Check for updates

RESEARCH ARTICLE

REVISED UV-independent induction of beta defensin 3 in neonatal human skin explants [version 2; peer review: 2 approved, 1 approved with reservations]

Erin Wolf Horrell¹, John D'Orazio²

¹The Markey Cancer Center and the Department of Physiology, University of Kentucky College of Medicine, Lexington, KY 40536, USA

²The Markey Cancer Center and the Department of Pediatrics, University of Kentucky College of Medicine, Lexington, KY 40536, USA

V2 First published: 21 Nov 2014, 3:288
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.5794.1>

Latest published: 19 Feb 2015, 3:288
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.5794.2>

Abstract

In order to determine the effect of UV radiation on β -defensin 3 (BD3) expression in human skin, freshly-isolated UV-naïve skin was obtained from newborn male infants undergoing planned circumcision. Skin explants sustained ex vivo dermis side down on RPMI media were exposed to 0.5 kJ/m² UVB, and biopsies were taken from the explant through 72 hours after radiation. mRNA expression was measured by qRT-PCR and normalized to TATA-binding protein. BD3 expression at each time point was compared with an untreated control taken at time 0 within each skin sample. Extensive variability in both the timing and magnitude of BD3 induction across individuals was noted and was not predicted by skin pigment phenotype, suggesting that BD3 induction was not influenced by epidermal melanization. However, a mock-irradiated time course demonstrated UV-independent BD3 mRNA increases across multiple donors which was not further augmented by treatment with UV radiation, suggesting that factors other than UV damage promoted increased BD3 expression in the skin explants. We conclude that BD3 expression is induced in a UV-independent manner in human skin explants processed and maintained in standard culture conditions, and that neonatal skin explants are an inappropriate model with which to study the effects of UV on BD3 induction in whole human skin.

Keywords

beta-defensin , UV radiation , qRT-PCR , mRNA

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3
version 2			
(revision)			
19 Feb 2015			
version 1			
21 Nov 2014	view	view	view

1. **Zalfa Abdel-Malek**, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, USA
2. **Lidia Kos**, Florida International University, Miami, USA
3. **Pamela Cassidy**, Oregon Health Sciences University, Portland, USA

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: John D'Orazio (jdorazio@uky.edu)

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was funded by the National Cancer Institute (R01 CA131075) awarded to J.D., as well as T32CA165990 which supported E.M.W.H.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2015 Wolf Horrell E and D'Orazio J. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

How to cite this article: Wolf Horrell E and D'Orazio J. **UV-independent induction of beta defensin 3 in neonatal human skin explants [version 2; peer review: 2 approved, 1 approved with reservations]** F1000Research 2015, 3:288 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.5794.2>

First published: 21 Nov 2014, 3:288 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.5794.1>

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

In this revised version, we have included rtPCR data measuring TNF α in the skin biopsies that indicate UV-independent TNF α expression, consistent with the hypothesis that wounding responses may be relevant to these observations. TNF α expression correlated with beta defensin 3 levels. We also include histology sections that suggest that skin explants remain viable in culture conditions through 24h.

See referee reports

Introduction

The melanocortin 1 receptor (MC1R) is a G γ -protein-coupled receptor expressed on melanocytes that regulates several key aspects of cutaneous UV responses. When bound by agonistic ligands, most notably α -melanocyte stimulating hormone (α MSH)¹, MC1R initiates a cascade of UV-protective events mediated by activation of adenylyl cyclase and generation of cAMP that result in melanin production and enhanced genome stability via enhancement of DNA repair². In addition to α MSH, MC1R signaling is regulated by other soluble ligands, most notably agouti signaling protein (ASIP) which antagonizes MC1R signaling, decreases cAMP levels, and diminishes downstream melanocyte responses such as pigment induction^{3,4}. Recently, it has become clear that β -defensin 3 (BD3), known for its role in innate antimicrobial immunity, binds and influences MC1R signaling as a neutral MC1R agonist that blunts α MSH-mediated MC1R activation as well as ASIP-mediated MC1R antagonism⁵⁻⁸. Thus, BD3 may be an important regulator of MC1R-dependent melanocyte responses in the skin.

Because UV radiation is a major causative agent for melanoma and other skin cancers and because MC1R signaling mediates critical UV-protective responses such as melanization of the skin and melanocytic resistance to UV mutagenesis, it is important to understand how UV affects expression of MC1R ligands in the skin. α MSH levels increase in response to UV exposure of the skin. Cui and coworkers reported that UV promoted transcriptional increases in pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC), the protein precursor for α MSH, in a cell damage and p53-dependent manner in epidermal keratinocytes⁹, supporting the hypothesis that melanocytic MC1R responses are modified by intracutaneous UV-regulated mechanisms. Similarly, recent studies reported that UVB radiation caused an increase BD3 mRNA and protein levels both *in vivo* and *in vitro*¹⁰, either in a cell-autonomous, damage-dependent manner or in response to inflammatory mediators such as interleukin-1 (IL-1 β) and tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF α) known to promote its induction^{11,12}. In an effort to understand the mechanisms of how BD3 production may be influenced by UV radiation, we determined its expression in freshly isolated human skin explants. Here we report that BD3 expression increases in a UV-independent manner in neonatal human skin explants in response to processing and culturing of tissues *ex vivo*.

Methods

Neonatal foreskin explants. Freshly-isolated, de-identified neonatal foreskins were collected from normal newborn infants undergoing

planned circumcision from the University of Kentucky Birthing Center under an IRB-exempted protocol. Foreskins were collected only from patients who were consented prior to delivery. Samples were placed into 30 ml of Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) media (Life Technologies) and stored at room temperature for a maximum of four hours before processing. Samples were rinsed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) + 1% penicillin streptomycin (Life Technologies), and dermal fat was manually removed by forceps to the point that explants would lie completely flat. Explants were placed in 3 cm cell culture dishes and floated dermal side down on 3 mL of RPMI media with 10% fetal bovine serum for 16–18 hours at 4°C until use. Prior to UV treatment, explants were divided into roughly equal-sized pieces. Following UV treatment, explants were maintained in 3 mL of RPMI + 10% fetal bovine serum + 1% penicillin streptomycin in a humidified incubator at 37°C with 5% CO $_2$. The media was changed every 48 hours.

Skin color measurement. Skin reflective colorimetry was assessed with a CR-400 Colorimeter (Minolta Corporation, Japan) calibrated against a white background. Degree of melanization (darkness) was quantified as the colorimetric measurement on the *L axis (white-black axis) of the CIE standard color axis¹³. The degree of pigmentation was determined by three independent measurements for each sample.

UV exposure. Skin explants were exposed (epidermal side up) to an overhead double bank of UVB lamps (UV Products, Upland, CA) to receive 0.5 kJ/m ^{2} UVB, a dose similar to that reported previously with respect to cutaneous BD3 induction *in vivo*^{10,14}. UV emittance was measured with a Model IL1400A handheld flash measurement photometer (International Light, Newburyport, MA) equipped with separate UVB (measuring wavelengths from 265–332 nm; peak response at 290 nm) and UVA (measuring wavelengths from 315–390 nm; peak response at 355 nm) filters corresponding to International Light product numbers TD# 26532 and TD# 27108 respectively. Spectral output of the lamps was determined to be roughly 75% UV-B and 25% UV-A.

Hematoxylin and eosin tissue staining. Four neonatal skin explants were divided into two biopsies. One biopsy was untreated and harvested at time 0. The other was exposed to 0.5 kJ/m ^{2} UVB radiation and harvested at 24 hours. The biopsies were placed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 48 hours to fix the sample and subsequently placed in 70% ethanol. Samples were processed and stained for hematoxylin and eosin by the University of Kentucky Markey Biospecimen and Tissue Procurement Shared Resource Facility.

mRNA isolation. Total RNA was harvested from skin using TRIzol (Invitrogen). 25 mg of sample were placed in 500 μ l of TRIzol and ground to a fine consistency using a dounce homogenizer. Homogenized sample was incubated for five minutes at room temperature. 100 μ l of chloroform were added to each sample, and each sample was shaken vigorously for 15 seconds. Samples were incubated for 2–3 minutes at room temperature. Samples were centrifuged at 12,000 $\times$ g for 15 minutes at 4°C. RNA was isolated in the aqueous phase. RNA was precipitated with 250 μ l of isopropanol. Sample was incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes and then centrifuged at 12,000 $\times$ g for 10 minutes at 4°C. Supernatant

was removed. The RNA pellet was washed with 500 μ L of ethanol and centrifuged at $7,500 \times g$ for 5 minutes at 4°C . Supernatant was removed and the RNA pellet was dissolved in RNase free water. cDNA was reverse transcribed in a Mastercycler epgradient thermocycler (Eppendorf International) utilizing random hexamers and M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega).

qPCR. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis was performed using an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real Time PCR System (Life Technologies) (10 ng cDNA/reaction) utilizing TATA-binding protein (TBP) as a reference gene. Primer sets for TBP were 5'-CAGCGTGACTGTGAGTTGCT (left) and 5'-TGGTTCATGGGAAAAACAT (right), for BD3 were 5'-TAGGGAGCTCTGCCTTACCA (left) and 5'-CACGCTGAGACTGGATGAAA (right), for TNF α were 5'-TCCTTCAGACACCCTCAACC (left) and 5'-AGGCCCCAGTTTGAATTCTT (right), and for tyrosinase were 5'-TACGGCGTAATCCTGGAAAC (left) and 5'-ATTGTGCATGCTGCTTTGAG (right) (Integrated DNA Technologies).

Statistics and data analysis. Correlation and linear regression analysis were performed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, CA). Data were considered statistically significant if p values were less than 0.05 from multiple independent experiments.

Results

To understand the effects of UV radiation on BD3 expression in human skin, freshly-isolated foreskins were exposed to 0.5 kJ/m^2 UVB. Fourteen de-identified samples were obtained from normal healthy male infants undergoing elective circumcision before discharge from the neonatal nursery. Skin pigmentation was measured for each sample three independent times by reflective colorimetry in order to estimate melanin content of the epidermis. The skin samples exhibited a range of melanization as determined by the *L score which quantifies color on a black-white color axis (a lower

*L score is indicative of a blacker/darker color and correlates with epidermal eumelanin content¹⁵). The majority of the samples were derived from light-skinned infants, however at least 3 samples were darker in color (Figure 1). Skin explants were exposed to 0.5 kJ/m^2 UVB, and biopsies were taken from the explants at 6, 12, 24, 48, and 72 hours following UV exposure. BD3 mRNA expression was measured by qRT-PCR at 6, 12, 24, 48 and 72 hours after radiation, normalized to TBP, and compared to an unirradiated control taken at time 0. Due to the small size of the skin explants (roughly 1 cm^2), it was not possible to have a time-matched mock-irradiated control at each time point, therefore values were normalized to unirradiated controls from each skin sample. We noted extensive variability in both the timing and magnitude of BD3 induction across individuals (Figure 2A). Normalized BD3 fold induction ranged from 1.3-fold to 44.8-fold, and peak induction ranged from 6–72 hours depending on the sample (Figure 2B). We tested whether the amount of BD3 expression correlated with skin pigmentation, hypothesizing that more melanin in the skin might inhibit UV penetration into the skin and therefore blunt UV effects on BD3 expression. In fact, BD3 expression did not appear to be influenced by pigment phenotype, as manifested by a positive trend between higher BD3 expression and darker skin samples (Figure 3A; $r^2 = 0.057$, $p = 0.41$). Similarly, a negative trend between skin color and time of peak BD3 expression was observed, although this too did not reach statistical significance (Figure 3B; $r^2 = 0.234$, $p = 0.08$).

We then considered the possibility that BD3 expression might be affected simply by time in culture and measured BD3 expression over time in samples exposed to 0 or 0.5 kJ/m^2 UVB exposure. Each of five explants were divided into three sections and sampled either at time 0 (no UV) or at 24 hours following exposure to either 0 or 0.5 kJ/m^2 UVB. Similar to prior experiments, BD3 expression was measured by qRT-PCR and normalized to TBP, however values could also be compared with mock-irradiated, time-matched conditions.

Figure 1. Degree of skin pigmentation from each donor. Skin color determination is shown for each sample. *L Score is measured by reflective colorimetry and represents color of the skin on a black-white axis. Lower *L score is indicative of a more darkly pigmented phenotype. Data represent the average *L score $\pm$ SEM for three measurements per skin sample.

Figure 2. BD3 mRNA induction varies between individuals. A) Fourteen independent human skin explants (Samples A–N) were treated *ex vivo* with 0.5 kJ/m² UVB radiation. BD3 mRNA expression was determined at 6, 12, 24, 48, and 72 hours following UV treatment and compared to untreated matched controls. **B)** Time of maximal BD3 expression after UV radiation across samples. Peak BD3 mRNA expression for human skin explants (n=14) is arranged by time of maximal induction for each individual donor. qRT-PCR was performed in duplicate for each sample, and results are expressed as mean fold change over control ± SEM.

Figure 3. Relationship between donor skin color and BD3 expression. **A)** *L score versus peak BD3 mRNA induction. qRT-PCR was performed in duplicate for each sample; data represent mean BD3 induction for 14 human skin explants. There was no correlation between donor *L score and amplitude of BD3 induction ($r^2 = 0.057$, $p = 0.41$). **B)** *L score versus time of peak BD3 mRNA induction. qRT-PCR was performed in duplicate for each sample, and data represent mean BD3 induction for 14 human skin explants. Although a weak negative trend existed between donor *L score and time of BD3 induction, the correlation was not statistically significant ($r^2 = 0.234$, $p = 0.08$).

We observed clear induction of BD3 expression in each of the mock-irradiated samples over time (Figure 4A), and exposure to 0.5 kJ/m² UVB did not substantially alter BD3 mRNA expression when compared to individual mock-irradiated time-matched controls. We assessed whether the processing of the samples led to sample degradation via immunohistochemistry. Staining revealed that after 24 hours of *ex vivo* treatment, the samples appeared similar to those at time 0 and suggested their viability (Figure 4B). These data suggest that either tissue removal or the process of culturing skin explants *ex vivo* in our culture conditions is sufficient to enhance BD3 expression in whole human neonatal skin and that the addition of 0.5 kJ/m² UVB does not impact BD3 expression in this setting.

Because cytokines, particularly TNF α are known to regulate BD3 expression, we tested whether TNF α gene expression was induced in the human neonatal skin samples following UV radiation. TNF α mRNA levels were assessed via qRT-PCR at 6, 12, 24, 48, and 72 hours following UVB radiation, normalized to TBP, and compared to unirradiated controls. TNF α mRNA levels increased with time after UV in the majority of samples tested (Figure 5A). Normalized TNF α mRNA induction ranged from 0–14.3 fold across samples. TNF α and BD3 induction weakly correlated over time (Figure 5B, $r^2 = 0.335$, $p < 0.0001$) suggesting a relationship between the two genes. UV-independent TNF α induction was then assessed in four additional samples. We observed that in three of four samples, TNF α expression increased in culture without UV (Figure 6), suggesting that tissue processing may increase TNF α levels independently from UV.

We then assessed whether *ex vivo* culture conditions used in these experiments affected other genes known to be regulated following UV radiation. Tyrosinase gene expression was measured in four human neonatal skin samples 24 hours after mock- or UV-irradiation.

UV increased levels of tyrosinase gene expression in two of the four samples (Figure 7), suggesting that these culture conditions may be appropriate for other genes if properly controlled.

Dataset 1. Update 1: Colorimetry measurements from each donor

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.5794.d43456>

Skin pigmentation was determined via reflective colorimetry and is represented by an *L score. The *L score was measured three times for each sample. The "A" column represents each donor. The "B", "C", and "D" columns represent the first, second, and third measured *L score respectively.

Dataset 2. Update 1: Cycle threshold values for qRT-PCR

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.5794.d43457>

Cycle threshold (C_T) values were calculated for BD3, TNF α , tyrosinase, and TBP (housekeeping genes) for each donor. C_T values were determined in duplicate for each sample. The "A" column represents the donor. The "B" column represents the sample treatment for the donor. The "C" column represents the target mRNA measured. The "D" column represents the C_T value determined for that sample.

Conclusions/discussions

In an effort to develop a model in which to study UV induction of cutaneous BD3, we measured its expression over time in UV-naïve human skin explants. Although there was a high degree of variability in the magnitude and kinetics of BD3 induction between samples harvested from different donors, we observed BD3 up-regulation in

A.

B.

Figure 4. UV-independent BD3 expression in human skin explants cultured *ex vivo*. **A)** UVB independent induction of BD3. Five human neonatal skin explants (Samples O–S) were treated *ex vivo* with 0.5 kJ/m² UVB radiation. BD3 mRNA expression of UV-treated samples and unirradiated time-matched controls were compared to unirradiated time-matched controls taken at time 0. qRT-PCR was performed in duplicate for each sample, and data represent the mean fold change over the untreated control taken at the time of UV treatment $\pm$ SEM. **B)** Histological analysis of neonatal skin samples at time 0 or 24 hours after UVB irradiation (0.5 kJ/m²; Samples T–W). Tissues were stained with hematoxylin and eosin to assess tissue degradation.

Figure 5. TNF α mRNA induction in human skin explants cultured *ex vivo*. **A)** TNF α expression over time among 14 distinct donors after UV radiation. Fourteen independent neonatal human skin explants (Samples A–N) were treated *ex vivo* with 0.5 kJ/m² UVB radiation. TNF α mRNA expression was determined at 6, 12, 24, 48, and 72 hours following UV treatment and compared to matched untreated controls. **B)** Correlation of BD3 and TNF α mRNA expression over time. BD3 and TNF α mRNA expression were compared among fourteen human skin explants (Samples A–N) at 0, 6, 12, 24, 48, and 72 hours. BD3 and TNF α mRNA expression correlated over time ($r^2 = 0.335$, $p < 0.0001$). qRT-PCR was performed in duplicate for each sample, and results are expressed as mean fold change over control $\pm$ SEM.

Figure 6. UV-independent TNF α expression in human skin explants cultured *ex vivo*. A) UVB independent induction of TNF α . Four neonatal human skin explants (Samples O–R) were treated *ex vivo* with 0.5 kJ/m² UVB radiation. TNF α mRNA expression for UV-treated biopsies and unirradiated time-matched controls were compared to unirradiated tissue-matched controls taken at time 0. qRT-PCR was performed in duplicate for each sample, and data represent the mean fold change over the untreated control taken at the time of UV treatment $\pm$ SEM.

each case. To control for the possibility that tissue processing and/or *ex vivo* culture conditions might impact BD3 expression in the explants, we compared BD3 mRNA levels between mock-irradiated versus UV-treated sections of skin samples harvested from the same donor. This experiment, which included samples from five donors, indicated that BD3 expression increased over time irrespective of UV exposure (at 0.5 kJ/m²), suggesting that BD3 expression is induced in human skin explants in a UV-independent manner.

BD3 expression has been reported to be up-regulated in wound healing processes¹⁶, therefore it might be plausible that its increase over time in skin explants may be related to normal wound physiologic processes activated by surgical excision of the skin and/or its processing after harvest. The small size of the skin samples isolated from neonatal circumcision (on average 1–1.5 cm²) implies that the majority of the tissue in the explant will be in close proximity to at least one cut surface, raising the possibility of local trauma-induced factors contributing to BD3 expression in the samples. TNF α is an inflammatory cytokine known to be upregulated

in the wound healing process, and TNF α mRNA was also induced in the skin samples independently from UV radiation. TNF α induction over time correlated with BD3 mRNA induction providing further support that BD3 induction in the skin explants may be related to normal wound healing processes.

Our data do not rule out the possibility that the wounding response following surgical excision and processing may be sufficiently robust as to prevent further induction by UV. Tyrosinase mRNA levels, however, were induced following UV radiation in 50% of the samples suggesting some genes regulated by UV can be induced in our *ex vivo* model. Alternatively, it is possible that one or more factors involved in sustaining the skins in culture (media, temperature, oxygen tension, pH, etc.) may have promoted BD3 expression in the explants. We do not as yet understand the mechanism(s) underlying variability of BD3 induction amplitude or kinetics observed between samples, however it is possible that wounding or inflammatory responses induced by tissue removal may vary between normal individuals.

Figure 7. Tyrosinase mRNA expression in human skin explants cultured *ex vivo*. Four neonatal human skin explants (Samples O–R) were treated *ex vivo* with 0.5 kJ/m² UVB radiation. Tyrosinase mRNA expression was determined for UV treated biopsies and unirradiated time-matched controls and compared to tissue-matched unirradiated controls taken at time 0. qRT-PCR was performed in duplicate for each sample, and data represent the mean fold change over the untreated control taken at the time of UV treatment $\pm$ SEM.

Previous studies have utilized adult human skin explants and reported an induction of BD3 mRNA following UV radiation in *ex vivo* culture conditions¹⁰. It is possible that neonatal skin explants behave differently than adult skin explants, accounting for the inconsistent results between the two studies. In general, neonatal immune responses are less mature than those of adults, perhaps contributing to these observations. In addition, prior UV exposures of adult-derived skin tissues may not be controlled as are skin explants from UV-naïve neonatal foreskins which may also impact results. We conclude that because of confounding variables involved in their generation and maintenance, neonatal foreskin explants processed via the conditions outlined above may not be an appropriate model to isolate the effects of UV on BD3 expression in the skin, however other models may still be appropriate.

Consent

De-identified neonatal foreskin samples were obtained from the University of Kentucky's Chandler Medical Center Newborn

Nursery without accompanying clinical information under an institutionally-reviewed IRB-exempted status.

Data availability

F1000Research: Dataset 1. Update 1: **Colorimetry measurements from each donor**, [10.5256/f1000research.5794.d43456](https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.5794.d43456)¹⁷

F1000Research: Dataset 2. Update 1: **Cycle threshold values for qRT-PCR**, [10.5256/f1000research.5794.d43457](https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.5794.d43457)¹⁸

Author contributions

EW and JD conceived the study and designed the experiments. EWH carried out the research and data analysis. JD and EWH wrote the manuscript. All authors agree to the final content.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

This work was funded by the National Cancer Institute (R01 CA131075) awarded to JD, as well as T32CA165990 which supported EWH.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the staff of the University of Kentucky Obstetrics and Pediatric clinical services for their help in obtaining freshly-isolated neonatal foreskin samples and alerting us to their collection in a timely manner. We also wish to thank the University of Kentucky Markey Biospecimen and Tissue Procurement Shared Resource Facility for their assistance with tissue processing and histology.

References

1. Suzuki I, Cone RD, Im S, *et al.*: **Binding of melanotropic hormones to the melanocortin receptor MC1R on human melanocytes stimulates proliferation and melanogenesis.** *Endocrinology*. 1996; **137**(5): 1627–33.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Kadekaro AL, Kavanagh R, Kanto H, *et al.*: **alpha-Melanocortin and endothelin-1 activate antiapoptotic pathways and reduce DNA damage in human melanocytes.** *Cancer Res*. 2005; **65**(10): 4292–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Millar SE, Miller MW, Stevens ME, *et al.*: **Expression and transgenic studies of the mouse agouti gene provide insight into the mechanisms by which mammalian coat color patterns are generated.** *Development*. 1995; **121**(10): 3223–32.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
4. Suzuki I, Tada A, Ollmann MM, *et al.*: **Agouti signaling protein inhibits melanogenesis and the response of human melanocytes to alpha-melanotropin.** *J Invest Dermatol*. 1997; **108**(6): 838–42.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
5. Candille SI, Kaelin CB, Cattanach BM, *et al.*: **A β -defensin mutation causes black coat color in domestic dogs.** *Science*. 2007; **318**(5855): 1418–23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
6. Kaelin CB, Candille SI, Yu B, *et al.*: **New ligands for melanocortin receptors.** *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2008; **32**(Suppl 7): S19–27.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
7. Beaumont KA, Smit DJ, Liu YY, *et al.*: **Melanocortin-1 receptor-mediated signalling pathways activated by NDP-MSH and HBD3 ligands.** *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res*. 2012; **25**(3): 370–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
8. Swope VB, Jameson JA, McFarland KL, *et al.*: **Defining MC1R regulation in human melanocytes by its agonist alpha-melanocortin and antagonists agouti signaling protein and beta-defensin 3.** *J Invest Dermatol*. 2012; **132**(9): 2255–62.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
9. Cui R, Widlund HR, Feige E, *et al.*: **Central role of p53 in the suntan response and pathologic hyperpigmentation.** *Cell*. 2007; **128**(5): 853–64.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
10. Glaser R, Navid F, Schuller W, *et al.*: **UV-B radiation induces the expression of antimicrobial peptides in human keratinocytes *in vitro* and *in vivo*.** *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2009; **123**(5): 1117–23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
11. Jia HP, Schutte BC, Schudy A, *et al.*: **Discovery of new human beta-defensins using a genomics-based approach.** *Gene*. 2001; **263**(1–2): 211–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
12. Harder J, Bartels J, Christophers E, *et al.*: **Isolation and characterization of human beta -defensin-3, a novel human inducible peptide antibiotic.** *J Biol Chem*. 2001; **276**(8): 5707–13.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
13. Wagner JK, Jovel C, Norton HL, *et al.*: **Comparing quantitative measures of erythema, pigmentation and skin response using reflectometry.** *Pigment Cell Res*. 2002; **15**(5): 379–84.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
14. Hong SP, Kim MJ, Jung MY, *et al.*: **Biopositive effects of low-dose UVB on epidermis: coordinate upregulation of antimicrobial peptides and permeability barrier reinforcement.** *J Invest Dermatol*. 2008; **128**(12): 2880–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
15. D'Orazio JA, Nobuhisa T, Cui R, *et al.*: **Topical drug rescue strategy and skin protection based on the role of *Mc1r* in UV-induced tanning.** *Nature*. 2006; **443**(7109): 340–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
16. Kesting MR, Stoeckelhuber M, Hölzle F, *et al.*: **Expression of antimicrobial peptides in cutaneous infections after skin surgery.** *Br J Dermatol*. 2010; **163**(1): 121–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
17. Wolf Horrell EM, D'Orazio JA: **Colorimetry measurements from each donor.** *F1000Research*. 2014.
[Data Source](#)
18. Wolf Horrell EM, D'Orazio JA: **Cycle threshold values for qRT-PCR.** *F1000Research*. 2014.
[Data Source](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 2

Reviewer Report 05 March 2015

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.6599.r7859>

© 2015 Abdel-Malek Z. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Zalfa Abdel-Malek

Cancer Institute, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA

I have read the revised article by Horrell and D'Orazio. They have responded appropriately to the concerns/questions raised by all 3 reviewers. Accordingly, I recommend indexing the submitted revised article.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 20 January 2015

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.6194.r7161>

© 2015 Cassidy P. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Pamela Cassidy

Department of Dermatology, Oregon Health Sciences University, Portland, OR, USA

The authors describe their studies of the effects of UV-irradiation on the expression of beta defensin on human neonatal foreskins treated and cultured *in vivo*. After finding variable responses between donors which did not correlate with skin phototype, they investigated the possibility that beta defensin expression was affected by culture conditions. They found that there

were no differences between mock- and UV-irradiated samples. We have seen similar changes in genes of interest in the mock-treated tissues using *ex vivo* skin cultures. This study is valuable in that it highlights the need to include proper controls in this system. I have several additional minor comments to make about the manuscript and data:

1. The protocol for mRNA isolation notes that tissue is ground in trizol and RNA is purified from the aqueous layer. There will not be two layers until chloroform is added and this should be included in the protocol.
2. Figure 1 claims to represent degree of skin pigmentation +/- SEM but there are no error bars.
3. The qPCR data shows Ct values as high as 32.9 for beta defensin, with almost all of the time=0 samples above 29. Many qPCR assays are not linear in this range. Did the authors do an examination of the performance of their primers in this range? Although these high Ct values make me a little skeptical about the absolute values of the fold change reported in figures 2 and 5, I am nevertheless persuaded that the differences between mock- and UV-treated samples is negligible as the authors conclude.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 12 Feb 2015

John D'Orazio, University of Kentucky College of Medicine, Lexington, USA

We sincerely thank you for your thorough reading and insightful comments. We have provided a point-by-point response to each of your concerns.

- Methods: The protocol for mRNA isolation via Trizol is not complete.
  - We have expanded our methods to include these details.
- General Concern: Figure 1 claims to represent degrees of skin pigmentation +/- SEM but there are no error bars.
  - We have expanded our methods to be more specific with how the measurements were determined. The degree of pigmentation for each skin sample was determined three times by colorimetry measurement. Neonatal skin samples were, as a rule, fairly uniform in their pigmentation. Their homogeneity between measurements therefore resulted in very small standard error of the mean values.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 23 December 2014

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.6194.r6760>

© 2014 Kos L. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Lidia Kos

Department of Biological Sciences, Florida International University, Miami, FL, USA

The authors attempt to establish a skin explant model to investigate the effect of UV exposure on the expression levels of b-defensin 3, an antimicrobial peptide that also binds to Melanocortin 1 receptor and attenuates downstream signaling. They find extensive variability in the expression levels of b-defensin 3 in the UV-induced samples and cannot demonstrate that is in fact up-regulated. They do show some minor increase in b-defensin 3 expression over time that is independent of UV irradiation and suggest that this may be due to a wound healing response to the skin excision process. The authors should have included in their analysis a few more genes known to be upregulated after UV exposure (for example, MC1R) both in keratinocytes and melanocytes. This way, they would have been able to define whether their culture system was improper to study b-defensin3 regulation specifically. In the mock irradiated experiment they should have also looked at levels of IL1 and TNFalpha to support their hypothesis that b-defensin 3 increases as a result of a wound healing response. In the paper by [Glaser et al \(2009\)](#) where b-defensin 3 was reported to be up-regulated after UV exposure, the authors used explants of adult skin. Is it possible that newborn and adult skin (perhaps based on the anatomy or level of cellular differentiation) respond differently to UV exposure that could explain the disparate results?

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 12 Feb 2015

John D'Orazio, University of Kentucky College of Medicine, Lexington, USA

We sincerely thank you for your thorough reading and insightful comments. We have provided a point-by-point response to each of your concerns.

- General comment: The authors should have included a few more genes known to upregulated after UV exposure in both keratinocytes and melanocytes.
  - We have included the induction of additional genes including tyrosinase in four human neonatal skin samples. We determined that tyrosinase gene expression was induced following UV radiation in half of the skin samples suggesting the model with our culture conditions may be appropriate to study other genes.
- General Comment: The authors should have looked at levels of IL1 and TNF alpha to support their hypothesis that BD3 increases as a result of wound healing.
  - We have included the gene expression data for TNF alpha following UV radiation and determined that its expression correlates with BD3 expression.

- General Comment: Is it possible that the neonatal skin explants behave differently than the adult skin explants?
  - Yes, this is a possibility, however as our study was limited to neonatal explants, we cannot directly address it with data. However, we thank the reviewer for this comment and have raised this caveat in our revised discussion.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 04 December 2014

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.6194.r6759>

© 2014 Abdel-Malek Z. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Zalfa Abdel-Malek

Cancer Institute, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA

The study by Horell and D'Orazio aimed at addressing the production of BD3, a MC1R antagonist, in neonatal human foreskins, using skin explants, and its possible regulation by UV irradiation. The authors did not find a correlation between the pigmentary status of the skin and BD3 production, or a consistent effect of UV radiation. However, they noted altered production of BD3 over time in the skin explants, which they attributed to a wound repair-like reaction, or to inadequate culture conditions for the skin explants. They concluded that the use of foreskins in organotypic culture is not an appropriate model to assess BD3 production.

It is not clear from the report exactly how the skin explants were maintained for the duration of the experiments. Were they maintained in a humidified incubator at 37°C? Was the culture medium changed daily? Obviously, the culture conditions can affect the viability of the explants. Did the authors check on the viability of the skin, especially at the end of the experiment (e.g. by examining the histology after H&E staining)? This is important, and the "health" of the skin can explain the erratic production of BD3. If the viability of the skin is compromised, this will have a generalized effect on its metabolic state.

Since IL-1 is known to stimulate BD3 expression, did the authors check the levels of IL-1, particularly after UV exposure?

If the change in BD3 production is due to a wound healing-like response, the authors might have to consider cutting the foreskins into equal parts at the beginning of the experiment, to avoid taking biopsies at different time points.

It could very well be that the experimental conditions are responsible for the unexpected results that were obtained. So the conclusion that using foreskin explants is not an appropriate model

might not be necessarily true.

I suggest that the authors submit this report as a "methodology" report, after investigating the concerns raised above.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 12 Feb 2015

John D'Orazio, University of Kentucky College of Medicine, Lexington, USA

We sincerely thank you for your thorough reading of our manuscript and insightful comments. We have provided a point-by-point response to each of your concerns.

- Methods: It is unclear as to how the skin explants were maintained.
  - We have expanded the methods to include these details.
- General Comment: Did the authors check the viability of skin samples?
  - We have included hematoxylin and eosin stained histological slides for the skin explants at 0 and 24 hours following culture conditions to assess the viability of the samples and have determined the samples were still viable. Furthermore induction of TNF alpha suggests viability of the tissues over the time course of the experiment.
- General Comment: Did the authors check the level of IL-1B following UV radiation?
  - We have determined the levels of TNF alpha which is also known to induce beta-defensin 3 expression. TNF induction appears to correlate with the beta-defensin 3 induction suggesting they are related.
- Methods: The authors may consider cutting the foreskins into equal parts at the beginning of the experiment to determine whether the change is due to a wound healing response.
  - The samples were divided into equal parts at the beginning of the experiment prior to UV radiation. We apologize for the confusion in the methods and have updated the methods to include this information.
- General Comment: The conclusion that the use of human neonatal foreskins may not be an appropriate model to study BD3 induction may not be true and the results may be due to the experimental conditions.
  - We thank the reviewer for this comment and have adjusted our discussion to accommodate it.
- General Comment: The authors should submit this report as a "methodology report."
  - Given the fact that our data raise important caveats about the use of neonatal human foreskins to study BD3 induction, we feel that our findings may be

better reported as a research article rather than as a methodology report.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research