Check for updates

RESEARCH NOTE

Epidemiology and patterns of musculoskeletal motorcycle injuries in the USA [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Sean T. Burns¹, Zbigniew Gugala¹ , Carlos J. Jimenez², William J. Mileski², Ronald W. Lindsey¹

¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Rehabilitation, The University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, TX 77555, USA

²Department of Surgery, The University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, TX 77555, USA

V1 First published: 12 May 2015, 4:114
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.4995.1>

Latest published: 12 May 2015, 4:114
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.4995.1>

Abstract

Introduction: Motorcycles have become an increasingly popular mode of transportation despite their association with a greater risk for injury compared with automobiles. Whereas the recent incidence of annual passenger vehicle fatalities in the United States of America (USA) has progressively declined, motorcycle fatalities have steadily increased in the past 11 years. Although motorcycle injuries (MIs) have been studied, to the author's knowledge there are no published reports on MIs in the USA during this 11-year period.

Methods: Study data were derived from a prospectively collected Level I trauma center database. Data sampling included motorcycle crash injury evaluations for the 10-year period ending on 31 August 2008. This retrospective analysis included patient demographic and medical data, helmet use, Glasgow coma scale (GCS) score, injury severity score (ISS), length of hospital stay (LOS), specific injury diagnosis, and death. Data statistics were analyzed using the Spearman correlation coefficient, Kruskal-Wallis tests, and logistic regression.

Results: The study identified 1252 motorcycle crash injuries. Helmets were worn by 40.7% of patients for which helmet data were available. The rates of the most common orthopedic injuries were tibia/fibula (19.01%), spine (16.21%), and forearm (10.14%) fractures. The most common non-orthopedic motorcycle crash injuries were concussions (21.09%), skull fractures (8.23%), face fractures (13.66%), and hemo- and pneumothorax (8.79%). There was a significant correlation between greater age and higher ISS ($r=0.21$, $P<0.0001$) and longer LOS ($r=0.22$, $P<0.0001$). Older patients were also less likely to wear a helmet (OR=0.99, 95% CI: 0.98, 0.997), associated with a significantly higher risk for death (after adjustment for helmet use OR=1.03, 95% CI: 1.00, 1.05). All patients without helmets had a significantly lower GCS score ($P=0.0001$) and a higher mortality rate (after adjustment for patient demographic data OR=2.28, 95% CI: 1.13, 4.58).

Conclusion: Compared with historical reports, the prevalence of skull,

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 1
12 May 2015	view	view

1. **Charles A. Reitman**, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, USA

2. **Arvind D. Nana**, University of North Texas Health Science Center, Fort Worth, USA

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

face, spine, and pelvis fractures have increased in American motorcycle crashes. Compared to recent European studies, the incidence of USA skull and face fractures is much higher, while the incidence of USA spine and pelvis fractures is more comparable; however, this is not associated with increased in-hospital mortality.

Keywords

musculoskeletal motorcycle injuries , epidemiology , fractures

Corresponding author: Zbigniew Gugala (zgugala@utmb.edu)

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2015 Burns ST *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#) , which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

How to cite this article: Burns ST, Gugala Z, Jimenez CJ *et al.* **Epidemiology and patterns of musculoskeletal motorcycle injuries in the USA [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2015, 4:114 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.4995.1>

First published: 12 May 2015, 4:114 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.4995.1>

Introduction

Injury secondary to motorcycle crashes is a major problem in the United States of America (USA). In 2007, the number of registered motorcycles in the USA totaled 7,138,476, of which 387,915 were registered in Texas^{19,21}. That same year, 103,000 Americans were injured in motorcycle crashes, with 5,154 of them killed. Texas ranked third in terms of fatalities behind Florida and California¹⁸.

In recent years, the annual incidence of automobile-related fatalities in the USA has progressively decreased, while the annual incidence of motorcycle crash fatalities has progressively increased¹⁹. However, despite the expanding use of motorcycles, there has been a paucity of orthopedic epidemiological studies related to motorcycle crash in recent years. Our institution has been the primary Level I trauma facility for Galveston County and the surrounding region. The area is one of the most popular motorcycle destinations in Texas, and Galveston is home to the state's largest motorcycle rally¹. The purpose of this study was to review a single-institution experience with MIs in regard to the epidemiology and patterns of both musculoskeletal and non-musculoskeletal injuries. In addition, this study will compare our modern USA motorcycle injury data with those of earlier international reviews^{2,27}.

Materials and methods

The study was conducted in compliance with the University of Texas Medical Branch policies and regulations regarding human subject research following study protocol review and approval by the Institutional Review Board (approval IRB #09-259). Study data were derived from a prospectively collected injury database at our Level I trauma center. The data sampling included 1,221 patients injured in motorcycle crashes for the 10-year period ending August 31, 2008. Each motorcycle trauma event was considered separately and evaluated independently; patients with more than one motorcycle trauma event evaluation during the study time period had each event considered as a separate incident.

This retrospective analysis included data on patient age, gender, race/ethnicity, documentation of helmet use, Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score at the time of presentation in the emergency department, injury severity score (ISS), length of hospital stay (LOS), specific injury diagnosis, and mortality.

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the sample characteristics (age, gender, race) and distribution of the outcome variables (GCS, ISS, LOS, type of injury, and death). Non-parametric methods (Spearman correlation coefficient and Kruskal-Wallis tests) were used to test the association between the general patient demographic data, helmet use, and the outcome variables ISS and LOS. Logistic regression analyses were used to test the association of the general patient demographic data and helmet use with patient GCS classification (mild vs. moderate/severe), vital status at discharge and type of injuries (with/without central nervous system injury, dislocation, fracture, and thorax injury). All tests were two-sided with alpha of 0.05 and were performed using SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Demographic and outcome data

The study identified 1,252 motorcycle trauma event evaluations performed at our institution within a 10 year period. Of these trauma event evaluations, 31 were for a patient's second or third motorcycle injury during the study period. These multiple-event evaluations involved 28 patients and constituted 59 of all 1,252 evaluations. Twenty-five patients were evaluated twice and three patients were evaluated three times. The interval between subsequent trauma event evaluations among these 28 patients ranged from 2 days to 8.5 years.

Males made up 83% of the motorcycle injury evaluations. The average patient age was 36.0 years (range 4–83 years). There was an 8:1:1 White:Black:Hispanic ratio (Table I). Helmet use could be established for 1093 (87.3%) of motorcycle event evaluations. Within that subset, helmets were worn in 445 cases (40.7%) and not worn in 648 cases (59.3%).

Table I. Patient Characteristics^a.

Descriptive	Mean (SD)	%
Age, years	36.0 (13.7)	
Sex		
Male		83
Female		17
Race		
White		78.7
Black		10.3
Hispanic		10.2
Other		0.8
Helmet		
Yes		35.5
No		51.8
Unknown		12.7
Outcomes		
GCS		
Minor		90.02
Moderate		1.04
Severe		8.31
Not available		0.64
ISS	9.4 (11.0)	
LOS, days	4.4 (8.9)	
Death		
Alive		95.6
Dead		4.4 ^a

^a All values are for number of evaluations (*N* = 1252).

The average GCS score at presentation for the 1,244 trauma event evaluations with available data was 13.9. The diagnosis was a minor brain injury (GCS 13–15) in 1127 (90.6%), a moderate brain injury (GCS 9–12) in 13 (1%), and a severe brain injury (GCS 3–8) in 104 (8.4%).

The average ISS for all evaluations was 9.4 (median 5, range 0–75). The mortality rate (at arrival and/or post-admission) was 4.4% (55 evaluations), with 40% (22) of those patients dead on arrival (DOA). Excluding the DOA cases, the mortality rate in all other

(in-hospital) evaluations fell to 2.7% (33/1230). The average LOS was 4.4 days (median 1 day, range 0–121 days).

Specific injury data

The incidence and specific types of motorcycle injuries are listed in Table II–Table V. The most common orthopedic motorcycle injuries were tibia/fibula, spine, and forearm fractures, which occurred in 238 (19%) evaluations, 203 (16.2%), and 127 (10.1%) respectively (Table II and Table III). The highest rates of non-orthopedic motorcycle injuries were for concussions (264 evaluations, 21.09%),

Table II. Fractures.

Bone	Fractures ^a	Open ^b	Percent of patients ^c	Percent of fractures that were open ^d
Skull	103	16	8.23	15.53
Face	171	3	13.66	1.75
Spine Cervical	60		4.79	
Thoracic	48		3.83	
Lumbar/Sacrum	95		7.59	
Ribs/Sternum	212		16.93	
Clavicle	110	3	8.79	2.73
Scapula	54		4.31	
Humerus	39	9	3.12	23.08
Radius/Ulna	127	26	10.14	20.47
Hand/Wrist	108	9	8.63	8.33
Acetabulum	34	1	2.72	2.94
Pelvis	76	5	6.07	6.58
Femur	99	19	7.91	19.19
Patella	10	4	0.80	40.00
Tibia/Fibula	238	83	19.01	34.87
Foot	108	16	8.63	14.81
Total	1692			

^a For patients with bilateral fractures only one side was counted (i.e., bilateral fractures were counted as one fracture not two).

^b Open fractures are included in the overall fractures column.

^c The percentages listed in this column are the percentages of patients with each fracture, as opposed to the percentages of fractures that are of a particular type (e.g., there was a skull fracture in 8.23% of evaluations, where skull fractures were 6.09% of all fractures).

^d The percentages listed in this column are the percentages of fractures that were open (e.g., 40% of patella fractures were open, but open patella fractures occurred in only 0.32% of evaluations).

Table III. Dislocations.

Joints	Closed dislocations	Open dislocations	Percent of patients ^a	Percent of fractures that were open ^b
Jaw	1	0	0.08	0.00
Shoulder	32	0	2.56	0.00
Elbow	4	1	0.32	25.00
Wrist	12	0	0.96	0.00
Finger	5	1	0.40	20.00
Hip	8	0	0.64	0.00
Knee	9	1	0.72	11.11
Ankle	9	4	0.72	44.44
Foot	3	1	0.24	33.33

^a Evaluations in which there were bilateral dislocations were counted as having one.

^b The percentages listed in this column are the percentages of evaluations with each dislocation, as opposed to the percentage of dislocations that are of a particular type.

^c The percentages listed in this column are the percentages of dislocations that were open.

Table IV. Central nervous system injuries.

Injury	Number	Percent ^a
Concussion	264	21.09
Contusion	63	5.03
Subarachnoid hemorrhage	37	2.96
Subdural hemorrhage	26	2.08
Extradural hemorrhage	11	0.88
Other intracranial injury	23	1.84
Central cord syndrome	3	0.24
Cord injury with fracture	16	1.28
Cord injury without bone involvement	7	0.56
Total	450	

^a The percentages listed are for the rates of particular injuries in the motorcycle injury evaluations.

Table V. Thorax, abdomen, and pelvis injuries.

Injury	No.	% ^a
Hemo- and pneumothorax	110	8.79
Heart	9	0.72
Lung	114	9.07
Other chest ^b	15	1.19
Liver	46	3.66
Gastrointestinal	25	1.99
Spleen	61	4.85
Urogenital	33	2.63
Other abdomen ^b	27	2.15
Total	440	

^a The percentages listed are for the rates of particular injuries in the motorcycle injury evaluations.

^b Vessel injuries are not included.

skull fractures (103, 8.23%), face fractures (171, 13.66%), and hemo- and pneumothorax injuries (110, 8.79%) (Table IV). Abdominal injuries (excluding vessel injuries) were seen in 192 evaluations (15.3%) (Table V).

Data analysis results

Older patients were less likely to use a helmet (OR=0.99, 95% CI: 0.98, 0.997), which was associated with a significantly higher risk for death (after adjustment for helmet use OR=1.03, 95% CI: 1.00, 1.05). Older patients without helmets had a significantly lower GCS score (P=0.0001) and higher mortality rate (after adjustment for patient demographic characteristics OR=2.28, 95% CI: 1.13, 4.58).

Logistic regression analysis demonstrated that greater age was significantly associated with more severe injury (Spearman correlation coefficient $r=0.21$, $P<0.0001$) and a longer hospital stay (Spearman correlation coefficient $r=0.22$, $P<0.0001$). Greater age was also associated with significantly higher risks for death following injury, for fracture, and for thorax injury.

Whites had significantly higher ISS and LOS and higher risk for fracture.

Among all patients who did not wear a helmet, the risk was significantly higher for a more severe GCS score (adjusted odds ratio 2.98) and for death (adjusted odds ratio 2.95). These patients were also more likely to have a fracture of the skull ($P<0.001$) or face ($P<0.001$).

Fracture results from our current study and from earlier studies from England, Sweden, and the USA are presented in Table VI. The rates of skull and face fractures are higher in our current study than in England or Sweden. The incidence of skull, spine, and rib fractures has nearly doubled in the USA over the last 30 years, and pelvis fractures are much more prevalent.

Raw data used for the analysis in the study on epidemiology and patterns of musculoskeletal injuries

1 Data File

<http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.1402186>

Discussion

Many epidemiological studies have addressed motorcycle crash injuries, in particular the effect of helmet use^{3,5,6,9,25}. There has been a relative paucity, however, of new information regarding orthopedic motorcycle crash injuries in USA over the last 30 years. Unfortunately, during this period motorcycle crashes continued to be a major cause of accident-related morbidity and mortality. It has been reported that in 2007 motorcyclists were 37 times more likely to die in a motor vehicle traffic crash than passenger car occupants, and nine times more likely to be injured²⁰. Whereas car and truck fatality rates have decreased for the past 6 and 3 years, respectively, 2008 marked the eleventh consecutive year in which the annual motorcycle fatality rate increased¹⁹. Yet, to our knowledge, the most recent epidemiological studies on orthopedic motorcycle crash injuries in the USA were by Bried *et al.*⁶ in 1987 and Peek *et al.*²² in 1994.

According to our data, the rates of skull, face, spine, rib, and pelvis fractures have increased in the USA motorcycle crashes compared with historical reports^{9,11,28}. The rates of skull and face fractures in our study are substantially higher than in recent European studies (Table VI), whereas the rates of spine and pelvis fractures have remained similar. The higher incidence of some of the injuries documented in our study may be attributed to better injury detection. In the USA, whole-body computed tomography has become a routine trauma screening tool²⁴. Moreover, European riders are more likely to wear a helmet², and their lower incidence of skull and face fractures and death might be attributed to this protective device. The protective nature of helmets has been described in a number of studies^{13,16}.

In contrast to Ankarath *et al.*'s² and Robertson *et al.*'s²³ studies from 2002 that analyzed similar patient populations from the Yorkshire region of the United Kingdom from the mid to late 1900s and Kupferschmid *et al.*¹⁵ study from 1989, we found lumbar spine fractures to be more common than thoracic. This is in agreement with Goslar *et al.*'s¹² study (2008) that looked at spine fractures in motorcycle accident victims in Arizona. However, the incidence of facial fractures in the present study was nearly twice that reported by Kraus *et al.* (13.66% vs. 7.10%) in a study using early 1990s data¹⁴, when routine computed tomography was not as common a procedure.

Injuries and death among older motorcyclists has recently become a focus topic of motorcycle crash studies. It has been reported that the age of motorcyclists has been increasing⁷. The **Motorcycle Industry Council** found the mean age of motorcycle ownership to rise from 33.1 years in 1998 to 40.2 years in 2003¹⁷. Our study of patients aged 4 to 83 years (mean 36 years) joins other studies of motorcycle crash victims that have shown increased risk for a longer hospital stay, more severe injuries, and death among older patients.

Table VI. Fracture Literature Comparisons.

			Yorkshire region, England	Sweden	Fresno County, California, USA	Oakland, California (military base), USA	Sacramento County, California, USA
	Present study		Ankarath <i>et al.</i> ²	Wladis <i>et al.</i> ²⁷	Zettas <i>et al.</i> ²⁸	Denaner <i>et al.</i> ⁹	Drysdale <i>et al.</i> ¹¹
	1252 Incidence	8/31/2008	1239 Admitted	8927 Admitted	260 Admitted	324 Admitted	1273 injuries
	9/1/1998		Jan-93	1/1/1987	Feb-71	Jul-71	1970
Bone	% of evaluations	% of fractures that were open	% of patients	% of Patients	% of patients	% of Patients	% of Patients
			% of fractures that were open	% of fractures that were open	% of fractures that were open	% of fractures that were open	% of fractures that were open
Skull	8.23	15.53	2.99	8.92	11.15	5.86	1.73
				Includes face fractures	NA		45.50
Face	13.66	1.75	1.53		7.93	8.33	3.30
					NA		14.30
Spine	4.79		1.21	15.97	0.38	4.94	1.65
Cervical				For all vertebrae	NA	For all vertebrae	0.00
Thoracic	3.83		7.02		0.38		For all vertebrae
					NA		
Lumbar	7.59		1.37		4.23		
					NA		
Ribs/ Sternum/Flail Chest	16.93		8.23		8.08	7.10	1.73
					NA		0.00
Clavicle	8.79	2.73	7.18		2.69	4.94	3.85
					14.29		8.20
Scapula	4.31		1.86				0.63
							0.00
Humerus	3.12	23.08	5.08		3.08	2.47	0.63
					25.00		12.50
Radius/Ulna	10.14	20.47	3.39	15.32	11.15	8.95	4.71
					13.79		13.31
Hand/Wrist	8.63	8.33	9.52		3.85		5.81
					30.00		4.10
Acetabulum	2.72	2.94					
Pelvis	6.07	6.58	12.43				0.94
							0.00
Femur	7.91	19.19	16.30	14.24	17.69	23.91	2.99
							21.10
Patella	0.80	40.00					0.55
							42.90
Tibia/Fibula	19.01	34.87	28.09	30.55	19.23	56.00	12.10
							31.18
Foot	8.63	14.81	4.28		3.85	80.00	2.67
							23.50
Additional demographic and outcome data	See Table I		Age 30.7 yr (22-36); 90.4% M; 10% no helmet; 6% dead	Age 25 yr (2-87); 91% M; LOS 2 (0-7900)	Age 22.41 yr (5-55); 90.4% M; 86.9% W; 3.5% B; 9.6% Hispanic; LOS 12.45 (1-136); 8% dead	LOS 39; 3% dead	1.4% dead

Brown *et al.*, using 1996–2005 [National Trauma Databank](#) statistics on 61,689 motorcycle operators involved in crashes, found the average age to increase steadily over the study period, from 33.9 years to 39.1 years⁷. The fastest growing age group in relative frequency was that of 50 to 59 years, whereas the most rapidly declining was that of 20 to 29 years. Operators 40 years of age or older had higher ISS, LOS, intensive care unit LOS, and death rate compared with operators younger than 40 years. Brown *et al.* found significantly higher rates of severe thoracic and head injuries and rib fractures, as well as more complications and more than twice the rate of pre-existing comorbidities among motorcyclists aged 40 years or older⁷. Whereas their data indicated no difference in helmet use between younger and older injured operators, we found older patients significantly less likely to use a helmet, with lack of helmeting linked to skull fracture and death^{3,25}. In contrast, in a 1981 NHTSA treatise Hurt *et al.* described younger patients as less likely to wear a helmet¹³.

Dischinger *et al.* found that older riders (40 years or older) have significantly higher incidence of thoracic injury, specifically multiple rib fractures¹⁰. Talving *et al.* investigated the relation of age to injury type, distribution, and the severity of motorcycle crash patients in a Los Angeles County-wide trauma registry study ($N = 6,530$) including data from 1995 to 1997²⁶. Age was classified as 18 years or younger, 19 to 55 years, or older than 55 years. Older patients were significantly more likely to suffer severe trauma, severe head and chest injuries, and spinal fractures. Death was 3-fold higher in the oldest age group compared with the youngest.

The limitations of our study include its retrospective nature and the fact that it relies upon a trauma database. Although the data were collected prospectively, they were not specifically designed for this study. Orthopedic injuries were grouped by anatomic location as opposed to specific fracture type to control for small errors of injury classification (e.g., injury was classified as a radial shaft fracture as opposed to a Galeazzi or simple distal radius fracture). However, these injury classifications are similar to those employed in prior studies^{2,6,8,9,11,22,27,28}, and many of those study data were also derived from existing databases^{3,4,12}.

Although the motorcycle fatality rate continues to increase, we did not see a similar increase in in-hospital mortality rate, indicating that more of the involved participants are likely being declared dead at the scene. The increase in the incidence of skull, spine, and face injuries noted in the study may be attributed to better injury detection (routine CT and/or MRI). The higher incidence of USA skull and facial fractures, as compared to other countries, may also be attributed to differences in compulsory helmet wearing laws. Older MI patients are a higher risk for serious injury and mortality, and this is further potentiated by not wearing a helmet.

Data availability

Figshare: Raw data used for the analysis in the study on epidemiology and patterns of musculoskeletal injuries. Doi: [10.6084/m9.figshare.1402186](https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.1402186)²⁹

Author contributions

STB - data collection, data analysis, manuscript preparation.

ZG - study design, data analysis, manuscript preparation.

CJJ - data collection, data analysis.

WJM - data collection, manuscript preparation.

RWL - data analysis, manuscript preparation.

All authors read and approved the revised manuscript.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Alai Tan, PhD, for support with statistical analysis and Suzanne Simpson, BA for editorial assistance.

References

1. ABC News: **Lone Star Motorcycle Rally, Houston, TX.** [Reference Source](#)
2. Ankarath S, Giannoudis PV, Barlow I, *et al.*: **Injury patterns associated with mortality following motorcycle crashes.** *Injury.* 2002; **33**(6): 473–7. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Bachulis BL, Sangster W, Gorrell GW, *et al.*: **Patterns of injury in helmeted and nonhelmeted motorcyclists.** *Am J Surg.* 1988; **155**(5): 708–11. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Bledsoe GH, Schexnayder SM, Carey MJ, *et al.*: **The negative impact of the repeal of the Arkansas motorcycle helmet law.** *J Trauma.* 2002; **53**(6): 1078–87; discussion 1086–7. [PubMed Abstract](#)
5. Braddock M, Schwartz R, Lapidus G, *et al.*: **A population-based study of motorcycle injury and costs.** *Ann Emerg Med.* 1992; **21**(3): 273–8. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
6. Bried JM, Cordasco FA, Volz RG: **Medical and economic parameters of motorcycle-induced trauma.** *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1987; **223**: 252–6. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
7. Brown JB, Bankey PE, Gorczyca JT, *et al.*: **The aging road warrior: national trend toward older riders impacts outcome after motorcycle injury.** *Am Surg.* 2010; **76**(3): 279–86. [PubMed Abstract](#)
8. Clark DW, Morton JH: **The motorcycle accident: a growing problem.** *J Trauma.* 1971; **11**(3): 230–7. [PubMed Abstract](#)
9. Denaner RM, Fitchett VH: **Motorcycle trauma.** *J Trauma.* 1975; **15**(8): 678–81. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
10. Dischinger PC, Ryb GE, Ho SM, *et al.*: **Injury patterns and severity among hospitalized motorcyclists: a comparison of younger and older riders.** *Annu Proc Assoc Adv Automot Med.* 2006; **50**: 237–49. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
11. Drysdale WF, Kraus JF, Franti CE, *et al.*: **Injury patterns in motorcycle collisions.** *J Trauma.* 1975; **15**(2): 99–115. [PubMed Abstract](#)
12. Goslar PW, Crawford NR, Petersen SR, *et al.*: **Helmet use and associated spinal fractures in motorcycle crash victims.** *J Trauma.* 2008; **64**(1): 190–6. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
13. Hurt HH, Quillet JV, Thom DR: **Motorcycle Accident Cause Factors and**

- Identification of Countermeasures. Vol 1. Technical Report.** Washington, DC: US Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. 1981.
[Reference Source](#)
14. Kraus JF, Rice TM, Peek-Asa C, *et al.*: **Facial trauma and the risk of intracranial injury in motorcycle riders.** *Ann Emerg Med.* 2003; **41**(1): 18–26.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 15. Kupferschmid JP, Weaver ML, Raves JJ, *et al.*: **Thoracic spine injuries in victims of motorcycle accidents.** *J Trauma.* 1989; **29**(5): 593–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 16. Lin MR, Kraus JF: **A review of risk factors and patterns of motorcycle injuries.** *Accid Anal Prev.* 2009; **41**(4): 710–722.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 17. Motorcycle Industry Council. **Statistical Annual, 2004.** Irvine, CA. 2005.
 18. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. **Traffic Safety Facts 2007: Motorcycles.** Washington, DC: National Center for Statistics and Analysis. 2008.
[Reference Source](#)
 19. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. **Traffic Safety Facts 2008 Data.** Washington, DC: National Center for Statistics and Analysis. 2008.
[Reference Source](#)
 20. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. **Traffic Safety Facts 2008: Motorcycles.** Washington, DC: National Center for Statistics and Analysis. 2008.
[Reference Source](#)
 21. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. **Traffic Safety Facts Texas 2004–2008.** Washington, DC: National Center for Statistics and Analysis. 2008.
[Reference Source](#)
 22. Peek C, Braver ER, Shen H, *et al.*: **Lower extremity injuries from motorcycle crashes: a common cause of preventable injury.** *J Trauma.* 1994; **37**(3): 358–64.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 23. Robertson A, Giannoudis PV, Branfoot T, *et al.*: **Spinal injuries in motorcycle crashes: patterns and outcomes.** *J Trauma.* 2002; **53**(1): 5–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 24. Salim A, Sangthong B, Martin M, *et al.*: **Whole body imaging in blunt multisystem trauma patients without obvious signs of injury: results of a prospective study.** *Arch Surg.* 2006; **141**(5): 468–75; discussion 473–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 25. Shankar BS, Ramzy AI, Soderstrom CA, *et al.*: **Helmet use, patterns of injury, medical outcome, and costs among motorcycle drivers in Maryland.** *Accid Anal Prev.* 1992; **24**(4): 385–96.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 26. Talving P, Teixeira PG, Barmparas G, *et al.*: **Motorcycle-related injuries: effect of age on type and severity of injuries and mortality.** *J Trauma.* 2010; **68**(2): 441–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
 27. Wladis A, Boström L, Nilsson B: **Injuries in 8927 patients admitted after motorcycle crashes in Sweden 1987-1994 inclusive.** *Eur J Surg.* 2002; **168**(3): 187–92.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 28. Zettas JP, Zettas P, Thanasophon B: **Injury patterns in motorcycle accidents.** *J Trauma.* 1979; **19**(11): 833–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
 29. Burns ST, Gugala Z, Jimenez CJ, *et al.*: **Raw data used for the analysis in the study on epidemiology and patterns of musculoskeletal injuries.** *Figshare.* 2015.
[Data Source](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 28 May 2015

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.5332.r8631>

© 2015 Nana A. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Arvind D. Nana

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of North Texas Health Science Center, Fort Worth, TX, USA

Good paper. Injury details are well captured. For instance, this study did a better job of capturing acetabulum, pelvis, patella, and scapula fractures compared to previous studies. The authors did identify dislocations in this patient population, and I wish they had discussed that further in the paper.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 21 May 2015

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.5332.r8630>

© 2015 Reitman C. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Charles A. Reitman

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA

Well written study on relevant topic.
Weaknesses are discussed by the authors. Conclusions supported by the data.
I support indexation.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research