RESEARCH ARTICLE

Deep sequencing revealed molecular signature of horizontal gene transfer of plant like transcripts in the mosquito

Anopheles culicifacies: an evolutionary puzzle [version 1; peer review: 2 approved, 1 approved with reservations]

Punita Sharma^{1,2}, Tanwee Das De¹, Swati Sharma¹, Ashwani Kumar Mishra³, Tina Thomas¹, Sonia Verma¹, Vandana Kumari¹, Suman Lata¹, Namita Singh², Neena Valecha¹, Kailash Chand Pandey¹, Rajnikant Dixit ¹

¹Host-Parasite Interaction Biology Group, National Institute of Malaria Research, Delhi, India

²Nano and Biotechnology Department, Guru Jambheshwar University, Haryana, India

³NxGenBio Lifesciences, Delhi, India

v1 First published: 30 Dec 2015, 4:1523
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7534.1>

Latest published: 30 Dec 2015, 4:1523
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7534.1>

Abstract

In prokaryotes, horizontal gene transfer (HGT) has been regarded as an important evolutionary drive to acquire and retain beneficial genes for their survival in diverse ecologies. However, in eukaryotes, the functional role of HGTs remains questionable, although current genomic tools are providing increased evidence of acquisition of novel traits within non-mating metazoan species. Here, we provide another transcriptomic evidence for the acquisition of massive plant genes in the mosquito, *Anopheles culicifacies*. Our multiple experimental validations including genomic PCR, RT-PCR, real-time PCR, immuno-blotting and immuno-florescence microscopy, confirmed that plant like transcripts (PLTs) are of mosquito origin and may encode functional proteins. A comprehensive molecular analysis of the PLTs and ongoing metagenomic analysis of salivary microbiome provide initial clues that mosquitoes may have survival benefits through the acquisition of nuclear as well as chloroplast encoded plant genes. Our findings of PLTs further support the similar questionable observation of HGTs in other higher organisms, which is still a controversial and debatable issue in the community of evolutionists. We believe future understanding of the underlying mechanism of the feeding associated molecular responses may shed new insights in the functional role of PLTs in the mosquito.

Keywords

Mosquito , feeding , Salivary gland , Plant like transcripts , microbial flora , malaria

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3
version 1
30 Dec 2015	view	view	view

1. **Vas Dev**, Indian Council of Medical Research(ICMR), Guwahati, India

2. **Luis Boto**, Spanish National Research Council (MNCN-CSIC), Madrid, Spain

3. **Manuel Corpas** , Repositve Ltd, Cambridge, UK

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Rajnikant Dixit (rkd1976.rajnikant@gmail.com)

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: Work in the laboratory is supported by Department of Biotechnology (DBT), Government of India (BT/HRD/35/02/01/2009) and Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), Government of India (5/87(301)v2011ECD-II), RKD is a recipient of a DBT sponsored Ramalingaswami Fellowship. The authors thank NIMR for setting a new laboratory. Punita Sharma is recipient of DBT Research Fellowship (DBT-JRF/10-11/284).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2015 Sharma P *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Sharma P, Das De T, Sharma S *et al.* **Deep sequencing revealed molecular signature of horizontal gene transfer of plant like transcripts in the mosquito *Anopheles culicifacies*: an evolutionary puzzle [version 1; peer review: 2 approved, 1 approved with reservations]** F1000Research 2015, 4:1523 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7534.1>

First published: 30 Dec 2015, 4:1523 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7534.1>

Introduction

Horizontal gene transfer (HGT), an evolutionary force that modulates the movement of genetic information between distantly related organisms, is well accepted in prokaryotes^{1–3}. However, unlike prokaryotes, uncovering the functional role of HGT from eukaryotes to eukaryotes remains challenging⁴. Nevertheless, the number of well-supported cases of HGT are rapidly increasing, but one fundamental question, whether observed HGT in the genome or transcriptome of higher eukaryotes is pseudogenetic^{5–7} or plays any important role in the evolution in complex metazoans, still remains unclear^{8–10}.

Currently, next-generation sequencing is emerging as an important tool to discover and understand the evolutionary relationship of the molecular codes identified from non-model organisms^{11–15}. Recently, a series of good review articles have been published, where authors critically argued and discussed that HGT from symbiotic/free-living organisms^{1,4,16,17}, can be an important mechanism to drive the acquisition of novel traits. However, documenting the role of HGTs may be more controversial for the massive gene transfer within non-mating interspecies of complex metazoans^{4,18–20}.

One of the most debatable and contradictory HGT being argued is the massive transfer of algal nuclear and chloroplast encoded genes to mollusks^{18,19}. In fact, it has long been documented that the herbivore sea slug *Elysia chlorotica* carries a unique ability to harvest plastids (absence of nuclei) from its heterokont algal prey, *Vaucheria litorea*, and keeps plastids for several months in the digestive tract for long-term maintenance of photosynthesis and development. To explain this complex interaction, a possible hypothesis of the HGT of algal genes in the gut of the mollusk is under extensive investigation. Although, a series of recent transcriptomic analyses provide supportive evidences of massive HGTs, however the inability to find evidence of the algal associated nuclear genes in the egg genome further leaves an open question over HGT's role in evolution¹⁸.

Mosquitoes that transmit many deadly infectious diseases, e.g. malaria, dengue, chikungunya etc. are emerging as a valuable model to understand multi-taxon interactions²¹. For entomologists, unraveling the molecular and evolutionary complexity associated with dual feeding behavior in adult female mosquitoes, remains one of the unresolved central questions. Acquisition of nectar sugar by adult mosquitoes (in both sexes) is essential for regular metabolic energy, while blood meal by adult female mosquitoes is needed for egg production and life cycle maintenance²². The evolution of blood feeding is believed to have arisen independently over 145–165 million years ago from herbivore insects^{23,24}, which might have favored the evolution of the specialized feeding organ system such as proboscis²⁵, enlarged salivary glands²⁶, facilitating the fast acquisition of nutrient rich blood meal from vertebrate host.

For the last few years, we have investigated the salivary associated molecular factors that affect mosquito feeding behavior and *Plasmodium* transmission^{27,28}. *Anopheles culicifacies* exists as a complex of at least five sibling species (A, B, C, D, E) with wide distribution^{29,30} and acts as an important rural malarial vector causing more than 65% of malaria cases in India. We believe that malaria transmission by *A. culicifacies* in rural areas could be attributed to its strong

adaptation towards agricultural plain areas. However, there is no molecular explanation that exists in relation to feeding behavior, evolution and adaptation preference to the plain area. Therefore, to understand the complex biology and molecular genetics of this mosquito, recently we have initiated a series of multi-tissue transcriptomic studies. In our recent RNAseq analysis, we demonstrated for the first time that salivary glands are evolved with unique ability to meet and manage dual (sugar or blood) meal specific responses in the mosquito *A. culicifacies*³¹. However, unexpectedly during functional annotation of the salivary RNAseq database we also observed a cluster of plant like transcripts (PLTs) for which nature of origin remains unclear. Here, in the present investigation, we aimed to predict and examine the molecular nature, origin and evolutionary relationship of these putative PLTs. Multiple validations by PCR, real time PCR, coupled with immunoblot analysis and immuno-fluorescence assay (IFA) provide strong evidence that PLTs are of mosquito origin and may encode active proteins for specific functions. Phylogenomic analysis predicts that adaptation to the nectar sugar uptake might have favored the acquisition of PLTs, possibly a unique case of HGTs in the mosquito. To the contrary over HGTs role in evolution, our investigation provides another evidence of the massive transfer of genes from plant to mosquito *A. culicifacies*. A comprehensive molecular analysis of the PLTs and ongoing metagenomic analysis of tissue associated microbiome provide initial evidence of how the mosquito evolved and adapted for feeding over plant host. To the best of our knowledge this is the first study defining the unique relationship of mosquito-plant-microbe interactions.

Results & discussion

In an attempt to clarify the molecular complexity associated with dual feeding behavior evolution in the mosquito, currently we are focused on sequencing, generating and annotating large scale transcriptomic databases of the mosquito feeding machinery components, e.g. salivary glands, midgut, olfactory tissues etc. In fact mosquito salivary glands are bi-lobed single epithelial layered organs that initiate biochemical communication to the plant or vertebrate hosts. Over the last decade, several investigations in adult female mosquitoes have been valuable in identifying salivary specific molecular factors that facilitate fast blood meal uptake from a vertebrate host³². But how salivary glands manage dual meal (sugar vs. blood) specific molecular responses remains unclear. Our recent RNAseq based comparative salivary transcriptomic analysis demonstrated that adult female mosquito salivary glands are evolved with a unique ability to manage and facilitate meal specific responses³¹.

Pilot discovery of plant like transcripts

Interestingly, but unexpectedly our study³¹ also revealed the presence of 537 putative transcripts encoding plant like proteins associated with the sugar fed library, but absent in the blood fed salivary transcriptome database (Figure 1A; Supplementary material ST1). The surprising discovery of these transcripts, which we labeled as plant like transcripts (PLTs), raised several puzzling, but arguable questions that prompted us to clarify: (i) whether the PLTs are of mosquito origin; (ii) if they are expressed in the mosquito tissues and/or other developmental stages (iii) if expressed in mosquito, what is the possible evolutionary and functional correlation of these transcripts in feeding and (iv) whether these transcripts have any molecular relationship to plant-mosquito-microbe

BLAST2GO: Annotation

(A)

(B)

Figure 1. Mosquito encodes Plant like proteins. (A) BLAST2GO based Species distribution analysis of sugar and blood fed mosquito salivary transcriptomic database. Green star mark indicates the name of plant species, best match to the NR database in the sugar fed salivary transcriptome. Confirmation of the nature of Origin (B): RT-PCR expression of PLTs during aquatic development of the mosquitoes; (C–E): Real-Time PCR based developmental expression analysis of PLTs viz. Cysteine protease; Expansin; Aquaporin; (F): PCR based genomic DNA amplification of PLTs; S7: Ribosomal Protein S7; Aqp: Aquaporin; Cp: Cysteine protease; Dhyd/Dhy: Dehydrin; Exp: Expansin [(b): Exp1/Exp2: Experiment 1 & 2; M: Male; F: Female; E: Egg; L1–L4: Larval stages L1–L4; P: Pupae; (c) M: 100 bp Marker; NTC: No Template Control].

interactions/symbiotic associations. To uncover the molecular nature and possible functions of the putative PLTs, in the present investigation we performed a systematic and comprehensive analysis of PLTs, revealing a unique case of the massive transfer of HGTs from plant to mosquito.

PLTs are of mosquito origin

First, to confirm the nature of the PLTs' origin, we did a deep enquiry with technical staff and confirmed that under standard rearing facilities, mosquitoes are never exposed to any plant material. To further rule out the possibilities of any contamination, we separately maintained the experimental mosquitoes as detailed in the methodology section. For technical validation of the PLTs' origin, we conducted a series of experiments: (i) in two independent experiments, we examined and verified the RT-PCR based expression of at least 10 selected PLTs (Figure 1B; Supplementary material S1A), in the salivary glands of adult male and female mosquitoes; (ii) interestingly, we also observed that PLT expression is not only restricted to the mosquito tissues, but is also expressed during the aquatic developmental stages viz. egg, larva, and pupa of the laboratory reared

mosquitoes (Figure 1B). Our relative gene expression analysis revealed that PLTs are more dominantly expressed in the egg, pupa and adult than larval stages (Figure 1C–E). Although, mosquito egg and pupa stages are metabolically active, and do not take any food material, we suspected that the filter paper being used for mosquito egg laying may be a potential source of environmental contamination carry over. To clarify this doubt, we collected a small piece of moistened filter paper in RNA isolation solution (Trizol) and re-examined PLT expression along with other developmental stages. Absence of any amplification even after 35 PCR cycles, in the filter paper cDNA sample showed no sign of contamination (Supplementary material S1A); (iii) we also observed positive amplification of selected PLTs through genomic DNA PCR (Figure 1F); (iv) we further carried out the functional validation of one of the plant homolog PLTs encoding dehydrin protein, by immunoblot analysis as well as immuno-fluorescence assay (Figure 2A–G); (v) lastly, from ongoing annotation of another independent transcriptome sequence database originated from non-salivary tissue i.e. olfactory (OLF) tissue of adult female mosquito *A. culicifacies* (Das De T., Sharma P., Thomas T., Pandey KC., Dixit R. unpublished data), we were able

(A)

Figure 2. Functional validation of mosquito encoded Plant homolog Dehydrin. (A) Web based functional prediction of putative domain of salivary transcript encoding plant homolog dehydrin like protein; (B–C) Molecular and phylogenetic relation of mosquito encoded (Ac-Dehydrin with other plant dehydrins (dotted circle represents the conserved K/red circle and S/blue circle segments), a unique feature of plant dehydrins (see text); (D) Mosquito dehydrin alignment of K-segment sequence with wheat and synthetic Dehydrin sequence used for antibody generation; (E) Real-Time PCR based developmental expression analysis of *AcDehydrin*, (F) Immunoblot analysis of Ac-Dehydrin expression during the development of the mosquito: Anti-dehydrin antibody recognize three protein bands of expected size (28, 52 & 63 kDa) in the control wheat seedling samples (W). Mosquito samples included Egg, Larval stages (L1, L2, L3), Pupa (P), Male (M) and Female (F); In egg stage two major forms of dehydrin bands were seen (≥ 62 kDa and 26 kDa). Further in case of larva, pupa, female, the presence of 26 kDa and 22 kDa bands suggested that two isoforms of dehydrin were present. In male, it appeared that less expression of 26 kDa form of dehydrin was seen in the western blot. Lane B represents Negative reference includes bacterial protein sample. The calculated molecular weight of 206 AA long salivary protein Ac-dehydrin is 23 kDa, which was closely similar in the larval stages. In fact dehydrins are characterized by conserved K-segment comprising consensus KIKEKLPG sequence towards the C-terminus and may be repeated one to many times, encoding variable size proteins ranging from 9 -200 kDa (see text for detail); (G) Immuno-fluorescence assay: IFA analysis of Plant anti-dehydrin antibody binding and detection in the mosquito egg **Panel-A & B** and pupa **Panel-C & D**. The FITC labeled samples were observed and captured under bright field (BF), dark field (DF+FITC) and with FITC fluorescence signal only. Green fluorescence image (Panel-A or Panel-C) shows the presence of dehydrin expression in the mosquito. A corresponding image (Panel-B or Panel-D) of the samples represents the negative control samples processed under identical conditions, excluding primary anti-dehydrin antibody treatment only (see methods).

to observe similar PLTs (**Supplementary material S1B**); (v) finally to test whether PLT expression is associated with feeding machinery components, we monitored the relative expression of PLTs in four tissues that included salivary glands, midgut, olfactory tissue and hemocytes, collected from 3–4 days old naïve adult female mosquitoes, by real-time PCR (**Figure 5A**). Interestingly, we not only observed that PLTs are dominantly expressed in the tissues associated with mosquito feeding machinery (olfactory tissue, salivary gland and midgut), but also noticed a significant down regulation in response to blood meal in the salivary glands (**Figure 5A,B**), evidencing that the mosquito genome may code plant like proteins.

Mosquito encoded plant-homolog dehydrin: a functional validation

Dehydrins are a group 2 member of late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins, originally identified from land plants, and known to be associated with desiccation (water stress) tolerance³³. In fact LEA proteins were thought to be restricted to plants and other lower eukaryotes viz. cyanobacteria, algae, but now they have also been identified in other animals including insects³⁴. Dehydrins are

evolutionarily conserved proteins acclimated to low-temperatures (LT) that allow efficient tolerance to drought and cold stress among photosynthetic as well as some non-photosynthetic organisms such as yeast^{35–38}. Dehydrins are characterized by lysine rich conserved K-segment comprising consensus amino acid sequence EKKGIM-DKIKEKLPG, towards the C-terminus that may be repeated many times to encode 9 -200 kDa protein^{39–42}. This unique feature renders these proteins cationic, providing cryoprotective activity towards freezing sensitive enzymes⁴³. The biochemical characterization of a novel cryoprotective protein in freeze-tolerant *Eurosta solidaginis* larvae shows dehydrin like activities⁴⁴, but a true homolog of dehydrin is yet to be verified.

Mosquito dehydrins have not been reported so far, though a putative transcript AGAP000328 has been predicted from the mosquito *A. gambiae* genome, carrying (PF00257 domain) a signature of dehydrin like proteins (**Supplementary material S2**). Finding PLT encoding proteins associated with dehydration stress e.g. dehydrin, aquaporin, expansin etc. encouraged us to further examine their possible functions in the mosquito *A. culicifacies*. A comprehensive

molecular analysis of the identified transcript *AcDehydrin* showed 100% identity to the plant dehydrin, having two conserved lysine rich K-segments (Figure 2A–D). In our relative gene expression analysis, we observed a constitutive expression of *AcDehydrin*, throughout the aquatic developmental as well as adult stages of the mosquito (Figure 2E), indicating that *AcDehydrin* transcript may encode a putative functional protein.

For functional validation of *AcDehydrin* protein, we examined the developmental expression of the dehydrin protein through immuno-blotting assay using rabbit antiserum containing anti-dehydrin antibody, raised against conserved K-segment sequence TGEKKGIMDKIKEKLPGQH (Figure 2D) of plant dehydrin⁴⁰ (kind gift from Dr. Timothy Close). In our experiments we used wheat seedling protein sample as positive reference control. The anti-dehydrin antibody not only recognized the expected (28, 53 and 62 kDa) protein band in the wheat samples⁴⁵, but also identified at least two equivalent proteins (28 and 62kDa) abundantly expressing in different mosquito developmental stages viz. egg, adult male and female mosquitoes (Figure 2F). Additionally, we were also able to observe multiple isoforms ranging from (~10 ->70 kDa) expressing at low level in different developmental stages, an expected unique feature of dehydrin to form macromolecular structures^{39–42}. Finally, immuno-fluorescence assay not only corroborated the abundant expression in the egg, but also suggested that mosquito encoded *AcDehydrin* protein may play a crucial role in the stress tolerance and survival of the embryo in the egg (Figure 2G).

Like other LEAs, dehydrins accumulate to high amounts in plant embryos, but remain undetectable in other vegetative tissues until their exposure to dehydration stress. The stress exposure results in their rapid induction and binding to multiple proteins, probably through intramolecular hydrogen bonding to protect tissue damage from dehydration/cold stress⁴⁶. In fact, we also find another key transcript, encoding a putative protein named expansin, a member of plant cell wall-loosening proteins. These proteins are known to be involved in cell enlargement and developmental processes requiring cell-wall modification⁴⁷. Like dehydrin, a real-time PCR analysis of expansin also showed dominant expression in the egg, as compared to other developmental stages (Supplementary material S2B). Taken together, we hypothesize that the mosquito *A. culicifacies* may have survival benefits of cold stress tolerance as well as developmental regulation, similar to plants. Future studies involving dsRNA mediated gene silencing may unravel molecular and functional relationship of the PLTs controlling feeding and adaptation phenotypes in the mosquito^{48,49}.

Phylogenomic analysis of plant like transcripts

Next, to understand the possible evolutionary relationship we performed an extensive phylogenomic analysis of a few selected transcripts. To do this, first we retrieved and analyzed all plant-homolog putative transcripts (537 PLTs), and performed an extensive BLASTX analysis against either the NR database or an insect specific database at NCBI (<http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>), and further characterized three categories of transcript(s) (i) one transcript: encoding highly conserved alpha-tubulin (cytoskeleton associated protein), showing highest identity to plant and insect (>95% and

85–90%, respectively); (ii) two transcripts: encoding aquaporin (water channel membrane protein)⁵⁰ and active site of the cysteine protease (protein chewing enzyme)⁵¹ showing highest identity to plant (>90%) and 40–52% identity to insect (iii) two transcripts: encoding dehydrin (cold stress response protein)⁴⁶ and expansin (plant cell wall loosening protein)⁵² only matched to plants, but remained unmatched to any insect database (Supplementary material S3 A–C).

The above results prompted to follow up the associated evolutionary consensus, favoring plant-mosquito relationship: a parallelism setting where different species from unrelated taxa faces the common selective pressure⁵³. Initial multiple sequence alignment analysis revealed significant heterogeneity (substitution/deletion) of amino acid residues, but also indicated unique conservation of insect or plant specific residues within the mosquito *A. culicifacies*, result a clade formation with plant species (Figure 3A,B; Supplementary material S4). Subsequently, we also tested whether the evolution of common traits from unrelated taxa owing to similar selection pressure favors adaptive significance.

A maximum likelihood (ML) estimation was applied to calculate and compare the site-wise likelihood (Δ SSLs) values between the two hypotheses, i.e. mosquito-mosquito species evolution (H_0) and mosquito-plant convergent adaptive evolution (H_1), for the selected PLTs. The site-wise log likelihood plot indicator, i.e. divergence towards negative (Δ SSLs) was compared with LRT (likelihood ratio test), using the parametric bootstrap at 1000 replicate analysis (cut off p-value 5%). Final data analysis and comparison statistics favored the convergent hypothesis⁵⁴, demonstrating that mosquito *A. culicifacies* PLTs followed a convergent model favoring (H_1), an adaptive evolution for sugar feeding associated functional relationship with plants (Figure 3C; Supplementary material S4). Our analysis also supports the previous observations noted for the evolution of echolocating gene clusters among bats and bottlenose dolphins⁵⁵. Additionally, the predicted 3D structural analysis revealed fine conservation of the active functional domains in the mosquito and plant proteins e.g. cysteine protease (Figure 3D; Supplementary material S5). From these studies, we concluded that mosquito feeding associated genes are not only evolving actively, but also acquiring new genes (e.g. dehydrin, expansin), to adapt successfully over the plant host.

Feeding associated molecular complexity of ‘salivary-sugar-microbe’: A tripartite interaction

Insect-plant association represents one of the most dominant interactions over millions of years^{56–58}. These interactions are thought to play an important role in the co-evolution of molecular effector arms, enabling effective adaptation over each other⁵⁹. Uncovering of the molecular mechanisms of the herbivore insect-plant interaction has greatly facilitated the design of molecular strategies to save the valuable crops from insect pests^{60–63}. However, such studies have not given special attention to mosquitoes. From the unexpected findings of the mosquito PLTs, we interpreted that either studies in relation to the sugar feeding associated biology have largely been ignored²⁸ or the mosquito *A. culicifacies* may have evolved with more complex genetic architecture favoring evolution of several

(A)

(B)

Figure 3. Feeding associated molecular complexity of the mosquito salivary transcripts. (A) Molecular analysis of partial cDNA sequence encoding (100AA) Plant-like Cysteine protease active domain: Multiple sequence alignment showing molecular relationship of AcSgCp with plant (Green circle) as well as insects (Red circle) cysteine proteases: conserved residues (marked as ☆) as well as conserved active site residue (marked as ★). Green ★ represents conserved cysteine residues, which enables disulfide formation. Upward arrow mark ↑ represent unique plant specific amino-acids residues also conserved in the *Anopheles culicifacies*, while downward arrow mark ↓ represent unique insect specific residue conserved in *A. culicifacies* and only in *Solanum lycopersicum*. (B) The evolutionary history of AcSgCp inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method, favoring a clade formation with *S. lycopersicum* and other plant cysteine proteases. (C) Relationship between strength of convergent evolution favoring adaptive significance of feeding associated PLTs: A maximum likelihood (ML) estimation was applied to calculate and compare the sitewise likelihood (ΔSSLS) values between two, species evolution (H₀) and convergent adaptive evolution (H₁) hypothesis, for Cysteine protease (see text for details). (D) Structural comparison between predicted 3D structure of the mosquito, and solved structure of the plants cysteine protease: Asparagine (ASN) and Histidine (HIS) indicate conserved residue of the active site.

environmentally-guided traits viz. carbon metabolism; light mediated photo conditions for mating, feeding, survival etc. Therefore, to predict sugar metabolism associated molecular and functional relationships of salivary PLTs, initially we analyzed all the putative PLTs against three databases (Reactome, KEGG, and Biocycles) annotated for *Arabidopsis thaliana*, using KOBAS online software, version 2.0 (<http://kobas.cbi.pku.edu.cn/home.do>).

Notably, we observed that 18 transcripts encoding proteins related to at least five Biocyclic pathways linked to photosynthetic organelles viz. plastid in plants (Supplementary material S6, T1). To verify the predicted 'plastid' related salivary transcripts, Fisher's exact test was performed using BLAST2GO, revealing a pool of 11 transcripts differentially expressed in the sugar fed mosquitoes (Fisher test $p < 0.001$; Supplementary material S6B) encoding important enzymes/proteins, associated with one of the key pathway "Carbon fixation in Photosynthetic Organisms" (Figure 4A). Further, we also identified four unique salivary transcripts encoding different enzymes linked to three other secondary metabolite synthesis pathways, namely: 'Treprenoid Backbone Biosynthesis' (4-hydroxy-3-methylbut-2-enyl diphosphate reductase/E.C.1.17.1.2, LYTB); 'Carotenoid Biosynthesis' (Phytoene Synthase/E.C.2.5.1.32, PS);

and 'Flavonoid Biosynthesis' (3-dioxigenase/E.C.1.14.11.9 & 3' beta-hydroxylase/E.C.1.14.13.88) pathways restricted to plants (Supplementary material S7). A comprehensive molecular and phylogenetic analysis of a few selected transcripts, encoding an enzyme 4-hydroxy-3-methylbut-2-enyl diphosphate reductase/E.C.1.17.1.2 (LYTB) and phytoene synthase/E.C.2.5.1.32 (PS) exclusively revealed a unique evolutionary relationship to the cyanobacteria, algae, plants and aphid *Acyrtosiphon pisum* (Figure 4B,C; Supplementary material S7). In fact, during its early development mosquito larvae start to feed on diverse micronutrients e.g. bacteria, algae, fungi etc., and switch to feed on nectar sugars in adult mosquito stage. Thus, it could be possible that a long association and regular microbe-mosquito-plant interactions^{64,65}, might have favored insects (mosquitoes) to adapt, feed, and digest sugar as well as selective synthesis of secondary metabolites/pigments, essential for specific phenotype e.g. visual pigmentation/dark body coloration⁶⁶. A recent study on light-induced ATP synthesis from the chloroplast-like carotene pigments in *Acyrtosiphon pisum*, a plant sap sucking aphid, provides the first molecular evidence that the aphid genome may carry plant like photosynthetic machinery components⁶⁷. A fungal mediated lateral HGT mechanism has been proposed for the evolution of the carotenoid biosynthesis gene in this aphid²⁰.

00710 6/17/13
(c) Kanehisa Laboratories

(B)

Figure 4. Molecular Evidence that mosquito encodes plant like photosynthetic machinery components partly shared by symbiotically associated salivary bacteria for carbon fixation and metabolism. (A) KEGG prediction of salivary transcripts (differentially expressed/Fisher test $p < 0.001$) encoding enzymes (Green) involved in “Carbon Fixation in Photosynthetic Organisms” pathway known to be restricted to the photosynthetic plants organelles e.g. plastids only (see text). (B) Phylogenetic analysis of a unique mosquito salivary transcript, encoding a Plant homolog 4-hydroxy-3-methylbut-2-enyl diphosphate reductase/E.C. 1.17.1.2 linked to the “Triterpenoid Backbone Biosynthesis” pathway. (C) Phylogenetic analysis of a unique mosquito salivary transcript, encoding a Plant homolog Phytoene Synthase/E.C. 2.5.1.32 linked to the “Carotenoid Biosynthesis” pathway. In fact like other animals, insects are also believed to absorb carotenoid pigment (an eye pigment) from plant food. Additionally, lower microbes such as algae and cyanobacteria also carries LYTB/PS gene in their genome. Phylogenetic analysis of the salivary LYTB & PS showed unique association with the plant, as well as microbial LYTB while PS also showed evolutionary relationship to the novel PS gene recently identified from sap sucking insect *Acyrtosiphon pisum*, suggesting that mosquito LYTB/PS might have evolved, for independent synthesis of the carotenoid synthesis assisting feeding adaption preference over plant host. (D) Identification of symbiotically associated salivary microbial flora predominated and unique bacteria (marked green circle), probably assisting mosquito to adapt, feed and metabolize diverse carbon rich sugar sources of plant origin (see another report for detail).

In nature, mosquitoes are regularly exposed to various environmental factors which have adverse effects on their reproductive success, longevity & vector competence⁶⁸. Gut bacterial endosymbionts are known to play a part in several functions including food digestion, metabolism, reproduction and immunity⁶⁹. Our recent metagenomic analysis of salivary microbiome identified several unique bacterial phyla, including *Chlorobium*, *Cyanobacteria*, *Nitrospira* and other phototrophic bacteria associated with salivary glands (Figure 4D), but absent in the gut of laboratory reared 3–4 days old adult female mosquitoes *A. culicifacies*⁷⁰. Indirectly, the above findings further support the hypothesis that mosquitoes may have feeding associated distinct plant like molecular machinery components, partly shared by the residing symbiotic bacterial community for diverse carbon/nitrogen rich plant sugar source metabolism. For example, finding of prominent salivary associated *Acidobacteria* (2.4%), may facilitate the utilization of plant polymer viz. cellulose/xylan sugars of diverse origin⁷¹, as reported in the gut of the wood feeding larvae of Huhu Beetle (*Prionoplus reticulari*)⁷².

HGTs in eukaryotes: a key to success

The observation of a large pool of chloroplast and nuclear encoded plant genes in the mosquito transcriptome supported the previous finding of similar gene transfer of photosynthetic machinery components from algae to mollusk¹⁹. In addition to this, molecular analysis of PLTs also revealed a plant related class of secondary metabolites (see above) and immune genes i.e. Remorin (anti-fungal); osmotin/thaumatin (anti-fungal); and Vicilin (Antimicrobial) (Supplementary material ST-1), a similar finding of active genes in the aphid genome⁷³. Although, in case of algal-mollusk or Aphid-plant interaction studies, the role of microbes is yet to be established, however, our metagenomic analysis provides initial evidence that tissue associated microbial flora may also share and facilitate optimal function. Thus, we believe that the accumulating data of genetic material transfer within metazoans are still at a premature stage, but emerging evidences strongly suggest that acquisition and retention of desired active functional genes for beneficial traits, may favor improved survival and adaptation values in changing ecologies⁴.

With the current available data, including the present investigation, we hypothesize that HGTs in metazoans may also play an important role in the evolution and acquisition of beneficial traits that facilitate feeding and survival adaptation over diverse ecologies. This hypothesis is further strengthened by our following new observations: (a) that PLTs expression seems to be restricted to the tissues, i.e. the feeding machinery components that facilitate digestion and metabolism, e.g. salivary glands, midgut olfactory tissues in case of the mosquito (Figure 5); (b) absence of PLTs from other non-digestive tissues, e.g. hemocyte (mosquito blood cells); (c) the finding of dominantly associated unique bacterial species to the mosquito digestive tissues viz. salivary gland and midgut⁷⁰, e.g. *Acidobacteria* (sugar metabolism); *Agromonas* bacteria, a soil oligotroph (nitrogen fixing bacteria) that usually grow at extra low nutrient environments of the paddy field, complementing the high larval density of the mosquito *A. culicifacies* in paddy fields of the rural India⁷⁴. Indeed, *Agromonas* has been previously isolated from paddy fields⁷¹; but largely remain unidentified from any insect species so far.

Furthermore, in mosquitoes it has long been accepted and proven that a significant variation exists in the chromosomal DNA as well as genome size within *Anopheline* and other mosquito species^{75,76}, but how these variations differentially affect the mosquito biology viz. behavior, physiology, immunity and vectorial capacity etc., are poorly understood at the molecular level.

Material & methods

Mosquito rearing: A cyclic colony of *A. culicifacies* sibling species A, were reared and maintained at 28 ± 2°C/RH 80% in the insectary fitted with an automated dawn and dusk simulator allowing a light and dark cycle for 11 hrs with 1 hr transition from light to dark and vice-versa, essentially required for proper mating and feeding at NIMR⁷⁷. All protocols for rearing and maintenance of the mosquito culture were approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC) of the institute (Reg. No. 33ReBi/GO/S/99/CPCSEA). For our specific research work, pupa stage *A. culicifacies* were collected from the insectary and kept in a round plastic cage fitted with mosquito net, perfectly wiped with 70% ethanol prior to the experiment. Post emergence adult mosquitoes were fed daily on sterile sugar solution (5%) using a glass test tube supplied with a sterile cotton swab throughout the experiment, while mosquitoes were allowed to feed directly on a rabbit for blood meal acquisition. For aquatic development, gravid females were allowed to lay eggs on moistened filter paper mounted inside small plastic cups (e.g. ice cream cup), semi-filled with pre-cooled boiled water. Hatched larvae were feed on mixed dried powder of yeast and fish food (Taiyo Plus, Tetra GmbH, Germany). The utensils viz. plastic bowl, cup or tray used to rear larvae were properly washed with soap solution, followed by multiple washing with boiled water and air dried. The waste removal and fresh nutrient supply to the larva was maintained with an interval of 24 hrs in 300–400 ml pre-cooled hot water.

Molecular studies & gene expression analysis

Tissue collection: For the desired tissues viz. salivary glands, midgut, hemocytes, olfactory tissues collection, we followed essentially the same protocol as established for our recent metagenomic and transcriptomic studies^{31,70}. Briefly, prior to dissection, 3–4 day old sugar or blood fed adult female mosquitoes were surface sterilized using 70% ethanol for 1 min followed by dissection in a sterile water drop on a microscopic slide in a sterile working area under laminar flow. Sterile entomological needles/forceps were used to manually pick up and collect the tissues in the pre-sterilized 1.5 ml Eppendorff tubes containing 50 µl Trizol solution. For the hemocyte collection, a flushing method was opted for as described previously⁸⁰. Briefly 2–3 µl of Schneider's (RPMI): FBS: citrate buffer (60:10:30) was injected into the lateral wall of the mesothorax of cold anesthetized mosquitoes, followed by flushing out the diluted hemolymph with an additional 3–5 µl of Schneider's (RPMI), by clipping of the last abdominal segment. The diluted hemolymph was directly collected by pipette in Trizol. For the egg collection, a clean fine art paint brush was used to scrape the eggs from moistened filter paper, rinsed with sterile water and collected in the Trizol. The other aquatic developmental stages viz. larva, pupae were also manually picked up with a Pasteur pipette, washed with sterile water twice and collected in Trizol for RNA isolation.

Figure 5. PLTs dominantly express in feeding associated tissues. (A) Tissue specific expression of PLTs; (B) Blood meal response of salivary glands PLTs viz. dehydrin, expansin and light harvesting complex (LHC) in the adult female mosquito. SG_SF: Salivary Gland Sugar Fed; SG_BF: Salivary Gland Blood Fed; MG: Midgut; OLF: Olfactory; HC: Hemocyte; NTC: No Template Control.

RNA isolation, cDNA preparation and PCR analysis: The desired tissues viz. salivary glands, midgut and hemocyte⁷⁸ or the whole body, were collected in Trizol. Total RNA was isolated using standard Trizol method, followed by first-strand cDNA synthesis using Oligo-dT or Random Hexamer primers (Verso kit). For differential expression analysis, routine RT-PCR and agarose gel electrophoresis protocols were used. Relative gene expression was assessed by QuantiMix SYBR green dye (Biotool Biolabs, Madrid, Spain) in Eco-Real-Time PCR Machine (Illumina). PCR cycle parameters involved an initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, 40 cycles of 10 s at 95°C, 15 s at 55°C, and 22 s at 72°C. Fluorescence readings were taken at 72°C after each cycle. A final extension at 72°C for 5 min was completed

before deriving a melting curve, to confirm the identity of the PCR product. Actin gene was used as an internal control in all qPCR measurements, where minimum two technical replicates were used in each real-time experiment. To better evaluate the relative expression, each experiment was performed in three independent biological replicates. The relative quantification results were normalized with internal control Actin gene and analyzed by $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method⁷⁹.

PLTs identification and phylogenomic analysis: In an attempt to clarify and improve the functional annotation of a cluster of unique sequences encoding plant like proteins, unexpectedly observed from our recently sequenced salivary transcriptomes³¹, we

performed a comparative analysis for both the sugar fed as well as blood fed salivary transcriptomic databases. Initially, to do this we did a species distribution analysis and manually sorted and catalogued the sequences that best match to the plants from the FASTA file. The shortlisted transcripts were subjected to a similarity search against NCBI's NR database using the BLASTx algorithm⁸⁰, with a cut-off E-value of $\leq 10^{-3}$ using BLOSUM62 matrix as well as GO annotation/Interproscan analysis using BLAS2GO⁸¹. Biocyclic pathway analysis for PLTs KOBAS online (<http://kobas.cbi.pku.edu.cn/home.do>) software, version 2.0⁸². Following primary BLASTX analysis, the reference sequences from the selected top hits were retrieved and edited for subsequent analysis in the FASTA format. Multiple sequence alignment was performed using ClustalX2, version 2.0⁸³. The CLC Sequence viewer (<http://www.clcbio.com>) software (version 6.9.1) was used for better quality graphics. The phylogenetic relationship was inferred through MEGA5.1 (<http://www.megasoftware.net/>) software. The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method, and percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates). The evolutionary distances were computed using the p-distance method, presented in the units of the number of amino acid differences per site. A work flow for the Phylogenomic analysis has been presented in the **Supplemental material S6**. The following major steps were followed:

- I. Alignment of orthologous sequences for the selected genes Cysteine Protease, Aquaporin and Alphatubulin using MAFFT v6.864 at default parameters (Auto (FFT-NS-1, FFT-NS-2, FFT-NS-i or L-INS-i) with Amino Acid substitution matrix (BLOSUM62), Gap Penalty (1.53), offset penalty (0.123) and saved in Phylip Interleaved alignment format.
- II. Alignment was used to generate RAxML tree, using T-REX online⁸⁴, at following parameters for generating *de-novo* phylogeny at following parameters: PROTCAT-DAYHOFF substitution model, Hill Climbing Algorithm, Number of alternative runs on distinct starting trees =100, Rapid bootstrap random seed =12345, Bootstrap random seed =12345. This alternate phylogeny was called H1, as compared to commonly accepted Species phylogeny which was called H0 (the null hypothesis).
- III. For Delta SSLS estimation, site wise log likelihood values were calculated using⁸⁵ for both H0 and H1 phylogeny. Difference in Sitewise Log likelihood was calculated (Delta SSLS= H0-H1), where negative value supports convergent evolution and positive value supports species phylogeny.
- IV. For LRT test (Tree Finder), Phylogenetic reconstruction for H0 and H1 was done under WAG substitution model & Likelihood method for identifying best fit protein model with optimized frequencies with Heterogeneity models (G, GI and I). Parametric bootstrapping analysis was done to compare the two evolutionary hypotheses '**H0**' and '**H1**'. The resulting p-value is the probability that the likelihood ratio simulated under the null hypothesis is less or equal than the observed. Given a level of significance of 5%, a p-value greater than 95% indicates that H1 is better than H0, and a p-value less than 5% indicates that H1 is worse.

Modeling procedure & 3D structural prediction analysis: All structures of representative protein were retrieved from the Protein Data Bank (www.rcsb.org) and aligned using the structure alignment program STAMP4.0⁸⁶. Models using all four structures as templates were generated using Modeller9 version 10⁸⁷. 3D representation of the model was prepared in VMD version 1.9 (Visual Molecular Dynamics tool)⁸⁸.

Genomic DNA isolation & PCR: For the genomic DNA extraction, a total of five adult female mosquitoes, decapitated with head and wing, were collected in extraction buffer and processed as described earlier⁷⁰. All the PCR amplification conditions and parameters were identical as described above for RT-PCR analysis.

Immunoblot analysis

- (a) **Wheat seedling protein sample preparation:** Wheat seeds were surface sterilized, imbibed for two consecutive days on moist filter pads placed in the glass petridish, under deprived light, given alternate 16h/8h light/dark cycle for 3 days and then processed as described previously⁸⁹. Briefly, crude protein extract was prepared by homogenization of seeds in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) with added benzamidine hydrochloride (1 mM) and phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) (1 mM) followed by centrifugation at 15,000 rpm for 30 minutes at 4°C. Supernatant was collected to quantify and optimize the protein sample concentration for SDS-PAGE with different amount of protein (viz. 20 µg, 50 µg, 100 µg, 200 µg and 400 µg). For further experiments 200 µg was selected as an optimal concentration for immunoblot analysis.
- (b) **Mosquito developmental stage (egg, larva, pupa) samples:** Different stages of mosquito viz. egg, larva, pupa were collected in PBS containing benzamidine hydrochloride (1 mM) and phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) (1 mM) protease inhibitors. The collected mosquito whole body samples were homogenized on ice for 10 minutes, followed by centrifugation at 15,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. The clean supernatant was collected and quantified for subsequent analysis as described below.
- (c) **Bacterial protein sample :** BL21* cells of *E. coli* (2ml) were grown in LB media containing ampicillin (100 µg/ml) at 37°C till optical density (OD: 600) reached 0.4–0.6. Harvested cells were spinned down at 12000 rpm and re-suspended with 200 µl re-suspension buffer containing 50 mM NaH₂PO₄ pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM Imidazole. Cell lysate was then centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 5 min and clear supernatant was analyzed through SDS-PAGE.
- (d) **SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analysis:** Protein samples (200 µg each) were separated on SDS-polyacrylamide gel with Amersham mini vertical electrophoresis system and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane. Membranes were blocked with 1.5% (w/v) gelatin in PBST and incubated with anti-dehydrin primary antibody (affinity purified polyclonal rabbit antiserum; 1:1000 dilution). The unbound antibody was washed three times for 5 min with PBST. Membranes were then incubated with anti-rabbit HRP secondary antibody (monoclonal; 1:60,000 dilution) (Santa

Cruz Biotechnology, USA) for 1 hour. Unbound secondary antibody was washed for 5 minutes three times with PBST at room temperature. The blots were visualized using Amersham ECL prime Western blotting detection reagent containing Solution A: luminol enhancer and Solution B: peroxide and developed on X-ray films by developer and bands were readily fixed in fixer solution.

- (e) **Immuno-fluorescence microscopy:** The collected different developmental stages of mosquito viz. egg and pupa were washed with DEPC treated water and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) overnight at 4°C. The PFA was removed with PBST wash followed by dehydration of the samples with a methanol series as described⁹⁰ and stored at -20°C until use. Before using, the samples were rehydrated with a gradual dilution series of methanol in PBS. Final traces of methanol were removed with PBST washes followed by a final wash with 150 mM Tris HCl, pH 9. Antigen retrieval was proceeded by incubating the samples with 150 mM Tris HCl (pH 9) at 70°C for 15 minutes, which were subsequently permeabilized with chilled acetone at -20°C for 20 minutes. Later blocking was done overnight with 10% BSA in PBST at 4°C. After blocking the mosquito samples were incubated with anti-dehydrin primary antibody (affinity purified polyclonal rabbit antiserum; 1:500 in 1% BSA in PBST) for 3 days. PBST washed samples were incubated with goat anti-rabbit IgG FITC labelled secondary antibody (polyclonal; Santacruz Biotechnology, USA) at 4°C for 2 days. For each washing step with PBST i.e. before permeabilization and/or after primary and secondary antibody incubation, the samples were carefully handled. Finally samples were washed with 4% PFA before mounting with a series of glycerol wash given with 25%, 50% and 75% glycerol in PBS for 20 minutes each. The mounted samples were observed under confocal microscope (Model# A1R, Nikon). Negative control samples were processed in identical conditions, except the use of anti-dehydrin antibody and observed along with test samples.

Conclusion

Evolution and adaptation of dual feeding (sugar vs. blood) behavior in adult female mosquitoes remains an unresolved question.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material for 'Deep sequencing revealed molecular signature of horizontal gene transfer of plant like transcripts in the mosquito *Anopheles culicifacies*: an evolutionary puzzle'.

[Click here to access the data.](#)

Comparative salivary transcriptomic and metagenomic analyses provide initial evidence that *A. culicifacies*, may have acquired and evolved with plant like machinery components partly shared by salivary associated microbes, together facilitating feeding preference and adaptation over plants grown in the plain agricultural area of rural India.

Data availability

The sequence data has been submitted to NCBI SRA database under following accession number: AC-SG-SF: SRR1753386. All other data is included as [Supplementary material](#).

Author contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: RD, PS, NV, KCP. Performed the Experiments: PS, SS, TT, TDD, RD, VK, SLR. Analyzed the data: PS, AKM, SS, RD, SV.

Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: NS, RD, NV, KCP. Wrote the paper: RD, PS, NV, KCP.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

Work in the laboratory is supported by Department of Biotechnology (DBT), Government of India (BT/HRD/35/02/01/2009) and Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), Government of India (5/87(301)v2011ECD-II), RKD is a recipient of a DBT sponsored Ramalingaswami Fellowship. The authors thank NIMR for setting a new laboratory. Punita Sharma is recipient of DBT Research Fellowship (DBT-JRF/10-11/284).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgement

We are thankful to Dr. S.K. Subbarao for expert comments on the manuscript. We thank Dr. Timothy close, for kind gift of anti-dehydrin antibody. We thank DBT and ICMR for financial support to conduct the research at NIMR. We thank Kunwarjeet Singh for technical assistance and mosquito rearing.

References

1. Boto L: **Horizontal gene transfer in evolution: facts and challenges.** *Proc Biol Sci.* 2010; **277**(1683): 819–827.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
2. Koonin EV, Makarova KS, Aravind L: **Horizontal gene transfer in prokaryotes: quantification and classification.** *Annu Rev Microbiol.* 2001; **55**: 709–742.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Syvanen M: **Evolutionary implications of horizontal gene transfer.** *Annu Rev Genet.* 2012; **46**: 341–358.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Boto L: **Horizontal gene transfer in the acquisition of novel traits by metazoans.** *Proc Biol Sci.* 2014; **281**(1777): 20132450.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
5. Dunning Hotopp JC, Clark ME, Oliveira DC, *et al.*: **Widespread lateral gene transfer from intracellular bacteria to multicellular eukaryotes.** *Science.* 2007; **317**(5845): 1753–1756.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
6. Fenn K, Conlon C, Jones M, *et al.*: **Phylogenetic relationships of the *Wolbachia* of nematodes and arthropods.** *PLoS Pathog.* 2006; **2**(10): e94.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
7. Nikoh N, Tanaka K, Shibata F, *et al.*: ***Wolbachia* genome integrated in an insect chromosome: evolution and fate of laterally transferred endosymbiont genes.** *Genome Res.* 2008; **18**(2): 272–280.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
8. Keeling PJ, Palmer JD: **Horizontal gene transfer in eukaryotic evolution.** *Nat Rev Genet.* 2008; **9**(8): 605–618.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
9. Andersson JO: **Gene transfer and diversification of microbial eukaryotes.** *Annu Rev Microbiol.* 2009; **63**: 177–193.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
10. Tucker RP: **Horizontal gene transfer in choanoflagellates.** *J Exp Zool B Mol Dev Evol.* 2013; **320**(1): 1–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
11. Gibbons JG, Janson EM, Hittinger CT, *et al.*: **Benchmarking next-generation transcriptome sequencing for functional and evolutionary genomics.** *Mol Biol Evol.* 2009; **26**(12): 2731–2744.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
12. Hittinger CT, Johnston M, Tossberg JT, *et al.*: **Leveraging skewed transcript abundance by RNA-Seq to increase the genomic depth of the tree of life.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2010; **107**(4): 1476–1481.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
13. Wang XW, Luan JB, Li JM, *et al.*: **De novo characterization of a whitefly transcriptome and analysis of its gene expression during development.** *BMC Genomics.* 2010; **11**: 400.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
14. Su YL, Li JM, Li M, *et al.*: **Transcriptomic analysis of the salivary glands of an invasive whitefly.** *PLoS One.* 2012; **7**(6): e39303.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
15. Bao YY, Wang Y, Wu WJ, *et al.*: **De novo intestine-specific transcriptome of the brown planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens* revealed potential functions in digestion, detoxification and immune response.** *Genomics.* 2012; **99**(4): 256–264.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
16. Nedelcu AM, Miles IH, Fagir AM, *et al.*: **Adaptive eukaryote-to-eukaryote lateral gene transfer: stress-related genes of algal origin in the closest unicellular relatives of animals.** *J Evol Biol.* 2008; **21**(6): 1852–1860.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
17. Iwanaga S, Isawa H, Yuda M: **Horizontal gene transfer of a vertebrate vasodilatory hormone into ticks.** *Nat Commun.* 2014; **5**: 3373.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
18. Bhattacharya D, Pelletreau KN, Price DC, *et al.*: **Genome analysis of *Elysia chlorotica* Egg DNA provides no evidence for horizontal gene transfer into the germ line of this Kleptoplastic Mollusc.** *Mol Biol Evol.* 2013; **30**(8): 1843–1852.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
19. Pierce SK, Fang X, Schwartz JA, *et al.*: **Transcriptomic evidence for the expression of horizontally transferred algal nuclear genes in the photosynthetic sea slug, *Elysia chlorotica*.** *Mol Biol Evol.* 2012; **29**(6): 1545–1556.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
20. Moran NA, Jarvik T: **Lateral transfer of genes from fungi underlies carotenoid production in aphids.** *Science.* 2010; **328**(5978): 624–627.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
21. Ramirez JL, Souza-Neto J, Torres Cosme R, *et al.*: **Reciprocal tripartite interactions between the *Aedes aegypti* midgut microbiota, innate immune system and dengue virus influences vector competence.** *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2012; **6**(3): e1561.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
22. Foster WA: **Mosquito sugar feeding and reproductive energetics.** *Annu Rev Entomol.* 1995; **40**: 443–474.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
23. Balashov YuS: **Interaction between blood-sucking arthropods and their hosts, and its influence on vector potential.** *Annu Rev Entomol.* 1984; **29**: 137–156.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
24. Ribeiro JM, Schneider M, Guimarães JA: **Purification and characterization of prolin S (nitrophorin 2), the salivary anticoagulant of the blood-sucking bug *Rhodnius prolixus*.** *Biochem J.* 1995; **308**(Pt 1): 243–249.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
25. Maekawa E, Aonuma H, Nelson B, *et al.*: **The role of proboscis of the malaria vector mosquito *Anopheles stephensi* in host-seeking behavior.** *Parasit Vectors.* 2011; **4**: 10.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
26. James AA: **Blocking malaria parasite invasion of mosquito salivary glands.** *J Exp Biol.* 2003; **206**(Pt 21): 3817–3821.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
27. Dixit R, Sharma A, Mourya DT, *et al.*: **Salivary gland transcriptome analysis during Plasmodium infection in malaria vector *Anopheles stephensi*.** *Int J Infect Dis.* 2009; **13**(5): 636–646.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
28. Dixit R, Rawat M, Kumar S, *et al.*: **Salivary gland transcriptome analysis in response to sugar feeding in malaria vector *Anopheles stephensi*.** *J Insect Physiol.* 2011; **57**(10): 1399–1406.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
29. Goswami G, Singh OP, Nanda N, *et al.*: **Identification of all members of the *Anopheles culicifacies* complex using allele-specific polymerase chain reaction assays.** *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2006; **75**(3): 454–460.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
30. Dev V, Sharma VP: **The Dominant Mosquito Vectors of Human Malaria in India.** In: Manguin, S ed. *Anopheles mosquitoes - New insights into malaria vectors*. InTech; 2013: 239–271.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
31. Sharma P, Sharma S, Mishra AK, *et al.*: **Unraveling dual feeding associated molecular complexity of salivary glands in the mosquito *Anopheles culicifacies*.** *Biol Open.* 2015; **4**(8): 1002–1015.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
32. Ribeiro JM, Mans BJ, Arcà B: **An insight into the salivome of blood-feeding Nematocera.** *Insect Biochem Mol Biol.* 2010; **40**(11): 767–784.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
33. Oliver MJ, Veltien J, Mishler BD: **Desiccation tolerance in bryophytes: a reflection of the primitive strategy for plant survival in dehydrating habitats?** *Integr Comp Biol.* 2005; **45**(5): 788–799.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
34. Hand SC, Menze MA, Toner M, *et al.*: **LEA proteins during water stress: not just for plants anymore.** *Annu Rev Physiol.* 2011; **73**: 115–134.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
35. Close TJ, Lammers PJ: **An osmotic stress protein of cyanobacteria is immunologically related to plant dehydrins.** *Plant Physiol.* 1993; **101**(3): 773–779.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
36. Campbell SA, Close TJ: **Dehydrins: genes, proteins, and associations with phenotypic traits.** *New Phytol.* 1997; **137**(1): 61–74.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
37. Li R, Brawley SH, Close TJ: **Proteins Immunologically Related to Dehydrins in Fucoid Algae.** *J Phycol.* 1998; **34**(4): 642–650.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
38. Mtwisha L, Brandt W, McCready S, *et al.*: **HSP 12 is a LEA-like protein in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.** *Plant Mol Biol.* 1998; **37**(3): 513–521.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
39. Close TJ: **Dehydrins: A commonality in the response of plants to dehydration and low temperature.** *Physiol Plantarum.* 1997; **100**(2): 291–296.
[Reference Source](#)
40. Close TJ, Fenton RD, Moonan F: **A view of plant dehydrins using antibodies specific to the carboxy terminal peptide.** *Plant Mol Biol.* 1993; **23**(2): 279–286.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
41. Ouellet F, Houde M, Sarhan F: **Purification, characterization and cDNA cloning of the 200 kDa protein induced by cold acclimation in wheat.** *Plant Cell Physiol.* 1993; **34**(1): 59–65.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
42. Takahashi R, Joshee N, Kitagawa Y: **Induction of chilling resistance by water stress, and cDNA sequence analysis and expression of water stress-regulated genes in rice.** *Plant Mol Biol.* 1994; **26**(1): 339–352.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
43. Volger HG, Heber U: **Cryoprotective leaf proteins.** *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1975; **412**(2): 335–349.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
44. Pruitt NL, Moqueet N, Shapiro CA: **Evidence for a novel cryoprotective protein from freeze-tolerant larvae of the goldenrod gall fly *Eurosta solidaginis*.** *Cryobiology.* 2007; **54**(1): 125–128.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
45. Borovskii GB, Stupnikova IV, Antipina AI, *et al.*: **Accumulation of dehydrin-like proteins in the mitochondria of cereals in response to cold, freezing, drought and ABA treatment.** *BMC Plant Biol.* 2002; **2**: 5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
46. Hanin M, Brini F, Ebel C, *et al.*: **Plant dehydrins and stress tolerance: versatile proteins for complex mechanisms.** *Plant Signal Behav.* 2011; **6**(10): 1503–1509.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

47. Sampedro J, Cosgrove DJ: **The expansin superfamily**. *Genome Biol.* 2005; **6**(12): 242.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
48. Lu Y, Park Y, Gao X, *et al.*: **Cholinergic and non-cholinergic functions of two acetylcholinesterase genes revealed by gene-silencing in *Tribolium castaneum***. *Sci Rep.* 2012; **2**: 288.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
49. Scott JG, Michel K, Bartholomay LC, *et al.*: **Towards the elements of successful insect RNAi**. *J Insect Physiol.* 2013; **59**(12): 1212–1221.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
50. Maurel C, Verdoucq L, Luu DT, *et al.*: **Plant aquaporins: membrane channels with multiple integrated functions**. *Annu Rev Plant Biol.* 2008; **59**: 595–624.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
51. Grudkowska M, Zagdańska B: **Multifunctional role of plant cysteine proteinases**. *Acta Biochim Pol.* 2004; **51**(3): 609–624.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
52. McQueen-Mason SJ, Cosgrove DJ: **Expansin mode of action on cell walls. Analysis of wall hydrolysis, stress relaxation, and binding**. *Plant Physiol.* 1995; **107**(1): 87–100.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
53. Zhen Y, Aardema ML, Medina EM, *et al.*: **Parallel molecular evolution in an herbivore community**. *Science.* 2012; **337**(6102): 1634–1637.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
54. Arendt J, Reznick D: **Convergence and parallelism reconsidered: what have we learned about the genetics of adaptation?** *Trends Ecol Evol.* 2008; **23**(1): 26–32.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
55. Parker J, Tsagkogeorga G, Cotton JA, *et al.*: **Genome-wide signatures of convergent evolution in echolocating mammals**. *Nature.* 2013; **502**(7470): 228–231.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
56. Ehrlich PR, Raven PH: **Butterflies and Plants: A Study in Coevolution**. *Evolution.* 1964; **18**(4): 586–608.
[Reference Source](#)
57. Fraenkel GS: **The raison d'être of secondary plant substances; these odd chemicals arose as a means of protecting plants from insects and now guide insects to food**. *Science.* 1959; **129**(3361): 1466–1470.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
58. Agrawal AA, Hastings AP, Johnson MT, *et al.*: **Insect herbivores drive real-time ecological and evolutionary change in plant populations**. *Science.* 2012; **338**(6103): 113–116.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
59. Hogenhout SA, Bos JI: **Effector proteins that modulate plant–insect interactions**. *Curr Opin Plant Biol.* 2011; **14**(4): 422–428.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
60. Baldwin IT, Halitschke R, Kessler A, *et al.*: **Merging molecular and ecological approaches in plant–insect interactions**. *Curr Opin Plant Biol.* 2001; **4**(4): 351–358.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
61. Ferry N, Edwards MG, Gatehouse JA, *et al.*: **Plant–insect interactions: molecular approaches to insect resistance**. *Curr Opin Biotechnol.* 2004; **15**(2): 155–161.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
62. Maffei ME, Mithöfer A, Boland W: **Before gene expression: early events in plant–insect interaction**. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2007; **12**(7): 310–316.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
63. Felton GW, Tumlinson JH: **Plant–insect dialogs: complex interactions at the plant–insect interface**. *Curr Opin Plant Biol.* 2008; **11**(4): 457–463.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
64. Pieterse CM, Dicke M: **Plant interactions with microbes and insects: from molecular mechanisms to ecology**. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2007; **12**(12): 564–569.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
65. Bennett AE: **Can plant–microbe–insect interactions enhance or inhibit the spread of invasive species?** *Funct Ecol.* 2013; **27**(3): 661–671.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
66. Benedict MQ, Seawright JA: **Changes in Pigmentation in Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in Response to Color of Environment**. *Ann Entomol Soc Am.* 1987; **80**(1): 55–61.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
67. Valmalette JC, Dombrovsky A, Brat P, *et al.*: **Light- induced electron transfer and ATP synthesis in a carotene synthesizing insect**. *Sci Rep.* 2012; **2**: 579.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
68. Lefèvre T, Vantaux A, Dabiré KR, *et al.*: **Non-genetic determinants of mosquito competence for malaria parasites**. *PLoS Pathog.* 2013; **9**(6): e1003365.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
69. Minard G, Mavingui P, Moro CV: **Diversity and function of bacterial microbiota in the mosquito holobiont**. *Parasit Vectors.* 2013; **6**: 146.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
70. Sharma P, Sharma S, Maurya RK, *et al.*: **Salivary glands harbor more diverse microbial communities than gut in *Anopheles culicifacies***. *Parasit Vectors.* 2014; **7**(1): 235.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
71. Eichorst SA, Kuske CR, Schmidt TM: **Influence of plant polymers on the distribution and cultivation of bacteria in the phylum *Acidobacteria***. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2011; **77**(2): 586–596.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
72. Reid NM, Addison SL, Macdonald LJ, *et al.*: **Biodiversity of active and inactive bacteria in the gut flora of wood-feeding huhu beetle larvae (*Prionoplus reticularis*)**. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2011; **77**(19): 7000–7006.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
73. Gerardo NM, Altincicek B, Anselme C, *et al.*: **Immunity and other defenses in pea aphids, *Acyrtosiphon pisum***. *Genome Biol.* 2010; **11**(2): R21.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
74. Smith WH: **Vector-borne disease control in humans through rice agroecosystem management**. Paper presented at: *Research and Training Needs in the Field of Integrated Vector-borne Disease Control in Riceland Agroecosystems of Developing Countries*; 9–14 March 1987, International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), at Los Baños, Philippines. 1987.
[Reference Source](#)
75. Rai KS: **Genetics of mosquitoes**. *J Genet.* 1999; **78**(3): 163–169.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
76. Neafsey DE, Christophides GK, Collins FH, *et al.*: **The evolution of the *Anopheles* 16 genomes project**. *G3 (Bethesda).* 2013; **3**(7): 1191–1194.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
77. Adak T, Kaur S, Singh OP: **Comparative susceptibility of different members of the *Anopheles culicifacies* complex to *Plasmodium vivax***. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 1999; **93**(6): 573–577.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
78. Rodrigues J, Brayner FA, Alves LC, *et al.*: **Hemocyte differentiation mediates innate immune memory in *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes**. *Science.* 2010; **329**(5997): 1353–1355.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
79. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD: **Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2^{-ΔΔCt} Method**. *Methods.* 2001; **25**(4): 402–408.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
80. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, *et al.*: **Basic local alignment search tool**. *J Mol Biol.* 1990; **215**(3): 403–410.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
81. Conesa A, Götz S, García-Gómez JM, *et al.*: **Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research**. *Bioinformatics.* 2005; **21**(18): 3674–3676.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
82. Xie C, Mao X, Huang J, *et al.*: **KOBAS 2.0: a web server for annotation and identification of enriched pathways and diseases**. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2011; **39**(Web Server issue): W316–322.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
83. Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown NP, *et al.*: **Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0**. *Bioinformatics.* 2007; **23**(21): 2947–2948.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
84. Boc A, Diallo AB, Makarenkov V: **T-REX: a web server for inferring, validating and visualizing phylogenetic trees and networks**. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2012; **40**(Web Server issue): W573–579.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
85. Jobb G, von Haeseler A, Strimmer K: **TREEFINDER: a powerful graphical analysis environment for molecular phylogenetics**. *BMC Evol Biol.* 2004; **4**: 18.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
86. Russell RB, Barton GJ: **Multiple protein sequence alignment from tertiary structure comparison: assignment of global and residue confidence levels**. *Proteins.* 1992; **14**(2): 309–323.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
87. Sali A, Blundell TL: **Comparative protein modelling by satisfaction of spatial restraints**. *J Mol Biol.* 1993; **234**(3): 779–815.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
88. Humphrey W, Dalke A, Schulten K: **VMD: visual molecular dynamics**. *J Mol Graph.* 1996; **14**(1): 33–38, 27–38.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
89. Close TJ, Kortt AA, Chandler PM: **A cDNA-based comparison of dehydration-induced proteins (dehydrins) in barley and corn**. *Plant Mol Biol.* 1989; **13**(1): 95–108.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
90. Verstraeten B, Sanders E, Huysseune A: **Whole mount immunohistochemistry and *in situ* hybridization of larval and adult zebrafish dental tissues**. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2012; **887**: 179–191.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 18 April 2017

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.8113.r11757>

© 2017 Corpas M. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Manuel Corpas

Repositive Ltd, Cambridge, UK

The article "Deep sequencing revealed molecular signature of horizontal gene transfer of plant like transcript in the mosquito *Anopheles culicifacies*" provides an interesting point of view regarding the controversial topic of horizontal gene transfer (HGT) from plants to mosquito.

The article is generally very well written and provides compelling evidence about the presence of plant-like transcripts (PLT) expressed in the tissues related to sugar feeding mechanisms.

I am impressed by the number of validation experiments such as RT-PCR or immunoblotting. These add compelling evidence about the presence of PLT in the tissue of *Anopheles culicifacies* (Ac).

The bit where I am slightly puzzled about is the data deposited in SRA. It refers to transcriptome sequencing using Illumina (Illumina HiSeq 2000), (Illumina Genome Analyzer IIX) and some metagenomics analyses using (454 GS FLX+) and (Illumina Genome Analyzer IIX). The study does not have sufficient information for me to be able to know how this data was used and contributed to the overall analyses and results. Also, in the transcriptome sequencing assay I do not see any replicates. Why did you just do 1 run of the RNA-seq transcriptome? I do not see anything related to the bioinformatics analyses related to this transcriptome analysis neither the metagenomics analyses.

There are also a number of questions I have:

- Where are PLT likely to be located in the tissues they are expressed in? Plastids? Is there a possible mechanism the authors propose regarding how the HGT might have happened?
- The presence of plant-like transcripts does not preclude that HGT happened - please could you explain why you think this might be the case? Do you see a reason why PLT tend to be restricted to tissues exposed to feeding?

- The supplementary document appears to have the right margin skewed to the right, making it slightly uncomfortable to read the document.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: I am bioinformatician with expertise on NGS analyses. I also did some work for the characterisation of the Aphid genome.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Reviewer Report 10 March 2016

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.8113.r12795>

© 2016 Boto L. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Luis Boto

Department of Biodiversity and Evolutionary Biology(BEB), National Museum of Natural Sciences(MNCN), Spanish National Research Council (MNCN-CSIC)), Madrid, Spain

Sharma *et al.* article presents convincing evidence showing that *Anopheles culicifacies* harbor several plant like genes, probably acquired by horizontal gene transfer, whose expression is associated to the mosquito feeding mode.

Starting from 537 plant like transcripts identified in a previous transcriptomic study, authors present compelling evidence that some of these transcripts are of the mosquito origin (and no contamination) and at least in one case (dehydrin) they encode an expressed and functional protein. In addition, authors discuss the possible role of these acquired plant genes in feeding adaptations.

In my opinion, the article is very interesting and provides a new piece to understand the significance and importance of horizontal gene transfer in metazoan evolution. In this sense, I think that this article deserves to be indexed.

My main concern is in relation to the results presentation (and discussion) through the paper. In this sense, I consider that restructuring the paper and figures could make this interesting paper more readable.

In my opinion, phylogenomic analyses, showing the possible horizontal gene transfer from plants to the mosquito, should precede the presentation of the other results. Identification of mosquito genes related to plant genes is the point that supports and justifies later research and it should be highlighted. In this sense, I suggest a new figure 1 combining the actual Figure 1A and figure 2B and 2C (dehydrin gene tree as an example).

In the same vein, I suggest combining in a new figure 2 the current figure 1B, 1C, 1D, 1E, 1F and figure 5A and 5B. Together, these pieces of information support the mosquito origin of the discussed genes and their involvement in the mosquito feeding mode..

A new figure 3 should show results in dehydrin gene validation encompassing the current figure 2A, 2D, 2E, 2F and 2G.

Other minor points are:

1. Authors select a few genes from the initial 537 plant like transcripts pool to demonstrate that some genes are present in the mosquito genome and they are functional. However, many of the initially identified genes are not studied. In this sense, statement in the abstract that "Our multiple experimental validation.....confirmed that plant like transcripts (PLTs) are of mosquito origin" should be softened to ".....confirmed that **at least some of the** plant like transcripts (PLTs) are of the mosquito origin". In the same sense, a comment in the non-studied PTLs could be welcome through of the manuscript.
2. In the same vein, authors (page 5, "PTLs are of mosquito origin") say that 10 PTLs are selected for RT-PCR. However in the figure 1B only five of these amplifications are shown. I think that authors should justify why they choose these particular PTLs.
3. On page 8, please review the last sentence in "phylogenomic analysis of plant like transcripts". It seems that mosquito feeding associated genes acquire new genes.
4. Authors should recognize that Fig.4D is partially modified from Figure 2C in ref.70. In this sense I suggest to change "see another report for details" to "see ref.70 for details" in the Fig. 4 caption.
5. On page 13, authors state that: *The observation of a large pool of chloroplast and nuclear encoded plant genes in the mosquito transcriptome supported the previous finding of similar*

gene transfer of photosynthetic machinery components from algae to mollusk. As the authors recognize in another place in the article, transfer of algal genes to *Elysia chlorotica* is controversial and in any case, authors should be cautious in the use of their results to support an absolutely different case study. In this sense I also suggest to soften claims for support to other similar observations in the Abstract.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 09 March 2016

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.8113.r12793>

© 2016 Dev V. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Vas Dev

National Institute of Malaria Research (NIMR Field Unit), Indian Council of Medical Research(ICMR), Guwahati, Assam, India

The article by Sharma and group, is one of the first reports on mosquito-microbe-plant interaction, defining the molecular relations of how mosquitoes might have evolved from herbivores to blood feeders. In fact this is a long standing question in the insect communities, where this report supports the idea that mosquitoes may have acquired the plant genes for specific functions. Although the functions of the genes are yet to be established, observation of photosynthetic

genes and microbes, appears to facilitate the photo-light mediated responses, probably in feeding and/or mating. To the best of my knowledge and experience the behavior of this mosquito species (*Anopheles culicifacies*) is quite complex compared to other malarial vector species.

The most interesting part is that the homology search analysis of PLTs reveals the name of many local plant species which commonly grown in plain areas of rural India. I believe these observations will serve as a basis for new leads for authors to understand how mosquito-plant interactions facilitated this mosquito species to evolve to feed and adapt in plain agricultural areas.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Comments on this article

Version 1

Author Response 29 Jan 2016

Rajnikant Dixit, National Institute of Malaria Research, Delhi, India

Uncovering the molecular and functional relationship of any biological phenomenon, 'need to be' and 'could be' defined through multiple view. However, as a researcher we agree that in the context of evolutionary complexity, clarifying the molecular basis of any unexpected observations

remains under uncertainty of proving yes/no. In our study on mosquito RNAseq transcriptomic data, an unexpected observation of large number of plant like transcripts (PLTs) prompted us to clarify the nature of these transcripts. An extensive literature survey indicated that emerging NGS technologies are greatly facilitating to answer the questionable findings of HGTs within metazoans species. Importantly, this included algal-mollusk interactions, where search of massive HGT of chloroplastidic/photosynthetic algal genes, have been attempted through transcriptomic/Genomic sequences of the mollusks. We understand it remains challenging to get supportive evidences on algal-mollusk interactions, with current data. However, in our case of RNAseq transcriptome data, we generated a total of ~31 million reads, out of which at least ~4.7 million reads (6.2%) clustered to build >500 contigs, having perfect BLASTX match to diverse proteins encoded by different plant species. The mosquitoes are insectary reared and never exposed to any plant material to feed. Our independent multiple validations by RT-PCR, Genomic PCR, Real-Time PCR, Immunoblot and Immuno Florescence assays, provide evidence that observed PLTs are mosquito origin and do not leave any question of contamination, a concern of algal-mollusk interaction.

We are not sure that transcriptomic studies carried out in algal-mollusk interaction is whole body or tissue specific, as this may significantly impact the outcome of the RNAseq projects. In the current study we generated sequencing reads from small tissue salivary glands, allowing us to enrich, recover and identify many unique and unknown genes, expressing below threshold level, if compared to whole body. Interestingly, we also observed and hypothesize that mosquito encoded chloroplastidic genes in association of photosynthetic microbes, may facilitate common function e.g. nutrition, feeding and digestion, an evidence currently missing in the HGT studies of higher eukaryotes.

We are in agreement with reader comment that clarifying the evolutionary relationship of mosquito-microbe-plant interactions remains to be understood fully and we are now focused to know what exact role PLTs are playing for the mosquito.

Competing Interests: No Competing interest

Reader Comment 20 Jan 2016

Sven Gould, Heinrich Heine University Dusseldorf, Germany

Regarding photosynthetic slugs and the discrepancy of transcriptome versus genome sequencing data to support HGT yes or no: the problem is in the numbers (and this is true in general when it comes down to RNA-seq). A few RNA reads among millions ain't support for (massive) HGT. We recently discussed and summarized the problem in "Why it is time to look beyond algal genes in photosynthetic slugs" (Rauch et al. 2015 in GBE). And note that the first paper that doubted HGT in slugs was also based on a transcriptome analysis (Wägele et al. 2011 in MBE), not genome sequencing (which followed a few years later). Best regards, Sven Gould

Competing Interests: There are none.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research