Check for updates

CASE REPORT

Case Report: Ischaemic appendicitis post mesenteric biopsy

[version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Marianna Zukiwskyj, June Tun, Shashank Desai

Department of General Surgery, Ipswich Hospital, Ipswich, IP4 5PD, UK

V1 First published: 04 Jan 2016, 5:3
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7209.1>
Latest published: 04 Jan 2016, 5:3
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7209.1>

Abstract

A common indication for laparoscopic mesenteric lymph node biopsy is to provide a tissue diagnosis in the absence of palpable peripheral nodes via a minimally invasive approach. There are no reports to date of ischaemia to the appendix as a complication of this procedure. We report the case of a 34-year-old lady who underwent a mesenteric biopsy for a lesion found incidentally on CT to investigate longstanding abdominal pain, and 2 days later required an appendicectomy for ischaemic appendicitis.

Keywords

Ischaemic appendicitis, biopsy, Carcinoma, mesenteric lymph node

Open Peer Review**Approval Status**

	1	2
version 1 04 Jan 2016	view	view
1. Heidi K Chua , Mayo Clinic, Rochester, USA		
2. Brian Keith Bednarski , The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, USA		

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Marianna Zukiwskyj (marianna.zukiwskyj@uqconnect.edu.au)**Competing interests:** None**Grant information:** The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.**Copyright:** © 2016 Zukiwskyj M *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.**How to cite this article:** Zukiwskyj M, Tun J and Desai S. **Case Report: Ischaemic appendicitis post mesenteric biopsy [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2016, 5:3 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7209.1>**First published:** 04 Jan 2016, 5:3 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7209.1>

Introduction

Appendicitis is the most common intra-abdominal surgical emergency. The classical pathophysiology of appendicitis is that of obstruction of the lumen and subsequent bacterial overgrowth^{3,8}. Appendicitis arising as a complication of a surgical procedure has not been reported in the literature.

A common indication for mesenteric lymph node biopsy, in the setting of enlarged mesenteric nodes or a mesenteric mass on imaging, is to provide a tissue diagnosis in the absence of palpable peripheral nodes via a minimally invasive approach^{1,5}. Where this cannot be achieved by percutaneous techniques, laparoscopic mesenteric biopsy has been shown to be a safe and effective alternative, when compared with open techniques^{1,2,5}. As laparoscopy is a minimally invasive technique, the reduction in wound healing time decreases the delay to therapeutic interventions such as chemotherapy². Reasons for conversion to laparotomy include adhesions, poor intra-operative exposure and bleeding¹. There are no reports to date of ischaemia to the appendix as a complication of this procedure.

We describe a case of appendicitis in the post operative period in a 34-year-old lady who underwent a mesenteric biopsy of a lesion found incidentally on CT.

Case report

A 34-year-old Caucasian lady, with no significant medical history, presented to her local GP with persistent epigastric pain and nausea. Investigation of the pain included an abdominal CT, which revealed an incidental mass in the right iliac fossa measuring 17mm suspicious for a carcinoid tumour, and no other features of concern or metastatic disease. She underwent a diagnostic laparoscopy and excisional biopsy of this mesenteric mass near the junction of the ileum and caecum, with a Harmonic scalpel. She had an uneventful recovery, and was discharged day 1 postoperatively. She later presented to the emergency department on the same day of discharge, with worsening abdominal pain and fevers. She was taken back to theatre the following day and was found to have a necrotic appendiceal tip, and underwent an appendicectomy.

The histology from the mesenteric biopsy showed a 10×15mm nodule of carcinoid tumour, as well as 2 of 4 lymph nodes containing metastases. The histology from the appendicectomy showed extensive mucosal necrosis, but no evidence of malignancy.

The patient has since undergone an open, uncomplicated right hemicolectomy which showed an 8mm grade 1 neuroendocrine tumour at the region of the ileocaecal valve with clear margins and 11 lymph nodes negative for metastatic disease. She is currently under the care of an oncologist and receiving lanreotide 60–120mg monthly, the duration of which is currently ongoing.

Discussion

Appendicitis due to primary ischaemia, or as a complication of mesenteric biopsy, is not described in the literature. Acute torsion of the appendix and subsequent appendicitis has been described infrequently in the paediatric population⁴. The appendiceal artery is the terminal branch of the ileocolic artery, and runs in the free edge of the mesoappendix. Varying descriptions of anatomical locations and incidence of accessory appendiceal arteries are described in the literature⁶.

We hypothesise that this presentation of acute appendicitis on the second post-operative day following mesenteric biopsy was secondary to inadvertent vascular injury, and subsequent ischaemia, given the proximity of the initial operative field to the ileocaecal junction. One possible mechanism is that appendiceal artery, or a possible aberrant course of the appendiceal artery or accessory appendiceal artery, was not identified during initial laparoscopic survey and was divided during dissection. Another possible mechanism is that the appendiceal artery was indirectly affected by secondary thermal injury during harmonic dissection of the mesenteric lesion. Whilst uncommon, unexpected thermal injuries to surrounding structures have been reported during use of Harmonic dissection⁷.

Conclusion

Laparoscopic mesenteric biopsies are becoming increasingly more common as histological diagnosis is required to confirm disease processes. This case illustrates an unusual complication of a procedure often viewed as straightforward and highlights the diligence required during mesenteric dissection to prevent inadvertent injury to nearby structures, as well as the possibility of unusual circumstances resulting in unexpected injuries when using the Harmonic scalpel as an energy source for dissection.

Consent

Consent for publication of information from this clinical case was sought and obtained from the patient.

Author contributions

JT and MZ wrote this initial drafts, and the final draft was reviewed and edited by SD. All authors have seen and agreed to the final draft of this manuscript.

Competing interests

None

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

References

1. Bhandarkar DS, Shah RS, Katara AN, *et al.*: **Laparoscopic biopsy in patients with abdominal lymphadenopathy.** *J Minim Access Surg.* 2007; **3**(1): 14–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
2. Casaccia M, Torelli P, Cavaliere D, *et al.*: **Laparoscopic lymph node biopsy in intra-abdominal lymphoma: high diagnostic accuracy achieved with a minimally invasive procedure.** *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech.* 2007; **17**(3): 175–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Nunes FC, Silva AL: **[Acute ischaemic appendicitis in rabbits: new model with histopathological study].** *Acta Cir Bras.* 2005; **20**(5): 399–404.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Darbyshire D, Sutton PA, Kosai NR, *et al.*: **Torsion of the vermiform appendix – a review of the literature.** *West London Med J.* 2011; **3**(1): 14–18.
[Reference Source](#)
5. Durai R, Mir N, Ng PC: **Laparoscopic retroperitoneal/mesenteric lymph node sampling: a safe and effective technique.** *Singapore Med J.* 2011; **52**(10): 758–62.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
6. Kulkarni U, Kulkarni D: **Variations in arterial supply of vermiform appendix.** *IJAV.* 2011; **4**: 52–54.
[Reference Source](#)
7. Vetere PF, Apostolis C: **Ureteral injury due to a Harmonic scalpel during laparoscopic salpingo-oophorectomy.** *JSLS.* 2010; **14**(1): 115–119.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
8. Wangenstein OH, Dennis C: **Experimental proof of the obstructive origin of appendicitis in man.** *Ann Surg.* 1939; **110**(4): 629–647.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 11 February 2016

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.7765.r11815>

© 2016 Bednarski B. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Brian Keith Bednarski

Department of Surgical Oncology, Division of Surgery, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA

The authors present a case report of a complication from a laparoscopic mesenteric excisional biopsy. Following an excision of an suspected carcinoid node in the mesentery, the patient suffered an ischemic event to the appendix.

My comments/suggestions for the article are as follows:

1. The authors describe that the indications for the laparoscopic excision are for those areas not amenable to percutaneous biopsy. This should also include lesions that have failed image guided biopsy. To that end, please comment on the patient's course in that regard. Did they have an attempted biopsy? Did they have a biopsy that failed to yield the diagnosis?
2. Did the patient have a colonoscopy? With a suspicious carcinoid, an endoscopic evaluation should be considered to assess for primary tumor.
3. Also, please comment on the intraoperative findings in more detail. Carcinoid tumors, and specifically its associated lymphadenopathy, have a very distinctive appearance that can clue in the operative surgeon to the diagnosis. Did you consider intraoperative pathologic assessment? With the possibility of completing the hemicolectomy in the first operation?
4. Lastly, I agree with the other review that additional information regarding recommendations/suggestions to avoid mesenteric vascular injury during these procedures would be helpful.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 01 February 2016

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.7765.r12127>

© 2016 Chua H. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Heidi K Chua

Department of Surgery, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

Drs. Zukiwsky, Tun and Desai has described ischemia as a potential complication of mesenteric biopsy. This certainly highlights the need to be cautious in performing what might be considered a minor procedure. I do have 2 requests:

1. Can they be more descriptive in how the actual excisional biopsy was done - was there an attempt to identify the vascular supply to the nearby structures?
2. Can they suggest ways of preventing ischemic injuries while performing similar procedure?

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research