Check for updates

CASE REPORT

REVISED Case Report: Laparoscopic hepatectomy in an elderly patient with major comorbidities [version 2; peer review: 2 approved]

Georgios C. Sotiropoulos, Nikolaos Machairas, Ioannis D. Kostakis

Second Department of Propedeutic Surgery, Laiko General Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Medical School, Athens, Greece

V2 First published: 31 Jul 2017, 6:1286
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.12078.1>

Latest published: 18 Dec 2017, 6:1286
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.12078.2>

Abstract

Surgeons have been hesitant to proceed to hepatectomy in elderly patients, due to the higher rate of comorbidities and the reduced reserves. An 81-year-old male with hepatocellular carcinoma in the segment VI of the liver and several major cardiovascular, pulmonary and metabolic comorbid illnesses was referred to our department for treatment. He underwent transarterial chemoembolization of the liver tumor and afterwards he underwent laparoscopic resection of the hepatic segment VI, with an uneventful postoperative course. This case indicates that laparoscopic liver resections could be applied even to elderly patients with major comorbidities after optimization of their medical status.

Keywords

hepatectomy, liver resection, laparoscopic, elderly, geriatric, comorbidity

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 2 (revision) 18 Dec 2017		view
	view
version 1 31 Jul 2017		view

1. **Jun Li** , University Medical Center
Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany
2. **Troy S. Wildes** , Washington University
School of Medicine (WUSM), St. Louis, USA
Barnes-Jewish Hospital (BJH) and Washington
University School of Medicine (WUSM), St.
Louis, USA

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Ioannis D. Kostakis (i.d.kostakis@gmail.com)

Author roles: **Sotiropoulos GC:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; **Machairas N:** Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Kostakis ID:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2017 Sotiropoulos GC *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Sotiropoulos GC, Machairas N and Kostakis ID. **Case Report: Laparoscopic hepatectomy in an elderly patient with major comorbidities [version 2; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2017, **6**:1286
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.12078.2>

First published: 31 Jul 2017, **6**:1286 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.12078.1>

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

In the main text, we have added details regarding patient's functional independence, ability for physical activity, creatinine and urea serum levels, hematocrit, COPD symptoms and results of spirometry.

See referee reports

Introduction

Liver resection is the treatment of choice for many liver tumors. However, liver resections, and especially major hepatectomies, have been associated with several complications and the presence of comorbid illnesses has been related to increased postoperative morbidity and mortality¹. Surgeons have been hesitant to proceed to hepatectomy in elderly patients, due to the higher rate of comorbidities and the reduced hepatic, cardiac, pulmonary and renal reserve, which render them more susceptible to complications. However, there are several attempts towards the adaptation of liver resections for elderly patients that have been reported in the literature, with good outcomes². We report the case of an 81-year-old male with hepatocellular carcinoma and several comorbid illnesses who underwent laparoscopic liver segmentectomy in our department.

Case report

An 81-year-old male patient with deteriorating right subcostal pain and high values of serum gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase and alkaline phosphatase underwent an abdominal ultrasound scan, which revealed the presence of a heterogeneous tumor 10cm in diameter, located in the right hepatic lobe, along with mild steatosis of the liver. The patient underwent an abdominal and thoracic computed tomography and an abdominal magnetic resonance imaging. They showed a heterogeneous tumor in the hepatic segment VI, which presented intense arterial uptake of the intravenous contrast followed by quick venous washout, without any sites suspicious for metastases (Figure 1). Additionally, he underwent an ultrasound-guided biopsy of the mass, which revealed the presence of a moderately differentiated hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Serum levels of alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) were within normal range. The patient's medical history included arterial hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus, atrial fibrillation and severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) with chronic productive cough, rendering him a patient with an ASA (American Society of Anesthesiologists) score 3, but no viral hepatitis, cirrhosis or any other liver pathology, apart from mild liver steatosis. Moreover, there was no evidence of renal disease (creatinine serum levels: 0.9 mg/dl, urea serum levels: 25 mg/dl) or anemia (hematocrit: 42.1%, hemoglobin: 14.1 g/dl). The patient's body mass index (BMI) was 26, he was functionally independent and he was capable of physical activity of light to moderate intensity (Metabolic Equivalent of Task: 3)^{3,4}.

The patient was referred to our department for treatment. Blood gas analysis revealed the following parameters: pO₂: 58mmHg, pCO₂: 45mmHg, HCO₃: 26mEq/L, pH: 7.38, while spirometry showed FEV1: 47% predicted and FEV1/FVC: 55% predicted,

revealing the presence of severe COPD⁵. The patient received both pulmonary and anesthesiological consultation, and he was treated with daily bronchodilations and respiratory physiotherapy. The patient initially underwent a transarterial chemoembolization of the HCC as a bridging treatment to operation. He was followed-up in an outpatient basis for about a month. His blood gas analysis showed a notable improvement: pO₂: 75mmHg, pCO₂: 39mmHg, HCO₃: 24mEq/L, pH: 7.41, as well as the results of spirometry (FEV1: 60% predicted, FEV1/FVC: 66% predicted), along with amelioration of productive cough. The patient was admitted to our hospital and he underwent laparoscopic resection of the hepatic segment VI, which contained the tumor, along with laparoscopic cholecystectomy. The postoperative course was uneventful and the patient was discharged on the 4th postoperative day.

The histopathological examination of the surgical specimen showed that the tumor corresponded to a moderately differentiated hepatocellular carcinoma, grade II and III in the Edmondson-Steiner grading scale, with infiltration, but not disruption of Glisson's capsule, and without infiltration of blood vessels (pT1 tumor) (Figure 2). The resection margins were tumor-free. The histopathological examination also confirmed the mild liver

Figure 1. Magnetic resonance imaging of the giant liver lesion.

Figure 2. Resected liver lesion.

steatosis that the abdominal ultrasound had indicated. The patient remains in good general condition without evidence of tumor recurrence 30 months after the operation.

Discussion

Elderly patients frequently have a fragile health, as a result of many kinds of comorbidities that present at their age that are associated with reduced reserves. The arising higher susceptibility of elderly patients to complications makes surgeons more reluctant to proceed to major operations in these patients⁶. Therefore, liver resections for old patients, and especially major hepatectomies, have been adopted with delay².

However, several studies have addressed feasibility, efficacy and safety of liver resections in elderly patients. Although there are various cut-off points for the definition of elderly patients among these studies, most of them use 70⁷ or 75 years^{8–10} of age as a threshold to define older patients. All types of liver resections have been reported for patients with advanced age, from wedge resections and segmentectomies up to hemihepatectomies. Many studies have reported that there is no actual advantage regarding morbidity and mortality of younger over older patients undergoing liver resection, if older patients are considered fit enough to undergo the procedure¹⁰. Nevertheless, there are also several studies reporting an increased rate of postoperative morbidity and/or mortality for older patients than younger ones^{7–9}.

Apart from the age as an independent predictor of postoperative outcomes, the existence of comorbidities has been evaluated as an important factor of worse postoperative results. Several studies have shown that patients undergoing liver resection who suffer from arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, arrhythmias, coronary disease, heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, renal disease, liver cirrhosis, stroke and/or other major comorbidities have increased postoperative morbidity and/or mortality in comparison with the patients with only minor or without any comorbid diseases. An ASA score of 3 or greater has

been associated with higher rates of postoperative complications and worse outcomes in general^{7,8}.

There are only a few series reporting laparoscopic liver resections in elderly patients. When older patients (older than 70 or 75 years of age) with liver pathology undergoing laparoscopic liver resection were compared to younger ones, no significant differences were detected with regards to postoperative morbidity and mortality^{11,12}. Furthermore, when laparoscopic and open hepatectomies were compared in elderly patients, there was no agreement concerning postoperative complications, with some authors reporting decreased rates in the case of laparoscopic hepatectomies¹², whereas other authors suggested that there is no actual difference between the two approaches¹³. However, it is accepted that laparoscopic procedures have the advantage of less blood loss and shorter hospital stay in elderly patients^{12,13}.

Our patient aged 81 years and had some major comorbidities. However, the careful therapeutic planning with the optimization of his pulmonary status and the careful selection of the exact type of liver resection rendered the patient able to undergo the laparoscopic segmentectomy with an uneventful postoperative course. In conclusion, laparoscopic liver resections could be applied even to elderly patients with major comorbidities after optimization of their medical status.

Consent

Written informed consent for publication of clinical details and/or clinical images was obtained from the patient.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

References

- Russell MC: **Complications following hepatectomy.** *Surg Oncol Clin N Am.* 2015; **24**(1): 73–96.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Phan K, An VV, Ha H, *et al.*: **Hepatic resection for malignant liver tumours in the elderly: a systematic review and meta-analysis.** *ANZ J Surg.* 2015; **85**(11): 815–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Pate RR, Pratt M, Blair SN, *et al.*: **Physical activity and public health. A recommendation from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the American College of Sports Medicine.** *JAMA.* 1995; **273**(5): 402–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Bellizzi V, Cupisti A, Capitanini A, *et al.*: **Physical activity and renal transplantation.** *Kidney Blood Press Res.* 2014; **39**(2–3): 212–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Vogelmeier CF, Criner GJ, Martinez FJ, *et al.*: **Global Strategy for the Diagnosis, Management and Prevention of Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease 2017**
Report: GOLD Executive Summary. *Respirology.* 2017; **22**(3): 575–601.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Knittel JG, Wildes TS: **Preoperative assessment of geriatric patients.** *Anesthesiol Clin.* 2016; **34**(1): 171–83.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Schiergens TS, Stielow C, Schreiber S, *et al.*: **Liver resection in the elderly: significance of comorbidities and blood loss.** *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2014; **18**(6): 1161–70.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Tzeng CW, Cooper AB, Vauthey JN, *et al.*: **Predictors of morbidity and mortality after hepatectomy in elderly patients: analysis of 7621 NSQIP patients.** *HPB (Oxford).* 2014; **16**(5): 459–68.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Orcutt ST, Artinyan A, Li LT, *et al.*: **Postoperative mortality and need for transitional care following liver resection for metastatic disease in elderly patients: a population-level analysis of 4026 patients.** *HPB (Oxford).* 2012;

14(12): 863–70.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

10. Motoyama H, Kobayashi A, Yokoyama T, *et al.*: **Impact of advanced age on the short- and long-term outcomes in patients undergoing hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma: a single-center analysis over a 20-year period.** *Am J Surg.* 2015; **209**(4): 733–41.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
11. Nomi T, Fuks D, Kawaguchi Y, *et al.*: **Laparoscopic major hepatectomy for colorectal liver metastases in elderly patients: a single-center, case-matched**

study. *Surg Endosc.* 2015; **29**(6): 1368–75.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

12. Cauchy F, Fuks D, Nomi T, *et al.*: **Benefits of Laparoscopy in Elderly Patients Requiring Major Liver Resection.** *J Am Coll Surg.* 2016; **222**(2): 174–84.e10.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
13. Wang XT, Wang HG, Duan WD, *et al.*: **Pure Laparoscopic Versus Open Liver Resection for Primary Liver Carcinoma in Elderly Patients: A Single-Center, Case-Matched Study.** *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2015; **94**(43): e1854.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 2

Reviewer Report 22 December 2017

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.14671.r29101>

© 2017 Wildes T. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Troy S. Wildes

¹ Department of Anesthesia, Washington University School of Medicine (WUSM), St. Louis, MO, USA

² Center for Preoperative Assessment and Planning, Barnes-Jewish Hospital (BJH) and Washington University School of Medicine (WUSM), St. Louis, MO, USA

The authors have fully addressed my initial reservations regarding their manuscript. They have provided key additional information regarding the patient's comorbidities, tests, and function that are useful for gauging perioperative risks.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Preoperative care, post-operative delirium, anesthesia in aged patients

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 30 November 2017

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.13067.r28236>

© 2017 Wildes T. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Troy S. Wildes

¹ Department of Anesthesia, Washington University School of Medicine (WUSM), St. Louis, MO, USA

² Center for Preoperative Assessment and Planning, Barnes-Jewish Hospital (BJH) and Washington University School of Medicine (WUSM), St. Louis, MO, USA

The authors provide an interesting case report of an aged individual with significant comorbidities undergoing an intermediate risk surgical procedure.

The operative and optimization pathway are discussed in appropriate detail. The authors are also to be commended for including the patient's health status 30 months after the surgery, as such information is clearly relevant to surgical decision-making in patients with significant comorbidities.

The report would benefit from the following additional details and suggested edits:

1. The case presented does not justify the statement "In conclusion, laparoscopic liver resections are safe and feasible in older patients, even when major comorbidities are present, provided that there is a careful therapeutic planning." Describing a medical practice as safe implies a very low event rate, which cannot be demonstrated with a single successful patient outcome.
2. The patient's medical comorbidities are listed. However, important functional details such as the patient's functional independence, metabolic functional capacity, and COPD symptom burden are not described. Such details would be critically informative of the comorbidity disease burden, frailty status, and anticipated complication rate of the patient. Additionally, inclusion of other key diagnostic information, such as serum creatinine and hemoglobin, would be relevant along with the above information for predicting pulmonary and acute kidney injury risk. Without inclusion of all of this information, it is difficult for the reader to truly appreciate the risk level of this patient.

Is the background of the case's history and progression described in sufficient detail?

Partly

Are enough details provided of any physical examination and diagnostic tests, treatment given and outcomes?

No

Is sufficient discussion included of the importance of the findings and their relevance to future understanding of disease processes, diagnosis or treatment?

Partly

Is the case presented with sufficient detail to be useful for other practitioners?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Preoperative care, post-operative delirium, anesthesia in aged patients

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 13 Dec 2017

Ioannis Kostakis, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Medical School, Athens, Greece

Comment 1

The case presented does not justify the statement "In conclusion, laparoscopic liver resections are safe and feasible in older patients, even when major comorbidities are present, provided that there is a careful therapeutic planning." Describing a medical practice as safe implies a very low event rate, which cannot be demonstrated with a single successful patient outcome.

Answer

We removed this sentence and we replaced it with the following sentence: "Laparoscopic liver resections could be applied even to elderly patients with major comorbidities after optimization of their medical status".

Comment 2

The patient's medical comorbidities are listed. However, important functional details such as the patient's functional independence, metabolic functional capacity, and COPD symptom burden are not described. Such details would be critically informative of the comorbidity disease burden, frailty status, and anticipated complication rate of the patient. Additionally, inclusion of other key diagnostic information, such as serum creatinine and hemoglobin, would be relevant along with the above information for predicting pulmonary and acute kidney injury risk. Without inclusion of all of this information, it is difficult for the reader to truly appreciate the risk level of this patient.

Answer

We added data regarding patient's functional independence, ability for physical activity, creatinine and urea serum levels, hematocrit, COPD symptoms and results of spirometry.

Competing Interests: No competing interests.

Reviewer Report 20 November 2017

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.13067.r27703>

© 2017 Li J. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Jun Li

Department of Hepatobiliary and Transplant Surgery, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany

The case report by Sotiropoulos *et al* did show that combining the minimal invasive approach and preoperative optimal management of the comorbidities could make liver resection in very elderly patient safe. The preoperative TACE not only served as a back-up treatment for the large HCC, but also might reduce the risk of metastasis.

Is the background of the case's history and progression described in sufficient detail?

Yes

Are enough details provided of any physical examination and diagnostic tests, treatment given and outcomes?

Yes

Is sufficient discussion included of the importance of the findings and their relevance to future understanding of disease processes, diagnosis or treatment?

Yes

Is the case presented with sufficient detail to be useful for other practitioners?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 13 Dec 2017

Ioannis Kostakis, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Medical School, Athens, Greece

We would like to thank the reviewer for his kind comments.

Competing Interests: No competing interests.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research