RESEARCH ARTICLE

Pilot experience with opebacan/rBPI₂₁ in myeloablative hematopoietic cell transplantation [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Eva Guinan¹⁻³, David E Avigan^{2,4}, Robert J Soiffer^{1,2,5}, Nancy J Bunin⁶, Lisa L Brennan¹, Ilana Bergelson³, Spencer Brightman³, Al Ozonoff^{id 2,3}, Patrick J Scannon⁷, Ofer Levy^{2,3}

¹Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, USA

²Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA

³Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, USA

⁴Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, USA

⁵Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, USA

⁶Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, USA

⁷Xoma (US) LLC, Berkeley, USA

V1 First published: 21 Dec 2015, 4:1480
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7558.1>

Latest published: 21 Dec 2015, 4:1480
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7558.1>

Abstract

Bacterial infection and inflammation contribute significantly to the morbidity and mortality of myeloablative allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT). Endotoxin, a component of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria, is a potent inflammatory stimulus in humans. Bactericidal/permeability increasing protein (BPI), a constituent of human neutrophil granules, binds endotoxin thereby precluding endotoxin-induced inflammation and also has direct anti-infective properties against bacteria. As a consequence of myeloablative therapy used in preparation for hematopoietic cell infusion, patients experience gastrointestinal leak of bacteria and bacterial toxins into the systemic circulation and a period of inflammatory cytokine elevation associated with subsequent regimen-related toxicities. Patients frequently become endotoxemic and febrile as well as BPI-deficient due to sustained neutropenia. To examine whether enhancing endotoxin-neutralizing and anti-infective activity by exogenous administration of a recombinant N-terminal fragment of BPI (rBPI₂₁, generic name opebacan) might ameliorate regimen-related toxicities including infection, we recruited patients scheduled to undergo myeloablative HCT to participate in a proof-of-concept prospective phase I/II trial. After the HCT preparative regimen was completed, opebacan was initiated 18-36 hours prior to administration of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cells (defined as Day 0) and continued for 72 hours. The trial was to have included escalation of rBPI₂₁ dose and duration but was stopped prematurely

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 1
21 Dec 2015	view	view

1. **Alan Cross**, University of Maryland, Baltimore, USA

2. **Brett P. Giroir**, Texas Medical Center, Houston, USA

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

due to lack of further drug availability. Therefore, to better understand the clinical course of opebacan-treated patients (n=6), we compared their outcomes with a comparable cohort meeting the same eligibility criteria and enrolled in a non-interventional myeloablative HCT observational study (n = 35). Opebacan-treated participants had earlier platelet engraftment (p=0.005), mirroring beneficial effects of rBPI₂₁ previously observed in irradiated mice, fewer documented infections (p=0.03) and appeared less likely to experience significant regimen-related toxicities (p=0.05). This small pilot experience supports the potential utility of rBPI₂₁ in ameliorating HCT-related morbidity and merits further exploration.

Keywords

endotoxin , innate immunity , allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation , regimen-related toxicity , infection , engraftment

Corresponding author: Eva Guinan (eva_guinan@dfci.harvard.edu)

Competing interests: XOMA held the study IND. XOMA personnel, ECG, OL and LLB collaboratively designed the opebacan study and data collection forms. XOMA had no role in the current comparative study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or manuscript preparation other than thoughtful review by Dr. Scannon. XOMA has supplied research support for the OL laboratory.

Grant information: This work was supported by the Dana Foundation (Guinan and Levy PIs) and NIH NHLBI R21 HL089659 (Guinan PI). OL is also supported by an interal BCH award to the Precision Vaccines Program. As the treatment study IND (Investigational New Drug) was held by the sponsor, XOMA (US) LLC, they were active participants in preparation of the opebacan treatment protocol. As stated in the Competing interest, XOMA provided the drug but no funding. They have had no role in the conception, initiation or implementation of the observational study or the comparative data reported here.

Copyright: © 2015 Guinan E *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

How to cite this article: Guinan E, Avigan DE, Soiffer RJ *et al.* **Pilot experience with opebacan/rBPI₂₁ in myeloablative hematopoietic cell transplantation [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2015, 4:1480 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7558.1>

First published: 21 Dec 2015, 4:1480 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7558.1>

Introduction

Regimen-related toxicities, including infection, organ damage, and acute graft-versus-host disease (aGVHD), remain significant barriers to successful allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT). The “cytokine storm” hypothesis – that regimen-related injury to host cells creates a pro-inflammatory environment contributing to aGVHD and other toxicities – has been well substantiated in various experimental models^{1,2}. One consequence of such host cell injury, specifically gastrointestinal (GI) damage from myeloablative therapy, results in leakage of bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS), also referred to as endotoxin, into the systemic circulation in both mice and humans undergoing HCT^{3,4}. LPS, which is a constituent of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria⁵ is one of the most potent inflammatory stimuli in humans, and LPS-induced production of pro-inflammatory and Th1-polarizing cytokines has been linked to subsequent aGVHD in model systems^{6–8}. Moreover, administration of a synthetic LPS antagonist for 6 days starting from the day of transplantation reduced TNF α production, intestinal damage, aGVHD and mortality after murine myeloablative HCT while preserving the graft vs. leukemia (GvL) effect^{7,9}.

Upon entry into the systemic circulation, LPS is recognized by proteins that enhance its activity by shepherding LPS to its major tripartite pro-inflammatory cell surface receptor composed of *Toll*-like receptor 4 (TLR4), CD14 and MD-2^{10–13}. This multi-step delivery system amplifies the effect of small amounts of LPS. Given the resulting potency of LPS, there are also numerous mechanisms, including clearance, detoxification and neutralization, that decrease LPS-mediated inflammation^{10–13}. Antimicrobial proteins and peptides (APPs) associated with neutrophil granules provide a potent source of LPS neutralizing activity¹⁴. One of these granule constituents, BPI, is a cationic 55 kDa protein with high affinity for the lipid A region common to most LPS variants^{15,16}. Among APPs, including defensins, BPI is a particularly potent LPS inhibitor active at nanomolar concentrations¹⁷. Binding of BPI to LPS precludes LPS binding to both lipopolysaccharide-binding protein (LBP) and LPS receptors such as TLR4, thus inhibiting LPS-induced inflammation, including TNF α production¹⁸.

Recombinant N-terminal fragments of BPI (including rBPI₂₃ and rBPI₂₁ [opebacan, NEUPREX®]) possessing the LPS-binding and LPS-neutralizing activity of native BPI were developed by XOMA (US) LLC (Berkeley, CA) as anti-infective agents for use in sepsis and other infectious disease indications. These BPI congeners have potent *in vitro* endotoxin-neutralizing activity¹⁵ and have demonstrated efficacy in multiple animal models of endotoxemia^{19–23}. In human trials, intravenous (IV) administration of either rBPI₂₃ or rBPI₂₁ has appeared safe and non-immunogenic^{24–27} and ameliorated LPS-induced changes in parameters including cardiac index, cytokine release and coagulation. rBPI₂₁ and rBPI₂₃ have bactericidal activity and exhibit synergy with conventional antibiotics, including activity against antibiotic-resistant bacteria^{18,28}.

We have proposed that opebacan may be most beneficial for individuals deficient in endogenous BPI²⁹. Myeloablative HCT, during which recipient experiences simultaneous endotoxemia and neutropenia^{3,4,30}, represents a condition where the LPS:BPI ratio is high. To further pursue the hypothesis that providing additional LPS-neutralizing

activity would abrogate LPS-related toxicity when systemic LPS is present and endogenous BPI is inadequate, we undertook a Phase I/II study of opebacan during myeloablative HCT to investigate safety and preliminary correlative clinical and laboratory data strategic decisions related to inflammation and regimen-related toxicity. The sponsor prematurely discontinued the study because of strategic decisions related to unanticipated insufficient drug supply. In order to generate hypotheses for future work and to begin to describe the effects of rBPI₂₁ in this setting, we therefore compared the outcomes of the completed cohort of opebacan-treated participants with those of individuals meeting the same eligibility criteria and enrolled in a non-interventional study of innate immunity after myeloablative HCT.

Material and methods

Study design and eligibility. From 9/2007–7/2008, sequential eligible patients scheduled to undergo allogeneic HCT were offered participation (SM Figure 1) in an open-label, dose-finding study of opebacan (NCT00454155) at the Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia (protocol CHP 871), the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC) and the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute/Brigham and Women’s Hospital (DFCI, BWH) (all protocol 06155). Eligibility criteria included: use of a myeloablative regimen (total body irradiation [TBI] ≥ 1000 cGy or busulfan ≥ 14 mg/kg PO or IV equivalent); Lansky or Karnofsky performance score $>80\%$; first HCT; no active infection. Additional criteria included: room air oxygen saturation $>95\%$; serum creatinine $<1.5\times$ upper limit of normal (ULN); AST and ALT $\leq 3\times$ ULN and total bilirubin $\leq 1.5\times$ ULN; normal cardiac shortening or ejection fraction; no history of congestive heart failure; normal cardiac troponin T level; cumulative anthracycline exposure <300 mg/m². Exclusion criteria included: use of cord blood cells; T-cell depletion regimen; prophylactic antibiotics beyond standard practice; or planned heparin anticoagulation or dextran sulfate use (both antagonize opebacan activity) during opebacan infusion. The study was originally open to those <60 years and modified to have a lower limit of >18 years. The study was approved by the DFCI and the Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia Institutional Review Boards (IRBs). All participants and/or legal guardians gave written consent and/or assent.

The original interventional study design included 5 cohorts (each $n=6$) with sequential escalation of opebacan dose and duration (Supplementary material: Figure 2 and Opebacan trial protocol 06155). Opebacan was administered via central IV catheter after completion of the myeloablative regimen and ≥ 18 and ≤ 36 hours prior to donor cell infusion. In order to reach a steady-state plasma level rapidly, an initial bolus was followed by continuous IV infusion.

The protocol anticipated comparisons between 5 cohorts. However, the study was discontinued after the first cohort based on a strategic manufacturing decision by the sponsor that precluded sufficient drug availability to complete planned accrual. To better understand the outcomes of cohort 1, we therefore identified a comparison group (COMP, $n=35$) enrolled on a non-interventional, sample collection study of endotoxin-related innate immunity after HCT who met eligibility criteria for the opebacan trial. COMP participants had been recruited prospectively from 8/2005–7/2009 at Boston Children’s Hospital (BCH), DFCI and BWH onto protocol 05127

(Supplementary material: Comparison group protocol 05127), approved by the DFCI IRB. Comparison of the two data sets was IRB approved. All participants and/or legal guardians gave written consent and/or assent.

Patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. Endpoints were defined according to the opebacan protocol (Supplementary material: Opebacan trial protocol 06155). All tests were conducted in clinical laboratories per routine. Day 0 was defined as the day of cell infusion. Engraftment was defined as the first of 3 consecutive

days with absolute neutrophil count (ANC) of $\geq 500/\mu\text{L}$. Platelet recovery was defined as the first of 7 consecutive days with untransfused platelet count $\geq 20,000/\mu\text{L}$. The maximal temperature ± 1 day of sample acquisition was recorded. Supportive care included acyclovir prophylaxis in herpes simplex sero-positive individuals, quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) screening for cytomegalovirus (CMV) reactivation followed by treatment if indicated, and antifungal prophylaxis. Participants received “gut decontamination” consisting either of oral non-absorbable antibiotics or oral or intravenous fluoroquinolone, per the treating medical team. aGVHD was graded according to modified Glucksberg³¹. Per FDA practice, toxicity was recorded for 30 days after drug completion (rounded to Day 35 post-HCT) on case report forms. Per institutional routine, toxicity data were collected through Day 100. Maximal severity of adverse events (AEs) was reported per NCI Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE), version 3.0. Infections were defined by positive blood culture; focal complaint or finding with positive culture from a normally sterile or clinically indicated site; or other confirmatory laboratory evaluation (e.g., PCR). Absent infection, a fever occurring during neutropenia was classified as febrile neutropenia. CMV viremia on routine screening absent a clinical complaint or CMV-relatable organ disease was denoted CMV reactivation. Biopsy-confirmed CMV was denoted CMV infection. Focal physical and/or radiologic findings without microbiological confirmation were scored culture-negative (i.e., possible) infections. *Clostridium difficile* in stool, as it is frequently present on HCT admission, was excluded from the infection analysis. Research staff with HCT expertise abstracted data.

Table 1. Participant characteristics.

Characteristics	Opebacan Study	Comparison Study	p-value*
	N (%)	N (%)	
Participants enrolled	6	35	
Age in years	17–55 (median 50)	17–60 (median 43)	p=0.16
Gender: M/F	2/4	21/14	p=0.38
Diagnosis:			p=1.00
Acute leukemia	3 (50)	17 (48)	
MDS/Myelofibrosis	1	6	
CML	2	6	
Lymphoma	-	4/1	
CLL	-	1	
Conditioning:			p=0.58
TBI/CY	4 (67)	29 (82)	
BU/CY	2	6	
Stem Cell Source:			
Related: Unrelated	3:3 (50)	25:10 (71)	p=0.36
PBSC	4 (67)	29 (83)	p=0.58
BM	2	5	
BM+PBSC	0	1	
GVHD prophylaxis:			p=1.00
MTX/CI	6	31	
Sirolimus/Tacrolimus	-	4	

Abbrev. MDS, myelodysplasia; CML, chronic myelogenous leukemia; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; TBI/CY, total body irradiation/Cyclophosphamide; BU/CY, Busulfan/Cyclophosphamide; PBSC, peripheral blood stem cells; BM, bone marrow; GVHD, graft vs. host disease; CI, calcineurin inhibitors; MTX, methotrexate;

*All p-values are Fisher's exact test except for age, which is derived by Wilcoxon Rank Sum.

Statistical analysis

We generated summary statistics of sample characteristics and outcomes for both cohorts. For between-group comparisons of proportion of subjects with toxicity, we used Fisher's exact test with exact p-values calculated directly from the hypergeometric distribution. For comparisons of toxicity rates we used Poisson regression with a generalized linear model with log (patient days) as offset and a single binary predictor for cohort. We present the p-value for the cohort effect i.e. the estimate divided by the standard error and fit to the standard normal. We used the Mantel-Cox log rank test for event rates and the Wilcoxon rank sum test for the age comparison. The software was the R statistical package v3.1.0 (R Institute, Vienna, 2014). In Table 1, the diagnosis of acute leukemia was compared to all other diagnoses and for stem cell source, PBSC were compared to BM and BM plus PBSC.

Results

Dataset 1. Opebacan-treated participant demographic, and transplant information as well as transplant-related toxicity

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.7558.d109174>

Dataset 2. COMP cohort participant demographic, and transplant information as well as transplant-related toxicity

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.7558.d109175>

Participant characteristics. Thirty sequential HCT candidates were screened for the opebacan interventional study. Of these, 24 were ineligible [non-myeloablative regimen (n=13), excessive anthracycline exposure (n=8) and enrollment on another trial (n=3)]. Six eligible patients enrolled. All completed the planned treatment. Of 54 individuals enrolled on the innate immunity observational study, 19 were ineligible for the opebacan trial and were excluded from the COMP cohort. Reasons for exclusion included: age (n=10), non-myeloablative regimen (n=5), relapse prior to HCT (n=2), and HCT cancellation (n=2). The remaining 35 constituted the COMP group. Both groups had complete follow-up to death or Day 100. Participant characteristics in both groups were similar with respect to age, gender, diagnosis, stem cell source and GVHD prophylaxis (Table 1). Conditioning in both groups favored TBI-containing regimens. All but one participant had an HLA matched donor (100% opebacan vs 97% COMP).

Opebacan treatment trial. Six participants were enrolled from 3 institutions into cohort 1. All received opebacan per protocol with 2 deviations [drug interrupted inadvertently for 30 minutes on treatment day 1 (1 patient) and drug discontinued 1 hour prematurely (1 patient)]. There were no infusional toxicities or serious adverse events (SAEs) related to study drug. Per the Investigator's Brochure, cardiotoxicity had rarely been observed in animal studies examining higher doses and longer durations of rBPI₂₁ than prescribed here. This had not been observed in prior clinical trials of rBPI congeners, including opebacan^{24–27,32}. Here, all participants had normal shortening or ejection fractions prior to study entry, and none developed clinical evidence of cardiotoxicity during infusion or thereafter. Participants had echocardiograms weekly through Day 30 or hospital discharge and again at Day 100. One participant (aged 17) had a shortening fraction decrease at Day 100 read by the echocardiographer as “mild left ventricular dysfunction”. This participant had no cardiac findings at last follow-up. The remaining 5 participants had normal ejection (measured in 5 of 5) and shortening (measured in 4 of 5) fractions at all time-points.

Clinical course. All opebacan and COMP participants had neutrophil engraftment (median Day 17 [range 14–28] vs Day 14 [range 10–30], respectively; $p=0.35$; Table 2). All participants met platelet engraftment criteria, with the exception of one COMP participant who died prior to achieving this endpoint. Opebacan-treated individuals had significantly more rapid platelet engraftment (median 12 days, range 10–26) than observed in COMP participants (median 19 days, range 13–109; $p=0.005$; Figure 1). There was no severe (Grade III/IV) aGVHD observed in opebacan participants. Grade III/IV aGVHD was observed in 4/35 (11%) of COMP participants.

Regimen-related toxicity. During the first 35 days, 4 opebacan-treated participants experienced at least one grade 3 toxicity for 10 aggregate grade 3 toxicities (4.8 toxicities/100 participant days at risk, Table 2). In the COMP group, we observed at least one grade 3 toxicity in 33 participants for 75 aggregate grade 3 toxicities (6.1 toxicities/100 participant days at risk). No grade 4 (life-threatening) toxicities were observed in the opebacan group in contrast to at least one grade 4 toxicity in 6 COMP participants (17%) for 8 aggregate grade 4 toxicities (0.7 toxicities/100 participant days at risk). No grade 5 toxicities were observed in either group. Thus, opebacan-treated participants experienced a lower rate of grade 3–5 toxicity

Figure 1. Opebacan-treated patients undergoing myeloablative HCT demonstrated more rapid platelet engraftment than the comparator group. Depicted is a box and whisker plot of the median (horizontal bar) and quartiles (bottom and top of boxes; 25th to 75th) for days to platelet engraftment (as defined in Methods) in opebacan treated and COMP participants. The opebacan treated group demonstrated more rapid platelet engraftment by Mantel-Cox log rank test; $p=0.005$.

through Day 35 than the COMP group (4/6 participants vs 34/35, respectively; $p=0.05$). In total, 10 (or 4.8/100 participant days at risk) and 83 (or 6.8/100 participant days at risk) grade 3–5 toxicities were observed in the opebacan and COMP groups, respectively ($p=0.29$).

To characterize the complete period for which acute HCT toxicities are commonly reported, we also analyzed toxicities during Day 36–100. During this period, we observed 2 and 7 grade 3 toxicities in the treatment and COMP groups, respectively (Table 2). Opebacan-treated participants experienced no grade 4–5 toxicities. Three COMP participants (9%) each experienced one grade 4 toxicity and 4 experienced a fatal (grade 5) toxicity. Thus, we observed 0.51 and 0.73 grade 3–5 toxicities/100 participant days at risk in the opebacan and COMP groups, respectively ($p=0.65$).

Infection. We first examined the incidence of possible or proven infection during the period of opebacan administration. One participant (not neutropenic) in the opebacan-treated group had fever (Day -1; 16.7%). Five of 35 COMP participants developed fever (median day +1; 14.3%) during this time, of whom 3 were not

Table 2. All regimen-related toxicities.

	Opebacan Study	Comparison Study	p-Value*
	N	N	
# Enrolled	6	35	
Total Patient Days per interval D0–35/D36–100	210/390	1225/1931	
Days to engraftment (median/range)			
ANC	17 (14–28)	14 (10–30)	p=0.35
PLT	12.5 (10–26)	19 (13–109)	p=0.005
Acute GVHD	Grade 0 = 1	Grade 0 = 17	p=0.81
	Grade 1 = 1	Grade 1 = 8	p=0.45
	Grade 2 = 4	Grade 2 = 6	p=0.21
	Grade 3 = 0	Grade 3 = 2	N/A
	Grade 4 = 0	Grade 4 = 2	N/A
Grade 3 toxicity ≤ day 35			
# patients	4	33	p=0.095
# and type of toxicities	10	75	p=0.46
	hypertension, mucositis, F/N, cellulitis at line site	hypertension, mucositis, F/N, pleural effusion, hepatitis, pneumonitis, DVT, VOD, infection	.
Grade 4 toxicity ≤ day 35			
# patients	0	6	p=0.57
# and type of toxicities	0	8	N/A
	-	mucositis, infection, non-VOD hyperbilirubinemia	
Grade 3–5 toxicity ≤ day 35**			
# patients	4	34	p=0.05
# toxicities	10	83	p=0.29
Grade 3 toxicity day 36–100			
# patients	1	6	p=1.00
# and type of toxicities	2	7	p=0.52
	infection	infection	
Grade 4 toxicity day 36–100			
# patients	0	3	p=1.00
# and type of toxicities	0	3	N/A
	-	infection, respiratory failure	
Grade 5 toxicity day 36–100			
# patients	0	4	p=1.00
# toxicities	0	4	N/A
day of death/cause	-	D39 – DAH; D40 – VOD; D71 – ARDS; D78 – RF	
Grade 3–5 toxicity day 36–100			
# patients	1	10	p=1.00
# toxicities	2	14	p=0.65
100 day mortality	0 (0)	4/35 (11 %)	p=1.00

Abbr: ANC, absolute neutrophil count; PLT, platelet, F/N= febrile neutropenia; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; RF, renal failure; VOD, veno-occlusive disease of liver; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; DAH, diffuse alveolar hemorrhage.

* All p-values determined by Fisher's exact test except for ANC and PLT which are by Mantel-Cox log rank.

**No grade 5 toxicity in either group ≤D 35.

neutropenic and one had bacteremia meeting sepsis criteria. No other sources for fever were identified. There was no statistically significant difference in occurrence of early fever.

We next examined all regimen-related toxicities classified as infections (Table 3). As opebacan was administered for only 72 hours peri-transplant, we again examined the early and later post-HCT intervals separately. Through Day 35 post-HCT, COMP participants were more likely to have positive cultures than those treated with opebacan ($p=0.03$). One opebacan participant had possible infection based on CVL-insertion site erythema and tenderness, but cultures were negative. In contrast, 6 possible (culture-negative) and 18 documented infections occurred in the COMP group. Of these, 14 were bacterial, including 10 bacteremias of which 4 fulfilled criteria for sepsis, 1 fungal and 3 viral.

In the Day 36–100 interval (Table 3), one opebacan participant developed concurrent bacteremia and urinary tract infection as well as oral HSV. COMP participants experienced 9 confirmed infections. Five were bacterial, including 4 bacteremias of which

2 fulfilled criteria for sepsis. There were also 4 viral infections. There was no statistically significant difference in infection incidence ($p=0.65$).

Discussion

Here we report the first experience of rBPI₂₁/opebacan administration to humans undergoing myeloablative allogeneic HCT. The drug appeared well tolerated, without attributable SAEs. Time to engraftment, incidence of regimen-related toxicities, including infection, and aGVHD appeared equivalent to or better than a contemporaneous comparison cohort meeting the opebacan trial eligibility criteria.

We have published that administration of rBPI₂₁ and daily enrofloxacin (a veterinary ciprofloxacin equivalent) is associated with significantly increased survival and accelerated hematopoietic recovery in a murine model of myeloablative TBI mimicking unintended radiation exposure (e.g. after a nuclear event)³⁰. In the murine model, single-fraction TBI was given, subcutaneous rBPI₂₁ treatment was initiated 24 hours after TBI, and no stem cells were

Table 3. Infections.

	Opebacan Cohort	Comparison Cohort	p-value
	N	N	(Fisher's/GLM)
Patients	6	35	
Days 0–35			
Culture Positive (Total)	0	18	$p=0.03$
Bacterial	0	14	$p=0.08$
		<i>Enterococcus</i> *# <i>S. epidermidis</i> *, <i>S. aureus</i> *#, <i>Streptococcus mitis</i> *	
Fungal	0	1	$p=1.00$
		<i>Neosartorya species</i> *	
Viral	0	3	$p=1.00$
		CMV*+	
Culture negative (Total)	1	6	$p=1.00$
Days 36–100			
Culture Positive (Total)	2	9	$p=0.65$
Bacterial	1	5	$p=1.00$
	<i>S. epidermidis</i> *#	<i>S. epidermidis</i> *, <i>S. aureus</i> *, <i>Enterococcus</i> *, <i>E. coli</i> #	
Fungal	0	0	-
Viral	1	4	$p=0.57$
	HSV^	CMV*§, parainfluenza%	
Culture negative (Total)	0	0	-

Abbrev. CMV, Cytomegalovirus; HSV-Herpes simplex virus; *E. coli*, *Escherichia coli*; *S. aureus*, *Staphylococcus aureus*; *S. epidermidis*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*.

* blood; # urine; + broncheolar lavage, ^ oral swab; § colon biopsy, % nasopharynx swab

administered to restore hematopoiesis. While there are significant differences between the murine TBI model and human HCT, the rapid hematopoietic reconstitution observed in rBPI₂₁-treated irradiated mice prompted our interest in the potential effects of rBPI₂₁ on engraftment. Consistent with the effects observed in the murine TBI model, we found the median time to platelet engraftment was significantly decreased (by one week) in opebacan-treated participants. Time to neutrophil engraftment was similar despite greater use of peripheral blood stem cells, which are associated with more rapid neutrophil and platelet engraftment^{33,34}, in COMP participants (86% vs 67% in opebacan participants). However, these are small groups and these results require confirmation.

Infection is one of the most common toxicities experienced by patients undergoing myeloablative HCT and one of, if not the major, contributors to non-relapse mortality^{35,36}. Documented infections in patients undergoing myeloablative HCT are largely Gram-positive^{35,36} as was observed here (Table 3). While both native BPI and rBPI₂₁ have well-recognized anti-infective activities toward Gram-negative bacteria³⁷, they also bind to and contribute anti-infective activities against Gram-positive organisms^{38,39}. Relevant mechanisms of action in both settings include membrane permeabilization, bacterial-toxin binding, facilitation of phagocytic opsonization and effects on membrane polarization^{37–39}. Cell wall-deficient (e.g. L-form) bacteria, which may contribute to culture-negative fevers in HCT patients, are also susceptible to BPI-mediated killing^{32,40}. Overall, the lower infection incidence observed in opebacan-treated participants appears consistent with BPI's antimicrobial properties as well as associations of BPI gene single nucleotide polymorphisms with infection risk after myeloablative HCT⁴¹.

Opebacan treatment was associated with less early, significant regimen-related toxicity ($p=0.05$). In addition, the opebacan group experienced only common regimen-related toxicities, such as febrile neutropenia and mucositis. In contrast, the toxicities noted in the COMP group included well-known but less frequent and more serious post-HCT complications such as hepatic veno-occlusive disease, pneumonitis and pleural effusions. Interestingly, low level endotoxemia has been associated with greater degrees of organ dysfunction in several other settings, including congestive heart failure, renal failure, and HIV infection^{42–44}. Moreover, lower levels of BPI in neutrophils have been associated with atherosclerotic disease severity⁴⁵, and BPI polymorphisms have been associated with bronchiolitis obliterans, a devastating HCT complication⁴⁶. In murine allogeneic HCT models, endotoxin has been shown to play a significant role in the incidence of aGVHD and regimen-related toxicity, and therapies mitigating endotoxin-mediated effects have decreased such effects^{7–9}. Our preliminary observations coupled with the literature thus support the hypothesis that rBPI₂₁-mediated endotoxin neutralization may limit the occurrence and severity of toxicities in human myeloablative HCT.

Although the findings reported here are novel and support the study hypotheses, several substantial limitations pertain. The number of subjects is small. In addition, while the groups compared above met the same eligibility criteria and underwent HCT during a similar timeframe, this was not a prospective randomized trial and there were no formal power calculations performed for the newly constituted comparison of outcomes. Finally, we were unable to

complete the original trial of increasing opebacan dose and duration due to long-term disruption of drug supply. Given that rBPI₂₁ has concentration-dependent effects²⁸, it is possible and perhaps likely that greater benefit would be observed with prolonged and/or higher dosing. These results suggest that opebacan can be safely administered to individuals undergoing myeloablative HCT. In addition, positive trends or significant findings with respect to time to platelet engraftment, and the incidence of regimen-related toxicity and infection are consistent with beneficial anti-infective, systemic and hematopoietic effects of BPI described previously. This pilot experience suggests that further investigation of the potential role of opebacan in mitigating toxicity and infection in this and other clinical settings characterized by reduced neutrophil quantity or quality is warranted.

Data availability

F1000Research: Dataset 1. Opebacan-treated participant demographic, and transplant information as well as transplant-related toxicity, [10.5256/f1000research.7558.d109174](https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.7558.d109174)⁴⁷

F1000Research: Dataset 2. COMP cohort participant demographic, and transplant information as well as transplant-related toxicity, [10.5256/f1000research.7558.d109175](https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.7558.d109175)⁴⁸

Consent

Written informed consent for participation including publication of deidentified information was obtained from all participants.

Author contributions

ECG and OL conceived the study and with PJS designed the experiments. ECG, DEA, RJS, NJB, LLB, IB, SB, AO and OL carried out the research. ECG, LLB, SB, AO, and OL prepared the first draft of the manuscript. All authors were involved in the revision of the draft manuscript and have agreed to the final content.

Competing interests

XOMA held the study IND. XOMA personnel, ECG, OL and LLB collaboratively designed the opebacan study and data collection forms. XOMA had no role in the current comparative study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or manuscript preparation other than thoughtful review by Dr. Scannon. XOMA has supplied research support for the OL laboratory.

Grant information

This work was supported by the Dana Foundation (Guinan and Levy PIs) and NIH NHLBI R21 HL089659 (Guinan PI). OL is also supported by an interal BCH award to the Precision Vaccines Program. As the treatment study IND (Investigational New Drug) was held by the sponsor, XOMA (US) LLC, they were active participants in preparation of the opebacan treatment protocol. As stated in the Competing interest, XOMA provided the drug but no funding. They have had no role in the conception, initiation or implementation of the observational study or the comparative data reported here.

Acknowledgements

We thank the individuals who generously consented to participate in these studies as well as medical and nursing staff for their able assistance.

Supplementary material

CONSORT 2010 Flow Diagram

Figure 1. Clinical trial participant flow diagram (CONSORT). Recruitment, enrollment and subsequent flow through the study for the clinical trial cohort (n=6) is shown.

Figure 2. Treatment schema of phase I/II opebecan (rBPI₂₁) study in patients undergoing myeloablative HCT. The study design included an IV bolus dose of 4mg/kg followed by daily IV administration of escalating doses (Cohorts 1–3) and extension of duration (Cohorts 4 and 5). Due to discontinuation of drug availability, only cohort 1 (i.e., 4 mg/kg IV bolus followed by 6mg/kg/day IV) was enrolled and completed.

Opebecan trial protocol 06155.

Comparison group protocol 05127.

References

- Antin JH, Ferrara JL: Cytokine dysregulation and acute graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. 1992; **80**(12): 2964–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Blazar BR, Murphy WJ: Bone marrow transplantation and approaches to avoid graft-versus-host disease (GVHD). *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*. 2005; **360**(1461): 1747–67.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Levy O, Teixeira-Pinto A, White ML, et al.: Endotoxemia and elevation of lipopolysaccharide-binding protein after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2003; **22**(11): 978–81.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Sauer M, Tiede K, Fuchs D, et al.: Procalcitonin, C-reactive protein, and endotoxin after bone marrow transplantation: identification of children at high risk of morbidity and mortality from sepsis. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2003; **31**(12): 1137–42.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Ulevitch RJ, Tobias PS: Recognition of gram-negative bacteria and endotoxin by the innate immune system. *Curr Opin Immunol*. 1999; **11**(1): 19–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Cooke KR, Hill GR, Crawford JM, et al.: Tumor necrosis factor- α production to lipopolysaccharide stimulation by donor cells predicts the severity of experimental acute graft-versus-host disease. *J Clin Invest*. 1998; **102**(10): 1882–91.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Cooke KR, Olkiewicz K, Erickson N, et al.: The role of endotoxin and the innate immune response in the pathophysiology of acute graft versus host disease. *J Endotoxin Res*. 2002; **8**(6): 441–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Cooke KR, Hill GR, Gerbitz A, et al.: Tumor necrosis factor- α neutralization reduces lung injury after experimental allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. *Transplantation*. 2000; **70**(2): 272–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Cooke KR, Gerbitz A, Crawford JM, et al.: LPS antagonism reduces graft-versus-host disease and preserves graft-versus-leukemia activity after experimental bone marrow transplantation. *J Clin Invest*. 2001; **107**(12): 1581–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Gioannini TL, Weiss JP: Regulation of interactions of Gram-negative bacterial endotoxins with mammalian cells. *Immunol Res*. 2007; **39**(1–3): 249–60.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Beutler B, Rietschel ET: Innate immune sensing and its roots: the story of endotoxin. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2003; **3**(2): 169–76.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Munford RS: Sensing gram-negative bacterial lipopolysaccharides: a human disease determinant? *Infect Immun*. 2008; **76**(2): 454–65.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Diks SH, van Deventer SJ, Peppelenbosch MP: Lipopolysaccharide recognition, internalisation, signalling and other cellular effects. *J Endotoxin Res*. 2001; **7**(5): 335–48.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Levy O: Antimicrobial proteins and peptides: anti-infective molecules of mammalian leukocytes. *J Leukoc Biol*. 2004; **76**(5): 909–25.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Gazzano-Santoro H, Parent JB, Grinna L, et al.: High-affinity binding of the bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein and a recombinant amino-terminal fragment to the lipid A region of lipopolysaccharide. *Infect Immun*. 1992; **60**(11): 4754–61.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Levy O: A neutrophil-derived anti-infective molecule: bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2000; **44**(11): 2925–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Levy O, Ooi CE, Elsbach P, et al.: Antibacterial proteins of granulocytes differ in interaction with endotoxin. Comparison of bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein, p15s, and defensins. *J Immunol*. 1995; **154**(10): 5403–10.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Weiss J, Elsbach P, Shu C, et al.: Human bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein and a recombinant NH₂-terminal fragment cause killing of serum-resistant gram-negative bacteria in whole blood and inhibit tumor necrosis factor release induced by the bacteria. *J Clin Invest*. 1992; **90**(3): 1122–30.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Lin Y, Kohn FR, Kung AH, et al.: Protective effect of a recombinant fragment of bactericidal/permeability increasing protein against carbohydrate dyshomeostasis and tumor necrosis factor- α elevation in rat endotoxemia.

- Biochem Pharmacol.* 1994; **47**(9): 1553–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
20. Røyg MA, Moldaver LL, Oldenburg HS, *et al.*: **Anti-endotoxin therapy in primate bacteremia with HA-1A and BPI.** *Ann Surg.* 1994; **220**(1): 77–85.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 21. Jin H, Yang R, Marsters S, *et al.*: **Protection against endotoxic shock by bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein in rats.** *J Clin Invest.* 1995; **95**(4): 1947–52.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 22. Dahlberg PS, Acton RD, Battafarano RJ, *et al.*: **A novel endotoxin antagonist attenuates tumor necrosis factor- α secretion.** *J Surg Res.* 1996; **63**(1): 44–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 23. Lin Y, Leach WJ, Ammons WS: **Synergistic effect of a recombinant N-terminal fragment of bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein and cefamandole in treatment of rabbit gram-negative sepsis.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 1996; **40**(1): 65–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 24. von der Möhlen MA, van Deventer SJ, Levi M, *et al.*: **Inhibition of endotoxin-induced activation of the coagulation and fibrinolytic pathways using a recombinant endotoxin-binding protein (rBPI₂₁).** *Blood.* 1995; **85**(12): 3437–43.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
 25. Bauer RJ, White ML, Wedel N, *et al.*: **A phase I safety and pharmacokinetic study of a recombinant amino terminal fragment of bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein in healthy male volunteers.** *Shock.* 1996; **5**(2): 91–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 26. Wierzer MJ, Langendoen SI, Meijer C, *et al.*: **Pharmacokinetics of a recombinant amino terminal fragment of bactericidal/permeability increasing protein (rBPI₂₁) after liver surgery in rats and humans.** *Shock.* 1998; **10**(3): 161–6; discussion 167–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 27. Levin M, Quint PA, Goldstein B, *et al.*: **Recombinant bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein (rBPI₂₁) as adjunctive treatment for children with severe meningococcal sepsis: a randomised trial.** *rBPI₂₁ Meningococcal Sepsis Study Group. Lancet.* 2000; **356**(9234): 961–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 28. Weitz A, Spotnitz R, Collins J, *et al.*: **Log reduction of multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria by the neutrophil-derived recombinant bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein.** *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* 2013; **42**(6): 571–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 29. Palmer CD, Guinan EC, Levy O: **Deficient expression of bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein in immunocompromised hosts: translational potential of replacement therapy.** *Biochem Soc Trans.* 2011; **39**(4): 994–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 30. Guinan EC, Barbon CM, Kalish LA, *et al.*: **Bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein (rBPI₂₁) and fluoroquinolone mitigate radiation-induced bone marrow aplasia and death.** *Sci Transl Med.* 2011; **3**(110): 110ra118.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 31. Glucksberg H, Storb R, Fefer A, *et al.*: **Clinical manifestations of graft-versus-host disease in human recipients of marrow from HL-A-matched sibling donors.** *Transplantation.* 1974; **18**(4): 295–304.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 32. Woo PC, Wong SS, Lum PN, *et al.*: **Cell-wall-deficient bacteria and culture-negative febrile episodes in bone-marrow-transplant recipients.** *Lancet.* 2001; **357**(9257): 675–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 33. Champlin RE, Schmitz N, Horowitz MM, *et al.*: **Blood stem cells compared with bone marrow as a source of hematopoietic cells for allogeneic transplantation. IBMTR Histocompatibility and Stem Cell Sources Working Committee and the European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation (EBMT).** *Blood.* 2000; **95**(12): 3702–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
 34. Bensinger WI, Martin PJ, Storer B, *et al.*: **Transplantation of bone marrow as compared with peripheral-blood cells from HLA-identical relatives in patients with hematologic cancers.** *N Engl J Med.* 2001; **344**(3): 175–81.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 35. Maschmeyer G, Ljungman P: **Infections in Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant Recipients.** In *Principles and Practice of cancer Infectious Diseases*, A Salfdar, Editor. Springer Science + Business media, LLC. 2011; 17–25.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 36. Poutsika DD, Price LL, Ucuzian A, *et al.*: **Blood stream infection after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation is associated with increased mortality.** *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2007; **40**(1): 63–70.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 37. Mannion BA, Weiss J, Elsbach P: **Separation of sublethal and lethal effects of the bactericidal/permeability increasing protein on *Escherichia coli*.** *J Clin Invest.* 1990; **85**(3): 853–60.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 38. Srivastava A, Casey H, Johnson N, *et al.*: **Recombinant bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein rBPI₂₁ protects against pneumococcal disease.** *Infect Immun.* 2007; **75**(1): 342–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 39. Domingues MM, Silva PM, Franquelim HG, *et al.*: **Antimicrobial protein rBPI₂₁-induced surface changes on Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria.** *Nanomedicine.* 2014; **10**(3): 543–51.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 40. Horwitz AH, Williams RE, Liu PS, *et al.*: **Bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein inhibits growth of a strain of *Acholeplasma laidlawii* and L forms of the gram-positive bacteria *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus pyogenes*.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 1999; **43**(9): 2314–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 41. Guinan EC, Palmer CD, Mancuso CJ, *et al.*: **Identification of single nucleotide polymorphisms in hematopoietic cell transplant patients affecting early recognition of, and response to, endotoxin.** *Innate Immun.* 2014; **20**(7): 697–711.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 42. Szeto CC, Kwan BC, Chow KM, *et al.*: **Endotoxemia is related to systemic inflammation and atherosclerosis in peritoneal dialysis patients.** *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2008; **3**(2): 431–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 43. Brenchley JM, Price DA, Schacker TW, *et al.*: **Microbial translocation is a cause of systemic immune activation in chronic HIV infection.** *Nat Med.* 2006; **12**(12): 1365–71.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 44. Wiedermann CJ, Kiechl S, Denzendorfer S, *et al.*: **Association of endotoxemia with carotid atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease: prospective results from the Bruneck Study.** *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1999; **34**(7): 1975–81.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 45. Bleijerveld OB, Wijten P, Cappadona S, *et al.*: **Deep proteome profiling of circulating granulocytes reveals bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein as a biomarker for severe atherosclerotic coronary stenosis.** *J Proteome Res.* 2012; **11**(11): 5235–44.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 46. Chien JW, Zhao LP, Hansen JA, *et al.*: **Genetic variation in bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein influences the risk of developing rapid airflow decline after hematopoietic cell transplantation.** *Blood.* 2006; **107**(5): 2200–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 47. Guinan E, Avigan DE, Soiffer RJ, *et al.*: **Dataset 1 in: Pilot experience with opebacan/rBPI₂₁ in myeloablative hematopoietic cell transplantation.** *F1000Research.* 2015.
[Data Source](#)
 48. Guinan E, Avigan DE, Soiffer RJ, *et al.*: **Dataset 2 in: Pilot experience with opebacan/rBPI₂₁ in myeloablative hematopoietic cell transplantation.** *F1000Research.* 2015.
[Data Source](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 20 January 2016

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.8138.r11661>

© 2016 Giroir B. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Brett P. Giroir

Health Policy Institute, Texas Medical Center, Houston, TX, USA

This manuscript details the first human experience utilizing rBPI21 during myeloablative HSC. This work follows several earlier publications indicating a role for LPS and induced cytokines in GVHD or other adverse outcomes after transplant in preclinical models. Also, the authors have previously publicized a very important manuscript detailing the positive effects of rBPI21 in a mouse model of ablative radiation.

The primary findings of this current study are that rBPI21 was safely tolerated in the small group of patients, and that there are suggestive findings of benefit when compared to a non-randomized but matched control population at the same institutions during the same time period. The authors adequately explain the limitations of the study design but also discuss the important implications of this study. The importance of this study is very high, since rBPI21 has a very clean safety profile in humans even during infusion into pediatric patients with septic shock, and could therefore represent a potential easily administered drug with a high therapeutic index in this population.

The authors could provide clarifying statements about the time course/kinetics of endotoxemia and LBP elevation in these patients. Are LPS levels high immediately post the ablation, or only become elevated upon infusion of cells, or later in the course? This would help explain the dosing regimen in the study.

In the next study, would there be consideration of a second rBPI infusion if there is severe acute GVHD, or is the mechanism of action only prophylactic in nature and not therapeutic during early GVHD?

Finally, although it is understood that there is concern over rBPI potential cardiotoxicity based on a high dose preclinical model, there was absolutely NO cardiotoxicity during human infusions, including into patients with septic shock - many of whom had pulmonary artery catheters and precise documentation of cardiac safety (no decrease in CI, SVRI, PVRI, etc.). To exclude a large group of BMT patients exposed to high dose anthracyclines (such as done in this study) may unnecessarily limit access to patients who might highly benefit from rBPI since GVHD is itself

documented in BMT patients to induce significant diastolic dysfunction and cardiomyopathy - which could be even worse in patients with pre-existing low ejection fractions or high exposure to cardiotoxic chemotherapy.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response () 04 Feb 2016

Eva Guinan, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, USA

We thank the reviewer for his thoughtful reading of the manuscript and giving us the opportunity to add additional information for readers.

1. We agree that measurements such as levels of endotoxin or endotoxin interactive proteins would enrich the manuscript. However, because the report uses a comparator cohort which was not part of the treatment study design, we have no cohort data to report for comparative purposes. Moreover, the treatment of this small cohort was only 3 days, and given the demographic and clinical heterogeneity of patients at the time of entry it would be difficult to demonstrate trends within patients. We had hoped to do so when the treatment dose and duration extended. Because this was an early phase study, we were focused on safety and PK issues, and the blood volumes for these studies were not permissive of frequent, additional samples for monitoring of efficacy biomarkers such as would have been needed with only a short treatment window.
2. The dosing regimen for this pilot study was chosen based on the original concept of the study which was intended to develop hypothesis-generating data on GVHD incidence, and there was therefore a desire to have rBPI21 present and exerting its effects on the host immune and microenvironment prior to infusion of donor cells. As the reviewer suggests, we quite uniformly observed elevation of endotoxin on day 0 (prior to the infusion of donor cells) in our prior descriptive work (ref 30 in this report). However, that study had weekly observations, and therefore there is little granularity about the exact course of endotoxemia in the days immediately prior to or shortly thereafter infusion. In a prior report using a different endotoxin detection assay (ref 3), endotoxemia was present only in 4 of 25 patients on day 0 although half of patients overall developed detectable endotoxemia during the first weeks post transplant.
3. The intent of the study was to look at increasing dose and duration of rBPI21 and thereby establish a safety and feasibility profile that would permit an improved and more focused therapeutic design in future work. The questions of GVHD mitigation and treatment remain theoretical at this time, as outlined in refs 1-9.
4. We agree with the reviewer's concern that issues related to potential for cardiac toxicity could be viewed as overblown. The manuscript does state that no cardiac toxicity had been seen in human studies and that the animal data was very

circumscribed in this regard. Because patients undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation frequently have a history of exposure to cardiotoxic agents prior to and often during transplant, we nonetheless thought it prudent in this Phase 1 safety study to exclude patients with potential for increased risk and observe treated patients carefully. We did not intend to infer that such patients should be excluded from treatment in subsequent clinical trials.

Competing Interests: None other than as stated in the report itself.

Reviewer Report 05 January 2016

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.8138.r11664>

© 2016 Cross A. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Alan Cross

Center for Vaccine Development, School of Medicine, University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD, USA

This proof-of-concept clinical study reports that the peri-HCT administration of a neutrophil-derived protein, BPI, that has endotoxin-neutralizing and antibacterial properties, is well-tolerated and may hasten platelet engraftment and reduce regimen-related toxicities. They did not measure circulating endotoxin levels or inflammation markers (e.g. sCD14 or CRP) to support the rationale for this study, although they do cite a publication by one of the co-authors (Ref 3) that supports this hypothesis. They may want to cite earlier studies by Jonathan Cohen that shows anti-endotoxin antibodies prevent acute GVHD in a murine model.

Competing Interests: I work on an anti-endotoxin vaccine that has been in Phase 1 clinical trials, but have not applied it to any studies of HCT.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response () 04 Feb 2016

Eva Guinan, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, USA

We thank the reviewer for his thoughtful reading of the manuscript and giving us the opportunity to add additional information for readers.

1. We agree that measurements such as endotoxin levels and levels of endotoxin or endotoxin interactive proteins would enrich the manuscript. However, because the report uses a comparator cohort which was not part of the treatment study design, we have no cohort data to report for comparative purposes. Moreover, the treatment

of this small cohort was only 3 days, and given the demographic and clinical heterogeneity of patients at the time of entry it would be difficult to demonstrate trends within patients. We had hoped to do so when the treatment dose and duration extended. Because this was an early phase study, we were focused on safety and PK issues, and the blood volumes for these studies were not permissive of additional samples for frequent monitoring of efficacy biomarkers.

2. The data reported in references 3 and 30 helped us to formulate the rationale for the study as well as the rich literature regarding inflammation and GVHD. We agree that in addition to refs 1-9 (some of which are helpful reviews containing references to additional relevant literature) Bayston *et al.* (1991) and Abdul-Hai *et al.* (2006) are helpful additional references demonstrating the effects of antibody-mediated strategies to limit endotoxin bioactivity on GVHD in murine models.

Competing Interests: None other than as stated in the report itself.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research