Check for updates

COMMENTARY

REVISED A letter to the Master Clinician [version 2; peer review: 2 approved]

Kenar D. Jhaveri

Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Kidney Diseases and Hypertension, Hofstra North Shore LIJ School of Medicine, Great Neck, NY 11021, USA

V2 First published: 03 Jan 2014, 3:1
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.3-1.v1>
Latest published: 13 Feb 2014, 3:1
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.3-1.v2>

Abstract

In this commentary, the author writes a letter to the Master Clinician about his concerns regarding the teaching responsibilities of current faculty members during ward rounds. This short essay highlights the transition that has been noticed in medical training in the last decade.

Open Peer Review**Approval Status**

	1	2
version 2		
(revision)
13 Feb 2014	view
version 1		
03 Jan 2014	view	view

1. **Laura Maursetter**, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA

2. **Ritu Soni**, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Kenar D. Jhaveri (kjhaveri@nshs.edu)

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2014 Jhaveri KD. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

How to cite this article: Jhaveri KD. **A letter to the Master Clinician [version 2; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2014, 3:1 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.3-1.v2>

First published: 03 Jan 2014, 3:1 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.3-1.v1>

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

Thank you to the two reviewers for their comments. Some of the changes are now revised in the new version. Some changes will disrupt the flow of the letter and hence were not made.

See referee reports

Dear *Master Clinician*,

Re: Invitation

I am writing this letter to ask you to please return to us. In your absence, we have felt your loss.

Today, when I walk down the halls of my academic hospital, I feel an emptiness. The constant flow of work onto the secretary's desk begins at 7 am. The transporter brings a patient back from the ultrasound room. The residents hurry to gather data from the chart before their attending arrives. The nurses scurry to change shifts. These long halls are busy with secretaries working hard, nurses doing their jobs, and nurse practitioners and physician assistants writing notes on the chart. In the midst of all this busy life for our residents, fellows and medical students, there is something now missing.

As a consultant on the floor, I see a crowd of physicians making their rounds. The medical students are easily recognizable by their short white coats. Then I stop, I see someone presenting data: Ahhh! that must be the intern. There are residents discussing patients with a hospitalist. The endocrine consultant team walks onto the floor, and the cycle starts all over again. I have noticed in the last few years that the physician-in-charge is usually inexperienced, and probably has just 1–3 years more experience than the third year resident. What has changed? What is missing? Few now stay on to continue to build their experience but rather join a fellowship program or outpatient practice. Soon, they are replaced by new fresh group of them just graduating from residency. Have we lost the Master Clinician?

The people who inspired us to become who we are today were the great Master Clinicians of their time and they shared with us their wisdom, knowledge and wealth of experience. It would be wrong to say that we are *losing* the art of physical exam and diagnosis, but rather that we have *lost* the art. *You* shared your wisdom with the team and taught the fellows and residents not only bedside manners, but also told us about your experiences. Now *you* have been replaced with inexperienced faculty, textbooks have been replaced by *Google* and stethoscopes have been replaced by hand-held devices.

Where have you been? Now you only occasionally sit with fellows and residents and give a lecture and share your wisdom. It is always an honor and pleasure to meet someone of your caliber but one might never see you on the hospital floor showing your magic. Why is that? You have taken on extensive administrative roles, spend more time in the laboratory and have less time to come and join us on the wards. While we understand your needs and desires to do

other tasks, I wish that you would come back and share your wealth with us on the floor more often. Once a year, I see you come and do some time on the wards; I get very excited that you are able to give us that time. But that time is fragmented by meetings. You have cut short what you do best: teaching, caring for patients and inspiring young professionals. When we lose you to administrative duties, we lose the enthusiasm that you share with young and up-and-coming physicians that creates their passion for medicine. We lose the art of medicine. We lose doctoring...

Why is this Master important in the making of a good clinician? The role of such a person is enormous. A 67 year old male with prostate cancer is admitted for severe metabolic alkalosis, hypokalemia and new onset hypertension. A medical student can spend hours taking histories and performing physical exams, memorize a long list of differential diagnoses but yet not come up with the right diagnosis. This Master Clinician arrives at the correct diagnosis in a few minutes of meeting the same patient. "This is Cushing's disease-ACTH production from the prostate cancer; start ketoconazole now!" Medical school teaches us the *science of medicine* and post graduate training showcases us the *art of medicine*. From being a good teacher and a great clinician, Master Clinicians such as yourself will demonstrate the *art* of medicine, the bedside teaching that medical students, residents and fellows should be learning. This individual will bring to the bedside their years of experience and thoughtful discussions of tough cases to make us all understand the basics of disease. You can inspire and create many more such Masters by your aura and presence on the floor.

In my career as a student and physician-in-training, the teachers who inspired me to become an Internist and a Nephrologist were all Master Clinicians and spent a lot of time with us - showing us physical examination skills, ways to think through tough cases and how to balance family and residency life. As a community of young physicians, we would like to extend an invitation to you to return and show to us your skills and enthusiasm. We understand the competing interests you have from administration, research and education. We can devise technological and novel ways of educating in the 21st century to enable you to return. Advances in technology can aid in teaching clinical reasoning. As I walk through the hallways of the hospital, I realize what is absent... it is the "Master Clinician". We miss you!

Sincerely,

The Apprentice in search of a Guru

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Acknowledgements

Sincere thanks are offered to Dr. Steven Fishbane and Dr. Kedar Sankholkar for their critical comments on the manuscript.

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 2

Reviewer Report 08 May 2014

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.3869.r3664>

© 2014 Maursetter L. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Laura Maursetter

Department of Medicine, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA

I love it. It was an easy read and very compact. It made me read faster and it flowed very nicely. I would not change anything.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 17 February 2014

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.3869.r3663>

© 2014 Soni R. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Ritu Soni

Division of General Internal Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 10 February 2014

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.3384.r3569>

© 2014 Soni R. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Ritu Soni

Division of General Internal Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Very well written. I can identify with the ideas expressed in this article. In fact, it reminds me of my medical schooling in India, during which we focused on honing our clinical skills rather than relying on diagnostic imaging/procedures, due to limited resources. Our bedside rounds were more often than not painfully elaborate, with emphasis on physical examination. For instance, examination of the tongue used to be an hour-long lecture in itself. So were topics like variants of Babinski's reflex, types of pulses and their causes, dermatological findings in various diseases... and the list goes on. One would be fortunate to present his/her case beyond the physical examination part.

But looking back, I miss that kind of learning. As much as I value my resourceful training in the U.S, I miss the mentorship of old-school clinicians, very appropriately addressed as Master Clinicians in the article. Hoping that these Master Clinicians don't go completely extinct in the future, and help us keep the art of medicine alive and vibrant.

My suggestions for revision:

- Consider deleting the lines- "While I support the advent of technology and the advancement of knowledge in medicine, medicine is also an art which cannot be taught by Google, Up-To-Date or Twitter. You have the experienced hands of a Master Clinician who carries the wisdom of diagnostic skills and carries knowledge." The same message is well conveyed in the paragraphs that follow.
- Consider consolidating the role of master clinicians into one paragraph and limiting the last paragraph to the 'invitation' alone, such that each paragraph conveys a distinct message.

An issue of concern, very creatively drafted.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 09 January 2014

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.3384.r2957>

© 2014 Maursetter L. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Laura Maursetter

Department of Medicine, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA

A very nice idea to use this avenue to encourage the master clinician to spend more time where they will make a big impact. That is great. To me this type of publication needs to be short and sweet, to keep the interest in the topic and get the reader to continue to the end.

I would suggest:

- Combine the first two paragraphs together.
- Keep the third paragraph as it is written - strong.
- The last 3 paragraphs seem to be repetitive - I would think through the ideas you are trying to convey. Spend time, inspire learner, teach skill-set to others - there are so many examples that I feel the message gets lost.

Overall, very nice. Thanks for the read.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Comments on this article

Version 1

Reader Comment 10 Feb 2014

Kenar Jhaveri, Hofstra University, USA

Thank you to the referees for their comments. I have taken into account a few of the suggestions in the next version. Due to the flow of the letter, not all the changes can be formulated.

Competing Interests: None

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research