Check for updates

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Electrical maturation of neurons derived from human embryonic stem cells [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Michael Telias^{1,2}, Menahem Segal³, Dalit Ben-Yosef^{1,2}

¹Wolfe PGD-SC Lab, Racine IVF Unit, Lis Maternity Hospital, Tel-Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, Tel-Aviv, 64239, Israel

²Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, 64239, Israel

³Department of Neurobiology, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, 76100, Israel

V1 First published: 19 Aug 2014, 3:196
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.4943.1>
 Latest published: 01 Oct 2014, 3:196
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.4943.2>

Abstract

In-vitro neuronal differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells has become a widely used tool in disease modeling and prospective regenerative medicine. Most studies evaluate neurons molecularly and only a handful of them use electrophysiological tools to directly indicate that these are genuine neurons. Therefore, the specific timing of development of intrinsic electrophysiological properties and synaptic capabilities remains poorly understood. Here we describe a systematic analysis of developing neurons derived in-vitro from human embryonic stem cells (hESCs). We show that hESCs differentiated in-vitro into early embryonic neurons, displaying basically mature morphological and electrical features as early as day 37. This early onset of action potential discharges suggests that first stages of neurogenesis in humans are already associated with electrical maturation. Spike frequency, amplitude, duration, threshold and after hyperpolarization were found to be the most predictive parameters for electrical maturity. Furthermore, we were able to detect spontaneous synaptic activity already at these early time-points, demonstrating that neuronal connectivity can develop concomitantly with the gradual process of electrical maturation. These results highlight the functional properties of hESCs in the process of their development into neurons. Moreover, our results provide practical tools for the direct measurement of functional maturity, which can be reproduced and implemented for stem cell research of neurogenesis in general, and neurodevelopmental disorders in particular.

Keywords

human embryonic stem cells, neural differentiation, electrophysiology, neuronal maturation, synaptic activity, action potential.

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 2 (revision) 01 Oct 2014		
version 1 19 Aug 2014	view	view

1. **Maija Castren**, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

2. **Shauna Yuan**, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, USA

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Dalit Ben-Yosef (dalitb@tlvmc.gov.il)

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: M.T. was supported by the TEVA National Network of Excellency scholarship in neuroscience.
The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2014 Telias M *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

How to cite this article: Telias M, Segal M and Ben-Yosef D. **Electrical maturation of neurons derived from human embryonic stem cells [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2014, **3**:196 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.4943.1>

First published: 19 Aug 2014, **3**:196 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.4943.1>

Introduction

In-vitro neural differentiation (IVND) of human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs), is a promising vehicle for disease modeling and regenerative medicine¹⁻³. Several protocols for IVND of hPSCs including embryonic stem cells (hESCs) or induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs), are used worldwide, resulting in different neuronal types^{4,5}. Upon IVND, confirmation of the neuronal fate is commonly analyzed by the expression of neuron-specific genes, including those of cytoskeletal components (e.g.; TUJ1, MAP2), transcription factors (e.g.; NeuN, NeuroD1) and synaptic proteins (e.g.; synaptotagmin, synaptophysin)⁶. The expression of these genes indicates that the cell has acquired the machineries needed to build a neuron, but the ultimate indication that these are genuine neurons involves the analysis of their electrical properties. Most studies that do include electrophysiological recordings of hPSCs-derived neurons focus at one specific final time-point along the differentiation cascade, to demonstrate the neuronal identity that is related to the disease in study⁷⁻⁹. However, the analysis of the dynamics of electrical maturation at several time points can provide valuable insights into the pathology of neurodevelopmental disorders. Moreover, in the context of human embryonic neurogenesis, analyzing electrical maturation on hPSCs during IVND can shed light over molecular and cellular mechanisms that so far have been studied only using animal models.

Currently, only a few studies on IVND of hPSCs employed electrophysiological recordings at consecutive time points during neural differentiation, so far with inconsistent results, in terms of timing, frequency of action potentials and formation of spontaneous synaptic activity¹⁰⁻¹³. Although the timing of the development of neuronal electrical properties following IVND is extremely important, it currently remains poorly understood due to high variability in differentiation protocols, culture conditions and hPSC lines used. For this reason, the aim of this study was to systematically analyze, by electrophysiological tools, the developing neurons derived *in-vitro* from hESCs, in order to define predictive parameters for their electrical maturity, as well as to model the dynamics of neural development.

Materials and methods

hESCs culture conditions and IVND: The hESC line HUES-13 (kindly provided by the Melton Lab, Harvard University), was used in all experiments. hESCs were cultured on feeder layers of mitomycin C (Sigma)-inactivated mouse embryonic fibroblasts in hES-medium supplemented with bFGF (R&D), as previously described⁷. Before induction of IVND, hESCs were cultured on Matrigel (BD)-coated wells for two passages. The dual SMAD inhibition IVND protocol was applied as previously described¹⁴, including minor modifications. **Figure 1A** illustrates the actual IVND protocol used. Briefly, neural induction was achieved by gradually changing the medium from hES to N2 while adding dorsomorphin and SB431542 for 10 days; neuronal induction was achieved by changing the medium to N2/B27 and adding BDNF, GDNF, ascorbic acid, dbcAMP and DAPT for 10 additional days. At day 20 cells were dissociated using Accutase (Life Tech.) and seeded on 13 mm glass coverslips previously coated with 50 µg/ml Poly-D-Lysine and 20 µg/ml Laminin (Sigma). Seeding density was $\sim 1.0 \times 10^5$ cells/cm². From day 20 and on, neurons were continuously grown

in N2/B27 medium supplemented with 20 ng/ml BDNF, GDNF and NT3. Concentrations of reagents and growth factors used were as follows: 5 µM dorsomorphin (Stemgent), 10 µM SB431542 (Stemgent), 20 ng/ml BDNF (PeproTech), 20 ng/ml GDNF (PeproTech), 0.2 mM ascorbic acid (Sigma), 0.5 mM dbcAMP (Sigma), 10 µM DAPT (Tocris), 20 ng/ml NT3 (PeproTech). N2 medium was composed of DMEM:F12 (Life Tech.), supplemented with 1% N2 (Life Tech.), 1% non-essential amino acids (BioInd.), 1% Glutamax (Life Tech.) and 100 µg/ml Primocin (InvivoGen). N2/B27 Medium was a 1:1 mixture of N2 and B27 media. B27 medium was composed of Neurobasal (Life Tech.), supplemented with 1% B27 (Life Tech.), 1% non-essential amino acids (BioInd.), 1% Glutamax (Life Tech.) and 100 µg/ml Primocin (InvivoGen).

Cell patch-clamp: Electrophysiological recordings were conducted as previously described⁷. In brief, neurons on glass coverslips were transferred to a custom-made recording chamber adapted for an inverted microscope (Olympus XI-50), in standard recording medium, containing (in mM): 10 HEPES, 4 KCl, 2 CaCl₂, 1 MgCl₂, 139 NaCl, 10 D-glucose (340 mOsm, pH 7.4). Cells were patch-clamped with glass pipettes (Sutter Instruments, 1.5 mm OD, 0.75 mm ID), pulled using a P87 Puller (Sutter Instruments). Pipettes contained intracellular medium composed of (in mM) 136 K-gluconate, 10 KCl, 5 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 0.1 EGTA, 0.3 Na-GTP, 1 Mg-ATP, and 5 phosphocreatine, pH 7.2 (pipette tip resistance was 5–8 MΩ). Action potentials were evoked (in current clamp mode) by injecting depolarizing current pulses. Membrane potential was held at -60 mV. Spontaneous synaptic currents were recorded in 2 minute sessions in voltage clamp mode with a 50 µs sampling rate. Signals were amplified with a Multiclamp700B amplifier and recorded with Clampex9.2 software (Axon Instruments). Data were subjected to a 500-Hz low-pass filter and analyzed using Clampfit-9 and SigmaPlot.

Immunofluorescence: Immunostaining was performed as previously described⁷. Briefly, cells were fixed for 15 minutes at R.T. using Cytofix (BD) and washed with PBS. Primary antibodies were applied at 4°C, overnight, in a PBS solution containing 2.5% BSA and 0.1% Triton. Staining with secondary antibody was performed for 1 hour at R.T., in the dark. The pluripotent gene Oct4 was detected with monoclonal mouse anti-human OCT4 (Santa Cruz, #sc-5279, RRID: AB_628051, Lot C1308, dilution – 1:200). Neurons were stained using polyclonal rabbit anti-human MAP2 (Santa Cruz, #sc-20172, RRID: AB_2250101, Lot D2710, dilution 1:250), and monoclonal mouse anti-human NeuN (GeneTex, #GTX30773, RRID: AB_1949456, Lot 27334, dilution 1:20). Primary antibodies were detected using sheep anti-mouse Cy2-conjugated and goat anti-rabbit Cy3-conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson Labs).

Imaging: Bright field and fluorescence images of cells were obtained using an Olympus IX51 inverted light microscope, and a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope. Images were processed using Olympus Cella for XP (2006) and ImageJ (NIH, v. 1.49) software.

Statistical analysis: Data were collected from 15–25 cells for each time point, in 2 different experiments. ANOVA was performed on data using SPSS (v. 19).

Figure 1. Neuronal differentiation of human embryonic stem cells by dual SMAD inhibition. (A) Schematic representation of the protocol for *in-vitro* neuronal differentiation using dual SMAD inhibition. The protocol includes 3 steps: 1) Neural induction (days 0–10), by blocking SMAD signaling using Dorsomorphin (DM) and the TGF- β inhibitor SB431542 while reducing the relative amounts of hES medium and concomitantly increasing the relative amounts of N2 medium. 2) Neuronal induction (days 10–20), by incubating cells with brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), glia-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF), ascorbic acid, dibutyl- γ -cyclic-AMP (dbcAMP) and the NOTCH1-inhibitor DAPT; 3) Neuronal differentiation (days 20–37), by dissociating cells and re-plating them on poly-lysine/laminin-coated glass coverslips in the presence of BDNF, GDNF and neurotrophin 3 (NT3). The specific time-points for electrophysiological recordings are indicated with arrows. (B) Representative images of HUES-13 hESC line undifferentiated colonies stained positive for OCT4 (green); hESCs-derived neurons at day 21 stained positive for MAP2 (red) and hESCs-derived neurons at day 37 stained positive for NeuN (green). (C) Bright field representative images of neurons at days 23, 30 and 37 of *in-vitro* neuronal differentiation.

Results

Differentiation process and neuronal morphology

We have used a slightly modified version of an established protocol for IVND of hESCs^{5,14}, that is based on the dual inhibition of the SMAD pathway (Figure 1A). SMADs are the mammalian homologues to drosophila *mad* and *C. elegans sma*, and function as cytoplasmic mediators of TGF β signaling¹⁵. The process of IVND implemented here includes three major steps: (i) Neural induction by blocking SMAD signaling using Dorsomorphin and SB431542^{16,17}; (ii) Neuronal induction by incubating cells with pro-neuronal factors (BDNF, GDNF, ascorbic acid, dbcAMP) and the NOTCH1-inhibitor DAPT¹⁸; (iii) Neuronal differentiation, by dissociating cells and re-plating them on poly-lysine/laminin-coated glass coverslips in the presence of BDNF, GDNF and NT3.

Our hESCs selected for IVND were pluripotent as shown by the expression of OCT4 and the neurons derived were stained positive for MAP2 (>90% of cells), already at day 21 of IVND (Figure 1B). At day 37, >90% of cells expressed the neuronal transcription factor NeuN (Figure 1B). Typical neuronal morphology with 10–15 μ m phase bright somata displaying pyramidal shape, extending an ‘apical’ dendrite and a few ‘basal’ dendrites was visible already at day 21 (Figure 1B- MAP2, Figure 1C). Importantly, the neurons did not undergo any significant morphological changes during later stages of differentiation (Figure 1C, days 23, 30 and 37). Taken together, these results suggest that the cells analyzed during the three recording time-points (Figure 1A) are probably early human embryonic neurons, which are practically impossible to study *in-vivo*.

Time-dependent electrical maturation and firing of action potentials

Current clamp recordings of neurons at days 23, 30 and 37 of IVND (corresponding to days 3, 10 and 17 days following induction of neuronal differentiation), showed a steady increase in the excitability of hESCs-derived neurons (**Figure 2A**), while their input resistance remained similar for every time-point (**Figure 2B-input resistance**). At days 23 and 30, these neurons could discharge only single action potentials (APs; 21 and 25 neurons were recorded at days 23 and 30 respectively). However, multiple spikes were observed in all neurons recorded at day 37 (**Figure 2A, B-spike frequency**; 23 neurons were recorded). In addition, spike amplitude was significantly increased at day 30 and 37 as compared to day 23. Spike duration (measured at half-width of the action potential (AP), became shorter with prolonged differentiation, from 3.31 ± 0.16 msec at day 23, to 2.57 ± 0.10 msec at day 30, to a mean duration of 1.95 ± 0.20 msec at 37 days (**Figure 2B**). On the other hand, spike threshold was significantly lower for day 37 only. After hyperpolarization (AHP) potentials were not detected at day 23, but were present at day 30 and 37, in which the drop from spike threshold was ~ 7.9 mV for both time-points. These results clearly show a steady ongoing process of electrical maturation for human *in-vitro* developing neurons, in which sequential firing of multiple spikes is achieved by day 37 following induction of IVND with the dual SMAD inhibition protocol. Furthermore, our results show that

spike frequency, amplitude, duration, threshold and after hyperpolarization can serve as the best predictive measurements for electrical maturation.

Time-dependent maturation of K^+ currents

In order to further explore the dynamics of time-dependent development of spike discharges, we examined properties of the derived neurons in voltage clamp mode at the same time-points listed above (**Figure 3A**). We measured K^+ currents evoked by successive 20 mV voltage commands. The results show a significant difference between day 23 and 30 as compared to day 37 in the I-V curves of both the transient K^+ (I_A) current and the sustained (I_K) current (**Figure 3B**; number of neurons recorded in each day was 21, 25 and 23 respectively). The sigmoid regression function that fits these curves is given by the equation: $y = \frac{a}{1 + e^{\frac{x-b}{c}}}$, where 'a' is the slope of the curve. Our calculations show that the slope of the curve in I_K increased from 1.36 (day 23), to 1.64 (day 30), and finally to 2.34 (day 37). Moreover, the value of a in I_A increased from 1.49 (day 23), to 2.01 (day 30), and finally to 3.50 (day 37). This robust increase in the slope of both K^+ currents from day 30 to day 37 is indicative that during this period the membrane of hESCs-derived neurons probably undergoes important changes in their expression of K^+ channels. In addition to the parameters we have measured in current-clamp for APs characteristics, the slope of I-V curves of K^+ currents could also be used as a tool to measure electrical maturity during IVND of hPSCs.

Figure 2. Current clamp recordings of hESCs-derived neurons. (A) Representative traces for current clamp recordings at day 23 (black), 30 (red) and 37 (green). Membrane potential was held at ~ -60 mV and voltage deflections (mV) are shown following 6 consecutive pulses of ~ 20 pA current injection from -40 to $+60$ pA. **(B)** Data analysis showing (from left to right): input resistance (GΩ), spike frequency (spike number per pulse), spike amplitude (mV), spike duration (msec), spike threshold (mV) and spike after hyperpolarization ('AHP', mV), for the same recording days as in **(A)**. Sample size: $n=21$ at day 23; $n=25$ at day 30; $n=23$ at day 37. Values are mean $\pm$ SEM. * $P < 0.05$, ANOVA.

Figure 3. Voltage clamp recordings of K^+ currents. (A) Representative traces for voltage clamp recordings at day 23 (black), 30 (red) and 37 (green). Membrane potential was held at -60 mV and current (nA) is shown following 12 consecutive pulses of 20 mV voltage steps from -100 to +60 mV. (B) I-V curves for I_A and I_K currents for the same time-points as in (A). Sample size: $n=21$ at day 23; $n=25$ at day 30; $n=23$ at day 37. Values are mean $\pm$ SEM.

Development of spontaneous synaptic activity

Finally, we examined the time-course of formation of spontaneous synaptic currents, indicating active synaptic connections (Figure 4A). No synaptic activity was found in any tested cell at day 23 ($n=19$). However, ~50% of neurons at day 30 and 37 showed spontaneous synaptic activity, which increased from day 30 to day 37 in both frequency and current amplitudes (number of neurons recorded was 18 and 23 respectively) (Figure 4B). No attempt was made to distinguish between excitatory and inhibitory synaptic currents in the present study. At day 37, spontaneous synaptic currents had a mean rise time of 1.84 ± 0.16 msec, and their mean decay time was 3.25 ± 0.71 msec. These results suggest that the capability of *in-vitro* hESCs-derived neurons to develop synaptic connections can arise at a relatively early time-point during IVND, and is concomitant with the developmental timing of burst firing. Furthermore, these results indicate that electrical maturation involves the development of intrinsic properties in individual neurons, in parallel with the development of network-activity.

Data files electrical maturation of neurons derived from human embryonic stem cells

2 Data Files

<http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.1132475>

Discussion

Currently, there is no standard protocol to analyze the developmental stage in which all the required electrophysiological properties for proper function are already present in neurons derived by IVND of hPSCs. Several studies provide electrophysiological data on hPSCs-derived neurons but they do so only for a single specific end-point of the process, in order to compare control neurons to diseased ones^{7-9,19-26}. However, the dynamic of electrical maturation at several time-points along the process has not been extensively investigated, and currently there are only a few studies which addressed this question, with inconsistent results^{10-13,27-29}. Here, we have analyzed systematically the electrical maturation of human neurons derived from hESCs. We show that, by applying the dual SMAD inhibition in the IVND protocol on hESCs, early embryonic neurons are generated demonstrating electrical maturation already by day 37, including firing of spike bursts with increased amplitude and reduced duration. Our results further show that this electrical maturation is accompanied by a steady increase in K^+ currents, which enabled faster and more reliable repolarization. Moreover, a steady and gradual increase in spontaneous synaptic activity is observed at the same three time-points, suggesting that electrical maturation occurs not in individual neuron, but also in the developing neuronal networks. Nevertheless, no spontaneous action potential discharges could be detected, indicating that the network is still not fully functional.

Figure 4. Voltage clamp recordings of spontaneous synaptic activity. (A) Representative traces for spontaneous synaptic currents recorded in voltage clamp mode at -60 mV for days 23, 30 and 37. (B) Analysis of spontaneous synaptic currents frequency (Hz) and amplitude (pA). Sample size: n=19 at day 23; n=18 at day 30; n=23 at day 37. Values are mean $\pm$ SEM. *P<0.05, ANOVA.

As shown here, the neurons we derived could fire trains of APs not before day 37 (~5 weeks) of IVND. Indeed, a study in which the same dual SMAD inhibition protocol was used to generate neurons, spike bursts were measured already by week 4, but this was observed only in ~40% of the hESCs-derived neurons¹², as compared to our results demonstrating spike bursts in 100% of the neurons at the same time. In comparison, other studies have shown that when dopaminergic neurons or GABAergic interneurons were derived from hPSCs, a similar phenomenon of time-dependent electrical maturation was observed, but only following >8 weeks of IVND^{11,13,28}. Furthermore, other IVND protocols applied on hESCs, showed no incidence of burst firing and no significant differences between time-points in APs parameters^{10,27}. Our results indicate that measurement of spike frequency, amplitude, duration, threshold and after hyperpolarization can serve as predictive parameters for electrical maturity. Interestingly, spike duration was found to be the most reliable predictor parameter, and its measurement at each time-point tested. Similar to APs, K⁺ currents reflect the process of electrical maturation in a time-dependent manner. In addition, we have established that the slope of I_K and I_A steadily increases with time. Indeed, in the study of Takazawa *et al.*, 2012, hESCs-derived spinal motor neurons demonstrating bursts of multiple APs at day 36, also showed a time-dependent maturation in the transient and in the sustained K⁺ currents (I_A and I_K, respectively,²⁹). Furthermore, when neural differentiation through dual SMAD inhibition was performed to produce forebrain neurons, as we have done in this study, a time-dependent increase in the amplitude of both I_A and I_K

was indeed shown during the first 4 weeks¹². In contrast, Nicholas *et al.*, 2013 showed in hPSCs-derived GABAergic interneurons a steady increase of an unspecified K⁺ current, reaching a maximal peak of ~1.5 nA only after 30 weeks of IVND¹³, but Hartfield *et al.*, 2014 showed no significant changes between relevant time-points in the average peak amplitude of K⁺ currents in hPSCs-derived dopaminergic neurons²⁷. These observations indicate that development of K⁺ currents takes place during the earliest stages of electrical maturation. However, more research is needed to understand how and when these K⁺ channels are expressed on the membranes of *in-vitro* developing neurons, and whether the increase in their current is caused by an increase in their density throughout the membrane or by maturation of their intrinsic activation properties.

We have shown here time-dependent development of spontaneous synaptic activity, at a relatively early time-point during IVND, and concomitant with the developmental timing of burst firing, indicating that electrical maturation involves the development of intrinsic properties in individual neurons, in parallel with the development of network-activity. Other studies however, have shown that although action potential can be produced at earlier stages of differentiation, the generation of neuronal networks as evidenced by spontaneous synaptic activity, is observed only at later stages of IVND, (at least 8 weeks of differentiation into GABAergic forebrain interneurons^{13,28}, or 10 weeks of differentiation into dopaminergic midbrain neurons¹¹). It has been suggested that IVND of

hESCs produces immature embryonic-like neuronal cells, which take several months to develop the characteristic genetic and electrophysiological properties of mature adult-like neurons^{30,31}. Others have proposed that IVND of hPSCs mimic the real time-frame of *in-vivo* human embryonic neurogenesis, due to an “intrinsic clock-like mechanisms”³². It is commonly accepted that synaptogenesis in humans starts only by the end of fetal life and during the first months of postnatal life^{6,33}. Nevertheless, here we show significant spontaneous synaptic activity already by day 37, suggesting that *in-vitro* conditions results in an accelerated rate of maturation and development. The different timing of neuronal functional maturation observed in the different studies could be explained by high variability in cultures due to the different hPSCs used, different IVND protocols, different seeding density of cells, and other factors related to the IVND protocol used.

In conclusion, our results shed light on the dynamic development of the electrophysiological properties of individual neurons as well as *in-vitro* neuronal networks. Furthermore, these findings suggest critical electrophysiological parameters that can be used to predict the precise timing in which neuronal functionality is acquired by human cells developing *in-vitro*. Therefore, the results of the present study provide a valuable tool for the direct measurement of electrical maturity, which can be implemented when studying neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative diseases.

Data availability

figshare: Data files electrical maturation of neurons derived from human embryonic stem cells doi: [10.6084/m9.figshare.1132475](https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.1132475)³⁴

Author contributions

M.S. and D.B.Y. designed the study, wrote the manuscript and approved it. M.T. performed the experiments, collected and analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

M.T. was supported by the TEVA National Network of Excellency scholarship in neuroscience.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Ms. Efrat Biton (Weizmann Inst.) for technical assistance and Dr. Michael Boland (Scripps Ins.) for valuable advice in differentiation procedures.

References

1. Yu DX, Di Giorgio FP, Yao J, *et al.*: **Modeling hippocampal neurogenesis using human pluripotent stem cells.** *Stem Cell Reports.* 2014; **2**(3): 295–310.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
2. Schwarz SC, Schwarz J: **Translation of stem cell therapy for neurological diseases.** *Transl Res.* 2010; **156**(3): 155–60.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
3. Yuan SH, Shaner M: **Bioengineered stem cells in neural development and neurodegeneration research.** *Ageing Res Rev.* 2013; **12**(3): 739–48.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
4. Liu H, Zhang SC: **Specification of neuronal and glial subtypes from human pluripotent stem cells.** *Cell Mol Life Sci.* 2011; **68**(24): 3995–4008.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
5. Chambers SM, Mica Y, Studer L, *et al.*: **Converting human pluripotent stem cells to neural tissue and neurons to model neurodegeneration.** *Methods Mol Biol.* 2011; **793**: 87–97.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
6. Telias M, Ben-Yosef D: **Modeling neurodevelopmental disorders using human pluripotent stem cells.** *Stem Cell Rev.* 2014; **10**(4): 494–511.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
7. Telias M, Segal M, Ben-Yosef D: **Neural differentiation of Fragile X human Embryonic Stem Cells reveals abnormal patterns of development despite successful neurogenesis.** *Dev Biol.* 2013; **374**(1): 32–45.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
8. Shcheglovitov A, Shcheglovitova O, Yazawa M, *et al.*: **SHANK3 and IGF1 restore synaptic deficits in neurons from 22q13 deletion syndrome patients.** *Nature.* 2013; **503**(7475): 267–71.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
9. Marchetto MC, Carroumeu C, Acab A, *et al.*: **A model for neural development and treatment of Rett syndrome using human induced pluripotent stem cells.** *Cell.* 2010; **143**(4): 527–39.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
10. Needham K, Hyakumura T, Gunewardene N, *et al.*: **Electrophysiological properties of neurosensory progenitors derived from human embryonic stem cells.** *Stem Cell Res.* 2014; **12**(1): 241–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
11. Hartfield EM, Yamasaki-Mann M, Ribeiro Fernandes HJ, *et al.*: **Physiological characterisation of human iPS-derived dopaminergic neurons.** *PLoS One.* 2014; **9**(2): e87388.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
12. Song M, Mohamad O, Chen D, *et al.*: **Coordinated development of voltage-gated Na⁺ and K⁺ currents regulates functional maturation of forebrain neurons derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells.** *Stem Cells Dev.* 2013; **22**(10): 1551–63.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
13. Nicholas CR, Chen J, Tang Y, *et al.*: **Functional maturation of hPSC-derived forebrain interneurons requires an extended timeline and mimics human neural development.** *Cell Stem Cell.* 2013; **12**(5): 573–86.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
14. Chambers SM, Fasano CA, Papapetrou EP, *et al.*: **Highly efficient neural conversion of human ES and iPS cells by dual inhibition of SMAD signaling.** *Nat Biotechnol.* 2009; **27**(3): 275–80.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
15. Heldin CH, Miyazono K, ten Dijke P: **TGF-beta signalling from cell membrane to nucleus through SMAD proteins.** *Nature.* 1997; **390**(6659): 465–71.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
16. Rodrigues GM, Matos AF, Fernandes TG, *et al.*: **Integrated platform for production and purification of human pluripotent stem cell-derived neural precursors.** *Stem Cell Rev.* 2014; **10**(2): 151–61.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
17. Birenboim R, Markus A, Goldstein RS: **Simple generation of neurons from human embryonic stem cells using agarose multiwell dishes.** *J Neurosci Methods.* 2013; **214**(1): 9–14.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
18. Banda E, Gabel L: **Directed Differentiation of Human Embryonic Stem Cells into Neural Progenitors.** *Methods Mol Biol.* 2014.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
19. Kirkeby A, Grealish S, Wolf DA, *et al.*: **Generation of regionally specified neural progenitors and functional neurons from human embryonic stem cells under defined conditions.** *Cell Rep.* 2012; **1**(6): 703–14.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
20. Kozubenko N, Turnovcova K, Kapcalova M, *et al.*: **Analysis of *in vitro* and *in vivo* characteristics of human embryonic stem cell-derived neural precursors.**

- Cell Transplant.* 2010; **19**(4): 471–86.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
21. Pasca SP, Portmann T, Voineagu I, *et al.*: **Using iPSC-derived neurons to uncover cellular phenotypes associated with Timothy syndrome.** *Nat Med.* 2011; **17**(12): 1657–62.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 22. Liu J, Koscielska KA, Cao Z, *et al.*: **Signaling defects in iPSC-derived fragile X premutation neurons.** *Hum Mol Genet.* 2012; **21**(17): 3795–805.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 23. Weick JP, Held DL, Bonadurer GF, *et al.*: **Deficits in human trisomy 21 iPSCs and neurons.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2013; **110**(24): 9962–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 24. Shi Y, Kirwan P, Smith J, *et al.*: **A human stem cell model of early Alzheimer's disease pathology in Down syndrome.** *Sci Transl Med.* 2012; **4**(124): 124ra29.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 25. Chamberlain SJ, Lalande M: **Neurodevelopmental disorders involving genomic imprinting at human chromosome 15q11-q13.** *Neurobiol Dis.* 2010; **39**(1): 13–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 26. Chamberlain SJ, Chen PF, Ng KY, *et al.*: **Induced pluripotent stem cell models of the genomic imprinting disorders Angelman and Prader-Willi syndromes.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2010; **107**(41): 17668–73.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 27. Belinsky GS, Moore AR, Short SM, *et al.*: **Physiological properties of neurons derived from human embryonic stem cells using a dibutyl cyclic AMP-based protocol.** *Stem Cells Dev.* 2011; **20**(10): 1733–46.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 28. Maroof AM, Keros S, Tyson JA, *et al.*: **Directed differentiation and functional maturation of cortical interneurons from human embryonic stem cells.** *Cell Stem Cell.* 2013; **12**(5): 559–72.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 29. Takazawa T, Croft GF, Amoroso MW, *et al.*: **Maturation of spinal motor neurons derived from human embryonic stem cells.** *PLoS One.* 2012; **7**(7): e40154.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 30. Saha K, Jaenisch R: **Technical challenges in using human induced pluripotent stem cells to model disease.** *Cell Stem Cell.* 2009; **5**(6): 584–95.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 31. Liu GH, Ding Z, Izpisua Belmonte JC: **iPSC technology to study human aging and aging-related disorders.** *Curr Opin Cell Biol.* 2012; **24**(6): 765–74.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 32. Miller JD, Ganat YM, Kishinevsky S, *et al.*: **Human iPSC-based modeling of late-onset disease via progerin-induced aging.** *Cell Stem Cell.* 2013; **13**(6): 691–705.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 33. Hrvov-Mihic B, Bienvenu T, Stefanacci L, *et al.*: **Evolution, development, and plasticity of the human brain: from molecules to bones.** *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2013; **7**: 707.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 34. Telias M, Segal M, Dalit BY: **Data files electrical maturation of neurons derived from human embryonic stem cells.** *figshare.* 2014.
[Data Source](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 24 September 2014

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.5279.r5862>

© 2014 Yuan S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Shauna Yuan

Department of Neuroscience, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA

This is an interesting article examining the time course of the electrophysiological properties of neurons derived from human embryonic stem cells. The authors studied firing of action potentials, potassium currents and spontaneous synaptic activities. They found that all of these properties gradually become more mature over time. This study shows the feasibility of conducting such experiments to map out developmental stages of neurons in a culture dish. The title and abstract convey the message of the manuscript. It is well-written and contains comprehensive information on the study design, methods and analysis. The data is well presented. It is not clear from the article that the three properties that the authors looked at are fully developed at 37 days. Can they comment on the variability between cultures? In the conclusion, the authors could possibly expand on what they think about the timing of maturation. Would the days of maturation be very different due to the differentiation method; therefore, such time-course should be performed for each new differentiation protocol?

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 28 Sep 2014

Michael Telias,

The authors wish to thank the referee for her kind words and the time to review our work.

Specific comments:

1. *"It is not clear from the article that the three properties that the authors looked at are fully developed at 37 days. Can they comment on the variability between cultures?"*

The points raised by the referee are indeed interesting. Variability among cultures

(different experiments with the same hESC line) was relatively low, as revealed by the standard error of the mean in the parameters measured, such as spike amplitude, duration, etc. (see Fig. 2B).

Regarding the capability of cells of firing consecutive trains of action potentials: in this study we have recorded neurons up to day 37 following IVND, and concluded that these 37 days old neurons are already functionally mature. A continuous study, that we are currently conducting at our lab, demonstrates that in >60 days old neurons the only parameter that change, as compared to 37-days old neurons, is spike frequency but all other parameters remain the same (i.e., spike amplitude, duration, threshold, AHP).

2. *"In the conclusion, the authors could possibly expand on what they think about the timing of maturation. Would the days of maturation be very different due to the differentiation method; therefore, such time-course should be performed for each new differentiation protocol?"*

According to the referee's comment, we have added the following explanation to the conclusion (last paragraph of Discussion): "Timing of electrical maturation can greatly vary among different cell lines of hESCs and hiPSCs, as well as between different protocols for IVND. Therefore, analysis of the parameters proposed in this study, which are universal for neuronal electrical activity and easy to reproduce, could serve to calibrate and adjust the time-course of electrical maturation in every cell line and for every protocol"

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 01 September 2014

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.5279.r5864>

© 2014 Castren M. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Maija Castren

Institute of Biomedicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

Telias *et al.* report a systematic analysis of intrinsic electrical properties of developing neurons differentiated from pluripotent human embryonic stem cells during neuronal maturation days 23-37 *in vitro*. Neuronal differentiation was performed by dual SMAD inhibition and differentiated neurons showed mature neuronal morphology. Current clamp recordings showed changes in spike amplitude, frequency and duration and sequential firing of multiple spikes was found by day 37. At the same time a significant increase in the frequency and amplitude of spontaneous synaptic

activity could be detected and an augmentation of K^+ currents. The provides new information about the dynamic development of the electrophysiological properties of neurons differentiated from pluripotent cells.

The manuscript is well written and discussed. The title is appropriate for the paper. The methods are sound.

The following points need to be addressed:

- Page 3, the second paragraph; it is not clear how images are indicated (Figure 1B-MAP2, Figure 1C).
- The figure 4B; Is the difference of frequency between values at day 30 and 37 significant with *? The presentation gives an impression that the difference is more significant.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 28 Sep 2014

Michael Telias,

The authors wish to thank the referee for her kind words and the time to review our work.

Specific comments:

- *"Page 3, the second paragraph; it is not clear how images are indicated (Figure 1B-MAP2, Figure 1C)."*

We have revised the text in the Results section "Differentiation process and neuronal morphology" to correct this mistake, as explained by the referee, and make the text more comprehensible: "[...] (MAP2 and NeuN in Figure1B and Figure 1C)."

- *"The figure 4B; Is the difference of frequency between values at day 30 and 37 significant with *? The presentation gives an impression that the difference is more significant."*

Indeed the p value in the frequency graph is <0.01 , as noted by the referee. However, we chose to symbolize every p value that is below 0.05 with only one asterisk, regardless of its specific value.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research