Check for updates

REVIEW

REVISED *Drosophila's* contribution to stem cell research [version 2; peer review: 2 approved]

Gyanesh Singh

School of Biotechnology and Biosciences, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab, India

v2 First published: 18 Jun 2015, 4:157
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6611.1>
 Latest published: 02 Aug 2016, 4:157
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6611.2>

Abstract

The discovery of *Drosophila* stem cells with striking similarities to mammalian stem cells has brought new hope for stem cell research. Recent developments in *Drosophila* stem cell research is bringing wider opportunities for contemporary stem cell biologists. In this regard, *Drosophila* germ cells are becoming a popular model of stem cell research. In several cases, genes that controlled *Drosophila* stem cells were later discovered to have functional homologs in mammalian stem cells. Like mammals, *Drosophila* germline stem cells (GSCs) are controlled by both intrinsic as well as external signals. Inside the *Drosophila* testes, germline and somatic stem cells form a cluster of cells (the hub). Hub cells depend on JAK-STAT signaling, and, in absence of this signal, they do not self-renew. In *Drosophila*, significant changes occur within the stem cell niche that contributes to a decline in stem cell number over time. In case of aging *Drosophila*, somatic niche cells show reduced DE-cadherin and unpaired (Upd) proteins. Unpaired proteins are known to directly decrease stem cell number within the niches, and, overexpression of *upd* within niche cells restored GSCs in older males also. Stem cells in the midgut of *Drosophila* are also very promising. Reduced Notch signaling was found to increase the number of midgut progenitor cells. On the other hand, activation of the Notch pathway decreased proliferation of these cells. Further research in this area should lead to the discovery of additional factors that regulate stem and progenitor cells in *Drosophila*.

KeywordsStem cell , *Drosophila* , Invertebrate stem cell**Open Peer Review****Approval Status**

	1	2
version 2 (revision) 02 Aug 2016		
version 1 18 Jun 2015	 view	 view

1. **Takashi Adachi-Yamada**, Hiroshima University, Tokyo, Japan

2. **Surajit Sarkar**, University of Delhi, New Delhi, India

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Gyanesh Singh (appliedbiotechnologist@gmail.com)

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2016 Singh G. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Singh G. *Drosophila's contribution to stem cell research* [version 2; peer review: 2 approved]
F1000Research 2016, 4:157 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6611.2>

First published: 18 Jun 2015, 4:157 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6611.1>

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

The following changes were made, as suggested by the reviewers;

1. References 12 and 13 were changed, and two new references (22, 23) have been added.
2. The Conclusion has been improved.
3. The word "female" has been inserted between the "elimination of" and "germline stem cells".
4. "pMad is an indirect indicator" has been changed to "pMad is a direct indicator".
5. In the abstract, "A recent development" has been changed to "Recent developments"

See referee reports

Introduction

The fundamental property of stem cells that they can not only differentiate into various types of cells, but can also renew the stem cell population, is the basis of progressive regenerative medicine¹. It is normal for some tissues like blood, skin, gut and germ cells to be regularly maintained by stem cell precursors. Stem cell niches control important properties of stem cells including self-renewing potential². Currently, *Drosophila* germ cells are established as a crucial model of stem cells.

Analysis of the recent literature

Drosophila ovary contains both germline and somatic stem cells that reside within the anterior region of each ovariole³. In an interesting experiment, where individual germaria, free of developing eggs and sheath tissue, were transplanted into the abdominal cavity of a host *Drosophila*, they not only regenerated ovariole-like structures but also maintained oogenesis⁴. *Drosophila* ovariole usually contains two somatic stem cells (called cystocysts) near the wall of the germarium. Interestingly, somatic stem cells, in this case, not only divide independently of surrounding cells, but also continue to divide in the absence of germline cells⁵. As asymmetric stem cell division is an important property that enables stem cells to self-renew and differentiate, the balance between symmetry and asymmetry is a tool that enables stem cells to maintain required numbers of progeny cells. An enormous amount of research effort has been directed towards understanding the basis of this asymmetry. Ablation of presumptive germline stem cells (GSCs) near the apical tip blocked the production of new germline cysts, however, previously initiated cysts were able to complete development in this case⁶. This indicated that development of cysts does not require continued cyst production. More importantly, ablation of a distinct group of somatic cells around GSCs leads to higher egg production⁷. It has been reported that stem cells adjust their proliferation rate in response to nutrition without changing the number of active stem cells e.g. a protein-rich diet increases the rate of egg production, in this case⁸.

Germline and somatic stem cells attach to form a cluster of cells (the hub) in the *Drosophila* testes. The hub expresses a ligand that activates the JAK-STAT signaling cascade⁹. Without this signal, GSCs do not self-renew, but can differentiate. *Drosophila* bag of marbles (*bam*) gene is required for the differentiation of daughter cells (cystoblasts) from mother stem cells¹⁰. Instead of differentiation, *bam* mutant germ cells proliferated like stem

cells. Heat-induced *bam* expression caused elimination of female germline stem cells while somatic stem cell numbers were not changed¹¹. Interestingly, ectopic *bam* expression had no such consequences on male germline cells indicating *bam*'s potential to regulate oogenesis and spermatogenesis in different ways¹¹. Somatic cyst cells and hub cells express two bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) molecules: Gbb (Glass bottom boat) and Dpp (Decapentaplegic). The Dpp/BMP signal was found to be essential for GSC maintenance¹². In absence of BMP signaling, *bam* is upregulated that can cause GSCs to be lost. Mutations in Dpp or its receptor (saxophone) increases stem cell loss and inhibits stem cell division. On the other hand, overexpression of Dpp blocks GSC differentiation¹³. Interestingly, BMP signaling reduces *bam* expression in ovarian GSCs. Phosphorylated Mad (pMad) is a direct indicator of BMP signaling as C-terminal phosphorylation of Mad by BMP receptor directs Mad toward BMP signaling¹⁴. Somatic inner germarium sheath cells failed to divide after removing GSC niches. Hedgehog (Hh) family signaling mediators are known for their important role during *Drosophila* development¹⁵. Hedgehog genes were also reported to be crucial for the proliferation of ovarian somatic cells in *Drosophila*. *Drosophila* neuroblasts regulate stem cell growth by separating the growth inhibitor Brat and the transcription factor Prospero into different daughter cells¹⁵. Interestingly, mutant Brat or Prospero caused both daughter cells to grow resulting into tumorigenesis¹⁶. High levels of Pumilio and Nanos proteins have also been observed in *Drosophila* GSCs¹⁷. Lack of zygotic activity of Nanos or Pumilio was found to have a dramatic effect on germline development in female flies. Pumilio mutant *Drosophila* not only failed to maintain stem cells but germline cells also¹⁷. Loqs protein was also found to be necessary for embryo survival and GSC sustenance in *Drosophila*. Decrease in stem cell functions could lead to the aging-related decline in tissue maintenance^{18,19}. Somatic niche cells in testes from aging males show reduced DE-cadherin and unpaired (Upd) proteins²⁰. Inside the *Drosophila* testes, Upd production in hub cells controls stem cell number within the niches, and overexpression of *upd* within niche cells can rescue GSCs even in case of aged males.

The identification of stem cell lineages in the midgut of *Drosophila* is a recent discovery^{21–23}. A genome-wide transgenic RNAi screen identified 405 genes that regulate intestinal stem cell (ISC) maintenance and differentiation in *Drosophila* intestine²⁴. By integrating these genes into functional networks, it was concluded that factors related to basic stem cell processes are commonly needed in all stem cells, and stem-cell-specific, niche-related signals are required only in the unique stem cell types. Analysis of genetic mosaics revealed that differentiated cells in the midgut epithelium come from a common lineage in *Drosophila*²⁵. Notch signaling controls key events during development. Consistent with its role of regulation of various adult stem cells, diminished notch signaling has been reported to cause increase in the number of precursor cells in the midgut of *Drosophila*²⁶.

Conclusions

For more than a century, *Drosophila*'s contribution to genetics and developmental biology has been enormous. With its increasing contribution to stem cell research, *Drosophila* consistently proves to be an invaluable model organism. Compared to mammalian model organisms, it is easy and inexpensive to work with *Drosophila*.

Furthermore, shorter generation time, small size, and fewer ethical issues makes *Drosophila* an attractive animal model. *Drosophila* germline and midgut stem cells are currently being established as important models of stem cell research. Self-renewal of *Drosophila* GSCs requires both intracellular as well as extracellular signals. Several factors including BMP signals were found to be indispensable for sustaining GSCs in *Drosophila*. Asymmetric division of GSCs to produce and maintain a daughter GSC is regulated by gene expression in adjacent somatic cells also. In *Drosophila*, significant changes occur within the stem cell niche that contributes to a decline in stem cell number over time. These stem cell-related discoveries that were made in *Drosophila*, will surely be helpful for mammalian regenerative medicine, and more work is desperately needed in this area.

Author contributions

GS conceived the study and prepared the first draft of the manuscript.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Acknowledgments

The author is thankful to Rohit Sood and Manpreet Kaur for their valuable suggestions.

References

- Somorjai IM, Lohmann JU, Holstein TW, *et al.*: **Stem cells: a view from the roots.** *Biotechnol J.* 2012; **7**(6): 704–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Papagiannouli F, Lohmann I: **Shaping the niche: lessons from the *Drosophila* testis and other model systems.** *Biotechnol J.* 2012; **7**(6): 723–36.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Ellazer S, Buszczak M: **Finding a niche: studies from the *Drosophila* ovary.** *Stem Cell Res Ther.* 2011; **2**(6): 45.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Zhao R, Xuan Y, Li X, *et al.*: **Age-related changes of germline stem cell activity, niche signaling activity and egg production in *Drosophila*.** *Aging Cell.* 2008; **7**(3): 344–354.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Xie T, Spradling AC: **A niche maintaining germ line stem cells in the *Drosophila* Ovary.** *Science.* 2000; **290**(5490): 328–330.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Lin H, Spradling AC: **Germline stem cell division and egg chamber development in transplanted *Drosophila* germlaria.** *Dev Biol.* 1993; **159**(1): 140–152.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Chen D, McKearin DM: **A discrete transcriptional silencer in the *bam* gene determines asymmetric division of the *Drosophila* germline stem cell.** *Development.* 2003; **130**(6): 1159–1170.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Drummond-Barbosa D, Spradling AC: **Stem cells and their progeny respond to nutritional changes during *Drosophila* oogenesis.** *Dev Biol.* 2001; **231**(1): 265–278.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Sinden D, Badgett M, Fry J, *et al.*: **Jak-STAT regulation of cyst stem cell development in the *Drosophila* testis.** *Dev Biol.* 2012; **372**(1): 5–16.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Perintheothathil S, Kim C: **Bam and Bgcn in *Drosophila* germline stem cell differentiation.** *Vitam Horm.* 2011; **87**: 399–416.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Ohlstein B, McKearin D: **Ectopic expression of the *Drosophila* Bam protein eliminates oogenic germline stem cells.** *Development.* 1997; **124**(18): 3651–3662.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Kawase E, Wong MD, Ding BC, *et al.*: **Gbb/Bmp signaling is essential for maintaining germline stem cells and for repressing *bam* transcription in the *Drosophila* testis.** *Development.* 2004; **131**(6): 1365–75.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Chen D, McKearin D: **Dpp signaling silences *bam* transcription directly to establish asymmetric divisions of germline stem cells.** *Curr Biol.* 2003; **13**(20): 1786–91.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Eivers E, Demagny H, Choi RH, *et al.*: **Phosphorylation of Mad controls competition between wingless and BMP signaling.** *Sci Signal.* 2011; **4**(194): ra68.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Michel M, Kupinski AP, Raabe I, *et al.*: **Hh signalling is essential for somatic stem cell maintenance in the *Drosophila* testis niche.** *Development.* 2012; **139**(15): 2663–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Betschinger J, Mechtler K, Knoblich JA: **Asymmetric segregation of the tumor suppressor *brat* regulates self-renewal in *Drosophila* neural stem cells.** *Cell.* 2006; **124**(6): 1241–1253.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Jaruzelska J, Kotecki M, Kusz K, *et al.*: **Conservation of a Pumilio-Nanos complex from *Drosophila* germ plasm to human germ cells.** *Dev Genes Evol.* 2003; **213**(3): 120–126.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Sousa-Victor P, García-Prat L, Serrano AL, *et al.*: **Muscle stem cell aging: regulation and rejuvenation.** *Trends Endocrinol Metab.* 2015; **26**(6): 287–296.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Suksuphew S, Noisa P: **Neural stem cells could serve as a therapeutic material for age-related neurodegenerative diseases.** *World J Stem Cells.* 2015; **7**(2): 502–11.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Wang H, Singh SR, Zheng Z, *et al.*: **Rap-GEF signaling controls stem cell anchoring to their niche through regulating DE-cadherin-mediated cell adhesion in the *Drosophila* testis.** *Dev Cell.* 2006; **10**(1): 117–126.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- de Navascués J, Perdigoto CN, Bian Y, *et al.*: ***Drosophila* midgut homeostasis involves neutral competition between symmetrically dividing intestinal stem cells.** *EMBO J.* 2012; **31**(11): 2473–85.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Ohlstein B, Spradling A: **The adult *Drosophila* posterior midgut is maintained by pluripotent stem cells.** *Nature.* 2006; **439**(7075): 470–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Michelli CA, Perrimon N: **Evidence that stem cells reside in the adult *Drosophila* midgut epithelium.** *Nature.* 2006; **439**(7075): 475–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Zeng X, Han L, Singh SR, *et al.*: **Genome-wide RNAi screen identifies networks involved in intestinal stem cell regulation in *Drosophila*.** *Cell Rep.* 2015; **10**(7): 1226–38.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Jiang H, Edgar BA: **Intestinal stem cell function in *Drosophila* and mice.** *Curr Opin Genet Dev.* 2012; **22**(4): 354–60.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Perdigoto CN, Bardin AJ: **Sending the right signal: Notch and stem cells.** *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2013; **1830**(2): 2307–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 2

Reviewer Report 09 August 2016

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.10085.r15385>

© 2016 Sarkar S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Surajit Sarkar

Department of Genetics, University of Delhi, New Delhi, India

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 30 June 2015

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.7099.r9121>

© 2015 Sarkar S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Surajit Sarkar

Department of Genetics, University of Delhi, New Delhi, India

In the manuscript entitled "Drosophila's contribution to stem cell research" by Gyanesh Singh; the author provides an overview of the stem cell research in *Drosophila*. The manuscript provide a brief survey of the recent findings and discuss about various signalling pathways operating in germline stem cell niche. Though it is a good reading and loaded with quality scientific information, some minor corrections may be incorporated as follow:

1. The writing may be improved at some places such as, in second line of abstract "A recent development" should be "Recent developments".

2. Conclusions of the manuscript may be improved. It should provide a clear and concluding message to the readers.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 29 June 2015

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.7099.r9120>

© 2015 Adachi-Yamada T. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Takashi Adachi-Yamada

Department of Life Science, Hiroshima University, Tokyo, Japan

I would like to accept this short review after the author makes improvements described below.

For better contrast to the following sentence, the word “female” should be inserted between the “elimination of ” and “germinal stem cells” in the sentence “Heat-induced bam expression caused elimination of germinal stem cells while somatic stem cell numbers were not changed” in the third section.

The author should reconfirm that the references 12 and 13 are appropriately cited.

The author stated that Phosphorylated Mad (pMad) is an indirect indicator of BMP signaling. However, unlike the case of various reporter genes, I think that pMad is a “direct” indicator because it is directly phosphorylated by BMP type I receptors.

At the position of citation of reference #21, the author should also cite two original papers that first described *Drosophila* intestinal stem cells, i.e. [Ohlstein & Spradling \(2006\)](#) and [Micchelli and Perrimon \(2006\)](#).

It would be nice if the author concretely indicate some representative factors that are commonly used in all stem cells at the position of reference #22. I think that most of readers in this field have great interest in this recent discovery.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research