REVIEW

Salutary effects of high-intensity interval training in persons with elevated cardiovascular risk [version 1; peer review: 3 approved]

Jerome L. Fleg

Division of Cardiovascular Sciences, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA

V1 First published: 07 Sep 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):2254
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8778.1>

Latest published: 07 Sep 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):2254
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8778.1>

Abstract

Although moderate-intensity continuous training (MICT) has been the traditional model for aerobic exercise training for over four decades, a growing body of literature has demonstrated equal if not greater improvement in aerobic capacity and similar beneficial effects on body composition, glucose metabolism, blood pressure, and quality of life from high-intensity interval training (HIIT). An advantage of HIIT over MICT is the shorter time required to perform the same amount of energy expenditure. The current brief review summarizes the effects of HIIT on peak aerobic capacity and cardiovascular risk factors in healthy adults and those with various cardiovascular diseases, including coronary artery disease, chronic heart failure, and post heart transplantation.

Keywords

moderate-intensity continuous training , high-intensity interval training , cardiovascular

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3
version 1			
07 Sep 2016			

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

1. **Jack Goodman**, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada
Katharine Currie, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada
2. **Jeff S. Coombes**, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia
3. **Gary Gerstenblith**, John Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, USA

Any comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Jerome L. Fleg (flegj@nhlbi.nih.gov)

Competing interests: The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2016 Fleg JL. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The author(s) is/are employees of the US Government and therefore domestic copyright protection in USA does not apply to this work. The work may be protected under the copyright laws of other jurisdictions when used in those jurisdictions.

How to cite this article: Fleg JL. **Salutary effects of high-intensity interval training in persons with elevated cardiovascular risk [version 1; peer review: 3 approved]** F1000Research 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):2254 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8778.1>

First published: 07 Sep 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):2254 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8778.1>

Introduction

Aerobic exercise training has been extensively employed for several decades to improve functional capacity and cardiovascular (CV) risk factors in both healthy adults and those with cardiovascular disease (CVD). The traditional model for such training is typically a short (5–10 minute) warm up followed by 20–60 minutes of sustained moderate-intensity aerobic activity at 40–85% maximal aerobic capacity¹. Such programs employing moderate-intensity continuous training (MICT) typically increase peak oxygen consumption (VO_2) by 10–30%, with greater improvements associated with lower baseline fitness, higher intensity exercise, and longer program duration¹. Additional benefits of MICT include modest blood pressure (BP) reduction, improved glucose and lipid metabolism, and mood elevation².

When performing aerobic exercise outside of a gym or cardiac rehabilitation (CR) program, individuals often vary the exercise intensity with short sprints as during a soccer or basketball game or in ascending hills on a bicycle. A program with such alternating short periods of high-intensity exercise at >85–90% peak VO_2 interspersed among periods of lower intensity is known as high-intensity interval training (HIIT). Although HIIT has received relatively little attention as a therapeutic modality until the past decade, numerous recent reports have documented increases in peak VO_2 and improvement in several CV risk factors similar to or greater than those observed with MICT. This brief review will summarize these salutary effects of HIIT in healthy adults, those with CV risk factors, and those with established CVD.

HIIT can be divided into two distinct subtypes. Sprint interval training (SIT) typically consists of 4–6 cycles of 20–40-second “all out” sprints followed by 4–5 minutes of recovery. Because of SIT’s extreme intensity, most SIT studies have been limited to healthy young individuals. In older populations and those with CVD, HIIT has been employed in the form of aerobic interval training (AIT), which is performed at lower intensities than SIT but for longer periods. A typical AIT protocol consists of 4–6 cycles, each comprising 4 minutes of exercise at 80–95% peak capacity followed by 3–4 minutes of recovery, performed on a treadmill or cycle ergometer³. The current review focuses on studies that have employed the AIT form of HIIT, given its greater applicability to the general population and individuals with CV risk factors and CVD. An advantage of both SIT and AIT over traditional MICT is their shorter time requirement to achieve a similar energy expenditure.

Animal studies

Aerobic exercise programs using HIIT in animal models have shown large improvements in peak VO_2 similar or superior to those elicited by MICT⁴. In addition, studies in mice and rats have demonstrated reductions in body mass, BP, and oxidative stress; augmented endothelial function; and improved metabolism in fat, liver, and skeletal muscle^{5,6}. Of note, Wistar rats that underwent 5 consecutive days of HIIT on a treadmill up to 7 days before experimental ischemic reperfusion injury sustained 35–50% smaller myocardial infarctions (MIs) than did sedentary rats⁷. A similar reduction in infarct size has been demonstrated after MICT protocols⁸.

Human studies

Healthy adults

In healthy adults, a meta-analysis that included 723 healthy adults aged 18–45 years old from 28 controlled trials of 3–24 weeks’ duration showed a large absolute increase in peak VO_2 of 4.9 mL/kg/minute following HIIT, which was greater than that seen with traditional MICT⁹. Larger increases were observed among individuals with lower baseline fitness and in interventions longer than 10 weeks. Improved skeletal muscle mitochondrial function and pulmonary oxygen uptake kinetics have been demonstrated after only six HIIT sessions over 2 weeks in healthy untrained men¹⁰. Although occasional studies have failed to show superior effects of HIIT over MICT on peak VO_2 , these studies generally employed a program duration shorter than 10 weeks³. Because equivalent energy expenditure can be accomplished in less time with HIIT than with MICT, this may allow shorter exercise sessions with HIIT, thereby improving adherence.

Obesity

Given the frequent association of obesity with low fitness and with CV risk factors such as hypertension, glucose intolerance, and dyslipidemia, a strong rationale exists for aerobic exercise training in such individuals. In three studies 3–6 months in duration, similar improvements in body mass index and waist circumference were observed in overweight/obese participants following both MICT and HIIT^{11–13}. The equivalent anthropometric changes might be attributed to the similar total energy expenditure with the two programs in those studies.

Hypertension

Traditional MICT exerts significant reduction of BP in hypertensive adults, especially those with suboptimal baseline BP control¹⁴. In such individuals who were not receiving antihypertensive drugs, HIIT for ≥ 12 weeks appears to provide a similar antihypertensive effect⁵. For example, 16 weeks of MICT or HIIT led to similar reductions of systolic (10 mmHg) and diastolic (6 mmHg) BP in middle-aged adults with elevated BP at baseline¹³. A 12-week HIIT program in overweight/obese adolescents with borderline hypertension also elicited significant reductions in both systolic BP (9 mmHg) and diastolic BP (6 mmHg)¹⁵.

Lipids

Among individuals with dyslipidemia, traditional MICT typically elicits modest increases in high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) but exerts no consistent effect on low-density lipoprotein cholesterol or triglycerides¹⁶. Similar effects on blood lipids are observed with HIIT¹⁷. Modest increases of HDL-C with HIIT required 8 or more weeks of training and occurred in only 3 of 10 such studies¹⁷. Given these inconsistent effects on HDL-C without benefit on other lipid fractions, neither HIIT nor MICT can generally be recommended as primary therapy for the treatment of dyslipidemia.

Glucose metabolism

Substantial evidence suggests that HIIT improves glucose metabolism to a similar or greater extent than does MICT. Augmented insulin sensitivity occurs as early as 2 weeks after the initiation of HIIT¹⁸ and has been shown in both healthy adults¹⁹ and those

with metabolic syndrome¹³. Improved oral glucose tolerance test results have been consistently reported after HIIT^{3,18,20}. Fasting blood glucose did not change in studies of less than 12 weeks' duration but declined in four of seven longer studies³. Small studies have found improved glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes^{21–23}.

Adults with heart disease

Incontrovertible evidence for the benefits of MICT in patients with CVD has accumulated over the past four decades through hospital-based CR programs involving patients after acute MI, coronary revascularization, valvular surgery, and those with chronic heart failure (CHF). Similar, though less extensively studied, salutary effects of HIIT have been demonstrated in patients with CVD and are reviewed below.

Coronary artery disease. Multiple studies have documented the benefit of HIIT in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD), the most prevalent form of heart disease in developed countries. A meta-analysis of 229 patients with CAD demonstrated a 1.5 mL/kg/minute larger mean increase in peak VO_2 with HIIT than with MICT²⁴. A larger meta-analysis that included 472 CAD patients showed a similarly augmented gain in peak VO_2 (1.8 mL/kg/minute mean difference) compared with MICT²⁵. In this latter analysis, no differences in blood glucose or lipids were observed between the groups. However, resting heart rate (-1.8 beats/minute) and body weight (-0.5 kg) declined slightly after MICT but not HIIT. A recently published randomized trial also showed a significantly larger increase in peak VO_2 after 8 weeks of HIIT compared to MICT (4.5 versus 2.5 mL/kg/minute), respectively²⁶.

Chronic heart failure. Although CR was not approved until 2014 by the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services for patients with CHF, considerable evidence has accrued on the benefits of HIIT in this population. One of the first studies to examine the effect of HIIT in CHF patients showed a remarkable 46% increase in peak VO_2 in nine older patients (mean 75 years) with post-MI CHF versus an increase of only 14% with MICT²⁷. Favorable left ventricular remodeling and increased left ventricular ejection fraction occurred only after HIIT. Three recent meta-analyses have confirmed a larger increase in peak VO_2 with HIIT than MICT in CHF patients^{28–30}. Haykowsky *et al.*²⁸ showed a mean 2.1 mL/kg/minute larger increase in peak VO_2 with HIIT in seven studies encompassing 168 patients. In a meta-analysis by Smart *et al.*²⁹ involving 212 patients who performed HIIT and 66 who performed MICT, the mean improvement in peak VO_2 was 1.0 mL/kg/minute larger after HIIT. A very large meta-analysis that included 74 exercise-based CR trials involving 5877 participants showed a 23% mean increase in peak VO_2 among patients receiving HIT versus a 13% increase in those undergoing MICT³⁰. Of note, withdrawal from the CR program was lower with HIIT. It should be noted that all of the studies and meta-analyses cited above excluded patients with CHF and preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF), who comprise 30–40% of the CHF population. Studies of HIIT are clearly needed in this latter group.

Heart transplant recipients. Although data remain limited regarding the effects of HIIT in heart transplant recipients, available

evidence suggests a similar benefit as seen with MICT. Compared to a usual care control group, 24 stable heart transplant recipients 1–8 years post transplantation demonstrated a 3.6 mL/kg/minute higher peak VO_2 after 1 year of HIIT³¹. In a study of 16 patients ≥ 12 months post transplantation, 8 weeks of HIIT induced a larger increase in peak VO_2 than did MICT (4.9 versus 2.6 mL/kg/minute)³².

Quality of life

Although quality of life (QOL) is often considered a “softer” outcome variable than changes in physiological indices, it is probably a more relevant variable to patients. In this context, both MICT and HIIT have elicited improvement in QOL in a variety of clinical settings. For example, improvement in QOL after HIIT has been reported in patients with CAD²⁶ and CHF³³, cardiac transplant recipients³², and generally healthy older adults³⁴. Such improvement in QOL may reinforce the patient's motivation to continue exercise participation.

Safety of HIIT

An important concern in prescribing any exercise program, especially in older adults and patients with CVD or other comorbidities, is safety. To date, the evidence suggests that HIIT is well tolerated to a similar extent as MICT. In the large meta-analysis of CHF patients previously mentioned, no deaths were attributed to exercise training regardless of intensity³⁰. A similar finding was reported in an analysis of 4846 CAD patients from three Norwegian CR centers³⁵. In this study, major complications were one per 129,456 hours of MICT and one per 23,182 hours of HIIT. Nevertheless, HIIT may not be appropriate for frail older adults, those with significant orthopedic, neurological, or balance difficulties, those with marked obesity, or those with severe deconditioning. In such individuals, an initial low-to-moderate-intensity exercise program appears to be preferable.

Mechanistic insights

Given the substantial benefits of HIIT in a wide variety of settings, insights into the biochemical and molecular adaptations accompanying HIIT are worthy of inquiry. In patients with obesity, metabolic syndrome, or CHF, HIIT induced increases in peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ co-activator (PGC)-1 α , a master regulator of oxidative phenotype^{12,13,27} and muscle mitochondrial capacity^{10,18}. In addition, sarcoplasmic reticulum calcium uptake was augmented by HIIT but not MICT, likely owing to an increased ability to perform high-intensity muscle contractions^{12,18,25}. Another salutary effect of HIIT is to enhance flow-mediated dilation of the brachial artery, a measure of vascular endothelial function mediated in part by increased nitric oxide availability^{12,13,27}. Finally, increased cardiac stroke volume has been reported after HIIT in obese women³⁶, sedentary middle-aged adults³⁷, and patients with CHF²⁷.

Conclusions

Although less thoroughly investigated than traditional aerobic training programs using MICT, HIIT appears to share similar salutary effects, with generally larger increases in peak VO_2 , without a significant difference in serious adverse events in appropriately selected individuals. Future studies should explore the long-term effects of HIIT on health outcomes as well as its effects when

combined with dietary, drug, and cardiac device interventions in patients with CV disease.

Disclaimer

The views expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

References

- Pollock ML, Gaesser GA, Butcher JD, *et al.*: **American College of Sports Medicine Position Stand. The recommended quantity and quality of exercise for developing and maintaining cardiorespiratory and muscular fitness, and flexibility in healthy adults.** *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1998; 30(6): 975–91.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Fleg JL: **Aerobic exercise in the elderly: a key to successful aging.** *Discov Med.* 2012; 13(70): 223–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Kessler HS, Sisson SB, Short KR: **The potential for high-intensity interval training to reduce cardiometabolic disease risk.** *Sports Med.* 2012; 42(6): 489–509.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Haram PM, Kemi OJ, Lee SJ, *et al.*: **Aerobic interval training vs. continuous moderate exercise in the metabolic syndrome of rats artificially selected for low aerobic capacity.** *Cardiovasc Res.* 2009; 81(4): 723–32.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Pimenta M, Brighenti I, Souza-Mello V, *et al.*: **High-intensity interval training beneficial effects on body mass, blood pressure, and oxidative stress in diet-induced obesity in ovariectomized mice.** *Life Sci.* 2015; 139: 75–82.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Notta VF, Aguila MB, Mandarim-DE-Lacerda CA: **High-intensity interval training (swimming) significantly improves the adverse metabolism and comorbidities in diet-induced obese mice.** *J Sports Med Phys Fitness.* 2016; 56(5): 655–63.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Rahimi M, Shekarforoush S, Asgari AR, *et al.*: **The effect of high intensity interval training on cardioprotection against ischemia-reperfusion injury in wistar rats.** *EXCLI J.* 2015; 14: 237–46.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Quindry J, French J, Hamilton K, *et al.*: **Exercise training provides cardioprotection against ischemia-reperfusion induced apoptosis in young and old animals.** *Exp Gerontol.* 2005; 40(5): 416–25.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Milanović Z, Sporiš G, Weston M: **Effectiveness of High-Intensity Interval Training (HIT) and Continuous Endurance Training for $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ Improvements: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Controlled Trials.** *Sports Med.* 2015; 45(10): 1469–81.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Christensen PM, Jacobs RA, Bonne T, *et al.*: **A short period of high-intensity interval training improves skeletal muscle mitochondrial function and pulmonary oxygen uptake kinetics.** *J Appl Physiol (1985).* 2016; 120(11): 1319–27.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Baekkerud FH, Solberg F, Leinan IM, *et al.*: **Comparison of Three Popular Exercise Modalities on $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ in Overweight and Obese.** *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2016; 48(3): 491–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Schjerve IE, Tyldum GA, Tjønnå AE, *et al.*: **Both aerobic endurance and strength training programmes improve cardiovascular health in obese adults.** *Clin Sci (Lond).* 2008; 115(9): 283–93.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Tjønnå AE, Lee SJ, Rognmo Ø, *et al.*: **Aerobic interval training versus continuous moderate exercise as a treatment for the metabolic syndrome: a pilot study.** *Circulation.* 2008; 118(4): 346–54.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Whelton SP, Chin A, Xin X, *et al.*: **Effect of aerobic exercise on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials.** *Ann Intern Med.* 2002; 136(7): 493–503.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Tjønnå AE, Stølen TO, Bye A, *et al.*: **Aerobic interval training reduces cardiovascular risk factors more than a multitreatment approach in overweight adolescents.** *Clin Sci (Lond).* 2009; 116(4): 317–26.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kelley GA, Kelley KS, Tran ZV: **Exercise, lipids, and lipoproteins in older adults: a meta-analysis.** *Prev Cardiol.* 2005; 8(4): 206–14.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Tambalis K, Panagiotakos DB, Kavouras SA, *et al.*: **Responses of blood lipids to aerobic, resistance, and combined aerobic with resistance exercise training: a systematic review of current evidence.** *Angiology.* 2009; 60(5): 614–32.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Little JP, Gillen JB, Percival ME, *et al.*: **Low-volume high-intensity interval training reduces hyperglycemia and increases muscle mitochondrial capacity in patients with type 2 diabetes.** *J Appl Physiol (1985).* 2011; 111(6): 1554–60.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Ciolac EG, Bocchi EA, Bortolotto LA, *et al.*: **Effects of high-intensity aerobic interval training vs. moderate exercise on hemodynamic, metabolic and neuro-humoral abnormalities of young normotensive women at high familial risk for hypertension.** *Hypertens Res.* 2010; 33(8): 836–43.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Nybo L, Sundstrup E, Jakobsen MD, *et al.*: **High-intensity training versus traditional exercise interventions for promoting health.** *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2010; 42(10): 1951–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Mitranun W, Deerochanawong C, Tanaka H, *et al.*: **Continuous vs interval training on glycemic control and macro- and microvascular reactivity in type 2 diabetic patients.** *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2014; 24(2): e69–76.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Fex A, Leduc-Gaudet JP, Filion ME, *et al.*: **Effect of Elliptical High Intensity Interval Training on Metabolic Risk Factor in Pre- and Type 2 Diabetes Patients: A Pilot Study.** *J Phys Act Health.* 2015; 12(7): 942–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Madsen SM, Thorup AC, Overgaard K, *et al.*: **High Intensity Interval Training Improves Glycaemic Control and Pancreatic β Cell Function of Type 2 Diabetes Patients.** *PLoS One.* 2015; 10(8): e0133286.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Elliott AD, Rajopadhyaya K, Bentley DJ, *et al.*: **Interval training versus continuous exercise in patients with coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis.** *Heart Lung Circ.* 2015; 24(2): 149–57.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Liou K, Ho S, Fildes J, *et al.*: **High Intensity Interval versus Moderate Intensity Continuous Training in Patients with Coronary Artery Disease: A Meta-analysis of Physiological and Clinical Parameters.** *Heart Lung Circ.* 2016; 25(2): 166–74.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Jaureguizar KV, Vicente-Campos D, Bautista LR, *et al.*: **Effect of High-Intensity Interval Versus Continuous Exercise Training on Functional Capacity and Quality of Life in Patients With Coronary Artery Disease: A RANDOMIZED CLINICAL TRIAL.** *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev.* 2016; 36(2): 96–105.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Wisloff U, Støylen A, Loennechen JP, *et al.*: **Superior cardiovascular effect of aerobic interval training versus moderate continuous training in heart failure patients: a randomized study.** *Circulation.* 2007; 115(24): 3086–94.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Haykowsky MJ, Timmons MP, Kruger C, *et al.*: **Meta-analysis of aerobic interval training on exercise capacity and systolic function in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fractions.** *Am J Cardiol.* 2013; 111(10): 1466–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Smart NA, Dieberg G, Giallauria F: **Intermittent versus continuous exercise training in chronic heart failure: a meta-analysis.** *Int J Cardiol.* 2013; 166(2): 352–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Ismail H, McFarlane JR, Nojournian AH, *et al.*: **Clinical outcomes and cardiovascular responses to different exercise training intensities in patients with heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis.** *JACC Heart Fail.* 2013; 1(6): 514–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Nytrøen K, Rustad LA, Aukrust P, *et al.*: **High-intensity interval training improves**

- peak oxygen uptake and muscular exercise capacity in heart transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant*. 2012; **12**(11): 3134–42.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
32. **F** Dall CH, Gustafsson F, Christensen SB, *et al.*: **Effect of moderate- versus high-intensity exercise on vascular function, biomarkers and quality of life in heart transplant recipients: A randomized, crossover trial.** *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2015; **34**(8): 1033–41.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 33. **F** Chrysohoou C, Tsitsinakos G, Vogiatzis I, *et al.*: **High intensity, interval exercise improves quality of life of patients with chronic heart failure: a randomized controlled trial.** *QJM*. 2014; **107**(1): 25–32.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 34. **F** Knowles A, Herbert P, Easton C, *et al.*: **Impact of low-volume, high-intensity interval training on maximal aerobic capacity, health-related quality of life and motivation to exercise in ageing men.** *Age (Dordr)*. 2015; **37**(2): 25.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 35. Rognmo Ø, Moholdt T, Bakken H, *et al.*: **Cardiovascular risk of high- versus moderate-intensity aerobic exercise in coronary heart disease patients.** *Circulation*. 2012; **126**(12): 1436–40.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 36. Trilk JL, Singhal A, Bigelman KA, *et al.*: **Effect of sprint interval training on circulatory function during exercise in sedentary, overweight/obese women.** *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2011; **111**(8): 1591–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 37. Daussin FN, Zoll J, Dufour SP, *et al.*: **Effect of interval versus continuous training on cardiorespiratory and mitochondrial functions: relationship to aerobic performance improvements in sedentary subjects.** *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2008; **295**(1): R264–72.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

The reviewers who approved this article are:

Version 1

1. **Gary Gerstenblith**

Department of Medicine, John Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

2. **Jeff S. Coombes**

School of Human Movement and Nutrition Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

3. **Jack Goodman**

Faculty of Kinesiology and Physical Education, Goldring Centre for High Performance Sport, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Katharine Currie

Faculty of Kinesiology and Physical Education, Goldring Centre for High Performance Sport, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research