REVIEW

What do we know about the participation of hematopoietic stem cells in hematopoiesis? [version 1; peer review: 2 approved, 1 approved with reservations]

Nina Drize, Nataliya Petinati

Federal Government Budget Institution National Research Center for Hematology, Ministry of Health, Moscow, Russian Federation

V1 First published: 29 Oct 2015, 4(F1000 Faculty Rev):1177
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6459.1>
 Latest published: 29 Oct 2015, 4(F1000 Faculty Rev):1177
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6459.1>

Abstract

The demonstrated presence in adult tissues of cells with sustained tissue regenerative potential has given rise to the concept of tissue stem cells. Assays to detect and measure such cells indicate that they have enormous proliferative potential and usually an ability to produce all or many of the mature cell types that define the specialized functionality of the tissue. In the hematopoietic system, one or only a few cells can restore lifelong hematopoiesis of the whole organism. To what extent is the maintenance of hematopoietic stem cells required during normal hematopoiesis? How does the constant maintenance of hematopoiesis occur and what is the behavior of the hematopoietic stem cells in the normal organism? How many of the hematopoietic stem cells are created during the development of the organism? How many hematopoietic stem cells are generating more mature progeny at any given moment? What happens to the population of hematopoietic stem cells in aging? This review will attempt to describe the results of recent research which contradict some of the ideas established over the past 30 years about how hematopoiesis is regulated.

Keywords

Hematopoiesis, Stem cells, colony-forming units

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3
version 1			
29 Oct 2015			

1. **Connie Eaves**, British Columbia Cancer Agency, Vancouver, Canada
2. **Hector Mayani**, National Medical Center, IMSS, Mexico City, Mexico
3. **Leonid Bystrykh**, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

Any comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Nina Drize (ndrize@yandex.ru)

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2015 Drize N and Petinati N. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Drize N and Petinati N. **What do we know about the participation of hematopoietic stem cells in hematopoiesis? [version 1; peer review: 2 approved, 1 approved with reservations]** F1000Research 2015, 4(F1000 Faculty Rev):1177 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6459.1>

First published: 29 Oct 2015, 4(F1000 Faculty Rev):1177 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6459.1>

A piece of history

Nature and Nature's laws lay hid in night:
God said, "Let Newton be!" and all was light.
Alexander Pope (1688–1744)

It did not last; the devil howling
"Ho! Let Einstein be!" restored the status quo.
Sir John Collings Squire (1884–1958)

Hematopoietic cells arise from mesodermal precursors in the developing vertebrate embryo in multiple waves in different anatomic sites: allantois, yolk sac, and the aorta-gonad-mesonephros^{1–9}. Cells able to maintain lifelong hematopoiesis emerge first from ventral aortic hemogenic endothelial cells and immediately enter the circulation^{10–13}. These hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) home to and expand within the fetal liver, spleen, thymus and eventually seed the bone marrow—the major blood-forming organ in the adult^{3,7}. The precise number of HSCs at all stages of development remains poorly defined.

The modern era of HSC characterization started with the work of Till and McCulloch¹⁴. They described spleen colony-forming units (CFU-S). For many years thereafter, these cells were believed to have the properties of HSCs. Then it was discovered that most CFU-S can repopulate the hematopoietic system for only a short time and that they are descendants of phenotypically distinct cells that are able to repopulate the hematopoietic system for a long time^{15–18}. The latter are usually detected by their ability to perpetually regenerate all blood cell types in a myeloablated recipient¹⁹ and, in the adult, these HSCs are quiescent most of the time²⁰. Two general models have been put forth for how HSCs are recruited into proliferation and subsequent differentiation under normal physiological conditions. The "clonal succession model" proposes that small numbers of HSCs are sequentially recruited to leave the compartment and enter the cell cycle, and thereafter initiate an irreversible lineage commitment process^{16,21–23}. The "clonal stability model" suggests that randomly selected self-maintaining HSCs continuously replenish the supply of mature blood cells throughout an organism's lifetime^{24–26}.

Methods of marking individual HSCs

In order to understand how normal hematopoiesis is maintained, we require methods that can trace the separate long-term outputs of individual cells. One approach has been to permanently and uniquely mark individual HSCs. Radiation-induced chromosomal markers were used by Till and co-authors to show that all cells in each spleen colony derive from a single cell^{27,28}. However, the small number of chromosomally marked cells obtained using this approach made it inadequate to resolve the HSC clones operative in long-term transplant experiments.

The genetic marking of mouse HSCs *via* the retroviral-mediated transfer of a foreign gene provided evidence that one cell is capable of differentiating into all major types of hematopoietic cells^{29,30} and allowed the composition of lifelong clones derived from adult mouse bone marrow and fetal liver HSCs to be examined^{22,31}. It was also shown that the hematopoiesis in the lethally irradiated mice transplanted with the marked cells was polyclonal and was

supported initially by a large number of short-lived, successively active clones^{22,32}. Moreover, it was found that the formation of blood is polyclonal, not only at the level of early pluripotent progenitor, CFUs, but also at the level of terminally differentiated mature cells³³.

Retroviral marking is, however, limited to cells that are actively cycling. This method thus fails to label any HSCs that are not dividing at the time of retroviral exposure. Early studies that used this method thus had low resolution, leading to an underestimation of HSC numbers³⁴. Moreover, the site where the retrovirus inserts into the host genome can have a major effect on the clonal output of the initially transduced HSC, ranging from a slight change to overt dominance and even leukemia^{35–38}. These effects are reduced substantially if vectors are derived from lentiviruses, such as the human AIDS virus HIV³⁹, and the use of lentiviruses to track the progeny regenerated from initially quiescent mouse HSCs has revealed many more clones than found earlier using retroviral marking⁴⁰.

A breakthrough in the study of the repopulating potential of mouse HSCs occurred when the use of large libraries of lentiviruses encoding short, randomly varied DNA marker sequences (called barcodes) was introduced^{41,42}. Upon integration, each such vector introduces a unique, identifiable, and heritable mark into the host cell genome, allowing the clonal progeny of each initially transduced cell to be tracked over time. By coupling the barcoding method to a high-throughput sequencing-based detection system, it became possible to identify even smaller clones^{42,43}. The use of this sensitive methodology showed that many clones (about 70 per mouse) produced in mice transplanted with such marked cells can contribute to hematopoiesis over long periods of time, although their content of granulocytes, T cells, and B cells could be substantially different. Moreover, the contributions of individual clones to mature blood cells could change dynamically, with most clones either expanding or declining over time⁴⁴, and not necessarily in a fashion concordant with their activity in the bone marrow. Nevertheless, many clones were observed for more than 12 weeks. Thus, the regeneration of the hematopoietic system from transplanted cells can involve a contribution from a large number of input HSCs and progenitor cells, including self-renewing HSCs, as well as more differentiated and lineage committed progenitor cells.

The stromal microenvironment niches for HSCs also play an important role in hematopoiesis. It is known that the niches for the HSC differ in different places of hematopoiesis. It has been reported that there are fewer niches in the long bones than in trabecular bones, and the properties of the HSCs in the niches in these different sites are different^{45–49}.

Clonal composition of HSCs

Studies of the clonal composition of HSCs in primates have shown results that are generally similar to those obtained in mice, albeit with some substantial differences. Tracking of thousands of HSCs and progenitor cells in rhesus macaques for up to 12 years revealed that approximately half of the analyzed clones contributed to long-term repopulation (over 3–10 years), arising in sequential groups and likely representing self-renewing HSCs⁵⁰. Most of the remaining clones were observed only during the first year. A large number

(43–71%) of clones were detected at an extremely low average frequency of <0.0002 , contributing to $<7\%$ of total blood repopulation over the entire course of observation. The 5% of the most frequently detected clones contributed to an average of 49–72% of all regenerated blood cells, depending on the animal and cell type. About 330 clones per animal were detected. The sequential expansion of different groups of clones (over several months for the earliest clones and over several years for those clones appearing later after repopulation) was revealed. Approximately equal numbers of long-term and short-term clones were detected. Although the assay endpoint given to each animal to distinguish long-term and short-term clones ranged from 3–10 years, this variation in endpoints did not significantly affect the findings regarding the relative frequencies of these two populations in so far as the clonal kinetics in all animals became more stable after 1–2 years⁵⁰.

In humans, HSC kinetic data derived from the clonal tracking of their activity *in vivo* have been obtained from gene therapy clinical trials for adenosine deaminase (ADA) deficient-SCID and Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome (WAS). These trials involved the infusion of genetically engineered HSCs whose progeny could then be followed over time by tracking the unique barcode integration sites (ISs) of the therapeutic vectors incorporated into the transplanted cells. Authors analyzed the timing of short, intermediate, and long-term HSC output showing that CD34+ clones active at 3–6 months after transplantation were not detectable at later times⁵¹. In the later steady-state, about 200 clones per person was the figure estimated by mark-recapture of transduced HSC clones that were stably contributing to the progenitor's repertoire for up to 3 years after infusion of gene-corrected CD34+ cells. To evaluate the long-term preservation of activity by the transplanted HSCs, the authors exploited data derived from the IS-based tracking of 4,845 clones in ADA-SCID patients performed for up to 6 years after gene therapy. This analysis showed that identical ISs were consistently detected in multiple lineages at stable levels even several years after transplantation. Strikingly, semi-quantitative PCR used to measure specific vector-genome junctions revealed a fluctuating, but consistent, output of marked clones over a period of 5 years without evidence of transient inactivity. Additionally, since the gamma-retroviral vector used in ADA-SCID HSC-GT trial is able to transduce only actively replicating cells, these results provided the first evidence that *in vitro* activated human HSCs can still display long-term activity *in vivo*^{51,52}. Thus, in humans and primates, hundreds of individual clones could be shown to contribute to different cell lineages with clonal stability established after an initial phase of instability during the first year after transplantation.

In all previously described transplantation studies, the progeny of individual HSCs were tracked using an *ex vivo* viral transduction of the cells prior to transplantation into myelosuppressed hosts. This approach is not effective for labeling HSCs *in situ*. To reduce the drawback of studying the behavior of cells stimulated to repopulate myeloablated recipients, mice were sublethally irradiated without hematopoietic cell transplantation. Day 10 CFU-S assays of bone marrow cells subsequently sampled throughout a 12- to 20-month period revealed chromosomally marked clones that remained active for at least 1.5 years of the life of the mice^{53,54}. These long-lived clones produced 10% of all identified CFU-S. Interestingly, studies

of immunophenotypically defined HSCs showed that only 10% of those that divided were able to return to a resting state⁵⁵. In summary, some HSCs are able to maintain hematopoiesis for long periods and can return to a quiescent state after transient proliferative activity.

Recent approaches used to track cells using a transposon system cloned into mice⁵⁶ have now provided an opportunity to study the control of endogenous hematopoiesis without the use of transplantation⁵⁷. The transposon is activated by a hyperactive “sleeping beauty” transposase whose expression is controlled by doxycycline. During the short time period when doxycycline is applied to a mouse, the transposon can randomly mobilize to a different genomic location. This transposition creates an inheritable genomic DNA insertion that is unique to individual cells and their progeny. Cells originating from a common ancestor can thus be identified by their shared unique transposon IS. The transposon system has produced strikingly different results than those from previous studies. At each of their measured time points, dramatically different clones appear to supply the blood. The authors estimated that thousands of clones contribute to blood formation at any time point. Thus, their data suggest that long-term hematopoiesis is sustained by the successive recruitment of a large number of clones. While their observed clonal dynamics are consistent with the “clonal succession model”, their estimated clonal complexity is much greater than could possibly be supported by the relatively small number of HSCs traditionally identified using transplantation methods. The clonal composition of hematopoietic cells derived from clonally marked donor mice when compared with that of transplanted recipients revealed that donors and recipients possessed different clonal repertoires. The authors also compared the clonal composition of the HSC compartment with that of the intermediate progenitors, and the mature blood cells present in individual mice. They found that fewer than 5% of HSC clones are subsequently represented in mature cell populations, whereas the clonal composition of the multipotent progenitor and myeloid progenitor populations did mirror the mature cell populations. Based on these two experiments, the authors conclude that the cells that supply blood under homeostatic conditions are not transplantable and are not found in the conventionally defined HSC pool. Moreover, they suggest that the large number of progenitor cells, including previously defined multipotent progenitors and myeloid progenitors, may be the major source of ongoing hematopoiesis. These data imply a need to change our idea of the regulation of steady-state hematopoiesis. However, it should be noted that these data do not exclude the possibility that HSCs participate in steady-state blood production but, if they do, they must be quickly depleted from the HSCs pool once they committed to differentiate.

What happens during aging

The dynamics of hematopoiesis depend on the phase of ontogenesis from the cradle to the grave. What happens to the pool of HSCs during aging? The number of transplantable HSCs increases in the bone marrow of old mice^{58–60}, although clonal analysis of their progeny has revealed many functional defects⁶¹. For example, the clonal outputs of HSCs from young mice are, on average, larger than those produced by HSCs from old mice⁴⁴. These data appear to contradict the recent results of whole-exome sequencing of DNA in peripheral

blood cells from aging humans^{62,63}, where the development of oligoclonal hematopoiesis was found to be a relatively common condition and one associated with an increased risk of hematologic cancer. Based on deep whole-genome sequencing, it was estimated that approximately 450 somatic mutations had accumulated in the non-repetitive portions of the genome present in healthy blood cells in a 115-year-old woman. The distribution of variant allele frequencies of these mutations suggests that the majority of the peripheral white blood cells were the offspring of two related HSC clones. Moreover, the telomere lengths of the white blood cells were significantly shorter than the telomere lengths from other tissues. Together, this suggests that the finite lifespan of HSCs, rather than somatic mutation effects, may lead to hematopoietic clonal evolution at extreme ages⁶⁴. Exhaustion of the HSC pool leading to a reduced number of

functioning clones might explain how such an oligoclonal picture of hematopoiesis develops in humans. It is thus becoming increasingly clear that what we know about the structure and functioning of the hematopoietic system is still just the tip of the iceberg, with much more to understand that is still under water.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

References

- Moore MA, Owen JJ: **Chromosome marker studies on the development of the haemopoietic system in the chick embryo.** *Nature.* 1965; **208**(5014): 956 passim.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Moore MA, Metcalf D: **Ontogeny of the haemopoietic system: yolk sac origin of *in vivo* and *in vitro* colony forming cells in the developing mouse embryo.** *Br J Haematol.* 1970; **18**(3): 279–96.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Dieterlen-Lièvre F: **Emergence of haematopoietic stem cells during development.** *C R Biol.* 2007; **330**(6–7): 504–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Medvinsky AL, Samoylina NL, Müller AM, *et al.*: **An early pre-liver intraembryonic source of CFU-S in the developing mouse.** *Nature.* 1993; **364**(6432): 64–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Medvinsky A, Dzierzak E: **Definitive hematopoiesis is autonomously initiated by the AGM region.** *Cell.* 1996; **86**(6): 897–906.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Fitch SR, Kimber GM, Wilson NK, *et al.*: **Signaling from the sympathetic nervous system regulates hematopoietic stem cell emergence during embryogenesis.** *Cell stem cell.* 2012; **11**(4): 554–66.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
- Baron MH, Isern J, Fraser ST: **The embryonic origins of erythropoiesis in mammals.** *Blood.* 2012; **119**(21): 4828–37.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Tavian M, Biasch K, Sinka L, *et al.*: **Embryonic origin of human hematopoiesis.** *Int J Dev Biol.* 2010; **54**(6–7): 1061–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Ivanovs A, Rybtsov S, Welch L, *et al.*: **Highly potent human hematopoietic stem cells first emerge in the intraembryonic aorta-gonad-mesonephros region.** *J Exp Med.* 2011; **208**(12): 2417–27.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
- Kissa K, Herbomel P: **Blood stem cells emerge from aortic endothelium by a novel type of cell transition.** *Nature.* 2010; **464**(7285): 112–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
- Swiers G, Speck NA, de Bruijn MF: **Visualizing blood cell emergence from aortic endothelium.** *Cell stem cell.* 2010; **5**(4): 289–90.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Boisset JC, van Cappellen W, Andrieu-Soler C, *et al.*: ***In vivo* imaging of haematopoietic cells emerging from the mouse aortic endothelium.** *Nature.* 2010; **464**(7285): 116–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Boisset JC, Clapes T, Klaus A, *et al.*: **Progressive maturation toward hematopoietic stem cells in the mouse embryo aorta.** *Blood.* 2015; **125**(3): 465–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Till JE, McCulloch EA: **A direct measurement of the radiation sensitivity of normal mouse bone marrow cells.** *Radiat Res.* 1961; **14**(2): 213–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Abramson S, Miller RG, Phillips RA: **The identification in adult bone marrow of pluripotent and restricted stem cells of the myeloid and lymphoid systems.** *J Exp Med.* 1977; **145**(6): 1567–79.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Lemischka IR, Raulet DH, Mulligan RC: **Developmental potential and dynamic behavior of hematopoietic stem cells.** *Cell.* 1986; **45**(6): 917–27.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Morrison SJ, Uchida N, Weissman IL: **The biology of hematopoietic stem cells.** *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol.* 1995; **11**: 35–71.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Weissman IL: **Stem cells: units of development, units of regeneration, and units in evolution.** *Cell.* 2000; **100**(1): 157–68.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Eaves CJ: **Hematopoietic stem cells: concepts, definitions, and the new reality.** *Blood.* 2015; **125**(17): 2605–13.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Seita J, Weissman IL: **Hematopoietic stem cell: self-renewal versus differentiation.** *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Syst Biol Med.* 2010; **2**(6): 640–53.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Jordan CT, Lemischka IR: **Clonal and systemic analysis of long-term hematopoiesis in the mouse.** *Genes Dev.* 1990; **4**(2): 220–32.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Drize NJ, Keller JR, Chertkov JL: **Local clonal analysis of the hematopoietic system shows that multiple small short-living clones maintain life-long hematopoiesis in reconstituted mice.** *Blood.* 1996; **88**(8): 2927–38.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Kay HE: **How many cell-generations?** *Lancet.* 1965; **286**(7409): 418–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Abkowitz JL, Persik MT, Shelton GH, *et al.*: **Behavior of hematopoietic stem cells in a large animal.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1995; **92**(6): 2031–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Prchal JT, Prchal JF, Belickova M, *et al.*: **Clonal stability of blood cell lineages indicated by X-chromosomal transcriptional polymorphism.** *J Exp Med.* 1996; **183**(2): 561–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- McKenzie JL, Gan OI, Doedens M, *et al.*: **Individual stem cells with highly variable proliferation and self-renewal properties comprise the human hematopoietic stem cell compartment.** *Nat Immunol.* 2006; **7**(11): 1225–33.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
- Siminovitch L, McCulloch EA, Till JE: **The distribution of colony-forming cells among spleen colonies.** *J Cell Physiol.* 1963; **62**(3): 327–36.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Wu AM, Till JE, Siminovitch L, *et al.*: **Cytological evidence for a relationship between normal hematopoietic colony-forming cells and cells of the lymphoid system.** *J Exp Med.* 1968; **127**(3): 455–64.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Magli MC, Dick JE, Huszar D, *et al.*: **Modulation of gene expression in multiple hematopoietic cell lineages following retroviral vector gene transfer.**

- Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1987; **84**(3): 789–93.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
30. Dick JE, Magli MC, Huszar D, *et al.*: Introduction of a selectable gene into primitive stem cells capable of long-term reconstitution of the hemopoietic system of *W/W^u* mice. *Cell.* 1985; **42**(1): 71–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 31. Drize NI, Chertkov IL: Clone-forming activity of embryonal stem hemopoietic cells after transplantation to newborn or adult sublethally irradiated mice. *Bull Exp Biol Med.* 2000; **130**(7): 709–11.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 32. Drize N, Chertkov J, Sadovnikova E, *et al.*: Long-term maintenance of hematopoiesis in irradiated mice by retrovirally transduced peripheral blood stem cells. *Blood.* 1997; **89**(5): 1811–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
 33. Olovnikova NI, Drize NJ, Ershler MA, *et al.*: Developmental fate of hematopoietic stem cells: the study of individual hematopoietic clones at the level of antigen-responsive B lymphocytes. *Hematol J.* 2003; **4**(2): 146–50.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 34. Bystrykh LV, Verovskaya E, Zwart E, *et al.*: Counting stem cells: methodological constraints. *Nat Methods.* 2012; **9**(6): 567–74.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 35. **F** Deichmann A, Haein-Bey-Abina S, Schmidt M, *et al.*: Vector integration is nonrandom and clustered and influences the fate of lymphopoiesis in SCID-X1 gene therapy. *J Clin Invest.* 2007; **117**(8): 2225–32.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 36. Bushman FD: Targeting survival: integration site selection by retroviruses and LTR-retrotransposons. *Cell.* 2003; **115**(2): 135–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 37. **F** Kustikova O, Fehse B, Modlich U, *et al.*: Clonal dominance of hematopoietic stem cells triggered by retroviral gene marking. *Science.* 2005; **308**(5725): 1171–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 38. Stein S, Ott MG, Schultze-Strasser S, *et al.*: Genomic instability and myelodysplasia with monosomy 7 consequent to *EV1* activation after gene therapy for chronic granulomatous disease. *Nat Med.* 2010; **16**(2): 198–204.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 39. Glimm H, Ball CR, von Kalle C: You can count on this: barcoded hematopoietic stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2011; **9**(5): 390–2.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 40. **F** Maetzig T, Brugman MH, Bartels S, *et al.*: Polyclonal fluctuation of lentiviral vector-transduced and expanded murine hematopoietic stem cells. *Blood.* 2011; **117**(11): 3053–64.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 41. **F** Schepers K, Swart E, van Heijst JW, *et al.*: Dissecting T cell lineage relationships by cellular barcoding. *J Exp Med.* 2008; **205**(10): 2309–18.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 42. **F** Gerrits A, Dykstra B, Kalmykova OJ, *et al.*: Cellular barcoding tool for clonal analysis in the hematopoietic system. *Blood.* 2010; **115**(13): 2610–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 43. **F** Lu R, Neff NF, Quake SR, *et al.*: Tracking single hematopoietic stem cells *in vivo* using high-throughput sequencing in conjunction with viral genetic barcoding. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2011; **29**(10): 928–33.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 44. **F** Verovskaya E, Broekhuis MJ, Zwart E, *et al.*: Heterogeneity of young and aged murine hematopoietic stem cells revealed by quantitative clonal analysis using cellular barcoding. *Blood.* 2013; **122**(4): 523–32.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 45. **F** Guezguez B, Campbell CJ, Boyd AL, *et al.*: Regional localization within the bone marrow influences the functional capacity of human HSCs. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2013; **13**(2): 175–89.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 46. **F** Xie Y, Yin T, Wiegand W, *et al.*: Detection of functional haematopoietic stem cell niche using real-time imaging. *Nature.* 2009; **457**(7225): 97–101.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 47. **F** Bowers M, Zhang B, Ho Y, *et al.*: Osteoblast ablation reduces normal long-term hematopoietic stem cell self-renewal but accelerates leukemia development. *Blood.* 2015; **125**(17): 2678–88.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 48. **F** Benz C, Copley MR, Kent DG, *et al.*: Hematopoietic stem cell subtypes expand differentially during development and display distinct lymphopoietic programs. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2012; **10**(3): 273–83.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 49. Trumpp A, Essers M, Wilson A: Awakening dormant haematopoietic stem cells. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2010; **10**(3): 201–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 50. **F** Kim S, Kim N, Presson AP, *et al.*: Dynamics of HSPC repopulation in nonhuman primates revealed by a decade-long clonal-tracking study. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2014; **14**(4): 473–85.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 51. Biasco L, Scala S, Dionisio F, *et al.*: Paper: Comprehensive Clonal Mapping of Hematopoiesis *in Vivo* in Humans By Retroviral Vector Insertional Barcoding. 2014.
[Reference Source](#)
 52. **F** Biasco L, Scala S, Basso Ricci L, *et al.*: *In vivo* tracking of T cells in humans unveils decade-long survival and activity of genetically modified T memory stem cells. *Sci Transl Med.* 2015; **7**(273): 273ra13.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 53. Olshanskaya Y, Drize N, Gerasimova L, *et al.*: Life-long hematopoiesis in sublethally irradiated as well as in reconstituted mice is maintained by multiple clones. *Exp Hematol.* 1998; **26**: 733.
 54. Drize NJ, Olshanskaya YV, Gerasimova LP, *et al.*: Lifelong hematopoiesis in both reconstituted and sublethally irradiated mice is provided by multiple sequentially recruited stem cells. *Exp Hematol.* 2001; **29**(6): 786–94.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 55. **F** Wilson A, Laurenti E, Oser G, *et al.*: Hematopoietic stem cells reversibly switch from dormancy to self-renewal during homeostasis and repair. *Cell.* 2008; **135**(6): 1118–29.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 56. **F** Sun J, Ramos A, Chapman B, *et al.*: Clonal dynamics of native haematopoiesis. *Nature.* 2014; **514**(7522): 322–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 57. Lu R: Sleeping beauty wakes up the clonal succession model for homeostatic hematopoiesis. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2014; **15**(6): 677–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 58. **F** Rossi DJ, Jamieson CH, Weissman IL: Stems cells and the pathways to aging and cancer. *Cell.* 2008; **132**(4): 681–96.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 59. Mayack SR, Shadrach JL, Kim FS, *et al.*: Systemic signals regulate ageing and rejuvenation of blood stem cell niches. *Nature.* 2010; **463**(7280): 495–500.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 60. Retraction. Systemic signals regulate ageing and rejuvenation of blood stem cell niches. *Nature.* 2010; **467**(7317): 872.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 61. Dykstra B, Olthof S, Schreuder J, *et al.*: Clonal analysis reveals multiple functional defects of aged murine hematopoietic stem cells. *J Exp Med.* 2011; **208**(13): 2691–703.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 62. **F** Genovese G, Kähler AK, Handsaker RE, *et al.*: Clonal hematopoiesis and blood-cancer risk inferred from blood DNA sequence. *N Engl J Med.* 2014; **371**(26): 2477–87.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 63. Jaiswal S, Fontanillas P, Flannick J, *et al.*: Age-related clonal hematopoiesis associated with adverse outcomes. *N Engl J Med.* 2014; **371**(26): 2488–98.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 64. **F** Holstege H, Pfeiffer W, Sie D, *et al.*: Somatic mutations found in the healthy blood compartment of a 115-yr-old woman demonstrate oligoclonal hematopoiesis. *Genome Res.* 2014; **24**(5): 733–42.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 29 October 2015

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.6931.r10984>

© 2015 Bystrykh L. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Leonid Bystrykh

European Institute for the Biology of Ageing (ERIBA), Section Ageing Biology and Stem Cells, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

This review is an overview of the hematopoietic stem cells in hematopoiesis, and it is my opinion that there are still some issues with the review. For instance, I think that the abstract is not fully aligned with the body of the review and instead I feel that it should summarise the major statements made in the text. Moreover, in the main body of the review, there are a number of factual inaccuracies and the authors present the evolution of our knowledge as a series of mistakes which, in my view, is incorrect and they have not provided sufficient information on the methods and tools that they describe. I miss a more critical expert review of the evolution of our tools to study hematopoietic stem cells. As a result of these issues, I have given this review an "Approved with Reservations" rating.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Reviewer Report 29 October 2015

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.6931.r10983>

© 2015 Mayani H. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Hector Mayani

Oncology Research Unit, Oncology Hospital, National Medical Center, IMSS, Mexico City, Mexico

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 29 October 2015

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.6931.r10982>

© 2015 Eaves C. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Connie Eaves

Terry Fox Laboratory, British Columbia Cancer Agency, Vancouver, BC, Canada

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research