METHOD ARTICLE

Follow-up: Prospective compound design using the 'SAR Matrix' method and matrix-derived conditional probabilities of activity [version 1; peer review: 3 approved, 1 approved with reservations]

Disha Gupta-Ostermann¹, Yoichiro Hirose², Takenao Odagami², Hiroyuki Kouji², Jürgen Bajorath ¹

¹Department of Life Science Informatics, B-IT, LIMES Program Unit Chemical Biology and Medicinal Chemistry, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität, Bonn, D-53113, Germany

²PRISM BioLab Corporation, Kanagawa, 226-8510, Japan

V1 First published: 23 Mar 2015, 4:75
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6271.1>
Latest published: 15 Apr 2015, 4:75
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6271.2>

Abstract

In a previous Method Article, we have presented the 'Structure-Activity Relationship (SAR) Matrix' (SARM) approach. The SARM methodology is designed to systematically extract structurally related compound series from screening or chemical optimization data and organize these series and associated SAR information in matrices reminiscent of R-group tables. SARM calculations also yield many virtual candidate compounds that form a "chemical space envelope" around related series. To further extend the SARM approach, different methods are developed to predict the activity of virtual compounds. In this follow-up contribution, we describe an activity prediction method that derives conditional probabilities of activity from SARs and report representative results of first prospective applications of this approach.

Keywords

compound design , SAR matrix , structure activity

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3	4
version 2 (revision) 15 Apr 2015				view
version 1 23 Mar 2015	view	view	view	view

1. **Hans Matter**, Sanofi-Aventis Deutschland GmbH, Frankfurt am Main, Germany
2. **Georgia B. McGaughey**, Vertex Pharmaceuticals Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA
3. **Stefan Laufer**, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
4. **Dragos Horvath**, University of Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Jürgen Bajorath (bajorath@bit.uni-bonn.de)

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2015 Gupta-Ostermann D *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

How to cite this article: Gupta-Ostermann D, Hirose Y, Odagami T *et al.* **Follow-up: Prospective compound design using the 'SAR Matrix' method and matrix-derived conditional probabilities of activity [version 1; peer review: 3 approved, 1 approved with reservations]** F1000Research 2015, 4:75 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6271.1>

First published: 23 Mar 2015, 4:75 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6271.1>

Introduction

In recent years, graphical methods have substantially expanded the medicinal chemistry repertoire for analyzing Structure-Activity Relationships (SARs)^{1,2}. The development of computational techniques to visualize SAR patterns and identify key compounds has in part been catalyzed by increasing volumes and complexity of activity data in medicinal chemistry. Going beyond a purely descriptive nature of graphical SAR exploration, as exemplified by activity landscape representations¹, the SAR Matrix (SARM) approach³ was conceptualized to combine large-scale graphical SAR analysis and compound design. SARM calculations generate many virtual compounds (VCs) that populate chemical space around structurally related series. In order to prioritize virtual candidate compounds from SARMs in a target/assay-specific manner, activity prediction methods have been developed including local Quantitative SAR (QSAR) models utilizing compound neighborhood information in SARMs⁴ and an approach that derives conditional probabilities of activity from SARMs⁵.

In a previous Method Article⁶, the SARM methodology and extensions have been described including matrix-based QSAR⁴ and navigation of multi-target activity spaces⁷. In this follow-up contribution, we focus on a conditional probability-based approach to activity prediction, which is distinct from QSAR analysis, and report results of first prospective applications. While we are currently unable to

disclose the structures of active compounds (due to patent issues of PRISM Biolab Corporation), the prediction statistics and exemplary results we report for an actual drug discovery project should be helpful to put SARM-based predictions into perspective, beyond computational benchmarking, and might spark the interest of practitioners in this field.

Methods

Since details of the SARM methodology and matrix-based QSAR modeling have been presented in the accompanying article⁶, we initially provide only brief summaries of these methods, followed by a detailed description of the conditional probability approach.

SAR matrices

To generate SARMs compounds are subjected to a systematic two-step fragmentation procedure yielding matched molecular pairs (MMPs)⁸. An MMP is defined as a pair of compounds that only differ at a single site. In the first step, compounds are fragmented into core structures and substituents. In the second step, resulting core structures are subjected to fragmentation. This two-step fragmentation protocol identifies series of compounds with related core structures (forming “core MMPs”). Series of compounds with cores forming MMP relationships are organized in individual SARMs, as illustrated in Figure 1. Each matrix cell defines a unique combination of a core and substituent (reminiscent of yet distinct from R-group

Figure 1. SARM matrix. A schematic representation of a SARM is shown. Compound fragmentation (indicated by thick lines in matrix cells) yields three analog series with structurally related cores (keys). Each series consists of analogs that share a core and differ by a single substituent (value, blue). Structural differences between the cores of the three series are highlighted in red. Each SARM combines all analog series with structurally related cores available in a compound set. Rows and columns represent compounds sharing the same core and substituent, respectively. In each cell, the combination of a core and a substituent defines a unique molecular structure. Compounds present in the data set are represented by filled cells that are color-coded according to activity. In addition, empty cells represent virtual compounds (i.e., previously unexplored key-value combinations resulting from MMP fragmentation).

tables). Following MMP terminology, the core is called key fragment and the substituent value fragment⁸. Each filled cell represents an actual compound color-coded by activity or potency and each empty cell a VC representing a previously unexplored core-substituent (key-value) combination. Accordingly, VCs are thought to generate a “chemical space envelope” around structurally related compound series. Depending on the structural relationships that are present within a given compound set, varying numbers of SARMs are obtained that systematically organize available analog series and provide many VCs for further consideration. The more similar data set compounds are to each other, the more SARMs are typically obtained.

Matrix-based local QSAR models

A compound neighborhood (NBH) approach was developed for potency prediction of VCs based on known potencies of structural analogs⁴, as illustrated in Figure 2. A qualifying NBH consists of two known active compounds that contain the key and value fragment of a given VC, respectively (D and G in Figure 2a), and a third active compound (E) that consists of the key of D and value of G. The potency of a VC can then be predicted from its neighbors by applying the additivity assumption underlying Free-Wilson analysis⁹ using the equation shown in Figure 2a. For a given VC, all qualifying NBHs are identified across all SARMs, as illustrated in Figure 2b, and for each NBH, an individual potency prediction is carried out using a local “mini-QSAR” model. The average potency over all NBHs is then calculated to yield the final prediction.

The NBH approach is based upon numerical values and thus well suited for potency prediction during compound optimization considering multiple analog series. Principal limitations of QSAR modeling also apply to the NBH methodology, given its Free-Wilson foundation. Hence, meaningful potency predictions can only be expected in the presence of SAR continuity (when small structural changes are accompanied by gradual changes in potency). By contrast, SARMs capturing discontinuous SARs or activity cliffs¹⁰ fall outside the QSAR applicability domain. Because potency predictions are carried out over multiple NBHs in different SARMs, standard deviations of predictions provide a simple yet effective indicator of prediction reliability. High and low standard deviations indicate the presence of SAR discontinuity and continuity, respectively, for compound subsets involved in the predictions. When standard deviations are low, accurate SARM-based potency predictions can be expected⁴.

Predictions based on conditional probabilities of activity

A conceptually different approach was developed for hit expansion from screening data based upon conditional probabilities of activity derived from SARMs, as outlined in Figure 3. In contrast to NBH-based prediction of numerical potency values, the conditional probability method can utilize approximate potency measurements (e.g., primary screening data) leading to a binary classification of inactive vs. active data set compounds and ensuing prediction of a probability of activity for VCs.

Figure 2. Neighborhood-based activity prediction. (a) A NBH of virtual compound X is marked in blue in a model SARM and compounds forming this NBH are displayed. Compounds D and G share the same substituents and core with X, respectively, and the third neighbor E consists of the core of D and substituent of G. At the lower left, the equation to predict the potency of X from the values of D, E, and G is shown. (b) The process of NBH mining is illustrated. For X, the set of all qualifying NBHs (marked in blue) in a given SARM are identified and potency values are predicted for individual NBHs (indicated by color-coded squares). “act” stands for activity (in this case, numerical potency values are used).

Figure 3. Predictions based on conditional probabilities of activity. Steps and equations required to derive probabilities of activity from SARMs for prediction of virtual compound X are shown using a model SARM with nine compounds (A-I) that contain three cores (c1-c3) and four values (v1-v4). Matrix cells are color-coded according to compound activity (red, inactive; green, active). In the first step, initial class probabilities are calculated for all cores and values using equation 2 and 1, respectively. Value- and core-weighted matrices are then derived via equations 4 and 3. The class contribution of core c3 is obtained from the value-weighted matrix using equation 5. Analogously, the class contribution of value v1 is obtained from the core-weighted matrix using equation 6. The value and core class contributions are then normalized using equations 7 and 8. Finally, the activity probability p_x of 0.13 is obtained for X by combining the normalized core c3 and value v1 class probabilities using equation 9.

The conceptual basis of the approach is provided by the following ideas: based on the observed frequency of occurrence of given core and value fragments in active versus inactive compounds (in the following referred to as the active versus inactive class), probabilities of activity and inactivity can be derived for cores and values. Importantly, the contributions of cores and values are thought to

be influenced by each other because compounds are represented in SARMs as combinations of individual core and value fragments. Considering the conditional nature of core and value contributions to activity, initial probabilities are weighted to derive class probabilities for any core and value. For a given VC, probabilities of its core and value are then combined to yield a final probability of activity.

Key steps of the methodology are summarized in [Figure 3](#) (and for each step, the respective equation is provided). To illustrate the approach in an intuitive manner, we will go through an exemplary probability calculation for a given VC, guided by [Figure 3](#).

The SARM in [Figure 3](#) contains nine compounds (A-I) that comprise three cores (c1-c3) and four values (v1-v4). The probability of activity will be predicted for virtual compound X that shares core c3 with compounds G, H, and I and value v1 with compounds A and D.

Given the distribution of individual values v and cores c in active and inactive compounds, probabilities of activity and inactivity are calculated using [equation 1](#) and [equation 2](#). In case of value v1, both class probabilities are equal (i.e., 1/2) because v1 is contained in one active and one inactive compound. By contrast, the probability of inactivity is two times higher for core c3 than its probability of activity (2/3 vs. 1/3).

These initial estimates are further refined by taking information from all SARM compounds into account. The underlying idea is to statistically assess if a core or value contributes more to activity or inactivity. Therefore, in the next step, core probabilities using value-weighted matrices and value probabilities using core-weighted matrices are derived. The value-weighted matrix results from the assignment of a weight to each compound using [equation 3](#) and the core-weighted matrix is obtained using [equation 4](#). For example, the class probability of core c3 is updated by considering information from values v2, v3, and v4 in compound G, H, and I, respectively. The inverse of the value class probability yields a weight. All compounds containing value v2 are active (2/2); hence, the core class probabilities of compounds B and G are assigned a weight of 1.0 (through value-weighting). For value v3, the compounds show equal class frequency of (in)activity (1/2); thus, both active and inactive compounds are assigned the same weight of 2.0. Finally, two of three compounds containing value v4 are inactive. Accordingly, inactive compound I receives a lower weight of 1.5 indicating that its inactivity is more likely due to v4. It follows that with increasing frequency of inactivity for a given value, core weights of inactive compounds decrease (and *vice versa*), indicating that the value is likely to be responsible for inactivity. Analogous considerations apply to assess probabilities of activity.

Weighted core class contributions are calculated with [equation 5](#). For core c3, contributions of 0.34 and 1.12 to activity and inactivity are obtained, respectively, using a smoothing factor of $\alpha=0.1$ (this factor is applied to prevent zero probabilities when no compound is available to represent a possible core or value class):

$$C_{c3}(act) = \frac{0.1 + 1.0}{0.2 + 3} = 0.34$$

$$C_{c3}(inact) = \frac{0.1 + 2.0 + 1.5}{0.2 + 3} = 1.12$$

Through normalization using [equation 7](#) core class probabilities between 0 and 1 are obtained; for c3 values of 0.23 (activity) and 0.76 (inactivity).

Analogously, value class probabilities are refined using the core-weighted matrix (generated using [equation 4](#)). For example, class probabilities of value v1 are adjusted by considering information from cores c1 and c2 in compounds A and D that contain v1. Compound A is active and belongs to the majority class of c1 and is thus assigned a lower weight than D, which is inactive and belongs to the minority class of c2. The higher weight assigned to compound D means that its inactivity is statistically more likely to result from value v1 than core c2. Weighted value class contributions calculated using [equation 6](#) give activity and inactivity contributions of 0.72 and 1.40, respectively, for value v1 (applying a smoothing factor of $\alpha=0.1$):

$$C_{v1}(act) = \frac{0.1 + 1.5}{0.2 + 2} = 0.72$$

$$C_{v1}(inact) = \frac{0.1 + 3.0}{0.2 + 2} = 1.40$$

Normalization using [equation 8](#) then yields updated v1 class probabilities of 0.34 (activity) and 0.66 (inactivity).

Finally, the normalized core and value probabilities are combined via [equation 9](#) yielding an activity probability p_x (ranging from 0 to 1) for any core-value combination representing a VC. Increasing p_x values indicate an increasing probability of activity. For classification, a threshold value of activity must be set (e.g., 0.5). In our example, the normalized core and value class probabilities for c3 and v1 result in an activity probability p_x of 0.13 for virtual compound X representing this core-value combination. Thus, given the low probability of activity, this VC is predicted to be inactive. In benchmark calculations on sets of known active and inactive compounds, conditional probability calculations yielded reasonably accurate predictions of activity, at least comparable to current state-of-the-art machine learning approaches⁵.

Because the conditional probability approach is statistically grounded, prediction accuracy is expected to increase with sample sizes and matrix density⁶. Therefore, it makes sense to exclude SARMs from the calculations that contain only a small number of data set compounds or have limited row overlap (accounting for shared substitution patterns among structurally related series)⁶. Accordingly, SARMs with more than 50% row overlap are typically considered informative and prioritized for probability calculations.

Different from the NBH approach, the conditional probability method is generally applicable and not confined to compound subsets representing continuous SARs. Thus, QSAR applicability domain restrictions do not apply in this case.

Application

The conditional probability method has been used for activity predictions (hit expansion) starting from the results of a screen of the PRISM library of alpha helical turn mimetics^{11,12} carried out in search of new inhibitors of the Wnt/ β -catenin protein-protein interaction and pathway^{13,14}. The Wnt pathway is implicated in a variety of disease states including several forms of cancer. Consequently,

inhibitors of the Wnt/ β -catenin interaction are thought to have high therapeutic potential^{13,14}. PRISM's current helix mimetics library contains more than 10,000 small molecules with closely related scaffolds^{11,12} suitable for SARM analysis. The library screen was carried out using a luciferase reporter gene assay of the Wnt pathway^{15,16} and the stably transfected cell line Hek-293, STF1.1¹¹. Figure 4 summarizes SARM analysis of the library and activity predictions. The library contained a total of 10,540 compounds that yielded 11,033 stereochemistry-sensitive SARMs (i.e., matrices explicitly accounting for all stereoisomers) with a total of 231,143 VCs. This matrix distribution was solely determined by structural relationships between library compounds.

Screening of the library in the reporter gene assay yielded 64 active and 10,476 inactive compounds (applying a threshold of less than 50% residual luciferase activity). Hence, only a limited number of compounds were classified as active applying this threshold. Active and inactive compounds were then mapped to SARMs and a subset of 1,049 informative matrices (with at least 50% row overlap) was selected that contained 10,504 VCs. Probability calculations predicted 28 VCs to be active. Twenty candidates were synthesized, re-screened, and tested in confirmatory assays, leading to the identification of five novel hits with activities in the low-micromolar range.

Concluding remarks

In this contribution, we have discussed methodological advances for activity prediction on the basis of SARMs, which systematically

account for structural/analog relationships in compound sets of any source, organize structurally related compound series, and yield virtual candidate compounds. In combination with the SAR matrix method, compound neighborhood analysis based upon Free-Wilson principles and derivation of conditional probabilities of activity are applicable to predict novel active compounds at different stages of chemical optimization efforts. The conditional probability approach detailed herein is particularly suitable for hit expansion and can be applied to raw screening data. Going beyond benchmark calculations, first prospective applications have yielded promising results. For example, screening data of the PRISM library of helix mimetics made it possible to prioritize a small number of candidate compounds for synthesis from a pool of ~10,000 pre-selected VCs on the basis of only 64 preliminary screening hits. These predictions ultimately resulted in the identification of five new active compounds by considering only 20 candidates. These compounds provide new starting points for chemical optimization efforts. Of course, further prospective validation studies will need to be performed to better understand the performance of SARM-based activity predictions for different compound classes, targets, and screening assays. However, considering the well-defined scaffold-substituent patterns of compounds representing alpha helical turn mimetics and the systematic design of the library, which plays into the strength of the SARM approach, successful activity predictions are also anticipated for library screens using assay systems and targets engaged in other therapeutically relevant protein-protein interactions.

Figure 4. SAR matrix and prediction statistics. SAR matrix statistics for a library of alpha helical turn mimetics are provided and activity predictions for virtual compounds are summarized. For these predictions, conditional probabilities of activity were derived from library screening data.

Author contributions

JB conceived the study and DGO carried out SARM analyses and activity predictions. YO and TO synthesized candidate compounds and collected assays data. YO, TO, and HK analyzed the experimental data. JB wrote the manuscript, JB and DGO designed and generated display items, and all authors examined the manuscript and agreed to its final content.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr. Anne Mai Wassermann, Dr. Dilyana Dimova, Dr. Preeti Iyer, and Jenny Balfer for valuable contributions to the development of the SARM approach and activity prediction methods. DGO gratefully acknowledges support of doctoral studies from Boehringer Ingelheim.

References

- Wassermann AM, Wawer M, Bajorath J: **Activity landscape representations for structure-activity relationship analysis.** *J Med Chem.* 2010; **53**(23): 8209–8223.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Stumpfe D, Bajorath J: **Methods for SAR visualization.** *RSC Adv.* 2012; **2**(2): 369–378.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Wassermann AM, Haebel P, Weskamp N, *et al.*: **SAR matrices: automated extraction of information-rich SAR tables from large compound data sets.** *J Chem Inf Model.* 2012; **52**(7): 1769–1776.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Gupta-Ostermann D, Shanmugasundaram V, Bajorath J: **Neighborhood-based prediction of novel active compounds from SAR matrices.** *J Chem Inf Model.* 2014; **54**(3): 801–809.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Gupta-Ostermann D, Balfer J, Bajorath J: **Hit expansion from screening data based upon conditional probabilities of activity derived from SAR matrices.** *Mol Inf.* 2015; **34**(2–3): 134–146.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Gupta-Ostermann D, Bajorath J: **The ‘SAR Matrix’ method and its extensions for applications in medicinal chemistry and chemogenomics [v2; ref status: indexed, <http://f1000r.es/3rg>].** *F1000Res.* 2014; **3**: 113.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Gupta-Ostermann D, Hu Y, Bajorath J: **Systematic mining of analog series with related core structures in multi-target activity space.** *J Comput Aided Mol Des.* 2013; **27**(8): 665–674.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Hussain J, Rea C: **Computationally efficient algorithm to identify matched molecular pairs (MMPs) in large data sets.** *J Chem Inf Model.* 2010; **50**(3): 339–348.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kubinyi H: **Free wilson analysis. Theory, applications and its relationships to hansch analysis.** *Quant Struct-Act Relat.* 1988; **7**(3): 121–133.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Stumpfe D, Bajorath J: **Exploring activity cliffs in medicinal chemistry.** *J Med Chem.* 2012; **55**(7): 2932–2942.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kouji H, Kogami Y, Odagami T: **Alpha helix mimetic compositions for treating cancer and other CBP/catenin-mediated diseases and conditions.** US 8691819 B2, 2014.
[Reference Source](#)
- Odagami T, Kogami Y, Kouji H: **Alpha helix mimetics and methods thereto.** WO 2010128685 A1, 2010; US 20120088770 A1, 2012.
[Reference Source](#)
- Moon RT, Kohn AD, De Ferrari GV, *et al.*: **WNT and beta-catenin signalling: diseases and therapies.** *Nat Rev Genet.* 2004; **5**(9): 691–701.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Klaus A, Birchmeier W: **Wnt signalling and its impact on development and cancer.** *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2008; **8**(5): 387–398.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Molenaar M, van de Wetering M, Oosterwegel M, *et al.*: **XTcf-3 transcription factor mediates beta-catenin-induced axis formation in xenopus embryos.** *Cell.* 1996; **86**(3): 391–399.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Veeman MT, Slusarski DC, Kaykas A, *et al.*: **Zebrafish prickles, a modulator of noncanonical Wnt/Fz signaling, regulates gastrulation movements.** *Curr Biol.* 2003; **13**(8): 680–685.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 08 April 2015

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.6727.r8067>

© 2015 Horvath D. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Dragos Horvath

Laboratoire de Chémoinformatique and Laboratoire d'Infochimie, UMR 7140 CNRS (LCS),
University of Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France

This is an interesting upgrade of the SARM methodology, now endeavored with a probability-driven activity prediction tool described in this paper. Unfortunately, I cannot recommend indexation as is, because the methodology is not comprehensively described: some formulae embedded in a Figure are never rigorously explained, except by means of some hand-waiving example. Therefore, I (hope I) got the principle of the method - looks very much like naive Bayes to me. If so - does it do better than standard naive Bayes, with some fragment count descriptors? Honestly, I could not write a piece of code implementing it on the basis of what is said in the paper. Don't understand cryptic annotations like $1(v(x)=v)$ - suppose it's some Kronecker delta symbol 'sum only over lines with $v(x)=v$ '... but, by the way, what is 'x'? Molecules? Matrix columns? Rows? This is the best-kept secret of the publication...

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response (F1000Research Advisory Board Member) 09 Apr 2015

Jürgen Bajorath, B-IT, LIME Program Unit Chemical Biology and Medicinal Chemistry,
Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität, Bonn, Germany

The SARM-based probability approach and Naïve Bayesian (NB) classification are both probabilistic in nature and attempt to estimate the posterior probability of a given compound x to belong to a class y , i.e. $P(y | x)$. However, following Bayes' theorem, NB modeling derives the posterior from the prior and class likelihood. The class likelihood, $P(x | y)$, is estimated from the data. By contrast, the SARM-based approach assigns weights to the

cores (keys) based on substituents (values) and vice versa. This is done under the assumption that either cores, values, or their combination might be responsible for the activity. Thus, the approach estimates the posterior from the data and then applies a re-weighting (refinement) scheme by calculating core and value class contributions. All methodological details of the SARM-based probability of activity approach are provided in reference 5 of the paper.

Competing Interests: None

Reviewer Report 31 March 2015

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.6727.r8160>

© 2015 Laufer S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Stefan Laufer

University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

This Method article mostly describes an extension of the SAR Matrix approach to predict active compounds from many virtual candidates that are contained in matrices derived from compound libraries.

The new activity prediction method is generally applicable to screening data to facilitate hit expand and can make use of approximate activity measurements such as % inhibition. This would be attractive in practice.

The conditional probability method, which was first published in an informatics journal, is not trivial and probably not easy to understand for many medicinal chemists.

Therefore, the authors were obviously motivated to make this prediction methodology accessible to wider audience in screening and medicinal chemistry. They have done so by going step by step through exemplary calculations that illustrate ideas behind this approach and show how active compounds are predicted.

In addition, they report first practical applications that should make this method in combination with the SAR matrix structure attractive to many.

Although the application on a library of helix mimetics is essentially proprietary (structures of active compounds cannot be shown), the statistics of the predictions are interesting. Of thousands of virtual compounds the SAR matrix approach generates for this library, only 28 were predicted to be active using the new method when processing reporter gene assay data probing the Wnt pathway. Twenty of these compounds were synthesized and tested and 5 new hits were identified with low-micromolar potency. Clearly, if the combined SAR matrix / activity prediction approach

produces similar results in additional applications of this library or other screening libraries, it will be rather useful for hit expansion.

Taken together, the authors have attempted to make a relatively complex computational approach easier to appreciate by a screening or chemistry audience by providing easy to follow examples and practical applications. This could hardly be accomplished in a specialized computational journal.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response (F1000Research Advisory Board Member) 09 Apr 2015

Jürgen Bajorath, B-IT, LIMES Program Unit Chemical Biology and Medicinal Chemistry, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität, Bonn, Germany

We thank the reviewer for pointing at a primary motivation for this publication and for emphasizing accessibility to a wider than an expert computational audience.

Competing Interests: NoneNone

Reviewer Report 31 March 2015

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.6727.r8069>

© 2015 McGaughey G. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Georgia B. McGaughey

Vertex Pharmaceuticals Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA

Gupta-Ostermann's "follow-up" manuscript is well written and clearly laid out. I only have a few (minor) recommendations, which I believe would help readers more easily replicate their work.

The added value of this manuscript lies in figure 3 where "conditional probabilities of activity" are explained. The authors have explained conditional probabilities with figures, text and associated mathematical equations and have even gone so far as to carry out the math for the weighted core class contributions. For interested readers who want to implement the conditional probabilities concept in their own research, I highly suggest that real (or toy) data be included, in the very least, as supplemental material with all the data completely worked out, not just the weighted core class contributions. This would allow one to implement the concept, carry out the math and compare the results to the published results more easily. Additionally, although text is included to explain

conditional probabilities, I found myself having to read this section a few times to fully understand the clear impact this method could have. I think this section needs to be expanded with more text.

Finally, although it is understandable that the work carried out herein with PRISM BioLab Corporation, is proprietary, it is unfortunate that more information regarding the "twenty synthesized candidates" can not be elaborated upon. Any information regarding the similarity of these compounds to the actives (or even the similarity range of the actives themselves) would be informative.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 31 March 2015

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.6727.r8159>

© 2015 Matter H. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Hans Matter

Design and Informatics, Sanofi-Aventis Deutschland GmbH, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

This interesting contribution by Bajorath *et al.* nicely extends the idea of graphical methods for SAR analysis in computational medicinal chemistry. The SARM method was shown earlier to capture SAR information from larger collections by matched molecular pairs (MMPs) and to present it in an intuitive way. Furthermore the combination of large-scale SAR analysis with virtual compounds allows guiding synthesis to explore straightforward ideas as direct outcome of SAR interpretation. Therefore this approach is attractive to rapidly identify activity trends and cliffs.

The paper reports a conditional probability-based approach to activity prediction from SAR knowledge. Such a conditional probability measures the probability of activity for one compound given that a structurally related compound was active. Individual probabilities are extracted from rows and columns in the underlying SARMs. While such a probabilistic approach only works for SARMs, which are sufficiently populated and have shared substitution pattern, the approach is not restricted to compound subsets representing continuous SAR only.

The prospective application of this interesting concept suffers from the lack of chemical structures, so that the degree of similarity between actives and follow-up design cannot be assessed. Furthermore the description of the HTS assay, substructure alerts, additional filtering, assay validation and retesting rates, compound QCs for actives is missing. This makes it difficult to evaluate the true HTS outcome using potentially noisy data for such a challenging PPI target.

To illustrate the value of the novel activity estimation approach from matrices, it might be useful constructing a standard 2D-QSAR model and check is for predictivity of the synthesized top-20 design proposals in comparison to the matrix-derived conditional probability. It might be of

interest to see, how robust both approaches work with noisy primary screening data.

The manuscript title and abstract cover the content well. The chemoinformatics approach is clearly described and can most likely be reproduced. As this is not the case for the HTS actives and the assays for this study, the results will be difficult to reproduce. The authors might also want to mention, whether software tools from their study are available to the public.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response (F1000Research Advisory Board Member) 09 Apr 2015

Jürgen Bajorath, B-IT, LIMES Program Unit Chemical Biology and Medicinal Chemistry, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität, Bonn, Germany

A conventional QSAR model has been difficult to derive in this case because of the rather approximate nature of activity annotations obtained from raw screening data. Instead, a cross-validated binary QSAR model has been generated from the screening data using the Molecular Operating Environment (version 2013.08; Chemical Computing Group Inc., Montreal, Canada) and applied to predict the activity state of the 20 test compounds, producing an accuracy of 0.65 for active and inactive compounds.

Competing Interests: None

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research