Check for updates

CORRESPONDENCE

Hot topics at the intersection of aging and energetics: Diabetes/insulin resistance, Sirtuins, and the Microbiome [version 1; peer review: 3 approved]

Dudley W. Lamming

Division of Endocrinology, Department of Medicine, University of Wisconsin-Madison and William S. Middleton Memorial Veterans Hospital, Madison, WI, USA

V1 First published: 28 Oct 2014, 3:257
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.5625.1>

Latest published: 28 Oct 2014, 3:257
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.5625.1>

Abstract

A recent review in *F1000Research* identified the “top research priorities identified in leading publications” at the interface of Aging and Energetics. The authors identified the ten most-cited papers in each of the years 2010 through 2013, and used these forty papers to identify thematic categories. However, the search methodology used by the authors omitted many high-impact aging manuscripts. Minor modifications in the authors’ search methodology finds that Diabetes/insulin resistance, Sirtuins, and the Microbiome are also top thematic categories.

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3
version 1
28 Oct 2014	view	view	view
1. David Lombard , University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA			
2. Holly M. Brown-Borg , University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, USA			
3. Monica Driscoll , Rutgers University, Piscataway, USA			
Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.			

Corresponding author: Dudley W. Lamming (dlamming@medicine.wisc.edu)

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2014 Lamming DW. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

How to cite this article: Lamming DW. **Hot topics at the intersection of aging and energetics: Diabetes/insulin resistance, Sirtuins, and the Microbiome [version 1; peer review: 3 approved]** F1000Research 2014, 3:257
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.5625.1>

First published: 28 Oct 2014, 3:257 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.5625.1>

Correspondence

My laboratory members and I were excited to read a recent review in *F1000Research*¹, entitled “Aging and energetics” “Top 40” future research opportunities 2010–2013”. The review discusses research opportunities in 10 fields at the intersection of aging and metabolism. The authors identified ten research categories through a Scopus search for the ten most-cited papers in each of the years 2010 through 2013, for a total of 40 papers. However, upon examining the list of these papers, I was surprised to notice that a significant number of these articles were reviews, and that I recognized very few of the papers. I was also struck by the relatively low number of citations each paper had gathered, as well as by the almost complete absence of high-impact journals such as *Cell*, *Nature*, *Science* and *PNAS* from the search results.

Helpfully, the authors included their Scopus search terms in the methods section of their review, and I was easily able to replicate the results of their search. Upon consideration of the search terminology, I realized the authors had limited their results to papers in which “aging”, “lifespan” and other key search terms relating to aging and metabolism appeared in the title. I repeated their search with one important difference: I searched for keywords and did not limit my search to the title field (see Methods). My list of the 10 most cited articles from each year (2010–2013) at the intersection of aging and metabolism/energetics is given in [Appendix A](#).

The list I generated is almost entirely different from the authors’ results, including only 3 of the 40 papers identified by Allison and colleagues. My search identified many more high impact papers (based on citation count) and a greater proportion, 18 out of 41 papers, were published by the high impact journals *Cell*, *Nature*, *Science* and *PNAS*. Whereas Allison *et al.* identified Morselli *et al.*² as the original research manuscript in 2010 with the most citations (99), my methodology identified 8 papers from 2010 with a higher number of citations, the highest of which, Ng *et al.*³, had 298 citations.

I performed a rapid scoring of my resulting list against the thematic categories identified by Allison and colleagues. Interestingly, “nutrient effects beyond energy” rose to the top of this list, due in part to my inclusion of metformin-related papers in this category. A new thematic area, Diabetes/insulin resistance, was tied for second place with Mitochondria, reactive oxygen species, and cellular energetics. This was followed by another new thematic area, “Sirtuins”, tied with “Calorie restriction”. The Microbiome was also an important new thematic area. Three categories identified by Allison *et al.*, “use and effects of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs)”, “accretion and effects of body fat”, and “the aging heart”, were entirely absent from my newly generated list.

In conclusion, a minor change in search methodology resulted in the retrieval of a very different list of papers than that identified

by Alison and colleagues. “Calorie restriction”, “nutrient effects beyond energy”, “mTOR”, and “autophagy” are still clearly important areas for aging research. However, Diabetes/insulin resistance, Microbiome, and Sirtuins should be included in this list. The narrow search strategy employed by Allison *et al.* missed these important thematic areas.

Methods

I repeated the Scopus search the authors¹ had performed, substituting the use of the “KEY” (keyword) field in place of the “TITLE” (title) field. The search performed was:

```
(( KEY (( aging OR ageing OR lifespan OR longevity
OR senescence )) AND PUBYEAR > 2009 AND PUB-
YEAR < 2014 ) AND ( KEY ( ( calori* OR diet* OR ener-
getic* OR nutri* OR food OR fat OR adipo* OR “body
composition” ) ) AND PUBYEAR > 2009 AND PUBYEAR
< 2014 ) )
```

For each search year (2010–2013), I then excluded review articles and removed self-citations using the Scopus tools, and then manually removed additional review articles and articles that did not involve biological research in the field of aging (i.e., consensus treatment guidelines, articles on children in developing countries, and material science manuscripts). Eleven manuscripts were included in 2012 due to a 10th place tie in citation count.

Thematic areas were annotated by inspection of the title and abstract only. Themes were taken from the list generated by Allison *et al.*, and the following areas were added after inspection of the titles only: Diabetes/insulin resistance, Microbiome, and Sirtuins. Proteostasis was added to the Autophagy category, and Metformin was added to the “Nutrient effects beyond energy” category during the scoring process.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank D. Cohen for critical reading of this correspondence. I would like to thank all the members of the Lamming lab, especially S.I. Arriola Apelo. This work was supported using facilities and resources from the William S. Middleton Memorial Veterans Hospital. This work does not represent the views of the Department of Veterans Affairs or the United States Government.

Supplementary materials

Appendix A: Top ten most cited papers on aging and energetics using keyword field for each year between 2010 and 2013.

An alternative top 40 list to that generated by Allison *et al.*⁴ using the KEY field in Scopus instead of the TITLE field.

[Click here to access the data.](#)

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.5625.s37562>

References

1. Allison DB, Antoine LH, Ballinger SW, Bamman MM, Biga P, Darley-USmar VM, *et al.*: **Aging and energetics' 'Top 40' future research opportunities 2010–2013 [v1; ref status: indexed, <http://f1000r.es/4ae>].** *F1000Res.* 2014; **3**: 219.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
2. Morselli E, Maiuri MC, Markaki M, Megalou E, Pasparaki A, Palikaras K, *et al.*: **Caloric restriction and resveratrol promote longevity through the Sirtuin-1-dependent induction of autophagy.** *Cell Death Dis.* 2010; **1**: e10.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
3. Ng SF, Lin RC, Laybutt DR, Barres R, Owens JA, Morris MJ: **Chronic high-fat diet in fathers programs β -cell dysfunction in female rat offspring.** *Nature.* 2010; **467**(7318): 963–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Allison DB, Antoine LH, Ballinger SW, Bamman MM, Biga P, Darley-USmar VM, *et al.*: **Appendix A in: Aging and energetics' 'Top 40' future research opportunities 2010–2013 [v1; ref status: indexed, <http://f1000r.es/4ae>].** *F1000Res.* 2014; **3**: 219.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 25 November 2014

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.6008.r6807>

© 2014 Driscoll M. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Monica Driscoll

Department of Molecular Biology and Biochemistry, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ, USA

Lamming comments on, and presents an alternative approach to, identification of “hot topics” in aging research previously published by [Allison *et al.* \(2014\)](#). In the original paper, Allison *et al.* screened title to identify the 10 most cited papers from 2010 to 2013 and to suggest promising future research opportunities. Lamming was concerned about including review articles in the search, and sensed a lack of concentration of papers listed in the highest impact factor journal. By broadening the search to include title as well as key words, and excluding review articles, Lamming generated a markedly different list of top cited papers. Interestingly, distinct thematic areas (which make intuitive sense as hot topics) were identified, including diabetes/insulin resistance, sirtuins, and microbiome. Bottom line: changing search approach somewhat modestly had a major impact on list outcome.

The correspondence is interesting and the search was validly conducted. The paper makes the important point well familiar to geneticists—design of the screen has a major impact on outcome. Both search strategies have merit, and the reader who prefers not to search for her/himself now has two chances to have her/his papers or research area cited.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 24 November 2014

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.6008.r6563>

© 2014 Brown-Borg H. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Holly M. Brown-Borg

Department of Pharmacology, Physiology and Therapeutics, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND, USA

The observations noted in this manuscript partially reflect the subjectiveness of this type of analysis. The results of both manuscripts describe key publications in the field that are skewed unintentionally by search terms.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 05 November 2014

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.6008.r6566>

© 2014 Lombard D. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

David Lombard

Department of Molecular and Cellular Pathology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

This piece by Dr. Lamming is informative and concise. The search methodology and results are well described. It should be of interest to researchers in biogerontology.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research