OPINION ARTICLE

Open drug discovery for the Zika virus [version 1; peer review: 3 approved]

Sean Ekins¹⁻³, Daniel Mietchen⁴, Megan Coffee⁵, Thomas P Stratton⁶, Joel S Freundlich^{6,7}, Lucio Freitas-Junior⁸, Eugene Muratov⁹, Jair Siqueira-Neto¹⁰, Antony J Williams¹¹, Carolina Andrade¹²

¹Collaborations in Chemistry Inc, Fuquay-Varina, NC, USA

²Collaborations Pharmaceuticals Inc., Fuquay-Varina, NC, USA

³Collaborative Drug Discovery Inc., Burlingame, CA, USA

⁴Open Knowledge Foundation Deutschland e.V., Berlin, Germany

⁵The International Rescue Committee, NY, NY, USA

⁶Department of Pharmacology, Physiology and Neuroscience, Rutgers University-New Jersey Medical School, Newark, NJ, USA

⁷Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, and the Ruy V. Lourenço Center for the Study of Emerging and Re-emerging Pathogens, Rutgers University-New Jersey Medical School, Newark, NJ, USA

⁸Chemical Biology and Screening Platform, Brazilian Laboratory of Biosciences (LNBio), CNPEM, Campinas, Brazil

⁹Laboratory for Molecular Modeling, Division of Chemical Biology and Medicinal Chemistry, Eshelman School of Pharmacy, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

¹⁰Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of California San Diego, San Diego, CA, USA

¹¹ChemConnector, Wake Forest, NC, USA

¹²LabMol - Laboratory for Molecular Modeling and Drug Design, Faculty of Pharmacy, Federal University of Goias, Goiânia, Brazil

V1 First published: 09 Feb 2016, 5:150
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8013.1>
 Latest published: 09 Feb 2016, 5:150
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8013.1>

Abstract

The Zika virus (ZIKV) outbreak in the Americas has caused global concern that we may be on the brink of a healthcare crisis. The lack of research on ZIKV in the over 60 years that we have known about it has left us with little in the way of starting points for drug discovery. Our response can build on previous efforts with virus outbreaks and lean heavily on work done on other flaviviruses such as dengue virus. We provide some suggestions of what might be possible and propose an open drug discovery effort that mobilizes global science efforts and provides leadership, which thus far has been lacking. We also provide a listing of potential resources and molecules that could be prioritized for testing as *in vitro* assays for ZIKV are developed. We propose also that in order to incentivize drug discovery, a neglected disease priority review voucher should be available to those who successfully develop an FDA approved treatment. Learning from the response to the ZIKV, the approaches to drug discovery used and the success and failures will be critical for future infectious disease outbreaks.

Keywords

Zika, microcephaly, drug discovery, flavivirus, Aedes, dengue, yellow fever, ebola

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3
version 1			
09 Feb 2016	view	view	view

1. **Nicholas Meanwell**, Bristol-Myers Squibb Research and Development, Wallingford, USA
2. **John A. Lowe III** , JL3Pharma LLC, Stonington, USA
3. **Wade Blair**, Merck & Co., Inc., West Point, USA

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

This article is included in the **Emerging Diseases**
and **Outbreaks** gateway.

Corresponding author: Sean Ekins (ekinssean@yahoo.com)

Competing interests: S.E. works for Collaborations in Chemistry, Collaborations Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Collaborative Drug Discovery, Inc. D.M. is a contractor for the National Center for Biotechnology Information.

Grant information: EM is currently Special Visiting Professor in Federal University of Goias - Brazil (PVE-CNPq, grant #313727/2014-7). *The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.*

Copyright: © 2016 Ekins S *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Ekins S, Mitchen D, Coffee M *et al.* **Open drug discovery for the Zika virus [version 1; peer review: 3 approved]** F1000Research 2016, **5**:150 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8013.1>

First published: 09 Feb 2016, **5**:150 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8013.1>

Background - Zika virus epitomizes a neglected disease

We did not have to wait too long for the next virus to make global news¹. A fast follower to the Ebola virus (EBOV) epidemic that killed over 11,000 in Africa^{2–4} during 2014–2015, the Zika virus (ZIKV) has been suggested to have pandemic potential^{5–7}. While EBOV was likely not a new name to most, ZIKV only became part of most people's vocabulary in the past few weeks in the Western world, indicating a lack of knowledge of the virus. The ZIKV is an arthropod-borne flavivirus of the family *Flaviviridae*, phylogenetically close to dengue virus and yellow fever, transmitted by *Aedes* mosquitoes⁸, that usually causes a mild dengue-like illness with possibly fever, joint pains, rash, and/or swollen lymph nodes⁹ and has been more recently associated with *rare* Guillain-Barré syndrome¹⁰. It was neglected because there are multitudes of viruses and ZIKV had not seemed to cause severe pathology as it is thought to be the case now. However, the dramatic increase in the number of cases of babies born with microcephaly, especially in Brazil¹¹ and possibly associated with this virus, brought the ZIKV to the immediate attention of the West as it has spread. Cases of microcephaly had never been seen as a risk. It is possible that many children did not make it to adulthood if they were infected with ZIKV. It may have been that common. So few women would be infected during pregnancy. Those who were would be few in number and sporadically, not all at once, so the risk, if present, would have been hard to identify. Brazil without immunity in the population saw large numbers infected immediately as the virus was amplified in the population, resulting in thousands of pregnant women infected at once. Initially the Brazilian Ministry of Health advised reporting diagnosed cases of Zika as dengue, since the symptoms were in most of the cases similar to a mild case of the latter. After the association with microcephaly was announced, they revised the advice and recommended reporting the diseases independently, meaning that the official initial numbers of ZIKV incidence are most likely underestimated. The first baby in the USA born with ZIKV occurred on January 16th, 2016¹². Although not yet scientifically proven¹³, the relationship between ZIKV infection during pregnancy and microcephaly is strongly suspected¹⁴. Unlike EBOV, the ZIKV has travelled around the globe and has affected many countries⁹. It has also resulted in the World Health Organisation (WHO) moving much faster than they did against EBOV¹⁵, although it is unclear whether they are willing to take a leadership role^{16,17}. Which begs the question who is going to manage the global response to the virus? The WHO Director-General declared on February 1st, 2016 that the cluster of microcephaly cases and other neurological disorders reported in Brazil constitutes a Public Health Emergency of International Concern¹⁴ (PHEIC), it has therefore been identified as a problem for the entire world to deal with.

What is clear is that the ZIKV has been relatively ignored by researchers for over 60 years, with just 150 articles in PubMed at the time of writing since the original description of the virus was published in 1952¹⁸, although it was originally isolated in 1947 in Uganda, Africa⁸. While there are some sources of information on ZIKV such as protein sequences etc. (Table 1), a look in the Protein Data Bank (PDB), ChEMBL and PubChem databases is

Table 1. A list of data sources and repositories for Zika virus information.

Source name	Website
Wikidata	71
University of Minnesota Center for Disease Research and Policy	72
Centers for Disease Control	73
Figshare	74
PLOS Collections – Zika virus	75
F1000Research Zika and arbovirus outbreaks channel	76
Peptidase database	77
Institut Pasteur	78
World Health Organization	79

more despairing at the time of writing, with zero crystal structures for proteins from this virus or any assays that deal with targets or in whole cells. This translates to no molecules that have been screened against ZIKV targets and certainly no approved drugs that have been tested either *in vitro* or *in vivo* in relevant animal models which are also absent. Analysis of patents also suggests there are no specific molecules identified as active against ZIKV, although there are several patents on compounds for dengue¹⁹. Based on these observations, ZIKV should clearly be labeled as a “neglected disease”.

According to NIH RePORTER²⁰, there have been no projects funded to date to specifically address ZIKV. The NIH NIAID has responded by suggesting they would consider submissions for grants that address this virus²¹. There has been an acceleration in the opportunities for research, especially after the declaration of ZIKV as a PHEIC, but given the inherent need for ethical review and design of trials, this process cannot move as quickly as many wish. For example it is unlikely that any NIH funded projects would start until much later in the year, which would delay potential discoveries. So far, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Wellcome Trust have not announced any funding for ZIKV research. If we are to address preventing further spread of this virus, we have to move much faster and be coordinated in our response, maybe implementing a disruptive approach to moving towards a potential cure. It appears the NIH is not actively working on their own *in vitro* assay for ZIKV. Those extramural scientists already funded to work on similar viruses may be in a position to shift resources or perhaps may have access to alternative funding sources that could be utilized. This does beg the question how we can achieve faster dispersal of funding resources that can be mobilized in public health emergencies like this, whether through the WHO or World Bank or others. Dedicated teams of experts that can tackle such challenges perhaps also need to be convened to see if they can help lead global efforts. These need to be initiated in days and not weeks or months. Clearly, this did not happen with EBOV, and so far, it has not happened with ZIKV, at least in the USA.

Kickstarting ZIKV drug discovery

We, and others, have already suggested what steps perhaps could be taken to kick start a drug discovery program in such a circumstance as this²². There is significantly more information on the related flavivirus, dengue virus, with several high throughput screens and computational drug discovery efforts that have resulted in small molecule hits (Table 2). If we want a molecule to reach the clinic quickly for ZIKV, probably the most expedient method may be to repurpose FDA and/or EU approved drugs²³ (Table 2). This has been an approach that has led to new *in vitro* or *in vivo* active compounds of clinical relevance in a number of cases^{24,25}. The challenge, of course, is how to do this when there is zero prior work either *in vitro* or *in silico*. We could certainly leverage the data and models (including computational models) that are available for dengue virus as a starting point, but ideally we need to generate some data for compounds screened against the ZIKV. It is *possible* that there are already data available sitting behind academic or corporate firewalls, and ideally these need to be released to the world for examination (preferably as open data). We now offer some steps which could be taken immediately:

A first step would be to develop a whole cell or target-based ZIKV *in vitro* assay that would be amenable to medium to high throughput screening. This would need to be undertaken in BSL 2 facilities, which may limit the number of laboratories that can perform the screening, though expedited data sharing could allow others to help with analyses, informatics, contextualization, quality control and related aspects of the work and thereby accelerate it²⁶. In particular, high content screening can considerably speed the discovery and development of new drugs for Zika chemotherapy. By precluding the need for validated targets, cell-based screening enables the discovery of compounds that can inhibit virus entry and/or replication in human cells, by either deploying fluorescent protein-tagged ZIKV or using antibodies as probes for detection of viral proteins expressed in host cells. This approach has been successfully applied to flaviviruses such as Hepatitis C and dengue²⁷. A simpler assay that could be easily implemented in laboratories with isolated virus

not requiring expensive automation or instrumentation would be a viability assay for host cells infected with the virus. Viability markers such as resazurin (Sigma R7017) would be an inexpensive viability marker that could be assessed by colorimetric or fluorimetric readout after being converted to resofurin by the mitochondria of the host cell. The assay design could start with plating host cells such as Vero in a micro-well plate and adding the test compounds followed by addition of ZIKV. Adding the compounds before the virus allows for the detection of invasion inhibitors. Incubation for 4 to 5 days would be enough to eliminate all the cells in the wells untreated or with ineffective compounds. Resazurin solution would be added on the last day of the assay and incubated for at least 1 hour. Effective antiviral compounds would prevent cell death and could be detected by the reduction of resazurin to resofurin by the change in color (from purple to pink) or by fluorescence readout with excitation at 515nm. This assay would not require any genetic manipulation of the virus, and could be implemented with different clinical isolates, being also amenable to mid-high throughput scale screening.

A second step that seems appropriate would be to test drugs and other chemical compounds in the assay developed in step 1. We have summarized all the compounds and chemical libraries suggested for testing against ZIKV in Figure 1. We also sorted them by the priority level for testing. The number of chemicals at each level is given in parenthesis. Here we want to emphasize that we strongly support the idea of drug repurposing in general because it is the quickest way to the introduction of a drug into the market and its use in patients^{23,28}. Due to the absence of any relevant treatment, this is especially important for the rapid discovery of a drug against ZIKV. We also suggest to start from the 48 FDA-approved antivirals (Table 2)^{29,30}. Special priority should be given to the antivirals that were shown to be active against other flaviviruses such as dengue virus (Supplementary material S1), yellow fever, Japanese encephalitis, etc., and to a lesser degree, against other members of the *flaviviridae* family like Hepatitis C (Table 2). In addition to antivirals, we could also recommend approved

Table 2. List of potential compounds to test.

Compound source	Compounds
FDA approved antiviral drugs	29
FDA drugs that are not antivirals but have shown antiviral activity	Antimalarials versus Ebola; Quinacrine, Pyronaridine ²⁴ Chloroquine and Amodiaquine ⁵¹ Kinase inhibitors ^{32,80} Chlorcyclizine ⁸¹ NTCP inhibitors vs HepB ⁸²⁻⁸⁶
FDA approved drugs active <i>in vitro</i> or <i>in vivo</i> vs dengue virus.	Quinacrine, Berberine ⁸⁷ Amodiaquine ⁸⁸ Prochlorperazine ⁸⁹
Other compounds from HTS screens vs dengue virus, yellow fever etc.	H-89, MPP, BIBU 1361 ⁸⁷ Diverse molecules ³⁹⁻⁴⁵
Compounds from ChEMBL datasets	90–95
Compounds from PubChem	96–98

Figure 1. Compounds and chemical libraries suggested for testing against Zika virus.

non-antiviral drugs that have shown antiviral activity. Moreover, being inspired by the discovery of anti-influenza properties of brinzolamide³¹ and activity of toremifene against Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection³², and EBOV³³ we also recommend to test, in addition to antiviral compounds, all other marketed drugs. This will increase our chances to find a treatment against ZIKV and will preserve all the benefits of drug repurposing. The main reason preventing us from this approach could be potential low throughput of the developed assay. Another obstacle is the cost of these drugs or corresponding drug libraries, e.g., Prestwick Chemical Library³⁴. However, this could be overcome by in-kind donation of drug samples from big pharmaceutical companies (Pfizer, GSK, etc.) or chemical manufacturers (Prestwick, ChemBridge, Selleck, etc.). Other compounds that are not approved drugs could be also tested (Figure 1). We believe it is still better to start from compounds already approved or undergoing clinical trials (e.g. NIH clinical collection) but not yet approved by FDA and chemicals active against dengue, yellow fever, etc. The latter could be found in HTS assays, ChEMBL, PubChem, etc., and are summarized in Table 3. Perhaps less attractive but still a reasonable step is the use of focused libraries of drug-like compounds and, as a last resort, large diverse chemical libraries containing millions of compounds.

A third approach would be to explore the complete genome of ZIKV^{35,36} or the recently published genome for ZIKV circulating in the Americas³⁷ to apply a target-based chemogenomics approach³⁸ in order to identify approved drugs that may be active against the ZIKV for testing *in vitro*.

A fourth approach would be to develop homology models for ZIKV proteins that are similar to those targeted by molecules that are active against the dengue virus^{39–45} (Table 3). This would enable structure-based approaches such as docking to significantly narrow down the number of compounds for eventual testing. As this

Table 3. Targets in Zika virus with homology to dengue virus.

Target	References
Envelope glycoprotein	45
Proteases NS2B3 and NS3	99–101
NS3 helicase	102,103
NS5 methyltransferase (e.g. Guanylyltransferase)	43,102
NS5 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase	102,104,105
Host factors	106–108

is one of the most accessible approaches at the present time, as an example, we have used freely available online software to create a homology model of the ZIKV envelope protein (Supplementary material S2) that can be used to dock compounds and score them in order to prioritize compounds for *in vitro* testing (Figure 2; Supplementary material S3–Supplementary material S6). Alternatively, we could turn to libraries of commercially available, drug-like small molecules for screening *in silico* and then *in vitro*. An expedited path to their optimization toward pre-clinical candidates could rely on publicly available computational machine learning models for critical physiochemical and ADME properties that we and others have made available to the scientific community^{46,47}.

A fifth step would be to understand the mechanism and target of any compounds derived from whole cell screening and confirm compounds that have on-target activity when identified by target-based *in vitro* or *in silico* approaches. This might be enabled by using

Figure 2. Homology model created for the Zika virus envelope protein. A. Complete protein shown as a ribbon diagram (generated in Discovery Studio). **B.** Pyronaridine shown docked into the subunit A homology model, small molecule colored by atom, protein colored by atom charge. **C.** 2D interaction plot for pyronaridine.

similarity of molecules that have been identified to have activity against targets in other species using target prediction software^{48,49}. Potentially promising molecules could also be screened against other viruses (flaviviruses or others) to identify whether they can be used across a whole virus class or have even broader antiviral activity.

A final step would be to test compounds in an animal model of ZIKV infection such as the mouse⁵⁰ initially. It is unclear whether larger animal models have been developed and tested yet. Once a suitable model has been validated, we stress the importance

of assaying a significant number of promising early candidates (if they exist) and also examining opportunities for drug repurposing. Despite the significant advances in the biological sciences over the last 70 years, we must not forget that many of our current anti-infectives arose during the World War II drug discovery effort. At that point in history, small molecules were synthesized and tested *in vivo* with little delay. Certainly while we cannot ignore requisite animal toxicity studies and guidelines when devoting animals to such studies, we must also not ignore the goal post: *in vivo* demonstration of efficacy.

The overall proposed workflow for rapid drug discovery against ZIKV is represented in [Figure 3](#). We suggest to start from screening *in vitro* assay (preferably medium- or high-throughput) development with subsequent testing of approved antivirals or other drugs. If drug repurposing will not work, other compounds could be prioritized for testing by docking-based virtual screening using developed homology model or by geno- and phenotypic analyses. It is also possible that this could also be done in parallel using compounds derived from docking prioritized for testing *in vitro*. Subsequent steps are traditional for any drug discovery pipeline and include development of animal models, clinical trial, and in case of success, manufacturing, marketing, and distribution of a drug against ZIKV.

Despite years of knowing of the dangers of Ebola, research into low-hanging fruit - drugs that are FDA approved and perhaps already even indicated in the care of Ebola patients - was limited. Promising leads among FDA approved drugs were identified by Peter Madrid *et al.* using *in vitro* cell culture assays with Ebola⁵¹. In light of this paper, Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF) apparently looked at amodiaquine, as it was listed by the WHO as a potential drug, and was already used (as artesunate-amodiaquine) empirically in about half of Ebola patients to treat any malaria infections in suspected or confirmed patients (including almost all patients in Sierra Leone, where it was on the country's protocol). The other patients generally were treated with another malaria medication,

artesunate-lumefantrine, which had not been found to have potential activity against Ebola. Work by members of our group showed that a pharmacophore potentially could describe the inhibition of EBOV by amodiaquine and three other compounds identified from published screens⁵². However, this promising lead in the form of amodiaquine identified before the outbreak was not followed up in initial drug therapy against Ebola. New, unapproved drugs and other therapies, like blood plasma, were prioritized with rather disappointing results^{53–56}. Later, MSF looking retrospectively showed that during a brief period where stocks of artesunate-lumefantrine were depleted in Liberia and were replaced with artesunate-amodiaquine, mortality dropped by 31%⁵⁷. Interestingly, dengue also has had potential drugs identified among already approved FDA drugs *in vitro*, including amodiaquine and quinacrine ([Table 2](#)).

We should perhaps also analyze whether vaccines for other viruses (e.g. dengue) may be useful against Zika, although in the past the yellow fever vaccine was not⁵⁸. Questions to address include whether those previously exposed to dengue virus have an increased propensity to ZIKV.

In addition to development of a drug for ZIKV, complementary parallel efforts should be undertaken in order to understand the virus structure, function, and especially its burden on the human health, including the mechanisms of its pathogenesis and neurological abnormalities. The scientific community needs further

Figure 3. Proposed workflow for rapid drug discovery against Zika virus. Exp = experimental; VS = virtual screen.

information to clarify the dangers created by ZIKV: (i) is ZIKV the only or the main reason for the rise in microcephaly cases and Guillain-Barre syndrome?; (ii) is ZIKV dangerous only to pregnant women and what is the probability to have the above mentioned disorders while being infected?; (iii) what is the mutation potential of the virus?; etc. We need to make sure we are addressing the most important aspects of the disease and not tilting at windmills.

ZIKV: An opportunity for collaboration

The ZIKV represents an example where national and international readiness needs to be addressed. Clearly, this is also an opportunity to demonstrate the impact of scientific collaboration that could benefit from the mediation of open scientific exchange via open data, open interpretation and step-by-step iterative progress. We propose that open access journals set up Zika repositories and that traditional science publishers could also open up their articles on dengue and related flaviviruses as a means to spur more research and make the literature accessible. It will be important to coordinate these scientific responses to avoid repetition and create these open repositories (e.g. Wikidata – [Table 1](#)), but as with many crowdsourcing-based approaches, openness itself will be the primary enabler for exchange and progress. While such initiatives in the past have been limited to malaria and tuberculosis^{59–62}, diseases which kill millions annually, they have not been widely applied to emerging viruses and neglected tropical diseases. There is no time like the present to see whether these efforts can be brought to bear with great immediacy. The health and lives of a large population of newborns and children is at risk. This may also lead to improved approaches for the next global pandemic.

How do we incentivize ZIKV drug discovery

To get more companies and groups working on Zika drug discovery⁶³, we propose that this neglected disease should qualify for a neglected disease priority review voucher^{64–67}. While *Filoviridae* are covered, (e.g. Ebola since 2014), the flavivirus Zika is not. It would need US Senate approval to extend to additional viruses. This obviously takes some effort but Ebola was added at the height of the outbreak, so it is not impossible. The value of these vouchers and those for pediatric rare diseases⁶⁸ is continuing to increase, so they add a meaningful financial incentive that could bring companies into the effort.

Summary

The neglected disease space has many issues, including shortage of funds, which has driven us to look for opportunities in using computational approaches⁶⁹ that have been applied to drug repurposing. The recent ZIKV outbreak reasserts the importance of preparedness for new viruses. While we have a whole array of impressive molecular biology technologies at our disposal, our ability to quickly identify and test molecules that might possess antiviral activity is severely hampered by lack of appropriate *in vitro* assays in place for Zika. We are starting from scratch even though we have known

of this virus for over 60 years. This will be an important test of our ability to organize and ramp up efforts that should have been triggered by the Ebola outbreak. However, there should be a warning from that experience, as the big pharmaceutical companies played no role, and it was left to biotechs to field their highly experimental approaches. So for all of the merging of pharmaceutical companies in the last decade, we are possibly weaker for it. Clearly, they too are not willing to pursue a costly antiviral approach, unless there is a substantial financial incentive, and the priority review voucher may fit the bill. And for all the US government's efforts at being prepared with organizations like the Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority (BARDA), who have invested billions of dollars in vaccine readiness for influenza and emerging threats, we are clearly not ready yet. We have herein proposed several approaches that could be actionable now with a bare minimum of resources and funding. It may be the case that we already have FDA and EU approved drugs that while showing activity against other viruses may have a role to play in further testing for efficacy against Zika. While we could develop incredibly sophisticated *in vitro* models for the ZIKV, all that may be needed is a simple readout as to whether a compound has antiviral activity or not. It should certainly not be forgotten that single agent therapies can be overcome quickly by drug resistance, and so from the very beginning, we may want to consider combination therapies like those used in HIV⁷⁰. We can learn from antiviral drug discovery in the past and try not to repeat the same failures again. The health of a future generation may very well depend on the decisions we make now and our willingness to collaborate to find a cure.

Author contributions

All authors contributed to the collaborative writing of this project.

Competing interests

S.E. works for Collaborations in Chemistry, Collaborations Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Collaborative Drug Discovery, Inc.

D.M. is a contractor for the National Center for Biotechnology Information.

Grant information

EM is currently Special Visiting Professor in Federal University of Goias - Brazil (PVE-CNPq, grant #313727/2014-7).

I confirm that the funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

SE gratefully acknowledges discussions with Dr. Priscilla L. Yang, Dr. Warren G. Lewis, Dr. Egon Willighagen, Dr. Rajarshi Guha, Dr. Robert Davey, Dr. Wei Zheng and Dr. Rachelle J. Bienstock while Dr. Christopher D. Southan provided assistance patent searching.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material S1. Structures of known dengue virus inhibitors as sdf.

Supplementary material S2. Method and results for Homology model and docking for Zika virus envelope protein.

Homology model

The following sequence of the Zika virus envelope protein (E) was taken from the polyprotein¹⁰⁹, where the part corresponding to E is from residues 291–592, while the IG-like domain III is from residues 601–693 as shown below

```

ircigvsnrđ
301 fvegmsggtw vdvvlehggc vtvmaqdkpt vdielvtttv snmaevrsyc yeasisdmas
361 dsrçptqgea yldkqsdtqy vckrtlvdrg wngcgflfgk gslvtcakfa cskkmtgksi
421 qpenleyrim lsvhgsqhsg mivndtghet denrakveit pnspraeatl ggfgslgldc
481 eprtglđfsd lyyltmnnkh wlvhkewfhd iplpwhagad tgtphwnnke alvefkđaha
541 krqtvvvlgş qegavhtala galeaemdga kgrlssghlk crlkmdklrl kgvsyslcta
601 aftftkipae tlhgtvttev qyagtdgpck vpaqmavdmq tltpvgrlit anpviteste
661 nskmmleldp pfğdsyivig vgekkithhw hrşgsti

```

Swiss-Model¹¹⁰ was used to construct a homology model using the Dengue crystal structure 4gsx as a template (58.69 identity)^{111–114}.

The complete homology model of subunit A was then used in Discovery Studio version 4.1 (Biovia, San Diego, CA) and the ‘prepare protein’ protocol was used before the ‘Dock ligands’ protocol. Selected molecules were initially docked using a docking sphere of 13 angstroms. The proposed binding site was centered on residues 270–277 and a site sphere created (coordinates 17.07, -21.94, 25.70) with 13 Å diameter. The protocol included 10 hotspots and docking tolerance (0.25). The FAST conformation method was also used along with steepest descent minimization with CHARMM. Further parameters followed the default settings. Out of 3 compounds of interest initially docked, pyronaridine had the highest LibDockScore score of 142. Quinacrine – (a known FDA approved drug that has shown activity against Dengue (IC₅₀ 0.55µM – 87) has a docking score of 128. The well known antiviral Ribavirin has a docking score of 101. These predictions suggest that Quinacrine may be targeting this protein and that other antimalarials may also be worth testing.

The Prestwick Chemical Library³⁴ of 1280 molecules was first filtered to remove salts then this was docked in the protein as described above.

The top 10 docked molecules from the Prestwick Chemical Library (S3), as identified using the best scored conformation, includes 3 antivirals: ritonavir, indinavir and saquinavir. Selected antimalarials from the Prestwick Chemical Library (S4) suggest that these molecules may be worth further testing *in vitro* versus Zika virus given their availability (alongside pyronaridine and quinacrine).

Supplementary material S3. The top 10 docked molecules from the Prestwick Chemical Library.

Molecule	LibDockScore
Colistin	180.85
Ritonavir	180.76
Pepstatin A	171.92
Indinavir	170.23
Deferoxamine	168.53
Lanatoside C	165.79
Dihydroergotamine	161.16
Saquinavir	161.02
Nadide	160.40
Avermectin B1a	159.79

Supplementary material S4. Selected antimalarials docked in the Prestwick Chemical Library.

Molecule	LibDockScore
Halofantrine	152.27
Quinidine	111.02
Amodiaquine	108.83
Chloroquine	108.80
Mefloquine	99.01
Primaquine	95.77

Supplementary material S5. PDB file for homology model.

Supplementary material S6. Prestwick library compounds docked in protein.

References

- Siddique H: **Zika virus likely to spread throughout the Americas, says WHO.** In *The Guardian*. 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- Anon. **List of Ebola outbreaks.**
[Reference Source](#)
- Anon. **Ebola virus epidemic in West Africa.**
[Reference Source](#)
- Anon. **2014 Ebola Virus in West Africa timeline of reported cases and deaths.**
[Reference Source](#)
- Anon. **Zika virus could become 'explosive pandemic'.** 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- Lucy DR, Gostin LO: **The Emerging Zika Pandemic: Enhancing Preparedness.** *JAMA*. 2016.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Hotez P, Askoy S: **Will Zika become the 2016 NTD of the Year?** 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- Faye O, Freire CC, Iamarino A, *et al.*: **Molecular evolution of Zika virus during its emergence in the 20th century.** *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2014; 8(1): e2636.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Coffee M: **Understanding the Zika Outbreak and Why It's Rapidly Spreading.** 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- Oehler E, Watrin L, Larre P, *et al.*: **Zika virus infection complicated by Guillain-Barre syndrome—case report, French Polynesia, December 2013.** *Euro Surveill*. 2014; 19(9): pii: 20720.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Schuler-Faccini L, Ribeiro EM, Feitosa IM, *et al.*: **Possible Association Between Zika Virus Infection and Microcephaly - Brazil, 2015.** *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2016; 65(3): 59–62.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- McNeill DGJ: **Hawaii Baby With Brain Damage Is First U.S. Case Tied to Zika Virus.** In *The New York Times*. 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- Tetro JA: **Zika and microcephaly: Causation, correlation, or coincidence?** *Microbes Infect*. 2016; pii: S1286-4579(16)00008-3.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Anon. **WHO Director-General summarizes the outcome of the Emergency Committee regarding clusters of microcephaly and Guillain-Barré syndrome.** 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- Anon. **Zika Virus.** 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- Sternberg S: **Will the World Health Organization Drop the Zika Ball?** In *US News and World Report*. 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- Roberts M: **Zika-linked condition: WHO declares global emergency.** 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- Dick GW, Kitchen SF, Haddow AJ: **Zika virus. I. Isolations and serological specificity.** *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 1952; 46(5): 509–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Anon. **Dengue Patent search.** 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- Anon. **NIH RePORTER.**
[Reference Source](#)
- Anon. **Notice of NIAID's Interest to Highlight High-Priority Zika virus (ZIKV) Research Areas.** 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- Ekins S, Southan C, Coffee M: **Finding small molecules for the 'next Ebola' [version 2; referees: 2 approved].** *F1000Res*. 2015; 4: 58.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Ekins S, Williams AJ, Krasowski MD, *et al.*: **In silico repositioning of approved drugs for rare and neglected diseases.** *Drug Discov Today*. 2011; 16(7–8): 298–310.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Ekins S, Freundlich JS, Clark AM, *et al.*: **Machine learning models identify molecules active against the Ebola virus in vitro [version 2; referees: 2 approved].** *F1000Res*. 2016; 4: 1091.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Ekins S, de Siqueira-Neto JL, McCall LI, *et al.*: **Machine Learning Models and Pathway Genome Data Base for Trypanosoma cruzi Drug Discovery.** *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2015; 9(6): e0003878.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Rohde H, Qin J, Cui Y, *et al.*: **Open-source genomic analysis of Shiga-toxin-producing E. coli O104:H4.** *N Engl J Med*. 2011; 365(8): 718–24.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Cruz DJ, Koishi AC, Taniguchi JB, *et al.*: **High content screening of a kinase-focused library reveals compounds broadly-active against dengue viruses.** *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2013; 7(2): e2073.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Blatt J, Farag S, Corey SJ, *et al.*: **Expanding the scope of drug repurposing in pediatrics: the Children's Pharmacy Collaborative.** *Drug Discov Today*. 2014; 19(11): 1696–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Anon. **List of antiviral drugs.**
[Reference Source](#)
- Anon. **FDA approved antivirals.**
[Reference Source](#)
- Josset L, Textoris J, Liorid B, *et al.*: **Gene expression signature-based screening identifies new broadly effective influenza A antivirals.** *PLoS One*. 2010; 5(10): pii: e13169.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Dyall J, Coleman CM, Hart BJ, *et al.*: **Repurposing of clinically developed drugs for treatment of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2014; 58(8): 4885–93.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

33. Johansen LM, Brannan JM, Delos SE, *et al.*: **FDA-approved selective estrogen receptor modulators inhibit Ebola virus infection.** *Sci Transl Med.* 2013; 5(190): 190ra79.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
34. Anon. **Prestwick chemical library.**
[Reference Source](#)
35. Kuno G, Chang GJ: **Full-length sequencing and genomic characterization of Bagaza, Kedougou, and Zika viruses.** *Arch Virol.* 2007; 152(4): 687–96.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
36. Baronti C, Piorkowski G, Charrel RN, *et al.*: **Complete coding sequence of zika virus from a French polynesia outbreak in 2013.** *Genome Announc.* 2014; 2(3): pii: e00500–14.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
37. Enfissi A, Codrington J, Roosblad J, *et al.*: **Zika virus genome from the Americas.** *Lancet.* 2016; 387(10015): 227–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
38. Neves BJ, Braga RC, Bezerra JC, *et al.*: **In silico repositioning-chemogenomics strategy identifies new drugs with potential activity against multiple life stages of *Schistosoma mansoni*.** *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2015; 9(1): e3435.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
39. Wang QY, Patel SJ, Vangrevelinghe E, *et al.*: **A small-molecule dengue virus entry inhibitor.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2009; 53(5): 1823–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
40. Poh MK, Yip A, Zhang S, *et al.*: **A small molecule fusion inhibitor of dengue virus.** *Antiviral Res.* 2009; 84(3): 260–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
41. Zhou Z, Khaliq M, Suk JE, *et al.*: **Antiviral compounds discovered by virtual screening of small-molecule libraries against dengue virus E protein.** *ACS Chem Biol.* 2008; 3(12): 765–75.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
42. Li Z, Khaliq M, Zhou Z, *et al.*: **Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of antiviral agents targeting flavivirus envelope proteins.** *J Med Chem.* 2008; 51(15): 4660–71.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
43. Stahla-Beek HJ, April DG, Saeedi BJ, *et al.*: **Identification of a novel antiviral inhibitor of the flavivirus guanylyltransferase enzyme.** *J Virol.* 2012; 86(16): 8730–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
44. Mayhoub AS, Khaliq M, Kuhn RJ, *et al.*: **Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of thiazoles targeting flavivirus envelope proteins.** *J Med Chem.* 2011; 54(6): 1704–14.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
45. Schmidt AG, Lee K, Yang PL, *et al.*: **Small-molecule inhibitors of dengue-virus entry.** *PLoS Pathog.* 2012; 8(4): e1002627.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
46. Perryman AL, Stratton TP, Ekins S, *et al.*: **Predicting Mouse Liver Microsomal Stability with "Pruned" Machine Learning Models and Public Data.** *Pharm Res.* 2016; 33(2): 433–49.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
47. Clark AM, Dole K, Coulon-Spektor A, *et al.*: **Open Source Bayesian Models. 1. Application to ADME/Tox and Drug Discovery Datasets.** *J Chem Inf Model.* 2015; 55(6): 1231–45.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
48. Anon. **SwissTargetPrediction.**
[Reference Source](#)
49. Anon. **SEA.**
[Reference Source](#)
50. Bell TM, Field EJ, Narang HK: **Zika virus infection of the central nervous system of mice.** *Arch Gesamte Virusforsch.* 1971; 35(2): 183–93.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
51. Madrid PB, Chopra S, Manger ID, *et al.*: **A systematic screen of FDA-approved drugs for inhibitors of biological threat agents.** *PLoS One.* 2013; 8(4): e60579.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
52. Ekins S, Freundlich JS, Coffee M: **A common feature pharmacophore for FDA-approved drugs inhibiting the Ebola virus [version 2; referees: 2 approved].** *F1000Res.* 2014; 3: 277.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
53. Madelain V, Nguyen TH, Olivo A, *et al.*: **Ebola Virus Infection: Review of the Pharmacokinetic and Pharmacodynamic Properties of Drugs Considered for Testing in Human Efficacy Trials.** *Clin Pharmacokinet.* 2016.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
54. van Griensven J, Edwards T, de Lamballerie X, *et al.*: **Evaluation of Convalescent Plasma for Ebola Virus Disease in Guinea.** *N Engl J Med.* 2016; 374(1): 33–42.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
55. Kroll D: **Chimerix Ends Brincidofovir Ebola Trials To Focus On Adenovirus And CMV.** 2015.
[Reference Source](#)
56. Kroll D: **How Will We Know If The Ebola Drugs Worked?** 2014.
[Reference Source](#)
57. Gignoux E, Azman AS, de Smet M, *et al.*: **Effect of Artesunate-Amodiaquine on Mortality Related to Ebola Virus Disease.** *N Engl J Med.* 2016; 374(1): 23–32.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
58. Filipe AR, Martins CM, Rocha H: **Laboratory infection with Zika virus after vaccination against yellow fever.** *Arch Gesamte Virusforsch.* 1973; 43(4): 315–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
59. Robertson MN, Ylloja PM, Williamson AE, *et al.*: **Open source drug discovery - a limited tutorial.** *Parasitology.* 2014; 141(1): 148–57.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
60. Ballell L, Bates RH, Young RJ, *et al.*: **Fuelling open-source drug discovery: 177 small-molecule leads against tuberculosis.** *ChemMedChem.* 2013; 8(2): 313–21.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
61. Bhardwaj A, Scaria V, Raghava GP, *et al.*: **Open source drug discovery—a new paradigm of collaborative research in tuberculosis drug development.** *Tuberculosis (Edinb).* 2011; 91(5): 479–86.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
62. Ekins S, Williams AJ: **Curing TB with open science.** *Tuberculosis (Edinb).* 2014; 94(2): 183–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
63. Thomas K: **Vaccine for Zika Virus May Be Years Away, Disease Experts Warn.** In *The New York Times.* 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
64. Anon. **Priority review voucher.**
[Reference Source](#)
65. Sachs-Barrable K, Conway J, Gershkovich P, *et al.*: **The use of the United States FDA programs as a strategy to advance the development of drug products for neglected tropical diseases.** *Drug Dev Ind Pharm.* 2014; 40(11): 1429–34.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
66. Kesselheim AS, Maggs LR, Sarpatwari A: **Experience With the Priority Review Voucher Program for Drug Development.** *JAMA.* 2015; 314(16): 1687–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
67. Robertson AS, Stefanakis R, Joseph D, *et al.*: **The impact of the US priority review voucher on private-sector investment in global health research and development.** *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2012; 6(8): e1750.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
68. Ekins S, Wood J: **Incentives for Starting Small Companies Focused on Rare and Neglected Diseases.** *Pharm Res.* 2015; 1–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
69. Ponder EL, Freundlich JS, Sarker M, *et al.*: **Computational models for neglected diseases: gaps and opportunities.** *Pharm Res.* 2014; 31(2): 271–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
70. Ekins S, Siqueira-Neto JL: **Shedding Light on Synergistic Chemical Genetic Connections with Machine Learning.** *Cell Syst.* 2015; 1(6): 377–379.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
71. Anon. **WikiData.**
[Reference Source](#)
72. Anon. **University of Minnesota Center for Disease Research and Policy.**
[Reference Source](#)
73. Anon. **Centers for Disease Control - Zika virus.**
[Reference Source](#)
74. Anon. **Figshare – Zika virus.**
[Reference Source](#)
75. Anon. **PLOS Collections – Zika virus.**
[Reference Source](#)
76. Anon. **F1000Research Zika and arbovirus outbreaks channel.**
[Reference Source](#)
77. Anon. **Peptidase database.**
[Reference Source](#)
78. Anon. **Institute Pasteur – Zika.**
[Reference Source](#)
79. Anon. **World Health Organization - Zika virus.** 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
80. Perwitasari O, Yan X, O'Donnell J, *et al.*: **Repurposing Kinase Inhibitors as Antiviral Agents to Control Influenza A Virus Replication.** *Assay Drug Dev Technol.* 2015; 13(10): 638–49.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
81. He S, Lin B, Chu V, *et al.*: **Repurposing of the antihistamine chlorcyclizine and related compounds for treatment of hepatitis C virus infection.** *Sci Transl Med.* 2015; 7(282): 282ra49.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
82. Dong Z, Ekins S, Polli JE: **Quantitative NTCP pharmacophore and lack of association between DILI and NTCP Inhibition.** *Eur J Pharm Sci.* 2014; 66C: 1–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
83. Lempp FA, Urban S: **Inhibitors of hepatitis B virus attachment and entry.** *Intervirology.* 2014; 57(3–4): 151–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
84. Watashi K, Sluder A, Daito T, *et al.*: **Cyclosporin A and its analogs inhibit hepatitis B virus entry into cultured hepatocytes through targeting a membrane transporter, sodium taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide (NTCP).** *Hepatology.* 2014; 59(5): 1726–37.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
85. Iwamoto M, Watashi K, Tsukuda S, *et al.*: **Evaluation and identification of hepatitis B virus entry inhibitors using HepG2 cells overexpressing a**

- membrane transporter NTCP. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2014; **443**(3): 808–13.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
86. Nkongolo S, Ni Y, Lempp FA, *et al.*: Cyclosporin A inhibits hepatitis B and hepatitis D virus entry by cyclophilin-independent interference with the NTCP receptor. *J Hepatol*. 2014; **60**(4): 723–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 87. Shum D, Smith JL, Hirsch AJ, *et al.*: High-content assay to identify inhibitors of dengue virus infection. *Assay Drug Dev Technol*. 2010; **8**(5): 553–70.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 88. Boonyasuppayakorn S, Reichert ED, Manzano M, *et al.*: Amodiaquine, an antimalarial drug, inhibits dengue virus type 2 replication and infectivity. *Antiviral Res*. 2014; **106**: 125–34.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 89. Simanjuntak Y, Liang JJ, Lee YL, *et al.*: Repurposing of prochlorperazine for use against dengue virus infection. *J Infect Dis*. 2015; **211**(3): 394–404.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 90. Anon. ChEMBL Dengue virus type 2 NS3.
[Reference Source](#)
 91. Anon. ChEMBL Dengue virus type 2.
[Reference Source](#)
 92. Anon. ChEMBL Dengue virus.
[Reference Source](#)
 93. Anon. ChEMBL Dengue virus type 4.
[Reference Source](#)
 94. Anon. ChEMBL Dengue virus type 1.
[Reference Source](#)
 95. Anon. ChEMBL Dengue virus type 3.
[Reference Source](#)
 96. Anon. PubChem AID:540333 Southern Research Screen.
[Reference Source](#)
 97. Anon. PubChem AID: 588689 Chain A, Crystal Structure Of Dengue-2 Virus Methyltransferase Complexed With S-Adenosyl-L-Homocysteine.
[Reference Source](#)
 98. Anon. PubChem AID:687031 Broad screen.
[Reference Source](#)
 99. Yu CY, Liang JJ, Li JK, *et al.*: Dengue Virus Impairs Mitochondrial Fusion by Cleaving Mitofusins. *PLoS Pathog*. 2015; **11**(12): e1005350.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 100. Cabarcas-Montalvo M, Maldonado-Rojas W, Montes-Grajales D, *et al.*: Discovery of antiviral molecules for dengue: *In silico* search and biological evaluation. *Eur J Med Chem*. 2016; **110**: 87–97.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 101. Raut R, Beesetti H, Tyagi P, *et al.*: A small molecule inhibitor of dengue virus type 2 protease inhibits the replication of all four dengue virus serotypes in cell culture. *Viral J*. 2015; **12**: 16.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 102. Sampath A, Padmanabhan R: Molecular targets for flavivirus drug discovery. *Antiviral Res*. 2009; **81**(1): 6–15.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 103. Luo D, Vasudevan SG, Lescar J: The flavivirus NS2B-NS3 protease-helicase as a target for antiviral drug development. *Antiviral Res*. 2015; **118**: 148–58.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 104. Niyomrattanakit P, Chen YL, Dong H, *et al.*: Inhibition of dengue virus polymerase by blocking of the RNA tunnel. *J Virol*. 2010; **84**(11): 5678–86.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 105. Malet H, Massé N, Sellisko B, *et al.*: The flavivirus polymerase as a target for drug discovery. *Antiviral Res*. 2008; **80**(1): 23–35.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 106. Krishnan MN, Garcia-Blanco MA: Targeting host factors to treat West Nile and dengue viral infections. *Viruses*. 2014; **6**(2): 683–708.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 107. Garcia CC, Guabiraba R, Soriani FM, *et al.*: The development of anti-inflammatory drugs for infectious diseases. *Discov Med*. 2010; **10**(55): 479–88.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
 108. Smith JL, Stein DA, Shum D, *et al.*: Inhibition of dengue virus replication by a class of small-molecule compounds that antagonize dopamine receptor d4 and downstream mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling. *J Virol*. 2014; **88**(10): 5533–42.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 109. Anon. Zika virus polyprotein.
[Reference Source](#)
 110. Anon. Swiss-Model.
[Reference Source](#)
 111. Biasini M, Bienert S, Waterhouse A, *et al.*: SWISS-MODEL: modelling protein tertiary and quaternary structure using evolutionary information. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2014; **42**(Web Server issue): W252–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 112. Arnold K, Bordoli L, Kopp J, *et al.*: The SWISS-MODEL workspace: a web-based environment for protein structure homology modelling. *Bioinformatics*. 2006; **22**(2): 195–201.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 113. Kiefer F, Arnold K, Künzli M, *et al.*: The SWISS-MODEL Repository and associated resources. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2009; **37**(Database issue): D387–92.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 114. Guex N, Peitsch MC, Schwede T: Automated comparative protein structure modeling with SWISS-MODEL and Swiss-PdbViewer: a historical perspective. *Electrophoresis*. 2009; **30**(Suppl 1): S162–73.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 20 April 2016

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.8622.r13489>

© 2016 Blair W. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Wade Blair

Department of Antiviral Research, Merck Research Laboratories, Merck & Co., Inc., West Point, PA, USA

The authors highlight the urgency and advocate for a collaborative approach to Zika virus drug discovery and development. Advocacy for emerging diseases is always a worthy pursuit and the open drug discovery model suggested by the authors to tackle Zika virus warrants further discussion.

The authors go on to outline possible drug discovery strategies, with an emphasis on repurposing FDA or EU approved drugs as a faster path to approval of Zika virus therapeutics. Although this is an attractive approach in theory and should be pursued, it is more likely that lead molecules would be identified from this effort rather than effective Zika therapeutics ready for approval. In this short article format, it is difficult for the authors to adequately cover the different drug discovery approaches they recommend. For example, identifying targets and mechanisms for inhibitors identified from a whole cell screen can be complicated and the authors do not discuss the key challenges typically encountered with such a screening campaign. In addition, one very important aspect that is missing from the discussion is drug safety, particularly given that pregnant women in Zika endemic areas represent the population with the highest unmet medical need. The authors should address the hurdles associated with developing drugs with the requisite safety profile for this vulnerable population.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 20 April 2016

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.8622.r13487>

© 2016 Lowe III J. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

John A. Lowe III

JL3Pharma LLC, Stonington, USA

The article provides a specific set of recommendations for discovering a new small molecule drug to treat Zika virus infection. Figure 1 in the article summarizes this process, beginning with setting up appropriate assays and screening known anti-viral drugs, especially those already characterized against dengue, another member of the family of viruses to which Zika belongs (Figure 3 is a nice summary of the proposed workflow). The authors also suggest ways to incentivize this effort, and organize it as a collaboration. All these strategies make sense and are well preceded and documented in the article. Overall, I recommend the article for approval based on its well-founded analysis of a strategy for addressing a major public health concern.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 19 April 2016

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.8622.r13198>

© 2016 Meanwell N. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Nicholas Meanwell

Department of Discovery Chemistry, Bristol-Myers Squibb Research and Development,
Wallingford, CT, USA

This article provides a blueprint for a collaborative approach to identifying inhibitors of not only Zika virus but any emerging viral pathogen. Developing a collection of, for example, nucleoside and nucleoside analogue drugs that could be quickly screened would be a useful starting point but developing screens and a detailed understanding of the virus, analogous to that accomplished when the coronavirus SARS emerged, makes considerable sense.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research