first structure of the NMR ensemble has been added to the "Input structure preparation" section.

See referee reports

Introduction

Envelope glycoproteins E1 and E2 are essential for the initial binding and internalization of Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) into host cells. Both glycoproteins have been shown to interact as a non-covalent heterodimer during biosynthesis¹. Several conserved charged residues located in the transmembrane (TM) domains of E1 and E2 were shown to function not only as membrane anchors, but were also essential for dimerization, endoplasmic reticulum retention and viral envelope formation^{2,3}.

In our previous studies, we demonstrated that the unfolding behavior of the E2 TM helix monomer was attributed to the charged Asp728, which was located in the hydrophobic core. The main contribution of Asp was postulated to be located at the helix-helix interface and involved the formation of a salt bridge with the Lys of the E1 envelope glycoprotein². The ion-pair interaction of the E1-E2 heterodimer was captured in the molecular dynamic (MD) simulation studies based on the model that placed the charged Asp and Lys at the helix-helix interface^{4,5}.

E2 envelope glycoprotein is known to be required for interactions with cellular receptors involved in endocytosis and membrane fusion⁶⁻⁸. E2 is composed of domain I-III, followed by the ST region and the TM domain⁷. Recent studies based on circular dichroism (CD) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy revealed that the soluble region located adjacent to the TM domain of E2 was involved in the initial virus entry. This highly conserved ST region was shown to fold as a helix upon membrane binding⁹.

In this work, we carried out MD simulations for three E2 structures: (1) a model generated by the I-Tasser server, (2) an ideal helix model and (3) an NMR derived structure of the E2 segment (2KZQ.pdb). The first two models include the TM domain of E2 but the TM domain is not present in the NMR structure. We observed consistent structural stability in the ST region amphipathic segment (residue 689–703) across all simulations suggesting that the contribution of the TM domain to the segment structure stability is minimal. In addition, we demonstrated the orientation and positional preferences of this amphipathic segment.

Methods

Input structure preparation

The protein sequence of HCV E2 genotype 1a (H77 strain) (Uniprot ID P27958) used to prepare the models for MD simulations was obtained from UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot database (www.uniprot.org)¹⁰.

The following is the sequence segment of HCV E2 used to prepare the first model for this work, referred to as an ideal helix model: ⁶⁸³PALSTGL ⁶⁹⁰IHLHQNIVDV ⁷⁰⁰QYLYGVGSSI ⁷¹⁰ASWAIKW-EYV ⁷²⁰VLLFLLADA ⁷³⁰RVCSCSLWMML ⁷⁴⁰LISQAEA. The ideal helix model was generated using Pymol (<http://pymol.sourceforge.net>) by orienting the ST segment (residue 683–714) perpendicular to the TM domain (residues 715–746). The protein structure images in this work were prepared by the Pymol program. The I-Tasser webserver¹¹ was used to generate the second model. The complete sequence of E2 was submitted to the I-Tasser server. Five models were generated and the model with a low root mean square deviation (RMSD) with the available NMR structure (2KZQ.pdb) and consisting of a helical TM domain was selected. Only the same segment as examined with the ideal-helix model was used for further MD simulations. The first model of the E2 NMR ensemble (PDBID: 2KZQ) retrieved from the Protein Databank (PDB)¹² was used in the third simulation system. This E2 protein segment was based on the HCV genotype 2a (JFH-1) (Uniprot ID Q99IB8)⁹.

System preparation

Pre-equilibrated dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine (DPPC) lipid bilayer was retrieved from the web of Prof. Tieleman (<http://moose.bio.ualgary.ca/>). Peptide orientation in DPPC lipid bilayer was done by aligning the hydrophobic belt of the peptide, parallel to the membrane plane using LAMBADA¹³. The optimal number of overlapping lipid molecules was subsequently calculated and removed followed by lipid expansion (inflation) and alternating twenty steps of deflation and energy minimization to allow the peptide to be embedded within the bilayer using inflateGRO²¹³. A short 100 ps energy minimization was employed to relax possible steric conflicts. Ions and counter ions were added to neutralize the system followed by 20 ns position-restrained simulation allowing the bilayer to re-equilibrate around the protein. Production MD simulations were carried out for 100 ns in the I-Tasser model, and 20 ns for both the ideal helix model and NMR structure (2KZQ.pdb).

MD simulations

The DPPC lipid bilayer interactions were described using the Berger force-field parameters¹⁴. The TM helices were modeled with the united atom force-field GROMOS96 53a6¹⁵. Simulations were performed with the Gromacs 4.5.5 package¹⁶ using 2-fs time steps. Periodic boundary conditions were used in all directions. Bonds to hydrogen atoms were constrained using the LINCS algorithms¹⁷. For the short-range *van der Waals* interactions, a cut-off distance of 1.0 nm was used. The long-range electrostatic interactions were treated using the particle mesh Ewald (PME) method with a grid spacing of 0.12 nm and cubic interpolation. The non-bonded pair list was generated every 10 steps with a cut-off of 1.0 nm. Water, lipid and peptide systems were coupled separately to temperature baths with 323 K for the DPPC using the Berendsen algorithm with a time constant of $\tau_T = 0.1$ ps¹⁸. To maintain constant pressure, semi-isotropic coupling was employed separately for the lateral and for the normal directions with Berendsen weak coupling and a $\tau_p = 1$ ps time constant. The compressibility was set to 4.5×10^{-5} bar⁻¹¹⁸.

Analyses of the trajectories were primarily performed with tools included in the Gromacs 4.5.5 suite¹⁶. RMSD analyses were based on the coordinates of all atoms of the peptides. The bilayer thickness

was measured by averaging the distances between lipid headgroups in the upper and lower leaflets of the lipid membrane with the tool GridMAT-MD⁹.

Results and discussion

Stability of the amphipathic segment

A stable helical conformation of the E2 ST region (residue 689–703) was consistently observed with some uncharacteristic spikes in the early stages and towards the end of the MD simulation of the I-Tasser model and the NMR derived structure (2KZQ.pdb), respectively. RMSD of this amphipathic segment was also consistently observed to be progressing within the commonly accepted 2 Å range for the ideal helix and NMR structures throughout the simulations. On the other hand, the I-Tasser model showed subtly higher RMSD progression over the simulation time (Figure 1, Figure 2 and Figure 3a, 3b).

Secondary structure stability observed in these three contrasting simulation systems can be attributed to the amphipathic nature of the residues allowing the helix to retain its structure on both the hydrophobic core of the lipid bilayer and the hydrophilic environment of the solvent. Furthermore, secondary structure analyses done by Albecka *et al.* predicted that the amino acid segment 689–703 consists of more than 50% helix. In addition to that, consistent results were shown from CD spectra and NMR with 50% 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (TFE) environment, which both detected the presence of helical segments in the E2-ST region. The most amphipathic helix characteristics are displayed in the E2-ST segment 687–703. Based on the profile, Albecka *et al.* speculated that this segment is a helix upon membrane binding⁹. Interestingly, the presence of the TM domain in our simulation does not appear to contribute significantly to the helix stability of the amphipathic region.

The helical length of this region does not vary much between the I-Tasser and the ideal helix models (Figure 2 and Figure 3a), which include both the ST region and TM domain, compared with the 2KZQ.pdb (Figure 3b) which does not include the TM domain. This observation suggests that lipid-peptide interactions play a larger role in stabilizing the secondary structure of this amphipathic segment compared with the TM domain. These data provide evidence of the contribution of lipid to structural stability modulation and are in good agreement with the hypothesized lipid and/or protein contribution to structural stability⁹. The higher RMSD value observed in the I-Tasser model simulation was well anticipated and was mainly attributed to the relative positioning of the ST region, which was sandwiched in between lipid leaflets, forcing the segment to reorganize its structure conformation and having only a minimal effect on the helical integrity of the secondary structure. Examining this reorganization further by monitoring the distance of the amphipathic segment to lipid leaflets led to another interesting observation described in the next section of this article.

Orientation and positional preference of the amphipathic segment

Monitoring the movement of the amphipathic segment during the simulations led to another interesting observation. Segment of residues 689–703 in the I-Tasser model appeared to move towards the hydrophobic core of the lipid bilayer as depicted by

Figure 1. Superimposition of the amphipathic region of E2 (segment 689 to 703) in three molecular dynamics simulations. The most stable helical region of the E2 amphipathic segment during molecular dynamics simulations. 2KZQ in red, I-Tasser model in black and ideal helix model in gray.

Figure 2. Helix length and RMSD of I-Tasser model. Both amphipathic regions and transmembrane domain located in the hydrophobic core of the bilayer. Length of helix (residues 689–703) is plotted in red, root mean square deviation of the same residues with respect to the starting structure is plotted in black.

steady progression in the distance to both the upper and lower lipid leaflets depicted in Figure 4. This reorganization is surprising and interesting because we would have previously assumed that the amphipathic region exposed to the solvent would hold the structure steady, despite some part of the amphipathic region being initially vertically positioned in the hydrophobic core of the lipid (Supplementary Figure 1). In addition, given the amphipathic nature of the residues in this segment, one could postulate that the residues would remain in this position. However, over the period of the simulation the segment reoriented by moving away from the lipid leaflets, while at the same time retaining structural integrity. The structural stability of the segment, as discussed in the previous section of this article, is attributed to the amphipathic nature of the residues but this does not explain the movement towards the hydrophobic core of the lipid. The systematically orchestrated movement towards the hydrophobic core of the lipid leaflets indicates a strong orientation preference of the amphipathic segment, which in this specific case was parallel to the lipid leaflets. We then monitored the segment movement relative to the lipid leaflets with the other two simulations (the ideal helix model and the

Figure 3. Helix length and RMSD of the ideal helix model and 2KZQ structures. (a) Molecular dynamics simulation of the ideal helix model. The amphipathic segment was positioned in water-lipid interface and the transmembrane domain was oriented in the hydrophobic core of the bilayer. The length of the helix (segment residue 689–703) is plotted in red, root mean square deviation (RMSD) of the same residues with respect to the starting structure is plotted in black. (b) Molecular dynamic simulation of the nuclear magnetic resonance derived structure (2KZQ.pdb). 2KZQ was positioned in the water-lipid interface. The length of the helix segment is plotted in red; RMSD of the same residue with respect to starting structure is plotted in black.

2KZQ structure). The segment was initially positioned horizontally to the lipid leaflets. The results showed that the distance of the amphipathic segment in both simulations was consistently within 4 Å to the lipid phosphate head group throughout the simulation (Figure 5). These data further clarify the orientation preference of the amphipathic segment with respect to the lipid leaflets and suggest that the residues are positioned at the lipid-water interface in a very stable manner. Interestingly, Albecka *et al.*⁹ speculated that these residues could have an in-plane topology or orientation and suggested that the ST region would ideally be positioned at the membrane lipid-water interface, which is again in agreement with our data⁹.

Residue contributions and relevance

Having observed a clear preference of amphipathic segment orientation in the lipid bilayer environment, it was also pointed out to us that it would be desirable and interesting to look into residue contribution on this behavior. We then plotted the residue distribution in the lipid water interface for the 2KZQ structure and ideal helix model (Supplementary Figure 2) to identify specific residues positional preference in the lipid water interface. As depicted in Supplementary Figure 2, a clear residue preference in lipid-water interface was not observed. We found polar and charged residues distributed in both hydrophilic and hydrophobic regions of the interface; a similar observation was also found for non-polar residues. This result indicates the overall contribution of the residues played more roles in the stability and positional preference of this segment in lipid-water interface superseding any individual residue contribution. Characterizing the residue distribution in our I-Tasser model would not provide information on the residues preferences since the segment is situated exclusively in a hydrophobic environment. To get some insight on this segment, residue rotation analysis of this segment coupled with qualitative visualization of polar, nonpolar and charged residues was carried out (Supplementary Figure 3).

Figure 4. Distance between the helix (residues 689–703) and lipid leaflets during molecular dynamic simulation of the I-Tasser model. The distance to the upper lipid leaflet is plotted in red and lower leaflet is plotted in black.

Synchronized rotation of the amphipathic segment was observed and convergence was captured after 50 ns and for the remaining period of the simulation. In contrast to the ideal helix model and 2KZQ structure simulations, a clear preference to hydrophilic and hydrophobic regions was observed. Polar and charged residues were oriented towards the hydrophilic region and non-polar residues were oriented towards the hydrophobic region. We also noticed that

Figure 5. Distance of the amphipathic segment to the lipid leaflets. The 2KZQ is colored in black while the ideal helix model is colored in red. Amphipathic residues remain within 4 Å from the phosphate head group throughout the simulations.

convergence in rotation on 50 ns (Supplementary Figure 3) coincide nicely with a major shift in distance profile (Figure 4), which also started at around 50 ns and stabilized at around 70 ns. This indicates that the reorganization of this segment correlates tightly to the residues' preference towards hydrophilic or hydrophobic environments. It is interesting to highlight that these residues' preference to hydrophilic and hydrophobic regions in lipid-water interface (ideal helix model and 2KZQ structure) appears to be loosely correlated (no clear preference observed) in contrast to the residues' preference in environments exclusively on lipid (I-Tasser model) where a clear preference was observed. Due to the fact that the movement of the I-Tasser model residues is synchronized, we found no evidence to substantiate major contribution of individual residues to this structural rearrangement. Overall hydrophilic and hydrophobic residue contribution to the segment reorientation and reorganization is further highlighted.

Limitations and future work

An orientational preference parallel to the lipid leaflets is a common feature of amphipathic helix segments. Parallel orientation of amphipathic segments has been characterized in experimental works²⁰. Amphipathic segments have also been observed to only minimally penetrate and contribute to lipid bilayer perturbation^{21,22}. In addition to that, lipid shape has been demonstrated to contribute to amphipathic segment topology in the lipid interface²³. Taking all this information collectively, the amphipathic segment of residues 689–703 is anticipated to be positioned stably in the lipid-water interface in a parallel topology with respect to the lipid leaflets. In line with this anticipation, the 20 ns simulations of two of our structures (ideal helix model and 2KZQ) demonstrate a consistent helical form oriented parallel to the lipid leaflet throughout the simulation period.

Interestingly, our third simulated structure (I-Tasser model) demonstrated a clear preference to parallel orientation despite the fact that its initial amphipathic segment configuration was perpendicular to the lipid leaflet. We decided to subject the I-Tasser model to longer simulation (100 ns) compared to the other two structures (20 ns) mainly due to the position of its amphipathic segment. The I-Tasser model positioned the amphipathic segment sandwiched in the bilayer leaflets, in contrast to the commonly assumed position in the membrane interface. On the other hand in the ideal helix and 2KZQ models it is readily positioned at the membrane interface. True to our expectation, meaningful observations such as amphipathic segment reorganization only started to be captured after 70 ns. This highlights the importance of optimum conformational space exploration in the simulation. Despite the consistent stability observed throughout our ideal helix model and 2KZQ structures, a longer simulation time is desirable to further reaffirm the observation. Simulation of the amphipathic segment in the water-only environment is also desirable to elucidate the segment behavior. These will be added as part of the future agenda of this work.

Conclusion

In this study, the atomistic MD simulations provide insightful structural data for the E2 segment. The amphipathic segment of E2 was able to remain as a stable helix in a lipid bilayer environment even without the respective TM domain. The results also describe orientation and positional preferences of the amphipathic segment in relation to the lipid-water interface. The amphipathic segment consisting of residues 689–703 demonstrated distinct parallel positional preference to lipid-water interface. Dominant individual residue contribution was not observed in the segment rearrangement and reorganization, overall residue preference in this rearrangement and reorganization is highlighted.

Author contributions

SAJ analyzed the sequences. RA performed the molecular dynamics simulations. SAJ and RA carried out the research were involved in the drafting of the manuscript and have approved the final content.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

This work was supported by the Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM) Dana Cluster 600-RMI/DANA 5/3/CG (2/2012).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Faculty of Pharmacy, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM) for providing the computing facilities in the Bioinformatics Unit. We acknowledge financial and administrative support from Research Management Institute (RMI), UiTM and Institute of Higher Education Malaysia.

Supplementary figures

Supplementary Figure 1. Molecular dynamic simulation of I-Tasser model. (a) Initial starting (cyan) and (b) 100 ns snapshots (green) of molecular dynamic simulations. Segment residues 689–703 are colored in red.

Supplementary Figure 2. Residue distribution at the lipid-water interface. (a) Ideal helix model. (b) 2KZQ NMR structure. Residues denoted with asterisk (*) and hash (#) are distributed in water (hydrophilic environment) and lipid bilayer (hydrophobic environment), respectively. Residues without denotation are distributed in the lipid bilayer (hydrophobic environment).

Supplementary Figure 3. Residue rotation of I-Tasser model. (a) Synchronized rotation was observed, convergence was also observed at around 50 ns and the remaining of the simulation time. (b) Residues pointing to hydrophilic regions are denoted with asterisks and colored in cyan and residues pointing to hydrophobic regions are colored in yellow.

References

- Dubuisson J, Duvert S, Meunier JC, *et al.*: Glycosylation of the hepatitis C virus envelope protein E1 is dependent on the presence of a downstream sequence on the viral polyprotein. *J Biol Chem.* 2000; **275**(39): 30605–30609.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Cocquerel L, Wychowski C, Minner F, *et al.*: Charged residues in the transmembrane domains of hepatitis C virus glycoproteins play a major role in the processing, subcellular localization, and assembly of these envelope proteins. *J Virol.* 2000; **74**(8): 3623–3633.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Op De Beeck A, Montserret R, Duvert S, *et al.*: The transmembrane domains of hepatitis C virus envelope glycoproteins E1 and E2 play a major role in heterodimerization. *J Biol Chem.* 2000; **275**(40): 31428–31437.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Jusoh SA, Welsch C, Siu SW, *et al.*: Contribution of charged and polar residues for the formation of the E1–E2 heterodimer from hepatitis C virus. *J Mol Model.* 2010; **16**(10): 1625–1637.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Jusoh SA, Helms V: Helical integrity and microsolvation of transmembrane domains from Flaviviridae envelope glycoproteins. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2011; **1808**(4): 1040–1049.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Op De Beeck A, Voisset C, Bartosch B, *et al.*: Characterization of functional hepatitis C virus envelope glycoproteins. *J Virol.* 2004; **78**(6): 2994–3002.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Krey T, d'Alayer J, Kikuti CM, *et al.*: The disulfide bonds in glycoprotein E2 of hepatitis C virus reveal the tertiary organization of the molecule. *PLoS Pathog.* 2010; **6**(2): e1000762.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Penin F, Dubuisson J, Rey FA, *et al.*: Structural biology of hepatitis C virus. *Hepatology.* 2004; **39**(1): 5–19.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Albecka A, Montserret R, Krey T, *et al.*: Identification of new functional regions in hepatitis C virus envelope glycoprotein E2. *J Virol.* 2011; **85**(4): 1777–1792.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Magrane M, Consortium U: UniProt Knowledgebase: a hub of integrated protein data. *Database (Oxford).* 2011; **2011**: bar009.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Zhang Y: I-TASSER server for protein 3D structure prediction. *BMC Bioinformatics.* 2008; **9**: 40.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Berman HM, Westbrook J, Feng Z, *et al.*: The Protein Data Bank. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2000; **28**(1): 235–242.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Schmidt TH, Kandt C: LAMBADA and InflateGRO2: Efficient membrane alignment and insertion of membrane proteins for molecular dynamics simulations. *J Chem Inf Model.* 2012; **52**(10): 2657–2669.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Berger O, Edholm O, Jähnig F: Molecular dynamics simulations of a fluid bilayer of dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine at full hydration, constant pressure, and constant temperature. *Biophys J.* 1997; **72**(5): 2002–2013.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Oostenbrink C, Soares TA, van der Vegt NF, *et al.*: Validation of the 53A6 GROMOS force field. *Eur Biophys J.* 2005; **34**(4): 273–284.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Hess B, Kutzner C, van der Spoel D, *et al.*: GROMACS 4: Algorithms for highly efficient, load-balanced, and scalable molecular simulation. *J Chem Theory Comput.* 2008; **4**(3): 435–447.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Hess B, Bekker H, Berendsen H, *et al.*: LINCS: A linear constraint solver for molecular simulations. *J Comput Chem.* 1997; **18**(12): 1463–1472.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Berendsen HJC, Postma JPM, van Gunsteren WF, *et al.*: Molecular dynamics with coupling to an external bath. *J Chem Phys.* 1984; **81**(8): 3684–3690.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Allen WJ, Lemkul JA, Bevan DR: GridMAT-MD: A grid-based membrane analysis tool for use with molecular dynamics. *J Comput Chem.* 2009; **30**(12): 1952–1958.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Hristova K, Wimley WC, Mishra VK, *et al.*: An amphipathic α -helix at a membrane interface: a structural study using a novel X-ray diffraction method. *J Mol Biol.* 1999; **290**(1): 99–117.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Hristova K, Dempsey CE, White SH: Structure, location, and lipid perturbations of melittin at the membrane interface. *Biophys J.* 2001; **80**(2): 801–811.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Gazzara JA, Phillips MC, Lund-Katz S, *et al.*: Interaction of class A amphipathic helical peptides with phospholipid unilamellar vesicles. *J Lipid Res.* 1997; **38**(10): 2134–2146.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Strandberg E, Tiltak D, Ehni S, *et al.*: Lipid shape is a key factor for membrane interactions of amphipathic helical peptides. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2012; **1818**(7): 1764–1776.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 2

Reviewer Report 03 September 2013

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.1466.r1211>

© 2013 Fuentes G. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Gloria Fuentes

Bioinformatics Institute, A*STAR, Matrix, Singapore

I appreciate the effort by the authors to improve their draft following the reviewers suggestions. Although they did not address all the points mentioned, I think the current version is more consistent, and few vague comments have been modified. The additional sections provide useful information on the system that improve the understanding of the preference in position and orientation of the amphipathic segment.

Regarding the extension of the simulations, although I would keep insisting in the importance of it, I can understand that the required computer power might not be accessible to everyone. They have included a sentence pointing at this factor, and as far as I am concerned, it should be enough for the acceptance of their work. I am looking forward to the extension in this topic from the authors.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 12 August 2013

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.1466.r1436>

© 2013 Zacharias M. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Martin Zacharias

Physics Department, Technical University Munich, Garching bei München, Germany

I believe the authors made additions and corrections to the original article following the suggestions of the first review report. The article is now acceptable including a discussion on the limitations of MD simulations length and force field approximations.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 18 April 2013

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.909.r888>

© 2013 Munoz V et al. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Victor Munoz

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, College Park, MD, USA

Ravishankar Ramanathan

CNB-CSIC, Madrid, Spain

General Comments and Recommendation: The title is appropriate, the design of the simulations, and the methods and analysis employed are state of the art. The data provided is sufficient. However, the conclusions are overstretched and much longer simulations are needed to substantiate the claims.

More detailed review: The article describes simulation studies of a 64-residue transmembrane fragment of the envelope glycoprotein E2 domain consisting of two segments: TM (residues 715-746) and ST (residues 683-714). The objective of the study is mainly to understand the role and structural dynamics of the ST segment. MD simulations have been performed on three different starting points: 2 models and one experimentally derived structure. The experimental structure 2KZQ is only 36 residues long itself, lacking the TM segment, and has large dynamics within the NMR structural ensemble (36 models with mean RMSD of 11.32). It is the homolog that produced the best model in I-Tasser, the authors have used in the study. The authors do not mention which model from the NMR ensemble they used in their MD simulations. Though the authors refer to previous experimental studies that show that the ST segment is helical upon membrane binding, a simple sequence-based helical propensity prediction of the [HCV E2 genotype 1a \(H77 strain\)](#) sequence (Uniprot ID P27958) could be included (to further substantiate their 'ideal helix' model used for the MD simulations). One of the main results of the article is that the ST segment has a stable helical conformation, stabilized more by the lipid-peptide interactions than the TM-ST peptide-peptide interactions. The similarity between the three different simulations, even with one

of them lacking the TM segment, forms the basis of their conclusion. The authors observe uncharacteristic spikes towards the end in the simulations of NMR-structure and the I-Tasser model MD simulations (Figures 2, 3a, 3b). Since the NMR-structure simulation is very short (just 20ns) compared to the I-Tasser model simulation, the authors could perform a longer, equivalent 100ns simulation to compare them and ascertain further the importance of lipid-peptide interactions in the ST helical segment stability. Also, given that in the I-Tasser simulation, there is a lot of dynamics observed after the 20ns timepoint until the end of the simulation, a longer simulation of the NMR-structure is recommended. The other key result which we found interesting is the orientational and positional preference of the amphipathic ST segment, which seems to be parallel to the lipid leaflets. Reorganization and movement of the ST segment towards the hydrophobic core of the lipids, as shown in Fig S1, is observed clearly in the I-Tasser model simulation, whereas the initial horizontal orientation is maintained in the other simulations. The authors could identify the key residues involved in this re-organization and highlight them

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

We confirm that we have read this submission and believe that we have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however we have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Reviewer Report 18 April 2013

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.909.r900>

© 2013 Fuentes G. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Gloria Fuentes

Bioinformatics Institute, A*STAR, Matrix, Singapore

The authors have carried out MD simulations in lipid bilayer for three different models for the C-terminal region of the envelope glycoprotein E2. The use of different structures provides *a priori* a good benchmarking for the observations claimed in the article. However, the trajectories presented in this work seem not to have converged completely. The plots will show the trend more clearly if running average is used rather than the raw data. With the current advances in hardware and software, it seems to me that the authors have explored a limited conformational space of the system, which might be masking some other biological conformations for the region in the study.

I am missing a more elaborated discussion in context with the available experimental data that they refer to in the conclusion. So far the correlation done in this study is too vague. The paper with experimental data the authors mentioned also suggests that this amphipathic helix could fold upon lipid binding. A MD simulation in water could help to elucidate this experimental observation. It would be convenient if the authors could specify the exact definition of membrane interface; for those people not working in the field, it could be a bit confusing. This concept could suggest the interface of the phospholipid bilayer (membrane core) or the lipid-water interface.

The legend for the Supplementary Figure is missing the colour code used.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Reviewer Report 26 March 2013

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.909.r866>

© 2013 Zacharias M. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Martin Zacharias

Physics Department, Technical University Munich, Garching bei München, Germany

The paper describes comparative MD simulations on the E2 TM region embedded in a lipid membrane. Different start conformations have been considered based on different molecular modelling methods + a structure from the pdb. I believe the simulations have been performed properly. Some interesting results on orientational preference of the helices have been reported. However, it should be emphasized that the simulations are too short to achieve convergence of the simulation results. This should be discussed in the Results & Discussion section or in the Conclusion section. Also, comparison to other simulation studies on peptide helices in membranes are missing (e.g. of the Thielemann group). At the end of the conclusion section the authors state "The results also revealed the orientation and positional preferences of the amphiphilic segment in relation to the water-bilayer interface that further clarify speculations from experimental studies."

The authors mention "... speculations from experimental studies.", however, no reference to such speculations have been given and the details of the speculations are not discussed. The authors should give proper reference and should in detail explain what is meant by their statement.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research