Check for updates

REVIEW

Molecular studies of exercise, skeletal muscle, and ageing

[version 1; peer review: 2 approved]James A. Timmons¹, Iain J. Gallagher²¹Division of Genetics & Molecular Medicine, King's College London, London, UK²School of Health Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling, UK**V1** First published: 02 Jun 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):1087
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8255.1>Latest published: 02 Jun 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):1087
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8255.1>**Abstract**

The purpose of an F1000 review is to reflect on the bigger picture, exploring controversies and new concepts as well as providing opinion as to what is limiting progress in a particular field. We reviewed about 200 titles published in 2015 that included reference to 'skeletal muscle, exercise, and ageing' with the aim of identifying key articles that help progress our understanding or research capacity while identifying methodological issues which represent, in our opinion, major barriers to progress. Loss of neuromuscular function with chronological age impacts on both health and quality of life. We prioritised articles that studied human skeletal muscle within the context of age or exercise and identified new molecular observations that may explain how muscle responds to exercise or age. An important aspect of this short review is perspective: providing a view on the likely 'size effect' of a potential mechanism on physiological capacity or ageing.

Keywords

human muscle biology, ageing, exercise, skeletal muscle, human physiological capacity

Open Peer Review**Approval Status** ✓ ✓

	1	2
version 1	✓	✓
02 Jun 2016		

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

1. **Bret Goodpaster**, Florida Hospital, Sanford Burnham Medical Research Institute, Orlando, USA
2. **Jamie McPhee**, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK

Any comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: James A. Timmons (james.timmons@kcl.ac.uk)

Competing interests: JAT holds Medical Research Council and European Union funding and has consulted for PepsiCo (Purchase, NY, USA). He is also a shareholder in XRGenomics LTD (Scion House, Stirling). IJG contributes to research on personalised medicine in collaboration with XRGenomics LTD.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2016 Timmons JA and Gallagher IJ. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Timmons JA and Gallagher IJ. **Molecular studies of exercise, skeletal muscle, and ageing [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):1087 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8255.1>

First published: 02 Jun 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):1087 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8255.1>

Introduction

Identification of effective strategies to substantially improve 'health span' in humans would revolutionise current approaches to health care. Rather than the current focus on the development of diverse therapies for treating *individual* age-correlated diseases (cancer, heart disease, and dementia), a strategy that positively impacted on the ageing process would in theory postpone disease. During this extended period of absence from illness, sustained functional capacities (for example, muscle strength and cognitive status) would be key components of 'healthy ageing'. In turn, these two functional capacities (or approximate correlates) represent key clinical measures that are often used to define the ageing process. There is extensive evidence that exercise capacity (an integrated property of neuromuscular, metabolic and cardiovascular function) is under strong genetic as well as environmental influence. Indeed, key components of exercise capacity (for example, aerobic capacity) vary regardless of the observed range of exercise behaviours¹ in older adults.

In fact, the role of genotype influencing 'intrinsic' exercise capacity coupled with the impact of genetics on adaptation to exercise training² is not the only complication for studying human ageing. The impact of population stratification on the interpretation of cross-sectional analyses exploring the mechanisms for musculoskeletal ageing is typically under-appreciated. For example, over 30% of all cancer-related deaths occur before the age of 64 years (www.cdph.ca.gov), meaning that this subpopulation of individuals can never be included in a cohort of subjects who were at least 70 years old. Notably, the molecular biology of tumour growth and muscle responses to exercise share many common effectors (for example, mechanistic target of rapamycin [mTOR] signalling and pro-angiogenic genes). Furthermore, the ever-popular research strategy³ of comparing the health (or physiology) of sedentary older people (at least 70 years old) with life-long exercisers does not represent an easily interpretable experimental design (for genetic and behavioural reasons). Thus, studying the interaction among individual rates of biological ageing⁴, exercise behaviour, and molecular factors, including genetics, is clearly non-trivial, and greater caution must be shown when claiming that exercise *per se* impacts on ageing or health in the elderly. In the present review, we selected about 50 articles from the more than 200 titles published during 2015 that refer to the topic of 'skeletal muscle, ageing, and exercise' with the aim of highlighting new understanding or research methods in addition to illustrating technical issues which we feel represent barriers to progress. Studies included further, robust illustration of the highly variable metabolic adaptation noted in response to supervised exercise training⁵.

Recent human studies that address controversies in exercise, ageing, and muscle frailty

The Kraus laboratory⁶ demonstrated that improvements in insulin action occurred in fewer than half of overweight subjects undertaking high-volume aerobic or resistance training. Earlier observations found that only the highest training load (something equated to the integral of time/volume) of combined aerobic and resistance training provided a measureable reduction in the group average for indices of glycaemic control⁶. Interestingly, a correlative analysis this year found that vigorous exercise was most

closely associated with modest reductions in all-cause mortality⁷, and notably combined resistance and aerobic training will result in the exercise sessions including more 'vigorous' muscle contractions than those encountered during walking or jogging. Nevertheless, older people in better health (for genetic or other reasons) will be *able* and potentially may be more *willing* to undertake vigorous daily physical activity, more so than their unhealthy peers, and this can explain the epidemiological correlation between exercise and health in the elderly. To progress our understanding of the true relationship between 'exercise' and health, we need to establish causal mechanisms through randomised clinical trials coupled with global molecular analyses. Such studies will also help evolve a more personalised approach to public health.

Use of the term sarcopenia, which refers to 'age-associated loss in muscle tissue', is relatively new^{8,9} and there has been limited progress in identifying the mechanisms driving sarcopenia in humans. Although both nutrition and exercise habits are postulated to contribute to the prevalence of sarcopenia¹⁰, recent studies state that the overall prevalence remains rather low (approximately 5% in patients who are at least 70 years old), but this may reflect the criteria used and the prevalence may be three times greater (but still far from universal). The prevalence of sarcopenia also increases with diseases that impact on mobility¹¹. With respect to inherent defects within the muscle contributing to sarcopenia, the van Loon laboratory found that post-prandial muscle protein synthesis was reduced by approximately 16% in healthy older men versus young men¹², independently confirming the anabolic resistance concept first identified by Smith and Atherton¹³. It is interesting to consider whether the process of sarcopenia is substantially (quantitatively) influenced by insulin resistance. In 2010, it was reported that among 810 Korean subjects, the prevalence of one definition of sarcopenia was approximately 16% in subjects with diabetes versus approximately 7% in the control subjects by 60 years of age¹⁴. Others have reported that lean mass is approximately 10% lower in older subjects (about 73 years old) with diabetes and that the rate of loss of computed tomography (CT)-determined thigh cross-sectional area is greater in women, but interestingly not in men, with diabetes¹⁵. Whether this is reflective of the molecular features of skeletal muscle in diabetes¹⁶ or a consequence of physical activity is less clear (given the lack of direct measures of exercise); the molecular features of sarcopenia, insulin-resistance, and gender need to be compared in detail.

Recently, a meta-analysis by Markofski *et al.* noted that young and old skeletal muscle does not differ in *basal* (fasting) protein synthesis rates but that both total and phosphorylated mTOR (a protein complex influencing protein synthesis and breakdown) were elevated in muscle from fasted elderly individuals¹⁷. This may reflect a compensatory response, reflecting increased *relative* muscle loading in daily life (due to reduced muscle strength or conditioning) or may reflect dysregulation of the mTOR complex, which influences muscle mass in a complex and non-linear manner¹⁸. Kirby *et al.* noted that 'elderly' mice subject to synergist ablation had lower transcription of ribosomal genes (downstream of mTOR signalling) compared with young mice and that ribosomal gene transcription returned to baseline faster in the old mice¹⁹. In model organisms, the mTOR inhibitor rapamycin

can extend lifespan. Whilst acute rapamycin administration reduces translation, data this year indicated that chronic administration does not²⁰. More work on the mechanisms underlying rapamycin's effect on lifespan (mTOR mediated and mTOR independent) as well as the relevance of changes in translation is required.

Therefore, it is still plausible that older people with less sarcopenia start off with greater mobility (or less decline) promoting retention of muscle mass, rather than the development of 'sarcopenia' being the initiating factor. Adding support to this idea, Venturelli *et al.*²¹ found that the properties of isolated muscle fibres remained intact in frail older people, while Hart *et al.*²² found that when physical activity levels were matched (and oxygen delivery capacity was similar), no impact of ageing on plantar flexor oxidative metabolism was noted, suggesting no 'inherent' loss of mitochondrial function with age in human muscle. Given the modest prevalence (5 to 15% of those over 70 years old depending on the criteria selected), the contribution of sarcopenia to musculoskeletal frailty (impaired function) in old age remains unquantified. Further, it seems to us that the role for insulin resistance (or obesity) 'causing' sarcopenia or frailty has potentially been overstated and only quantitatively modest associations have genuinely been observed. For example, Kim *et al.*²³ found that CT inter-quartile values for thigh cross-sectional area were 1.7 to 2.1 in 50 year olds with normal weight and homeostatic model assessment insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) but were 1.5 to 2.0 in age-matched obese subjects with insulin resistance – hardly a striking difference. Indeed, after studying 482 adults, Loenneke and Loprinzi found that lean body mass was maintained in the face of insulin resistance and inflammation²⁴. Overall, this topic is challenging to address in cross-sectional analyses and using relatively blunt instruments (such as dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry [DXA] or indeed physical activity questionnaires).

One widely accepted strategy for tackling muscle wasting and weakness is supervised resistance exercise training. Nevertheless, it is still debated whether older people (at least 70 years old) respond in a manner similar to that of younger adults²⁵. Population stratification (as a consequence of 'premature' deaths, e.g. cancer) complicates the evaluation of the efficacy of resistance training with respect to human age. This is because up to 25% of healthy adults fail to demonstrate any hypertrophy response to long-term resistance training^{18,26}. Interestingly, a study this year described a lack of *hypertrophy* response to 6 months of resistance training in a group of otherwise healthy and physically active elderly women (about 68 years old), while on *average* muscle strength increased by 20%²⁷. The profile of non-responders for gains in function was not reported. The development of diagnostics that could ascertain the proportion of non- or low-responders to resistance training in sarcopenic elderly populations would help clarify the association between molecular potential to 'grow' muscle and ability to maintain function with age. Too many human physiology studies undoubtedly report spurious observations owing to small sample sizes and high inter-subject variation, while the use of cross-over designs requires knowledge of appropriate 'wash-out' periods. A valid diagnostic could be applied at any age to create a profile of an individual, and this could be used to create better-matched 'case versus control' cohorts, each with equal potential for 'trainability' or with similar

'intrinsic' exercise capacities, when evaluating nutrition or lifestyle interventions.

However, it must be pointed out that not all researchers agree on the scale of heterogeneous outcomes obtained from exercise training. The idea that weeks (12 to 24) of supervised resistance training could yield 'non-responders' was challenged this year²⁸, using retrospective analysis of about 200 older men and women. The claim that there were no 'non-responders' to resistance exercise training was based on the observation that *at least* one of six (inter-related) laboratory parameters changed in every subject (multiple strength measures, muscle fibre diameter, and lean mass variables). For example, isolated quadriceps muscle function was generally improved in all subjects. However, with an everyday physical test of function (chair-rise time), where accommodation (task learning) is less of an issue, there were non-responders. The study did not formally consider test-retest variation or accommodation or adjust for multiple *t* tests. Furthermore, although gains in integrated muscle function—reflecting improvements in multiple physiological factors (for example, coordination, muscle mass, and neuromuscular function)—may be more common to most *healthy* subjects with exercise training, whether this is true for older subjects with pre-existing skeletal problems or disease (for example, diabetes) is less clear²⁵. This returns us to the issue of population stratification, a key challenge for the field to address, ideally using larger-scale longitudinal studies and improved diagnostics of an individual's potential to respond to standardised physical training.

Connecting the dots: acute responses that convey chronic changes in muscle function

There is an expectation that the molecular and metabolic events that occur during and in the minutes after a *single* bout of exercise will, over the period of weeks to months, 'accumulate' to cause a change in physiological capacity (for example, maximal oxygen consumption [VO₂ max] and improved insulin-mediated glucose clearance). Notably, this 'accumulative' concept is somewhat contradicted by data from human studies²⁹. Rather than linear accumulating adaptive changes, change may occur through seemingly unrelated secondary molecular responses distinct from acute molecular responses and, critically, be greatly influenced by background genetic and epigenetic factors. There is in fact some pre-existing evidence that muscle molecular responses to acute-on-chronic exercise are rather stochastic²⁹, and this remains one of the most active and challenging topics to study in exercise physiology.

Critically, work in this area has, to date, failed to take advantage of the inherent heterogeneous ability for out-bred mammals to chronically adapt in the face of regular bouts of exercise^{30,31}. In short, acute studies should be carried out in subjects that have been characterised (either by diagnosis or following training + wash-out) for their ability to chronically adapt to the same exercise paradigm. If you accept that the much-ignored issue of population stratification in the older population can result in a different outcome than seen in younger adults (i.e. 75-year-old people are not a random sample of all younger adults), then it is feasible that individuals presenting with musculoskeletal frailty in older age are also those who are less able to mount a muscle hypertrophy response¹⁸ or have a more blunted response to nutrition throughout

life¹³ or both. Thus, we will need larger, more sophisticated studies, as well as studies of longer duration, to properly investigate what aspects of musculoskeletal ageing are related to heterogeneous responses to exercise training or nutrition¹.

This year saw the continued search for key molecular ‘targets’ that may govern skeletal muscle size or function³². Most new studies in 2015 focused on *consistent* molecular responses (group mean differences identified by a paired *t* test) or used inbred murine models, where variability is understandably much more limited³³. It may be more fruitful to stratify acute response against measures of physiological adaptation³⁴. In 2015, two contrasting approaches considered 5'-AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) as a candidate transducer for acute-to-chronic adaption. The Wojtaszewski group found that functional gains (endurance capacity) with 4 weeks of training in mice were the *same* with or without muscle AMPK α 1/2³³ but that acute responses in vascular endothelial growth factor (*VEGF*) mRNA, a gene involved in vascular adaptation³⁵, was *apparently* reliant on AMPK. This latter interpretation is probably unreliable, as the AMPK knockout (KO) mouse did 40% less work during the acute bout and, surprisingly, despite also being approximately 50% less physically active, it retained normal insulin and glucose control³⁶. It is plausible that 50% of normal physical activity in ‘cold mice’³⁷ is sufficient to maintain good metabolic health (owing to the very high metabolic turnover), and careful energy balance studies are needed to establish whether the room-temperature-housed mouse model can ever illuminate mechanisms relevant to human metabolic health.

Genetic and most probably epigenetic factors can influence exercise behaviour and capacity^{38,39} (for example, altered levels of voluntary wheel running [mice] or aerobic capacity). However, the appearance of specific phenotypes in genetically manipulated mice may or may not reflect the intended genetic manipulation. Indeed, controlling variables beyond the targeted gene when generating transgenic murine models was always deemed complex⁴⁰ and nearly impossible to achieve with complete certainty, while newer gene editing technology (that is, CRISPR/Cas9) still has off-target concerns⁴¹ and detection of individual ‘secondary’ molecular alterations is challenging to spot, as studies are not powered to detect each slight off-target event (but additively they can appear as altered physiology). However, the absence of *any* effect on chronic adaptation does suggest that AMPK, like PGC1 α before it⁴², is not an essential determinant of physiological responses to exercise. Of course, if hundreds of clinical studies characterise AMPK or PGC1 α , then chance associations will still be found (and will be more likely to be published). Nevertheless, we would encourage investigators to move beyond characterising these ‘usual suspects’. In this context, more global (‘unbiased’) research tools provide an advantage, particularly when analysed in a responsible manner⁴³. Such approaches are more likely to uncover more subtle molecular signatures as statistical models (which accumulate small interactions) can be generated, a situation that arguably reflects physiological control.

A recent human study profiling a substantial part of the phosphoproteome identified AMPK-regulated kinases as being the *consistent* feature of the acute intense cycle exercise in younger males³⁴. This study quantified more than 4300 proteins in human

muscle (note that there are about 40,000 RNA molecules detectable in human muscle), identifying many canonical signalling proteins (for example, MAPK, PKA, S6 kinase, and Ca²⁺ response kinases) and about 600 phosphopeptides that were modified (with *mean* response statistically consistent). Encouragingly, from our perspective, they found that about 50% (that is, 50% of the shared variance) of the phosphopeptide response to acute exercise was *inconsistent* across subjects, creating the possibility that studying the highly variable phosphopeptide responses to muscle contraction can help identify factors which contribute to variation in physiological adaptation, if such factors are in operation during acute contraction.

The caveat to phosphopeptide measurement technology is that at this stage, the overall technical reproducibility of the methodology has not been established. Given that the same researchers have demonstrated that murine muscle AMPK is not essential for physiological adaptation to exercise training³³, or fasting glucose and insulin homeostasis for that matter, then the human phosphopeptide responses which are *unrelated* to AMPK could transpire to be the most interesting to characterise in future human studies. A more detailed discussion of considerations when applying proteomics to the study of muscle and exercise can be found in Padrão *et al.*⁴⁴, and this review indicates that the technology is very much a ‘work in progress’. In general, alternative statistical analysis strategies applied to existing data would be beneficial. However, an important challenge is placing the molecular responses within a familiar biological context, as a valid pathway or ontology enrichment (although used) is not possible with ‘proteome’ data because of a lack of knowledge of the detectable background (or ‘universe’) of molecules. Without such information, the regulated list is merely a subsample of the tissue being studied and invariably yields significant enrichment scores versus the ontology database⁴³. Stratification of detected molecules against physiological phenotype seems to us the most productive strategy for human studies in the shorter term.

Non-coding RNA that has potential to impact on the biology of exercise, skeletal muscle, and ageing

Among the most exciting molecular entities contributing to our understanding of the complexity of the genome and physiological regulation are long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs). Like microRNAs (miRNAs), these transcribed RNAs do not, usually, code for protein. Rather, the transcribed product represents the active molecular effector, regulating the processing of other RNA molecules⁴⁵ and hence influencing protein expression. lncRNAs are not new to skeletal muscle and exercise; it has been almost a decade since we identified that the *PINK1* antisense RNA was regulated by endurance training in humans, altering splicing of *PINK1*, a metabolic gene linked to Parkinson’s disease⁴⁵. What has changed is the verified scale of lncRNA expression (www.noncode.org), and this includes human muscle tissue (for example, we routinely detect about 9000 lncRNAs by using Affymetrix HTA 2.0 arrays; Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The Glass laboratory recently described *LncMyoD*, a gene able to control myoblast proliferation and hence potentially influence muscle regeneration from damage⁴⁶. They identified more than 1000 intergenic lncRNAs in the murine C2C12 cell line by using RNA sequencing data, many regulated during myotube formation (it would be interesting to know whether the diversity of expressed

lncRNAs is greater in primary muscle cells to better match muscle *in vivo*). Knockdown of *LncMyoD* hampered myogenesis, reducing the expression of genes expressed in mature muscle cells⁴⁶, indicating a role for *LncMyoD* in muscle development and hence potentially in recovery from injury.

One benefit of research reliant on the detection of RNA molecules is that with a little care⁴⁷, you can be sure of the identity of the molecule you are quantifying (a situation far removed from antibody-based technologies; see below). However, in the case of lncRNAs, the situation is not nearly as simple as one might hope. The Olson laboratory this year described a lncRNA related to murine exercise performance, but the ‘non-coding’ RNA in this case contained a conserved open reading frame that coded for a micropeptide that played a role in regulating calcium handling in murine ‘fast skeletal muscle’⁴⁸. So, while one can be sure of the identity of the RNA molecule being measured, emerging evidence this year⁴⁹ indicates that a lot of the less-well-characterised ‘non-coding’ transcriptome may also contain uncharacterised coding regions, which in turn yield peptides that impact on physiological parameters^{46,48}.

Discoveries in the field of miRNA biology continue and more families of these short (about 22 nucleotides) molecules have been associated with muscle age or found to be regulated by exercise or both⁵⁰. In humans, *in vivo* miRNA abundance does not strongly co-associate with target mRNA levels but rather protein abundance, which, in combination with transcription, regulates muscle phenotype^{16,51}. In our experience, major challenges remain for the miRNA field, as the various technology platforms do not consistently measure each miRNA with the same sensitivity or specificity, and changes in abundance can be rather modest (supporting our model that miRNAs function in a combinatorial manner *in vivo* in adult human muscle and not individually). Thus, whilst short non-coding RNA molecules still represent a challenge for all high-throughput technologies and inconsistencies between the various manufacturers’ technologies must be expected (as well as lack of conservation of miRNAs between mouse and human), lncRNA molecules are easy to reproducibly measure and represent an exciting new set of molecules regulating muscle biology.

Protein detection technologies as a barrier to research progress

Protein is considered by most physiologists to be the main effector of function in the cell, yet, unlike RNA, it is very challenging to quantify or specifically measure. In muscle biology, a particular importance is placed on quantification of protein abundance or enzyme activity reflecting the contractile and metabolic functions of this tissue and historical approaches to studying muscle physiology. This has led to a popular—and, we would argue, mistaken—focus on individual proteins (for example, AMPK) claimed to regulate complex physiological phenotypes and ageing⁵². It is a simple fact that there are fundamental problems associated with how protein abundance or activity is quantified, and this has greatly impacted on the field of skeletal muscle biology and ageing. Such problems

include ambiguity over the veracity of the reagents being used to quantify key protein factors associated with exercise or muscle ageing⁵³. For example, GDF11, a circulating growth and differentiation factor, was claimed to decline with age, ‘controlling’ muscle and vascular ageing⁵⁴, but was in reality misidentified⁵⁵. Pharmacologically, it appears that GDF11 can inhibit the potential contribution of skeletal muscle satellite cells to maintenance of adult human skeletal muscle⁵⁶ and so is plausibly related to human muscle ageing. In this case, both the GDF11 SOMAmer assay (SomaLogic, Boulder, CO, USA) and the GDF11 Abcam Western blot antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) cross-reacted with myostatin⁵⁵, altering the described age-related changes in GDF11 in mice (while no significant changes were noted in rats or humans with age⁵⁶).

So how could such problems be avoided, and why is it a major barrier to progress? In the case of GDF11, experiments using tissue from GDF11 KO mice demonstrated that the ‘protein detection’ technologies were not uniquely detecting GDF11. This simple ‘litmus test’ for assay specificity (arguably the most useful thing one can do with a KO mouse) is not an obligatory prerequisite for publication, but this would be a useful development. Manufacturers could be obliged to provide the negative control tissues and cells to investigators. There is no doubt that lack of specificity of detection technologies yielding positive publications can also drive needless research efforts on the back of mistaken observations. For example, although the technical flaws around various GDF11 detection technologies have been in the public domain since July 2015, and there has been an ongoing and visible debate over the validity of *any* of the available reagents⁵⁷, a raft of correlative studies using GDF11 antibodies have been subsequently published without any reference to the controversies⁵⁸. The scale of the problem is substantial; irrespective of the publication of *unambiguous* evidence proving that the original lab reagents were faulty. For example, more than 250 articles reporting ‘measures’ of irisin were published between 2014 and 2016 despite multiple articles demonstrating the lack of suitability of available protein detection reagents^{59–62}. Indeed, there is a substantial challenge in ‘pausing’ such activity once the proverbial ‘horse has bolted’. Therefore, failure to remedy high-profile discoveries⁵⁹ based on flawed protein detection technologies⁶² is, in our opinion, a major barrier to research progress because follow-on research, motivated by mistaken observations or worse, is a considerable consumer of ‘resource’ (that is, researcher time and reagents) that could be better utilised to advance the field. If retrospective analysis reveals major flaws in published ‘impactful’ research, then editors could act more quickly to flag the problems with the original work, and in more clear-cut cases a ‘no-blame’ retraction should be quickly enforced. Indeed, if strong belief in the original research hypothesis remains, this should provide exceptional motivation to fully *replicate* the original study using validated reagents. This leaves the finite resources (people and funding) available to study human skeletal muscle and ageing better focused on more legitimate hypotheses or observations. Research into ageing, exercise, and muscle will by its nature be complex and involve multiple

molecular measurement technologies, but further effort to improve the specificity of the available tools to quantify protein is, in our opinion, a pressing challenge that the field needs to address.

Conclusions

The textbook principles that govern skeletal muscle physiology have until recently been developed on studying small homogenous unrepresentative healthy males⁶³, coupled with an over-reliance on acute exercise studies to study human skeletal muscle phenotype. Although it may be understandable (for example, financially), the lack of longitudinal studies with detailed phenotyping has also meant that our understanding of the impact of ‘ageing’ on human skeletal muscle is based on cross-sectional case-control studies. One general conclusion is that sooner rather than later there must be a shift away from funding small studies to funding larger longitudinal intervention studies capable of delivering more definitive answers. Finally, one might also argue that the greatest scrutiny

should always be placed on any discovery that claims that a ‘single’ protein or gene can *quantitatively* explain ageing or exercise performance; extraordinary claims should always require multiple independent sources of evidence.

Competing interests

JAT holds Medical Research Council and European Union funding and has consulted for PepsiCo (Purchase, NY, USA). He is also a shareholder in XRGenomics LTD (Scion House, Stirling). IJG contributes to research on personalised medicine in collaboration with XRGenomics LTD.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

References

1. Jones DA, McPhee JS, Degens H: Is ageing ‘highly individualistic’? *J Physiol.* 2015; **593**(14): 3219.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
2. Timmons JA: Variability in training-induced skeletal muscle adaptation. *J Appl Physiol (1985).* 2011; **110**(3): 846–53.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
3. Zampieri S, Pietrangeli L, Loeffler S, et al.: Lifelong physical exercise delays age-associated skeletal muscle decline. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2015; **70**(2): 163–73.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Sood S, Gallagher IJ, Lunnon K, et al.: A novel multi-tissue RNA diagnostic of healthy ageing relates to cognitive health status. *Genome Biol.* 2015; **16**: 185.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
5. AbouAssi H, Slentz CA, Mikus CR, et al.: The effects of aerobic, resistance, and combination training on insulin sensitivity and secretion in overweight adults from STRIDE AT/RT: a randomized trial. *J Appl Physiol (1985).* 2015; **118**(12): 1474–82.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
6. Church TS, Blair SN, Cocroham S, et al.: Effects of aerobic and resistance training on hemoglobin A1c levels in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2010; **304**(20): 2253–62.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
7. Gebel K, Ding D, Chey T, et al.: Effect of Moderate to Vigorous Physical Activity on All-Cause Mortality in Middle-aged and Older Australians. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2015; **175**(6): 970–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
8. Rogers MA, Evans WJ: Changes in skeletal muscle with aging: effects of exercise training. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev.* 1993; **21**(1): 65–102.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
9. Epidemiologic and methodologic problems in determining nutritional status of older persons. Proceedings of a conference. Albuquerque, New Mexico, October 19–21, 1988. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1989; **50**(5 Suppl): 1121–235.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
10. Brook MS, Wilkinson DJ, Phillips BE, et al.: Skeletal muscle homeostasis and plasticity in youth and ageing: impact of nutrition and exercise. *Acta Physiol (Oxf).* 2016; **216**(1): 15–41.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
11. Kemmler W, Teschler M, Goisser S, et al.: Prevalence of sarcopenia in Germany and the corresponding effect of osteoarthritis in females 70 years and older living in the community: results of the FORMaSa study. *Clin Interv Aging.* 2015; **10**: 1565–73.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
12. Wall BT, Gorissen SH, Pennings B, et al.: Aging Is Accompanied by a Blunted Muscle Protein Synthetic Response to Protein Ingestion. *PLoS One.* 2015; **10**(11): e0140903.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
13. Atherton PJ, Smith K: Muscle protein synthesis in response to nutrition and exercise. *J Physiol.* 2012; **590**(5): 1049–57.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
14. Kim TN, Park MS, Yang SJ, et al.: Prevalence and determinant factors of sarcopenia in patients with type 2 diabetes: the Korean Sarcopenic Obesity Study (KSOS). *Diabetes Care.* 2010; **33**(7): 1497–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
15. Park SW, Goodpaster BH, Lee JS, et al.: Excessive loss of skeletal muscle mass in older adults with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2009; **32**(11): 1993–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
16. Gallagher IJ, Scheele C, Keller P, et al.: Integration of microRNA changes in vivo identifies novel molecular features of muscle insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes. *Genome Med.* 2010; **2**(2): 9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
17. Markofski MM, Dickinson JM, Drummond MJ, et al.: Effect of age on basal muscle protein synthesis and mTORC1 signaling in a large cohort of young and older men and women. *Exp Gerontol.* 2015; **65**: 1–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
18. Phillips BE, Williams JP, Gustafsson T, et al.: Molecular networks of human muscle adaptation to exercise and age. *PLoS Genet.* 2013; **9**(3): e1003389.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
19. Kirby TJ, Lee JD, England JH, et al.: Blunted hypertrophic response in aged skeletal muscle is associated with decreased ribosome biogenesis. *J Appl Physiol (1985).* 2015; **119**(4): 321–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
20. Garelick MG, MacKay VL, Yanagida A, et al.: Chronic rapamycin treatment or lack of S6K1 does not reduce ribosome activity in vivo. *Cell Cycle.* 2013; **12**(15): 2493–504.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
21. Venturelli M, Saggin P, Muti E, et al.: In vivo and in vitro evidence that intrinsic upper- and lower-limb skeletal muscle function is unaffected by ageing and disuse in oldest-old humans. *Acta Physiol (Oxf).* 2015; **215**(1): 58–71.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
22. Hart CR, Layec G, Trinity JD, et al.: Evidence of Preserved Oxidative Capacity and Oxygen Delivery in the Plantar Flexor Muscles With Age. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2015; **70**(9): 1067–76.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
23. Kim TN, Park MS, Yang SJ, et al.: Body size phenotypes and low muscle mass: the Korean sarcopenic obesity study (KSOS). *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2013; **98**(2): 811–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
24. Loenneke JP, Loprinzi PD: Obesity is associated with insulin resistance but not skeletal muscle dysfunction or all-cause mortality. *Age (Dordr).* 2016; **38**(1): 2.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
25. Greig CA, Gray C, Rankin D, et al.: Blunting of adaptive responses to resistance

- exercise training in women over 75y. *Exp Gerontol.* 2011; 46(11): 884–90.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
26. Davidsen PK, Gallagher IJ, Hartman JW, *et al.*: High responders to resistance exercise training demonstrate differential regulation of skeletal muscle microRNA expression. *J Appl Physiol (1985).* 2011; 110(2): 309–17.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 27. **F** Strandberg E, Edholm P, Ponsot E, *et al.*: Influence of combined resistance training and healthy diet on muscle mass in healthy elderly women: a randomized controlled trial. *J Appl Physiol (1985).* 2015; 119(8): 918–25.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 28. Churchward-Venne TA, Tieland M, Verdijk LB, *et al.*: There Are No Nonresponders to Resistance-Type Exercise Training in Older Men and Women. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2015; 16(5): 400–11.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 29. Perry CG, Lally J, Holloway GP, *et al.*: Repeated transient mRNA bursts precede increases in transcriptional and mitochondrial proteins during training in human skeletal muscle. *J Physiol.* 2010; 588(Pt 23): 4795–810.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 30. Lessard SJ, Rivas DA, Alves-Wagner AB, *et al.*: Resistance to aerobic exercise training causes metabolic dysfunction and reveals novel exercise-regulated signaling networks. *Diabetes.* 2013; 62(8): 2717–27.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 31. Bouchard C, Rankinen T, Timmons JA: Genomics and genetics in the biology of adaptation to exercise. *Compr Physiol.* 2011; 1(3): 1603–48.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 32. Lessard SJ, Rivas DA, So K, *et al.*: The AMPK-related kinase SNARK regulates muscle mass and myocyte survival. *J Clin Invest.* 2016; 126(2): 560–570, pii: 79197.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 33. Fentz J, Kjøbsted R, Kristensen CM, *et al.*: AMPK α is essential for acute exercise-induced gene responses but not for exercise training-induced adaptations in mouse skeletal muscle. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* 2015; 309(11): E900–14.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 34. **F** Hoffman NJ, Parker BL, Chaudhuri R, *et al.*: Global Phosphoproteomic Analysis of Human Skeletal Muscle Reveals a Network of Exercise-Regulated Kinases and AMPK Substrates. *Cell Metab.* 2015; 22(5): 922–35.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 35. Gustafsson T, Kraus WE: Exercise-induced angiogenesis-related growth and transcription factors in skeletal muscle, and their modification in muscle pathology. *Front Biosci.* 2001; 6: D75–89.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 36. Lantier L, Fentz J, Mounier R, *et al.*: AMPK controls exercise endurance, mitochondrial oxidative capacity, and skeletal muscle integrity. *FASEB J.* 2014; 28(7): 3211–24.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 37. Lodhi IJ, Semenkovich CF: Why we should put clothes on mice. *Cell Metab.* 2009; 9(2): 111–2.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 38. Koch LG, Britton SL: Artificial selection for intrinsic aerobic endurance running capacity in rats. *Physiol Genomics.* 2001; 5(1): 45–52.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
 39. Leamy LJ, Pomp D, Lightfoot JT: Epistatic interactions of genes influence within-individual variation of physical activity traits in mice. *Genetica.* 2011; 139(6): 813–21.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 40. Koller BH, Hagemann LJ, Doetschman T, *et al.*: Germ-line transmission of a planned alteration made in a hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase gene by homologous recombination in embryonic stem cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1989; 86(22): 8927–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 41. Lee CM, Cradick TJ, Fine EJ, *et al.*: Nuclease Target Site Selection for Maximizing On-target Activity and Minimizing Off-target Effects in Genome Editing. *Mol Ther.* 2016; 24(3): 475–87.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 42. Leick L, Wojtaszewski JF, Johansen ST, *et al.*: PGC-1 α is not mandatory for exercise- and training-induced adaptive gene responses in mouse skeletal muscle. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* 2008; 294(2): E463–74.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 43. Timmons JA, Szkop KJ, Gallagher IJ: Multiple sources of bias confound functional enrichment analysis of global -omics data. *Genome Biol.* 2015; 16: 186.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 44. **F** Padrão AI, Ferreira R, Amado F, *et al.*: Uncovering the exercise-related proteome signature in skeletal muscle. *Proteomics.* 2016; 16(5): 816–30.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 45. Scheele C, Petrovic N, Faghihi MA, *et al.*: The human *PINK1* locus is regulated *in vivo* by a non-coding natural antisense RNA during modulation of mitochondrial function. *BMC Genomics.* 2007; 8: 74.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 46. **F** Gong C, Li Z, Ramanujan K, *et al.*: A long non-coding RNA, *LncMyoD*, regulates skeletal muscle differentiation by blocking IMP2-mediated mRNA translation. *Dev Cell.* 2015; 34(2): 181–91.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 47. Perry CG: Is muscle hypertrophy following resistance exercise regulated by truncated splice variants of PGC-1 α ? *Acta Physiol (Oxf).* 2014; 212(2): 122–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 48. **F** Anderson DM, Anderson KM, Chang CL, *et al.*: A micropeptide encoded by a putative long noncoding RNA regulates muscle performance. *Cell.* 2015; 160(4): 595–606.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 49. **F** Mackowiak SD, Zaubler H, Bielow C, *et al.*: Extensive identification and analysis of conserved small ORFs in animals. *Genome Biol.* 2015; 16: 179.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 50. Zacharewicz E, Della Gatta P, Reynolds J, *et al.*: Identification of microRNAs linked to regulators of muscle protein synthesis and regeneration in young and old skeletal muscle. *PLoS One.* 2014; 9(12): e114009.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 51. Keller P, Vollaard NB, Gustafsson T, *et al.*: A transcriptional map of the impact of endurance exercise training on skeletal muscle phenotype. *J Appl Physiol (1985).* 2011; 110(1): 46–59.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 52. Handschin C, Spiegelman BM: The role of exercise and PGC1 α in inflammation and chronic disease. *Nature.* 2008; 454(7203): 463–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 53. Albrecht E, Norheim F, Thiede B, *et al.*: Irisin - a myth rather than an exercise-inducible myokine. *Sci Rep.* 2015; 5: 8889.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 54. Sinha M, Jang YC, Oh J, *et al.*: Restoring systemic GDF11 levels reverses age-related dysfunction in mouse skeletal muscle. *Science.* 2014; 344(6184): 649–52.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 55. **F** Rodgers BD, Eldridge JA: Reduced Circulating GDF11 Is Unlikely Responsible for Age-Dependent Changes in Mouse Heart, Muscle, and Brain. *Endocrinology.* 2015; 156(11): 3885–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 56. **F** Egerman MA, Cadena SM, Gilbert JA, *et al.*: GDF11 Increases with Age and Inhibits Skeletal Muscle Regeneration. *Cell Metab.* 2015; 22(1): 164–74.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 57. Poggiali T, Vujic A, Yang P, *et al.*: Circulating Growth Differentiation Factor 11/8 Levels Decline With Age. *Circ Res.* 2016; 118(1): 29–37.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 58. Zhou Y, Jiang Z, Harris EC, *et al.*: Circulating Concentrations of Growth Differentiation Factor 11 Are Heritable and Correlate With Life Span. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2016; pii: glv308.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 59. Timmons JA, Baar K, Davidsen PK, *et al.*: Is irisin a human exercise gene? *Nature.* 2012; 488(7413): E9–10; discussion E10–1.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 60. Atherton PJ, Phillips BE: Greek goddess or Greek myth: the effects of exercise on irisin/FNDC5 in humans. *J Physiol.* 2013; 591(21): 5267–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 61. **F** Sanchis-Gomar F, Alis R, Pareja-Galeano H, *et al.*: Inconsistency in circulating irisin levels: what is really happening? *Horm Metab Res.* 2014; 46(8): 591–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 62. Erickson HP: Irisin and FNDC5 in retrospect: An exercise hormone or a transmembrane receptor? *Adipocyte.* 2013; 2(4): 289–93.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 63. Calbet JA, Jensen-Ustad M, van Hall G, *et al.*: Maximal muscular vascular conductances during whole body upright exercise in humans. *J Physiol.* 2004; 558(Pt 1): 319–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

The reviewers who approved this article are:

Version 1

1. **Jamie McPhee**

School of Healthcare Science, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

2. **Bret Goodpaster**

Translational Research Institute for Metabolism and Diabetes, Florida Hospital, Sanford Burnham Medical Research Institute, Orlando, FL, USA

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research