Check for updates

OBSERVATION ARTICLE

REVISED *Plasmodium falciparum* infection rates for some
Anopheles spp. from Guinea-Bissau, West Africa [version 2;
 peer review: 2 approved]

Michelle R. Sanford^{1,4}, Anthony J. Cornel^{1,3}, Catelyn C. Nieman¹, Joao Dinis²,
 Clare D. Marsden¹, Allison M. Weakley¹, Sarah Han¹, Amabelia Rodrigues²,
 Gregory C. Lanzaro ¹, Yoosook Lee¹

¹Vector Genetics Laboratory, Department of Pathology, Microbiology, and Immunology, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California, Davis, 95616, USA

²National Institute of Public Health (INASA), Bissau, Guinea-Bissau

³Department of Entomology and Nematology, University of California, Davis, 95616, USA

⁴Current Affiliation: Harris County Institute of Forensic Sciences, 1885 Old Spanish Trail, Houston, TX, 77054, USA

V2 First published: 14 Oct 2014, 3:243
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.5485.1>

Latest published: 05 Nov 2014, 3:243
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.5485.2>

Abstract

Presence of *Plasmodium falciparum* circumsporozoite protein (CSP) was detected by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) in a sample of *Anopheles gambiae* s.s., *A. melas* and *A. pharoensis* collected in Guinea-Bissau during October and November 2009. The percentage of *P. falciparum* infected samples (10.2% overall; confidence interval (CI): 7.45-13.6%) was comparable to earlier studies from other sites in Guinea-Bissau (9.6-12.4%). The majority of the specimens collected were identified as *A. gambiae* which had an individual infection rate of 12.6 % (CI: 8.88-17.6) across collection sites. A small number of specimens of *A. coluzzii*, *A. coluzzii* x *A. gambiae* hybrids, *A. melas* and *A. pharoensis* were collected and had infection rates of 4.3% (CI:0.98-12.4), 4.1% (CI:0.35-14.5), 11.1% (CI:1.86-34.1) and 33.3% (CI:9.25-70.4) respectively. Despite being present in low numbers in indoor collections, the exophilic feeding behaviors of *A. melas* (N=18) and *A. pharoensis* (N=6) and high infection rates observed in this survey suggest *falciparum*-malaria transmission potential outside of the protection of bed nets.

Open Peer Review**Approval Status**

1

2

version 2

(revision)

05 Nov 2014

version 1

14 Oct 2014

view

view

1. **Jacques Derek Charlwood**, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK

2. **Guido Favia**, University of Camerino, Camerino, Italy

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Yoosook Lee (yoslee@ucdavis.edu)

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The authors also acknowledge financial support from NIH grants: 5R21AI062929 and 5T32AI074550. *The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.*

Copyright: © 2014 Sanford MR *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

How to cite this article: Sanford MR, Cornel AJ, Nieman CC *et al.* *Plasmodium falciparum* infection rates for some *Anopheles* spp. from Guinea-Bissau, West Africa [version 2; peer review: 2 approved] F1000Research 2014, 3:243 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.5485.2>

First published: 14 Oct 2014, 3:243 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.5485.1>

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

An adjusted Wald confidence interval was calculated for overall infection rate data where needed. The data presented in the table are not replicated but a simple calculation of the percent of the total collection that were infected. We did not replicate within time or locations. Therefore there is no variation to report in the table.

Response to the reviewer (Dr. Charlwood) concerning the ethics of outdoor collection was addressed in our response to reviewer.

Regarding false positives, this is a possibility for any test of parasite detection. We specifically chose to use the *P. falciparum* circumsporozoite protein sensitive ELISA test on the parts of the mosquito most likely to contain the infective stages (head and thorax) to minimize false positives in the results. Unfortunately the ELISA test was destructive and these specific parts of the mosquito have long been discarded. The DNA from the remainder of each mosquito has been archived but this contains the abdomen which presents another potential source of false positives as the gut contents may contain material that may never progress to rendering the mosquito infective.

Another confounding factor associated with using this type of testing is that it needs to be conducted more rapidly than the DNA extraction from the rest of the mosquito and the ELISA tests were often performed before the mosquito identification via PCR could be conducted. Such that an unusual result such as the one observed here would not have been detected until the ELISA samples had been discarded. It would definitely be beneficial to keep this in mind for future work in this area. Revised method clarifying this and discussion of the results are added to the revised manuscript.

Other minor edits that two reviewers suggested were also made.

See referee reports

Introduction

Malaria is among the leading causes of childhood mortality in Guinea-Bissau, comprising 18% of mortality of children less than five years of age as of 2010 (WHO, 2010). However, the human malaria incidence rate in Guinea Bissau varies considerably from year to year with a general decrease in recent years to about 3 children (<5 yrs of age) per thousand in some locations (Ursing *et al.*, 2014). *Plasmodium falciparum* predominates, causing 98% cases, followed by a few cases of *Plasmodium malariae* and *Plasmodium ovale*. Mixed infections of *P. malariae*, and to a lesser extent *P. ovale*, have been recorded but appear to be rare and highly variable in both Guinea-Bissau (Snounou *et al.*, 1993) and neighboring Senegal (Fontenille *et al.*, 1997a; Fontenille *et al.*, 1997b).

Limited research has been conducted on the vectors and malaria parasite infection rates in Guinea-Bissau populations of *Anopheles* species in general and there is no data on comparative infection rates between *A. gambiae* and *A. coluzzii* and members of the *A. gambiae* complex. Variability is also high among the *Anopheles* spp. implicated as vectors in this region of West Africa in terms of both their temporal population dynamics as well as species composition among study sites (Carnevale *et al.*, 2010; Fontenille *et al.*, 1997a; Jaenson *et al.*, 1994; Snounou *et al.*, 1993).

Here we present much needed data on *P. falciparum* infection of *Anopheles* spp. specimens collected from inside and around associated human habitations at eight sites in Guinea-Bissau (Table 1).

Table 1. Sites, species and *Plasmodium falciparum* circumsporozoite protein (CSP) detection information from *Anopheles* spp. samples collected in Guinea-Bissau, October and November 2009. Numbers (#) indicate site locations on the map of Guinea-Bissau in Figure 1. All mosquitoes were collected indoors with a single exception; samples in Ponta Anabaca were opportunistically collected outside.

#	Site	<i>P. falciparum</i> infected	Uninfected	Total collected	Infection rate
<i>Anopheles coluzzii</i>					
1	Canjufa	0	1	1	0.0%
2	Bambadinca	2	16	18	11.1%
3	Antula	0	17	17	0.0%
4	Prabis	0	24	24	0.0%
5	Abu	1	7	8	12.5%
6	Brus	0	1	1	0.0%
8	Eticoga	0	1	1	0.0%
	SUBTOTAL	3	67	70	4.3%
<i>Anopheles gambiae</i>					
1	Canjufa	1	1	2	50.0%
2	Bambadinca	0	1	1	0.0%
3	Antula	13	63	76	17.1%
4	Prabis	3	50	53	5.7%
5	Abu	1	30	31	3.2%
6	Brus	0	5	5	0.0%
7	Ponta Anabaca	8	46	54	14.8%
8	Eticoga	3	5	8	37.5%
	SUBTOTAL	29	201	230	12.6%
<i>A. coluzzii</i> x <i>A. gambiae</i> hybrids					
1	Canjufa	1	0	1	100.0%
3	Antula	1	26	27	3.7%
4	Prabis	0	14	14	0.0%
5	Abu	0	5	5	0.0%
8	Eticoga	0	2	2	0.0%
	SUBTOTAL	2	47	49	4.1%
<i>Anopheles melas</i>					
3	Antula	1	2	3	33.3%
4	Prabis	1	7	8	12.5%
5	Abu	0	2	2	0.0%
6	Brus	0	6	6	0.0%
8	Eticoga	0	1	1	0.0%
	SUBTOTAL	2	16	18	11.1%
<i>Anopheles pharoensis</i>					
2	Bambadinca	2	4	6	33.3%
	Grand Total	38	337	375	10.2%

Method

Mosquitoes were collected by mouth aspiration from both the island and inland areas of Guinea-Bissau (Figure 1) in 2009 between October and November, which corresponds with the time of year previously observed to have the highest infection rate in *Anopheles* species (Jaenson *et al.*, 1994). All mosquitoes were collected indoors with a single exception; samples in Ponta Anabaca were opportunistically collected outside while host-seeking at about 18:00hr. Each mosquito was dissected and the head and thorax were preserved in 100% ethanol for subsequent ELISA analysis. The remainder of each mosquito was preserved in 70% ethanol for genomic DNA extraction using the DNeasy extraction kit (Qiagen). Species determination of mosquitoes from the *A. gambiae* complex was made with the combination of species diagnostic assays (Fanello *et al.*, 2002; Favia *et al.*, 2001; Santolamazza *et al.*, 2008; Scott *et al.*, 1993) and a divergence island SNP (DIS) genotyping assay (Lee *et al.*, 2014a) while other species were identified by morphology.

For the Scott PCR (Scott *et al.*, 1993) and the Fanello RFLP (Fanello *et al.*, 2002), we used four primers (UN [5'-GTG TGG CCC TTC CTC GAT GT-3'], GA [5'-CTG GTT TGG TCG GCA CGT TT-3'], ME [5'-TGA CCA ACC CAC TCC CTT GA-3'] and AR [5'-AAG TGT CCT TCT CCA TCC TA-3']). We excluded QD primer (Scott *et al.*, 1993) because our study site is well outside of the geographic range of this species (East Africa). A 25 µL PCR reaction containing 1X GeneAmp PCR Buffer (Applied Biosystems), 1mM MgCl₂, 0.2mM of each dNTP, 0.12 µM of each primer and 0.05U AmpliTaq DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems) was carried out for each individual. Scott PCR products were digested using HhaI enzyme (New England Biosystems) following the protocol stated in (Fanello *et al.*, 2002). Thermocycler conditions were 95°C for 5 min followed by thirty-five cycles of 95°C for 45 s, 50°C for 30 s and 72°C for 45 s, with a final elongation at 72°C for 7 min, and a 4°C hold.

For the Favia PCR (Favia *et al.*, 2001), we used four primers (R5 [5'-GCC AAT CCG AGC TGA TAG CGC-3'], R3 [5'-CGA ATT CTA GGG AGC TCC AG-3'], Mopint [5'-GCC CCT TCC TCG

ATG GCA T-3'] and B/S int [5'-ACC AAG ATG GTT CGT TGC-3']). A 25 µL PCR reaction containing 1X PCR Buffer (Applied Biosystems), 1.5mM MgCl₂, 0.2mM of each dNTP, 0.2 µM of primer R5, 0.2 µM of primer R3, 0.16 µM of primer Mopint, 0.1 µM of primer B/S int and 0.02U DNA polymerase AmpliTaq (Applied Biosystems) was carried out for each individual. Thermocycler conditions were 95°C for 5 min followed by thirty-five cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 64°C for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s, with a final elongation at 72°C for 7 min, and a 4°C hold.

For the SINEX PCR (Santolamazza *et al.*, 2008), we used S200 X6.1 forward [5'-TCG CCT TAG ACC TTG CGT TA-3'] and reverse [5'-CGC TTC AAG AAT TCG AGA TAC-3'] primers. A 25 µL PCR reaction containing 1X PCR Buffer (Applied Biosystems), 2mM MgCl₂, 0.4mM of each dNTP, 0.2 µM of each primer and 0.1U DNA polymerase AmpliTaq (Applied Biosystems) was carried out for each individual. Thermocycler conditions were 95°C for 5 min followed by thirty-five cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s, with a final elongation at 72°C for 10 min, and a 4°C hold.

The resulting PCR products were analyzed on a Qiaxcel capillary electrophoresis instrument (Qiagen) using a DNA Screening Cartridge (Qiagen).

For DIS genotyping, we used Sequenom iPLEX Gold Genotyping Reagent Set (Catalog number: Sequenom 10158) and ran on the MassArray (Sequenom) mass spectrometer at the UC Davis Veterinary Genetics Laboratory. A mosquito was considered a hybrid if at least 5 out of 7 DIS on the X chromosome were in a heterozygous state.

P. falciparum infection was determined by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) of circumsporozoite protein (CSP) (Burkot *et al.*, 1984; Wirtz *et al.*, 1987) from the head and thorax of mosquito specimens in an attempt to capture the parts of the mosquito that would indicate that they were infective. All chemicals except for substrate solutions (Item 5 on page 5 of the supplemental ELSA protocol document) were ordered from Sigma-Aldrich. Monoclonal antibodies (capture and conjugate) were obtained from Kirkegaard & Perry Laboratories. *P. falciparum* sporozoite protein for positive controls was ordered from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). We followed the Sporozoite ELISA directions provided by the CDC (Sep, 2009 version) with a few modifications (see supplemental document for the modified ELISA protocol). Samples were considered positive if absorbance values were three or more standard deviations from the negative control samples (99% CI) on each ELISA plate (Beier *et al.*, 1987; De Arruda *et al.*, 2004).

The results of the ELISA were analyzed for both CSP concentration, adjusted for plate-to-plate variation, with an analysis of variance and for a binary outcome using a χ^2 test implemented in SPSS 16.0 (SPSS, 2007). The data were analyzed for differences between species and among collection sites, using a G-test implemented in Deducer library under R software (<http://www.r-project.org/>). A Confidence interval (CI) was calculated using adjusted Wald confidence intervals using an online calculator (www.measuringu.com/wald.htm). Mosquito species, *P. falciparum* infection state and CSP concentration for each individual are provided in Dataset 1.

Figure 1. Collection sites in Guinea-Bissau. 1: Canjufa (12.43N, 14.13W), 2: Bambadinca (12.02N, 14.86W), 3: Antula (11.91N, 15.58W), 4: Prabis (11.80N, 15.74W), 5: Abu (11.46N, 15.91W), 6: Brus (11.23N, 15.88W), 7: Ponta Anabaca (11.18N, 16.14W) and 8: Eticoga (11.16N, 16.14W).

Results & discussion

ELISA results identifying *Plasmodium falciparum* infection status in *Anopheles* spp. collected in Guinea-Bissau

<http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.1200058>

Mosquitoes were collected at eight different sites in Guinea-Bissau between October and November 2009. All mosquitoes were collected indoors except at Ponta Anabaca which were collected outdoors. See associated article for methods.

Four species, *A. coluzzii*, *A. gambiae*, *A. melas*, *A. pharoensis* were collected during sampling. A number of *A. coluzzii* x *A. gambiae* hybrids were also collected. All mosquitoes were collected indoors with a single exception; samples in Ponta Anabaca were opportunistically collected outside of a human habitation while apparently host-seeking immediately after sunset at about 18:00 hr, which is earlier than reported observations for members of the *A. gambiae* complex in The Gambia (West Africa) (Lindsay *et al.*, 1989; Snow *et al.*, 1988). All species were collected at multiple sites except *A. pharoensis*, which was only collected at the more inland site of Bambadinca. *A. pharoensis* is not generally considered a significant vector in West Africa but the distribution observed in this study matches the previously observed pattern in Senegal (Carrara *et al.*, 1990). *Anopheles arabiensis* was absent from collections.

No significant differences were observed for CSP concentration or in the analysis of positive samples with χ^2 . This is probably due to the variation in the distribution of vector species and *P. falciparum* in the environment at the time of sampling. Table 1 presents CSP rate data and the total number of each individual species collected at each site.

The percentage of *P. falciparum* positive samples from members of the *A. gambiae* species complex observed in this study (overall 10.2%; CI:7.45-13.6%) were similar to earlier studies in other regions in Guinea-Bissau (12.0% (Snounou *et al.*, 1993) and 9.6–12.4% (Jaenson *et al.*, 1994)). The overall CSP positive rate for *A. gambiae* was 12.6% (CI 8.88-17.6%) and 11.1% (CI:1.86-34.1%) for *A. melas*. Previously published CSP positive rates for *A. gambiae* s.s. (= *A. gambiae* and *A. coluzzii*) range between 2.24% in Guinea (Carnevale *et al.*, 2010) to 9.6% in Guinea-Bissau (Jaenson *et al.*, 1994). Earlier studies when individual species within the *A. gambiae* complex were not identified, infection rate of *A. gambiae* s.l. ranged from as high of 17.73% in the eastern regions of The Gambia (Thomson *et al.*, 1994) to 12% in Guinea-Bissau (Jaenson *et al.*, 1994; Snounou *et al.*, 1993). The CSP positive rate was significantly higher in *A. gambiae* (12.6%) than *A. coluzzii* (4.3%) (Wilcoxon rank sum test P-value=0.0384). This is consistent with the earlier study in Senegal (Ndiath *et al.*, 2011) but differs from a recent survey conducted in Mali (Fryxell *et al.*, 2012). The study site in Senegal located in the village of Dielmo (13°43'N, 16°24'W) (Ndiath *et al.*, 2012) was geographically closer (200km) than Mali sites (>800km) to our collection sites in Guinea-Bissau. The Senegal study site at Dielmo and nine of our study sites were proximal (<50km) to the Atlantic Ocean, while Mali is a land-locked

country at least 500km away from the Atlantic Ocean. Therefore, the discrepancy among studies may be due to climatic and environmental pressure on the different genetic backgrounds of *A. gambiae* observed in this area of West Africa (Lee *et al.*, 2013). More robust sampling over a larger number of collection sites would help in confirming this trend.

In this study, a few *A. pharoensis* (N=6) were collected, half of which were CSP positive. Other studies in this region of West Africa have found that *A. funestus* and *A. arabiensis* may also be important vector species at different times in nearby Senegal (Fontenille *et al.*, 1997a; Fontenille *et al.*, 1997b). *A. arabiensis* was not collected in our study while a small number (N<10) of *A. funestus* were observed but not collected.

Recent studies on the prevalence of malaria parasites in humans have suggested that infection rates in Guinea-Bissau may be in decline due to widespread use of effective treatment and insecticide treated bed nets (ITNs and long lasting insecticide treated bed nets, LLINs) by the most high-risk groups (Rodrigues *et al.*, 2008; Ursing *et al.*, 2014). The malaria parasite life cycle is complicated and may not directly relate to the prevalence of human cases but it is possible that the lack of data during periods of political unrest has concealed a more stochastic pattern than was previously observed in Guinea-Bissau (Ursing *et al.*, 2014).

Outdoor mosquito collection was not the focus of this survey and was only made at Ponta Anabaca Hotel grounds when we fortuitously noted mosquitoes biting. Consequently no general comments about the degree of exophily of *A. gambiae* in Guinea-Bissau can be made. However, evidence of exophily by the major malaria vector *A. gambiae* in this study and by others in West Africa (Reddy *et al.*, 2011; Tchouassi *et al.*, 2012) raises the concern of the long term effectiveness of Indoor Residual Spraying (IRS) and Long lasting Insecticide-treated Nets (LLINs) in reducing outdoor transmission of malaria especially before bedtime and by people sleeping outdoors. The relatively high infection rate of 11.1% of *A. melas* in Guinea-Bissau together with its tendencies to be both endophilic and exophilic and have a high human blood index (Sharp *et al.*, 2007; Tuno *et al.*, 2010) make the species a significant vector, which may also be hard to control by reliance on ITNs and LLINs.

The high CSP rate of 33.3% in the 6 indoor collected *A. pharoensis* might implicate a significant role in malaria transmission in drier inland Guinea Bissau, however this should be viewed with caution due the small sample size. This contrasts the recent finding in Mozambique where none of the 4390 *A. pharoensis* samples were positive for CSP-ELISA (Charlwood *et al.*, 2013). Very low infection rates and absence of malarial parasites, traditionally found in West and Central African populations of *A. pharoensis* has always led to the conclusion that this mosquito plays little role in malaria transmission despite its anthropophilic habits and that it can be easily experimentally infected (De Meillon, 1947; Ndiath *et al.*, 2012; Tchouassi *et al.*, 2012). In drier Sahel regions of Africa where the major vectors of malaria are absent or very rare and irrigated rice and other crop lands are increasing, *A. pharoensis* is considered more

important at maintaining low levels of malaria (Kerah-Hinzoumbé *et al.*, 2009; Kibret *et al.*, 2010). More rigorous sampling effort and infection confirmation using multiple approaches (ELSA + SNP genotyping assays (Lee *et al.*, 2014b) will be required to confirm the definitive role of this species in malaria transmission in this region.

Data availability

figshare: ELISA results identifying *Plasmodium falciparum* infection status in *Anopheles* spp. collected in Guinea-Bissau. doi: 10.6084/m9.figshare.1200058 (Sanford *et al.*, 2014).

Author contributions

YL, GCL and AJC conceived the study, designed experiments and conducted field collections. JD conducted field collection. AR provided logistical support and coordination for field collection in Guinea-Bissau. CCN, CDM, AMW and SH conducted DNA extraction, ELISA and PCR. MRS performed data analysis and

wrote manuscript. All authors were involved in the revision of the draft manuscript and have agreed to the final content.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

The authors also acknowledge financial support from NIH grants: 5R21AI062929 and 5T32AI074550.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Parker Goodell and Mike Kim for their assistance in DNA extraction, molecular form determination and ELISA processing. We thank Julia Malvick at the Veterinary Genetics Laboratory of UC Davis School of Veterinary Medicine for assistance in processing iPLEX SNP genotyping assay.

Supplementary materials

Sporozoite ELISA Directions. [Click here to access the supplement](#)

References

- Beier JC, Perkins PV, Wirtz RA, *et al.*: Field evaluation of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for *Plasmodium falciparum* sporozoite detection in anopheline mosquitoes from Kenya. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 1987; 36(3): 459–468.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Burkot TR, Williams JL, Schneider I: Identification of *Plasmodium falciparum*-infected mosquitoes by a double antibody enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 1984; 33(5): 783–788.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Carnevale P, Toto JC, Guibert P, *et al.*: Entomological survey and report of a knockdown resistance mutation in the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae* from the Republic of Guinea. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 2010; 104(7): 484–489.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Carrara GC, Petrarca V, Niang M, *et al.*: *Anopheles pharoensis* and transmission of *Plasmodium falciparum* in the Senegal River delta, West Africa. *Med Vet Entomol.* 1990; 4(4): 421–424.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Charlwood JD, Macia GA, Manhaca M, *et al.*: Population dynamics and spatial structure of human-biting mosquitoes, inside and outside of houses, in the Chockwe irrigation scheme, southern Mozambique. *Geospat Health.* 2013; 7(2): 309–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- De Arruda ME, Collins KM, Collins LP, *et al.*: Quantitative determination of sporozoites and circumsporozoite antigen in mosquitoes infected with *Plasmodium falciparum* or *P. vivax*. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol.* 2004; 98(2): 121–127.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- De Meillon B: The Anophelini of the Ethiopian geographical region. The South African Institute for Medical Research. 1947.
[Reference Source](#)
- Fanello C, Santolamazza F, della Torre A: Simultaneous identification of species and molecular forms of the *Anopheles gambiae* complex by PCR-RFLP. *Med Vet Entomol.* 2002; 16(4): 461–464.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Favia G, Lanfrancotti A, Spanos L, *et al.*: Molecular characterization of ribosomal DNA polymorphisms discriminating among chromosomal forms of *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. *Insect Mol Biol.* 2001; 10(1): 19–23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Fontenille D, Lochouart L, Diagne N, *et al.*: High annual and seasonal variations in malaria transmission by anophelines and vector species composition in Dielmo, a holoendemic area in Senegal. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 1997a; 56(3): 247–253.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Fontenille D, Lochouart L, Diatta M, *et al.*: Four years' entomological study of the transmission of seasonal malaria in Senegal and the bionomics of *Anopheles gambiae* and *A. arabiensis*. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 1997b; 91(6): 647–652.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Fryxell RT, Nieman CC, Fofana A, *et al.*: Differential *Plasmodium falciparum* infection of *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. molecular and chromosomal forms in Mali. *Malar J.* 2012; 11: 133.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Jaenson TG, Gomes MJ, Barreto dos Santos RC, *et al.*: Control of endophagic *Anopheles* mosquitoes and human malaria in Guinea Bissau, West Africa by permethrin-treated bed nets. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 1994; 88(6): 620–624.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kerah-Hinzoumbé C, Péka M, Antonio-Nkondjio C, *et al.*: Malaria vectors and transmission dynamics in Goulmoun, a rural city in south-western Chad. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2009; 9: 71.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Kibret S, Alemu Y, Boelee E, *et al.*: The impact of a small-scale irrigation scheme on malaria transmission in Ziway area, Central Ethiopia. *Trop Med Int Health.* 2010; 15(1): 41–50.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Lee Y, Marsden CD, Nieman C, *et al.*: A new multiplex SNP genotyping assay for detecting hybridization and introgression between the M and S molecular forms of *Anopheles gambiae*. *Mol Ecol Resour.* 2014a; 14(2): 297–305.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Lee Y, Marsden CD, Norris LC, *et al.*: Spatiotemporal dynamics of gene flow and hybrid fitness between the M and S forms of the malaria mosquito, *Anopheles gambiae*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2013; 110(49): 19854–19859.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Lee Y, Weakley AM, Nieman CC, *et al.*: A multi-detection assay for malaria transmitting mosquitoes. *JoVE.* 2014b; In press.
[Reference Source](#)
- Lindsay SW, Shenton FC, Snow RW, *et al.*: Responses of *Anopheles gambiae* complex mosquitoes to the use of untreated bednets in The Gambia. *Med Vet Entomol.* 1989; 3(3): 253–262.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Ndiath MO, Cohuet A, Gaye A, *et al.*: Comparative susceptibility to *Plasmodium falciparum* of the molecular forms M and S of *Anopheles gambiae* and *Anopheles arabiensis*. *Malar J.* 2011; 10: 269.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Ndiath MO, Sarr JB, Gaaye L, *et al.*: Low and seasonal malaria transmission in the middle Senegal River basin: Identification and characteristics of *Anopheles* vectors. *Parasit Vectors.* 2012; 5: 21.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Reddy MR, Overgaard HJ, Abaga S, *et al.*: Outdoor host seeking behaviour of *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes following initiation of malaria vector control on Bioko Island, Equatorial Guinea. *Malar J.* 2001; 10: 184.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Rodrigues A, Schellenberg JA, Kofoid PE, *et al.*: Changing pattern of malaria in Bissau, Guinea Bissau. *Trop Med Int Health.* 2008; 13(3): 410–417.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Sanford M, Cornel AJ, Nieman CC *et al.*: **ELISA results identifying *Plasmodium falciparum* infection status in *Anopheles* spp. collected in Guinea-Bissau.** *figshare*. 2014.

[Data Source](#)

Santolamazza F, Mancini E, Simard F, *et al.*: **Insertion polymorphisms of SINE200 retrotransposons within speciation islands of *Anopheles gambiae* molecular forms.** *Malar J*. 2008; 7: 163.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Scott JA, Brogdon WG, Collins FH: **Identification of single specimens of the *Anopheles gambiae* complex by the polymerase chain reaction.** *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 1993; 49(4): 520–529.

[PubMed Abstract](#)

Sharp BL, Ridl FC, Govender D, *et al.*: **Malaria vector control by indoor residual insecticide spraying on the tropical island of Bioko, Equatorial Guinea.** *Malar J*. 2007; 6: 52.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Snounou G, Pinheiro L, Goncalves A, *et al.*: **The importance of sensitive detection of malaria parasites in the human and insect hosts in epidemiological studies, as shown by the analysis of field samples from Guinea Bissau.** *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 1993; 87(6): 649–653.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Snow RW, Rowan KM, Lindsay SW, *et al.*: **A trial of bed nets (mosquito nets) as a malaria control strategy in a rural area of The Gambia, West Africa.** *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 1988; 82(2): 212–215.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

SPSS I: **SPSS Graduate Pack 16.0 for Windows**, pp., Chicago, IL. 2007.

Tchouassi DP, Quakyi IA, Addison EA, *et al.*: **Characterization of malaria transmission by vector populations for improved interventions during the dry season in the Kpone-on-Sea area of coastal Ghana.** *Parasit Vectors*. 2012; 5: 212.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Thomson MC, D'Alessandro U, Bennett S, *et al.*: **Malaria prevalence is inversely related to vector density in The Gambia, West Africa.** *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 1994; 88(6): 638–643.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Tuno N, Kjaerandsen J, Badu K, *et al.*: **Blood-feeding behavior of *Anopheles gambiae* and *Anopheles melas* in Ghana, western Africa.** *J Med Entomol*. 2010; 47(1): 28–31.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Ursing J, Rombo L, Rodrigues A, *et al.*: **Malaria transmission in Bissau, Guinea-Bissau between 1995 and 2012: malaria resurgence did not negatively affect mortality.** *PLoS One*. 2014; 9(7): e101167.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

WHO: **Guinea-Bissau Factsheets of Health Statistics 2010**, pp. World Health Organization. 2010.

[Reference Source](#)

Wirtz RA, Zavala F, Charoenvit Y, *et al.*: **Comparative testing of monoclonal antibodies against *Plasmodium falciparum* sporozoites for ELISA development.** *Bull World Health Organ*. 1987; 65(1): 39–45.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 23 October 2014

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.5855.r6412>

© 2014 Favia G. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Guido Favia

School of Bioscience and Veterinary Medicine, University of Camerino, Camerino, Italy

This “observation article” is very well written in a format that is accessible to both general and specialist audience. It describes some novel observations about malaria infection rates in different vector species in Guinea-Bissau. In particular it reports the some how unexpected high infection rates in *Anopheles melas* and *A. pharoensis*, thus suggesting *Plasmodium falciparum*-malaria transmission potential outside of protection (i.e. bed nets).

Details about the circumstances of the finding and evidence of the observation are properly provided. The manuscript appropriately cites relevant bibliography in the field. Figures and tables are informative and helpful. Methods section is well organized and nicely descriptive.

As observational article it looks perfectly adequate to the journal purpose.

I have only a very minor concern: in the Introduction (line 8) *Plasmodium malaria* should be re-written as *Plasmodium malariae*.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reader Comment 31 Oct 2014

Yoosook Lee, UC Davis, USA

Thank you very much for your review. Revisions to the manuscript were made as suggested.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 22 October 2014

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.5855.r6413>

© 2014 Charlwood J. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Jacques Derek Charlwood

London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK

This paper provides information on sporozoite rates from a relatively unstudied area the mainland and islands of Guinea Bissau. It gives the impression that it is a spin off from another study that perhaps aimed at characterizing the genetics of populations of *Anopheles gambiae* from the mainland and the islands, perhaps for future genetic control efforts. Given the widespread use of mosquito bednets rates are exceptionally high and not, apparently different from rates recorded earlier. The authors do make the comment that this might be the result of civil strife in Guinea-Bissau but whatever the cause this is disquieting and implies that the gains in reduction of malaria are going to be at best temporary.

The data are presented without confidence intervals but these should be added. Given the relatively small numbers involved either adjusted Wald confidence intervals (that can easily be calculated using the site www.measuringu.com/wald.htm or a routine in R) can, I think, be used. (But since I am signing this review everyone should know that my statistical abilities are limited!

The kind of collection undertaken needs to be explained in more detail. Were the mosquitoes collected resting or were they landing collections? I do not really want to be the person raising this issue but something on ethics should be included somewhere. (My own thoughts on ethics in general is that if the rule of 'first do no harm and second maybe do some good' is followed then a study – that may include even *ad hoc* landing collections – is not unethical.) This is especially important if the collections were landing collections.

To avoid possible misunderstanding, the sentence 'Four species were collected during sampling; *A. coluzzii*, *A. gambiae*, *A. melas*, *A. pharoensis* and *A. coluzzi* x *A. gambiae* hybrids were observed' should be rewritten (since it could be misinterpreted) perhaps as two sentences: 'Four species, *A. coluzzii*, *A. gambiae*, *A. melas*, *A. pharoensis* were collected during sampling. A number of *A. coluzzi* x *A. gambiae* hybrids were also collected'

There are a number of small errors in the paper that need to be rectified. For example in the last paragraph they state '33% of the 4 *Anopheles pharoensis* collected indoors when they either mean 33% of the six *Anopheles pharoensis* collected or 50% of the four collected indoors. With regard to this species it may be worth pointing out that in Mozambique none of the 4390 tested were positive for sporozoites (Charlwood *et al.*, 2013) but at the same time in Ghana, (Dzodzomenyo *et al.*, 1999) found that two of three specimens of *An. pharoensis* examined were infected (with Bancroftian filariasis) and one of these was infectious. Given the possibility of false positives among primarily zoophilic anophelines (that may also include *An. melas*) and given that the authors have access to a sophisticated laboratory it is a shame that they did not run a PCR on the

sporozoite positive specimens to ensure that they were indeed human malarias.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reader Comment 31 Oct 2014

Yoosook Lee, UC Davis, USA

Thank you very much for your review. Revisions to the manuscript will be made as suggested.

With respect to your comment about CI, the data presented in the table are not replicated but a simple calculation of the percent of the total collection that were infected. We did not replicate within time or locations. Therefore there is no variation to report in the table.

About the concern related to outdoor mosquito collection, our only outdoor collection is from Ponta Anabaca where the hotel was located where we stayed. While we were processing our sample collections in the early evening at the hotel, we (Drs. Cornel, Lee and Lanzaro) were harassed by mosquitoes, which we identified as Anophelines by morphology. Although this was unplanned *ad hoc* landing collections, we were all taking anti-malaria prophylaxis at the time.

About the concern you raised about false positive of ELISA, this is a possibility for any test of parasite detection. We specifically chose to use the *P. falciparum* circumsporozoite protein sensitive ELISA test on the parts of the mosquito most likely to contain the infective stages (head and thorax) to minimize false positives in the results. Unfortunately the ELISA test was destructive and these specific parts of the mosquito have long been discarded. The DNA from the remainder of each mosquito has been archived but this contains the abdomen which presents another potential source of false positives as the gut contents may contain material that may never progress to rendering the mosquito infective.

Another confounding factor associated with using this type of testing is that it needs to be conducted more rapidly than the DNA extraction from the rest of the mosquito and the ELISA tests were often performed before the mosquito identification via PCR could be conducted. Such that an unusual result such as the one observed here would not have been detected until the ELISA samples had been discarded. It would definitely be beneficial to keep this in mind for future work in this area.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Comments on this article

Version 1

Author Response 16 Oct 2014

Yoosook Lee, UC Davis, USA

The infection rate is conservative (using 99% CI for calling uninfected samples). The infection rate estimates are higher with 95% CI.

Competing Interests: I am an author of this paper.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research