Check for updates

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Lightning Injury is a disaster in Bangladesh? - Exploring its magnitude and public health needs [version 1; peer review: 3 approved, 1 approved with reservations]

Animesh Biswas¹, Koustuv Dalal ², Jahangir Hossain ¹, Kamran Ul Baset¹, Fazlur Rahman¹, Saidur Rahman Mashreky ¹

¹1. Centre for Injury Prevention and Research, Bangladesh (CIPRB), Dhaka, Bangladesh

²School of Health Sciences, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden

V1 First published: 29 Dec 2016, 5:2931
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.9537.1>
 Latest published: 29 Dec 2016, 5:2931
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.9537.1>

Abstract

Background: Lightning injury is a global public health issue. Low and middle-income countries in the tropical and subtropical regions of the world are most affected by lightning. Bangladesh is one of the countries at particular risk, with a high number of devastating lightning injuries in the past years, causing high mortality and morbidity. The exact magnitude of the problem is still unknown and therefore this study investigates the epidemiology of lightning injuries in Bangladesh, using a national representative sample.

Methods: A mixed method was used. The study is based on results from a nationwide cross-sectional survey performed in 2003 in twelve randomly selected districts. In the survey, a total of 819,429 respondents from 171,336 households were interviewed using face-to-face interviews. In addition, qualitative information was obtained by reviewing national and international newspaper reports of lightning injuries sustained in Bangladesh between 13 and 15 May 2016.

Results: The annual mortality rate was 3.661 (95% CI 0.9313–9.964) per 1,000,000 people. The overall incidence of lightning injury was 19.89/100,000 people. Among the victims, 60.12% (n=98) were males and 39.87% (n=65) were females. Males were particularly vulnerable, with a 1.46 times increased risk compared with females (RR 1.46, 95% CI 1.06–1.99). Rural populations were more vulnerable, with a 8.73 times higher risk, than urban populations (RR 8.73, 95% CI 5.13–14.86). About 43% of injuries occurred between 12 noon and 6 pm. The newspapers reported 81 deaths during 2 days of electric storms in 2016. Lightning has been declared a natural disaster in Bangladesh.

Conclusions: The current study indicates that lightning injuries are a public health problem in Bangladesh. The study recommends further investigations to develop interventions to reduce lightning injuries,

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3	4
version 1
29 Dec 2016	view	view	view	view

1. **Mohammad Delwer Hossain Hawlader**, North South University, Dhaka, Bangladesh
2. **Aziz Rahman** , La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia
3. **Kazi Md Noor-ul Ferdous**, Dhaka Shishu (Children) Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh
Ashfaq Nabi, Bangladesh Institute of Child Health, Dhaka, Bangladesh
Kh. Ahasanul Kabir, Dhaka Shishu (Children) Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh
4. **Mithila Faruque** , Bangladesh University of Health Sciences (BUHS), Dhaka, Bangladesh

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

mortality and related burden in Bangladesh.

Keywords

Lightning injury , incidence , disaster , Bangladesh

Corresponding author: Animesh Biswas (animesh@ciprb.org)

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The BHIS was funded by UNICEF, Bangladesh.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2016 Biswas A *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Biswas A, Dalal K, Hossain J *et al.* **Lightning Injury is a disaster in Bangladesh? - Exploring its magnitude and public health needs [version 1; peer review: 3 approved, 1 approved with reservations]** F1000Research 2016, 5:2931 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.9537.1>

First published: 29 Dec 2016, 5:2931 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.9537.1>

Introduction

Lightning injury is a global public health problem representing the leading cause of weather-related death after tornadoes, flash floods and hurricanes. The incidence rates of lightning injury are probably higher than registered since there is no referral and information centre where data are collected and stored¹. Lightning strikes the earth more than 100 times each second, totaling 8 million times every day. An estimated 50,000 thunderstorms occur each day, causing fires and injuries². Worldwide, mortality from lightning is estimated at between 0.2 and 1.7 deaths/1,000,000 people, affecting mainly the young and people who work outdoors^{3,4}. Lightning injuries are the highest during the summer months. However, in some countries such as India and Vietnam, lightning mostly occurs during the rainy season^{5,6}. Lightning injuries and related deaths mostly affect individuals who work outside or participate in outdoor recreational activities. Worldwide, men are five times more likely than women to be struck by lightning^{3,7}. The most vulnerable age for lightning injury is estimated to be between 10 and 29 years³.

Lightning injuries cause high mortality and significant long-term morbidity. A previous study reports that in Bangladesh, the incidence of lightning fatalities is 0.9 per 1,000,000 people per year, which is higher than in high-income countries⁶. In 2016, the country had a lightning event with several strikes, causing 81 deaths, which is particularly high. However, underreporting of lightning strikes is common, as the majority of lightning occurs in rural areas. People used to seek treatment from the local village doctor, pharmacist or traditional healer rather than seeking health care from government facilities, unless the community health provider failed to manage the injuries. Moreover, only a few cases are reported to the police and government hospital records only have information on those who seek treatment. Therefore, studying the epidemiology of lightning injuries in Bangladesh is very important. This study explores the epidemiology of lightning injury, using data from a nationwide survey and newspaper reports on lightning deaths on 13–15 May 2016.

Methods

The study was a mixed method study using both quantitative and qualitative data. A cross-sectional study was conducted to understand the epidemiology of the lightning injuries in Bangladesh (see below). In addition, we searched two of the most popular Bengali and another three national, English-language newspapers in Bangladesh. Furthermore, lightning news reported in another three international English-language daily newspapers and on three

international media websites was retrieved and reviewed (Table 1). Qualitative data related to lightning injury in Bangladesh were collected to explore the magnitude of lightning injuries in Bangladesh during 13–15 May 2016.

Quantitative method

A large cross-sectional study was conducted during January to December 2003 in twelve randomly selected districts in Bangladesh and also in Dhaka Metropolitan City. Multi-stage cluster sampling was employed to select 171,366 households (88,380 in rural areas and 45,183 in urban areas in the twelve districts, and 37,803 in Dhaka Metropolitan City). The current study is part of this larger study. Each district consists of several *upazilas* (subdistricts). From each district, one *upazila* was chosen. The *upazilas* contain smaller units called “union”. A union is the lowest administrative unit, with a population of approximately 20,000. In this study, two unions from each of the *upazilas* were selected. Similarly, in urban settings, the *mohalla* is the lowest unit of the City Corporation. Systemic random sampling was performed of a certain number of households in selected *mohallas*.

Prior to data collection, 48 trained interviewers had visited the selected households and explained the study objectives and ethical issues. They then conducted the questionnaire survey. As well, 819,429 people of all age groups from 171,336 households in those twelve districts were selected and interviewed using face-to-face interviews.

Persons who were injured by lightning and received treatment or who were unable to perform their usual activities for at least 3 days because of lightning injury were enrolled in the study. We also interviewed the next of kin of people who had died from lightning injuries. About 2.7% of households could not be interviewed because of unavailability of respondents in the households. A total of 166,766 households were included in the study. The methodology has been described elsewhere^{8,9}.

Review of the newspapers and electronic media subjected to content analysis

Daily national popular Bangladeshi and English-language electronic newspapers were searched for reports on lightning injuries. Two Bengali and three English-language national newspapers which are widely read in Bangladesh were selected. In addition, we searched international online news sites. Three international English-language newspapers and three purposively selected international online news sites were also included in the search.

Table 1. National and international newspapers and electronic media used in the data search.

Type of newspapers/electronic media	Name of the newspapers/electronic media
National Bengali newspapers	<i>Prothom Alo</i> , <i>The Samakal</i>
National, English-language newspapers	<i>The Daily Star</i> , <i>The Daily Sun</i> , <i>The Independent</i>
International newspapers	<i>The Hindu</i> , <i>Indian Express</i> , <i>The Telegraph</i>
International electronic media	<i>National Geographic</i> , Fox News, Reuters

As previously mentioned, a high number of lightning events have been reported in Bangladesh for the period 13–15 May 2016. Therefore, we reviewed newspapers to find information on these events. Two researchers collected the relevant information from the selected sources. The next morning, two different researchers sat together and read the news headlines to select relevant articles and eliminate duplicate news. They further read all collected news and then made a further selection pertaining to the study aims. The researchers, who were bilingual, also translated the Bengali news into English.

Only articles relevant to the aims of this study have been included in this study. Each newspaper article constitutes a unit of analysis. Two qualitative researchers conducted content analysis. To determine the overall content and framing of the article, the researchers read, re-read and annotated the news articles by attaching key words to segments of text¹⁰.

Statistical analysis of quantitative data

Standard descriptive statistics using means, standard deviation (SD) and proportions were used to analyse the characteristics of lightning victims. The gender, age, and place of residence of cases of lightning injuries were determined. Cases were categorized into eight age groups. The yearly incidence of lightning injuries was estimated from the occurrence of lightning morbidity in 6 months, multiplied by 2. The reason was that data were collected with a recall period of 6 months. Rates were calculated and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) computed. We estimated the relative risks (RRs) in relation to different age groups, place of residence, and gender. We used cross-tables and EPI-Info 6 software.

Ethical issues

The current study formed part of a larger study titled “Bangladesh Health and Injury Survey (BHIS)”. The study has received ethics approval from the Ethics Committee of the Institute of Child and

Mother Health, Dhaka. Participants were informed about the benefits and objectives of the study. Written consent was obtained from each head of household before proceeding with the interviews. The participants were told that they had the right to withdraw from the study at any time and the study objective was explained to them. Data collectors were trained in ethical issues.

Media news was publicly available. Information from media was anonymously presented without any direct quotation from the media reports. Also the study had not used any personal identification and information related to media reports.

Results

Quantitative findings

Incidence. A total of 163 people with lightning injuries were identified, 98 males (60.12%) and 65 females (39.8%). Of them, 160 (98.15%) had suffered non-fatal injury and three (1.84%) had died. The annual death rate was 3.661 (95% CI 0.9313–9.964) per 1000 people. The overall incidence of lightning injury was 19.89 per 100,000 people. Males were more vulnerable, with a 1.46 times higher risk of being hit by lightning compared with females (RR 1.46, 95% CI 1.06–1.99). The mean age of the victims was 26.2 (SD±21.83) years (range 2–75 years). Altogether 84 (51.5%) of those struck by lightning were children. The highest incidence of injuries was found in the age group of 50 and above (Figure 1).

Magnitude of the injury. The majority of victims were of poor socioeconomic status, 86.7% (n=139), with a monthly income of <US\$100. Students (31.2%), agricultural workers (17.9%) and housewives (14.5%) were the main victims of lightning injury. Among the victims, 90.80% (n=148) were from rural areas and 9.20% (n=15) from urban areas. People from rural areas were more vulnerable, with an 8.73 times increased risk compared with urban populations (RR 8.73; 95% CI 13–14.86).

Figure 1. Annual incidence of lightning injuries by age group.

About 36% (n=59) of the injuries took place between 6 am and 12 pm, while 43.2% (n=71) occurred between 12 noon and 6 pm, and 18% (n=29) from 6 pm to midnight. A total of 31.7% of victims were outside at work when lightning struck; 24.6% were travelling when they were hit by lightning. Home courtyards were the most common places (65.1%) for lightning strikes, followed by roads and footpaths (26%).

The leg was the most common site of injury, with an incidence of 63.5% (n=97), followed by hand injury in 17.4% of cases (n=27) and abdomen injury in 10.5% (n=16). Among the casualties, 95% (n=155) sought treatment from different level health care providers, with the majority of people (n=134) seeking treatment from the village doctor or traditional healer (83.1%). Only 7.3% (n=8) received treatment at a health facility. Among the injured, 41.8% (n=68) were unable to perform regular activities for 1–6 days while 19.1% (n=31) were unable to do so for ≥ 1 week. Only 1% (n=2) of the injured reported the incident to the police (Table 2).

Findings from media reports

Bangladesh has had a high incidence of preventable deaths from lightning for decades. Data on the period 2005–2016 showed that the highest number of deaths in a single day was in May 2016, when lightning killed 81 people in 26 districts, mostly in the rural north and central Bangladesh^{11–13}. By comparison, lightning deaths between 2005 and 2008 totalled 41. Over the next few years, the number of deaths progressively increased. The English-language Bangladeshi newspaper *Daily Star* reports that from 2010 to 2016 a total of 645 people died in thunderstorms¹⁴. Another source, the Foundation for Disaster Forum in Bangladesh, reports 1390 deaths due to lightning for the period 2010–2015¹⁵ (Figure 2). Other newspapers have reported that an average of 300 people die every year in Bangladesh due to lightning; however, this is underreporting^{11,16,17}.

According to the newspaper reports, the youngest person who died from lightning was 13 years old, and the oldest lightning victim was 70 years. In most cases, lightning occurred outdoors in a rural area while the person was performing daily household work or other usual activities. One newspaper reported that 51% of the fatalities were farmers who were working in the fields.

According to the *National Geographic*, lightning storms in Bangladesh occur mostly in May and in the afternoon, when the temperature is high. The fact that the country is densely populated contributes to the high incidence of human lightning strikes. Other sources also mentioned an increase in deforestation, and the felling of tall trees, as a contributing factor. In addition, use of metal objects such as mobiles or structures such as cell phone towers or electrical power distribution towers can result in lightning deaths. It was also mentioned that in rural areas, taller trees usually attract lightning flashes. Internationally, scientists have warned that an increase in lightning storms may happen as part of climate change and global warming. Global warming is causing more water evaporation, increasing cloud formation, the amount of rainfall and the potential for lightning storms^{18–20}.

After the fatal lightning injury event in May 2016, the Bangladesh government declared lightning a disaster, adding lightning injuries to the country's list of official types of natural disasters,

Table 2. Lightning victims' occupation, activity at the time of the lightning strike, time when the injury occurred, place of injury, body part injured, treatment sought, workdays lost due to injury, and treatment providers.

Occupation	%
Student	31.2
Agricultural worker	17.9
Service	2.1
Business	3.1
Housewife	14.5
Other	31.2
Activity at the time of the strike	
Work	31.7
Sport (outdoors)	8.3
Leisure/play (indoors)	21.5
Travelling	24.6
Other	12.4
Time when the injury occurred	
Midnight – 6 am	2.5
6 am – 12 noon	36.3
12 noon – 6 pm	43.2
6 pm – midnight	18
Place of injury	
Home (courtyard)	65.1
Highway/road/footpath	26.0
Agricultural field/farm, excluding home	2.5
Other	6.4
Body part	
Head	7.9
Abdomen	10.5
Hand	17.4
Leg	63.5
Treatment sought	
Yes	95.0
No	5.0
Workdays lost	
<1 week	41.8
1 week – 1 month	16.7
1–3 months	2.4
Treatment provider	
Health facilities (clinic or hospital)	7.3
Village doctor	3.3
Homeopathic doctor	2.4
Herbal medicine practitioner	52.6
Traditional healer	29.5

Figure 2. Magnitude of lightning injury from 2010 to 2015, according to the Foundation for Disaster Forum.

which includes droughts, floods, cyclones, storm surges and riverbank erosion, and earthquakes^{20,21}. In 2016 the government pledged to compensate lightning strike victims and/or their families^{12,22}.

Discussion

Lightning injury has been identified as one the major causes of weather-related deaths in Bangladesh. In response to the lightning event in 2016, when 81 lives were lost in just 2 days due to lightning, the government of Bangladesh has declared lightning a natural disaster^{21,23}. The magnitude of the problem has become worse over recent years. According to the current study the annual incidence is 19.89/100,000 population. The majority of victims were males from rural communities, and most injuries were incurred in the afternoon. Labour-intensive agricultural economy, poor infrastructure, illiteracy, and a tropical climate play a role in higher rates of lightning-related deaths and injuries in countries such as South Africa, Malaysia, India and Bangladesh¹⁹. For example, one study reports 6.3 deaths/1,000,000 inhabitants in a region mainly populated by the urban poor in Highveld, South Africa⁷.

By contrast, a decline in lightning fatalities in recent decades has been reported from developed countries^{24–27}, reasons for which are: development of medical responses and treatments; education of the public; meteorological warnings; and improved building codes for lightning protection. The latter include housing structures with grounded plumbing, electric conducting materials, improved fire resistance of homes, and lightning rods²⁸.

A previous study reports an annual death rate due to lightning in Bangladesh of 0.9 per 1,000,000 population⁶. Our study presents the annual death rate as 3.661 (95% CI 0.9313–9.964) per 1,000,000 people. However, these figures are probably underreported because of a poor vital registration system. Lightning deaths are not

currently reported in the health system or in the police recording system, which is reliable for public health researchers²⁶. In the United States the number of deaths due to lightning has declined significantly, but the challenge remains to accurately capture the number of deaths²⁵.

We have found that males are most affected by lightning injuries. The majority of victims are from rural communities and were hit in the summertime, in the afternoon. These results correlate with previous studies^{3,4,29}. People living in rural communities in Bangladesh have a number of misconceptions including religious myths and superstitions, as well as social stigma attached to lightning injuries^{5,24,30,31}. An initiative has already been taken in an African region to raise awareness of preventive measures against lightning injury among the population to reduce the number of lightning-related deaths and injuries per year²⁵.

Conclusion

Lightning injuries are important to study in an epidemiological context. In the context of Bangladesh, lightning has become a public health issue that requires urgent action. The country is becoming increasingly urbanized, and has a very high population density. However, rural communities still make up about 70% of the total population. A public lightning awareness programme and the eradication of traditional or religious myths, as well as other preventive measures, such as installing lightning protection systems, can reduce the fatality rate. A multi-stakeholder involvement is required at this stage, including medical doctors, public health professionals, engineers, meteorologists and political leaders, to identify possible and effective solutions for preventing lightning-related deaths. Moreover, it is also important to establish an emergency pre-hospital care system for lightning victims in rural communities, as well as a comprehensive vital registration system that records each death, for future preventive action.

Data availability

Data is stored at the Department of Public Health Sciences and Injury Prevention of CIPRB. Data sharing is subject to the ethical committee's further permission due to sensitivity and other restrictions. Data can be made available upon detailed request to the corresponding author. The corresponding author will then communicate directly with ethical committee and liaison between the third party willing to avail of the data and the ethical committee.

Author contributions

A.B., J.H., F.R. and S.R.M. conceived and designed the study. A.B. and J.H. analysed the findings. A.B., K.D., J.H., K.B., F.R. and S.R.M. wrote the paper. KD critically reviewed the paper.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

The BHIS was funded by UNICEF, Bangladesh.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to UNICEF, Bangladesh, who funded the Bangladesh Health and Injury Survey (BHIS). In particular, we would like to thank Steve Wills of the Royal National Lifeboat Institution (RNLI), UK, for assistance with English editing.

Supplementary material

Morbidity questionnaire, mortality questionnaire, and a list of newspaper articles used in this study.

[Click here to access the data.](#)

References

- García Gutiérrez JJ, Meléndez J, Torrero JV, *et al.*: **Lightning injuries in a pregnant woman: a case report and review of the literature.** *Burns*. 2005; **31**(8): 1045–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Okafor UV: **Lightning injuries and acute renal failure: a review.** *Ren Fail.* 2005; **27**(2): 129–34.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Forster SA, Silva IM, Ramos ML, *et al.*: **Lightning burn—review and case report.** *Burns*. 2013; **39**(2): e8–12.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Aslar AK, Soran A, Yildiz Y, *et al.*: **Epidemiology, morbidity, mortality and treatment of lightning injuries in a Turkish burns units.** *Int J Clin Pract.* 2001; **55**(8): 502–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Sumangala CN, Kumar MP: **Lightning Death: A Case Report.** *J Indian Acad Forensic Med.* 2015; **37**(1): 93–95.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Holle RL: **Lightning Fatalities in Tropical and Subtropical Regions.** *Prepr 29th Conf Hurricanes Trop Meteorol.* 2010; 1–10.
[Reference Source](#)
- Ritenour AE, Morton MJ, Mcmanus JG, *et al.*: **Lightning injury: a review.** *Burns*. 2008; **34**(5): 585–94.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Mashreky SR, Rahman A, Chowdhury SM, *et al.*: **Epidemiology of childhood burn: yield of largest community based injury survey in Bangladesh.** *Burns*. 2008; **34**(6): 856–62.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Hossain J, Biswas A, Rahman F, *et al.*: **Snakebite Epidemiology in Bangladesh — A National Community Based Health and Injury Survey.** *Health (Irvine Calif)*. 2016; **8**: 479–86.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Bowen GA: **Document Analysis as a Qualitative Research Method.** 1997
- Lightning kills 81 people in two days in Bangladesh.** *The Indain EXPRESS*. [Internet]. 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- Lightning claims 81 lives across Bangladesh in two days.** *The Daily Samakal*. [Internet]. 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- Lightning strikes killed 81 people across Bangladesh during recent thunderstorms, says government.** *bdnews24.com*. [Internet]. 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- Lightning takes 17 more lives in 11 districts.** *The Daily Star*. [Internet].
[Reference Source](#)
- Awareness can reduce death rate from lightning.** *daily sun*. [Internet].
[Reference Source](#)
- Bangladesh lightning death toll rises to 35.** *The Indain EXPRESS*. [Internet]. 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- Bangladesh lightning death toll rises to 35.** *The Hindu*. [Internet]. 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- More than 60 killed by lightning in Bangladesh in two days** [Internet]. *FOXNEWS World*. 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- Quinn M: **Death by Lightning a Danger in Developing Countries** [Internet]. 2013.
[Reference Source](#)
- Islam S: **Bangladesh declares lightning strikes a disaster as deaths surge** [Internet]. *REUTERS*. 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- Lightning kills at least 93 as monsoon sweeps India.** *The Telegraph*. [Internet]. 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- Lightning now a disaster : Ministry.** *The Independent*. [Internet]. 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- Lightning : The New Natural Disaster.** *The Daily Star*. [Internet]. 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
- O'Keefe Gatewood M, Zane RD: **Lightning injuries.** *Emerg Med Clin North Am*. 2004; **22**(2): 369–403.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Gomes C, Kithil R, Ahmed M: **Developing a Lightning Awareness Program Model for Third World Based on American-South Asian Experience.** *Proc 28th Int Conf Light Prot*. 2006; 5.
[Reference Source](#)
- Holle RL: **Annual rates of lightning fatalities by country. USA; 2003.**
- Huss F, Erlandsson U, Cooray V, *et al.*: **[Lightning injuries—a mixture of**

- electrical, thermal and multiple trauma]. *Lakartidningen*. 2004; **101**(28–29): 2328–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
28. Pincus JL, Lathrop SL, Briones AJ, *et al.*: **Lightning deaths: a retrospective review of New Mexico's cases, 1977-2009**. *J Forensic Sci*. 2015; **60**(1): 66–71.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 29. Blumenthal R: **Lightning fatalities on the South African Highveld: a retrospective descriptive study for the period 1997 to 2000**. *Am J Forensic Med Pathol*. 2005; **26**(1): 66–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
 30. Trengove E, Jandrell I: **Lightning myths in southern Africa**. *Nat Hazards*. 2015; **77**(1): 101–10.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 31. Ikpeme IA, Udosen AM, Asuquo ME, *et al.*: **Lightning burns and traditional medical treatment: a case report**. *West Afr J Med*. 2007; **26**(1): 53–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 01 February 2017

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.10274.r19744>

© 2017 Faruque M. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Mithila Faruque

Department of Noncommunicable Diseases, Bangladesh University of Health Sciences (BUHS), Dhaka, Bangladesh

I have gone through the article and I think this is a public health concern and I would like to thank the authors for depicting the timely problem in front of the readers. Definitely it is a good initiative. I only have the following comments to clarify by the authors:

Title:

The title would be more appropriate if make it in one sentence and more simple.

Methods:

1. Which area you have taken as urban and rural in the study should be specifically explained. Dhaka Metropolitan City and Upazila cannot be the same as urban area.
2. Why has Dhaka been selected separately out of 12 random district selections?
3. What was your denominator in the calculation of incidence rate over 6 months?

Discussion:

1. If you could compare the results (like study subjects affected more and the lightning circumstances) with other studies, then it would add more value to the study.
2. 'People living in rural communities in Bangladesh have a number of misconceptions including religious myths and superstitions, as well as social stigma attached to lightning injuries' – why it came in the discussion? Did you get this type of information from your study?

Conclusion:

Conclusion should contain what you have actually obtained in the study. The others may be a part of the recommendation.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of

expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 30 January 2017

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.10274.r19557>

© 2017 Ferdous K et al. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Kazi Md Noor-ul Ferdous

Division of Pediatric Surgery, Dhaka Shishu (Children) Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Kh. Ahasanul Kabir

Dhaka Shishu (Children) Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Ashfaq Nabi

Bangladesh Institute of Child Health, Dhaka, Bangladesh

In the above article the authors have conducted an important but neglected public health issues in Bangladesh.

The study is part of national injury survey which is country representative data. They clearly surface the magnitude of the problem and factors associated with this. The authors also matched the findings with newspaper findings of 2016.

The study is well designed, their title is also clear and specific. The objectives matched with the title and results. Conclusion has been directed about way forward, however, this could be interesting if the authors could discuss on how different stakeholders can involve in the process and work together in reduction of lightning injury and deaths in Bangladesh.

You may accept the paper to be indexed.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

We confirm that we have read this submission and believe that we have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 24 January 2017

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.10274.r18837>

© 2017 Rahman A. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Aziz Rahman

Austin Health Clinical School of Nursing, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia

The authors have focused on an important area of public health research from the perspective of Bangladesh. Due to scarcity of data availability, it is important to publish more evidence based research. The findings of the study are interesting and would shed lights for the future awareness programs and possible policy changes. The following issues need to be addressed for my approval:

1. The title needs to be amended to make it correct grammatically.
2. The article, specifically the abstract needs to be revised for grammatical and language errors.
3. Introduction: Needs to have more discussion on data availability from Bangladesh or neighboring countries.
4. Results: The numbers presented under the incidence are confusing. The authors mentioned that 51.5% were children, who were stuck by lightning, whereas the next line says that the highest incidence was among >50 years old. The authors should consider presenting more inferential analyses (only gender and residence location are presented)
5. Discussion: There should be a comprehensive discussion on the ways forward, how to prevent such incidence mentioning the steps taken in other neighboring countries.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Reviewer Report 17 January 2017

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.10274.r19402>

© 2017 Hossain Hawlader M. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Mohammad Delwer Hossain Hawlader

Department of Public Health, School of Health and Life Sciences, North South University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

The authors have described one of the most important public health needs for further action. Paper is well structured and written well too. Although the findings is from Bangladesh health and injury survey which conducted in 2003, therefore the epidemiology and factors may be modified in last 10 years. Since, there is no alternative data to compare, this paper is important for the decision makers, at the policy level.

English of the manuscript is understandable. I would recommend to accept and approve the article to be indexed.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research