Check for updates

REVIEW

Update on umbilical cord blood transplantation [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Karen Ballen

Stem Cell Transplant Program, University of Virginia Health System, Charlottesville, VA, USA

V1 First published: 24 Aug 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):1556
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11952.1>

Latest published: 24 Aug 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):1556
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11952.1>

Abstract

Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant is a curative procedure for many patients with leukemia, lymphoma, myelodysplasia, myeloproliferative neoplasms, and genetic disorders. Umbilical cord blood transplantation is a graft source for patients who do not have a matched donor in their family or in the unrelated registry. It is particularly difficult for Black, Hispanic, and White patients of non-Western European background to find fully matched adult volunteer donors. An estimated 700,000 umbilical cord blood units have been donated for public use, and over 40,000 umbilical cord blood transplantations have been performed. Over 25,000 patients have been cured with this approach.

Keywords

Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation, Umbilical cord blood transplantation, Regenerative Medicine

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 1 24 Aug 2017

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

1. **Celalettin Ustun**, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA

2. **Éliane Gluckman**, EUROCORD, Paris, France

Any comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Karen Ballen (kb3tc@virginia.edu)

Author roles: Ballen K: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: The author declares that she has no competing interests.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2017 Ballen K. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Ballen K. **Update on umbilical cord blood transplantation [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]**
F1000Research 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):1556 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11952.1>

First published: 24 Aug 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):1556 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11952.1>

Introduction

Traditionally, allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant (HCT) has been limited to fully matched related or unrelated donors. Each brother or sister has a 25% chance of matching the patient; given the size of most families in the US and Western Europe, only 30% of patients will have a fully matched donor in their family¹. Because the cells from the newborn baby are immunologically more naïve, there is less risk of the immune-mediated complication of graft-versus-host disease after umbilical cord blood transplantation (UCBT) and therefore the patient and the UCB unit do not need to be as closely matched. Thus, patients of diverse racial/ethnic background may be more likely to find a suitable UCB donor when they cannot find a family or registry donor². In this overview, we discuss cord blood banking, pediatric and adult UCBT, and future directions in the field. We compare UCBT with other transplant approaches, including haploidentical (half-matched) transplant.

Cord blood banking

The UCB unit is collected from term healthy babies. It can be collected after vaginal or surgical deliveries. UCB collection does not interfere in any way with the normal delivery process. Recently, there has been more interest in delayed cord blood clamping. The American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology and other professional organizations have issued position statements on the recommended cord blood clamping time, which collectors of UCB are urged to follow³.

In 2011, the US Food and Drug Administration began licensure of UCB units, and currently less than 10% of the worldwide UCB inventory is licensed. Unlicensed UCB units can be obtained under an Investigational New Drug Application, and outcome results are excellent with these UCB units as well⁴. Cord blood banking practices have changed over the years to more automated methods, with no impact on survival⁵.

Pediatric umbilical cord blood transplants

The first successful related and unrelated donor UCBTs were performed in children^{6,7}. Although there have been no randomized prospective studies comparing outcomes among graft sources, several retrospective studies have shown comparable survival⁸. Outcomes for pediatric patients with acute leukemia receiving unrelated UCBT were compared with outcomes after a matched unrelated donor transplant (HCT)⁹. The best results were in children who received fully matched UCBT. Results were comparable between traditional unrelated bone marrow transplantation and UCBT. Single versus double UCBT has been studied in a prospective randomized study and there was no advantage to the most costly double UCBT¹⁰. Children with metabolic disorders such as Hurler syndrome, Krabbe disease, and Sanfilippo syndrome have excellent outcomes if transplanted early in their disease course (75% at 5 years)¹¹.

Adult cord blood transplantation

After the initial encouraging results in children, UCBT was extended to adults with hematologic malignancies who did not have a matched family or volunteer donor. Initial results indicated

a high transplant-related mortality, which improved with the use of better UCB unit selection, modern supportive care, especially regarding infection prevention and treatment, and the use of UCB units with higher cell doses¹². Double UCBT (using two partially matched UCB units) and the use of reduced-intensity conditioning were pioneered by the group at the University of Minnesota and incorporated into several transplant centers, with disease-free survival of 35–45%^{13–15}. The use of single versus double UCBT has not been tested in a phase III study in adults and remains controversial.

FMS-like tyrosine kinase (FLT3) acute myeloid leukemia has a high risk of relapse and is an indication for HCT. Leukemia-free survival was similar among patients who received UCBT, sibling HCT, or matched unrelated donor, although graft-versus-host disease was lowest in UCBT¹⁶.

Selection of the optimal UCB unit is more complex than in standard related donor or unrelated donor transplantation. In addition to HLA matching, there are decisions regarding cell dose, HLA antibodies, and cell viability. Patients who harbor donor-specific HLA antibodies against the chosen UCB unit have been shown to have poorer engraftment and survival, and these units should be avoided¹⁷.

Comparison with haploidentical transplantation

A haploidentical HCT is an HCT from a half-matched donor. Based on genetics, children, parents, and 50% of siblings could serve as a haploidentical donor. Parallel phase 2 studies showed similar 1-year overall and progression-free survivals¹⁸. A large national US randomized, phase III study comparing these two graft sources is under way. This is a high-priority study for the transplant community.

New trends in cord blood transplantation

One of the limiting factors of UCBT is the delayed engraftment and immune recovery that may lead to infection, particularly uncommon viral infections¹⁹. Leukemia patients with a poor performance status have decreased survival with UCBT compared with other graft sources, likely due to high rates of infection and transplant-related complications. Several strategies have been undertaken to improve this delayed immune recovery: homing to the bone marrow, *ex vivo* expansion, and infection prophylaxis (Table 1)²⁰. All studies are small and none has documented improved survival in a randomized study. In general, the *ex vivo* expansion studies require more specialized techniques available in only a few centers. However, the cost of procurement of each UCB unit is \$30,000 to \$45,000, which may make *ex vivo* expansion of 1 UCB unit more cost-effective than using 2 UCB units. Homing strategies include intrabone marrow UCBT, in which the UCB unit is injected directly into the iliac crest. A Japanese study showed faster platelet engraftment and improved donor chimerism (the measure of donor versus recipient DNA) with this approach²¹. Selectins are needed to initiate stem cell homing and are modified via a fucosylation process. A small study using fucosylation of UCB cells has shown engraftment in 14 days²². The combination of haploidentical with either a single or double UCBT has been shown to improve engraftment^{23–25}.

Table 1. Novel strategies to improve engraftment.

Strategy	Mechanism	Investigators	Number	Days to absolute neutrophil count > 500
Intrabone marrow injection	Homing	Kurita <i>et al.</i> ²¹	15	7
Nicotinamide	Expansion	Horwitz <i>et al.</i> ²⁶	11	13
Fucosylation	Homing	Popat <i>et al.</i> ²²	7	14
Notch	Expansion	Delaney <i>et al.</i> ²⁷	10	16

Ex vivo expansion studies include the use of the Notch Ligand, Delta 1, mesenchymal progenitor cell expansion, and a nicotinamide-based expansion with cytokines^{26–28}. This last approach resulted in engraftment in 13 days and now is part of a randomized controlled trial.

One novel approach to decrease viral infection is to use tri virus (adenovirus, Epstein-Barr virus, and cytomegalovirus)–expanded T cells. These T cells have been used successfully to treat refractory viral infections after UCBT²⁹.

Regenerative medicine

An exciting new opportunity is using either autologous or unrelated UCBT for diseases outside the traditional scope of oncology. UCB stem cells have greater proliferative potential than adult bone marrow stem cells³⁰. UCB has been used to treat neurologic diseases such as autism, cerebral palsy, hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy, and traumatic brain injury³¹. In cerebral palsy, intravenous autologous UCB infusions have been administered safely³². Allogeneic infusions have also been used; 47 patients with severe cerebral palsy received safe treatment with unmatched allogeneic UCB cells, given both intravenously and intrathecally³³. Gross motor function scores improved, and there was no graft-versus-host disease³⁴.

In cardiovascular disease, several trials are under way for cardiomyopathy and coronary artery disease. In cardiovascular disease, UCB mesenchymal stem cells secrete cytokines that stimulate angiogenesis³⁵. In animal models (rat) of myocardial infarction, UCB-derived mesenchymal stem cells have

demonstrated decreased size of myocardial infarct and improved cardiac pumping function^{36,37}.

About 15 million babies are born preterm worldwide; owing to hypoxia-ischemia, these babies are at high risk of neurodevelopmental problems³⁸. Clinical trials using UCB are ongoing at Duke University Medical Center and the National University of Singapore (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00593242)^{31,39}. Human UCB-derived cells are also being investigated for the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease, corneal disease, renal disease, and collagen-induced arthritis^{40–42}.

Conclusions

From the first UCBT in 1988, the field of UCBT has evolved considerably⁴³. UCBT is now a standard treatment for both children and adults who do not have matched sibling or unrelated donors. A large clinical trial is under way to compare UCBT with haploidentical HCT. Transplant outcomes continue to improve with refinement in UCB unit selection and infection prevention. *Ex vivo* expansion and homing strategies are in clinical trials to reduce the risk of infection. Finally, new applications of UCB in cerebral palsy, autism, and cardiovascular disease are likely to make major health impacts in the next 5–10 years.

Competing interests

The author declares that she has no competing interests.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

References

1. Gragert L, Eapen M, Williams E, *et al.*: **HLA match likelihoods for hematopoietic stem-cell grafts in the U.S. registry.** *N Engl J Med.* 2014; **371**(14): 339–48.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
2. Barker JN, Byam CE, Kernan NA, *et al.*: **Availability of cord blood extends allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant access to racial and ethnic minorities.** *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant.* 2010; **16**(11): 1541–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
3. Vatansever B, Demirel G, Ciler Eren E, *et al.*: **Is early cord clamping, delayed cord clamping or cord milking best?** *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.*

- 2017; 1–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Ballen KK, Logan BR, Chitphakdithai P, *et al.*: **Excellent Outcomes in 1589 Patients Receiving Umbilical Cord Blood Transplantation Using Unlicensed Units From a Centralized Cord Blood Registry.** *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant.* 2017; 23(3 Supplement): S170.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 5. Nikiforow S, Li S, Snow K, *et al.*: **Lack of impact of umbilical cord blood unit processing techniques on clinical outcomes in adult double cord blood transplant recipients.** *Cytotherapy.* 2017; 19(2): 272–84.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 6. Kurtzberg J, Laughlin M, Graham ML, *et al.*: **Placental blood as a source of hematopoietic stem cells for transplantation into unrelated recipients.** *N Engl J Med.* 1996; 335(3): 157–66.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 7. Gluckman E, Broxmeyer HA, Auerbach AD, *et al.*: **Hematopoietic reconstitution in a patient with Fanconi's anemia by means of umbilical-cord blood from an HLA-identical sibling.** *N Engl J Med.* 1989; 321(17): 1174–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 8. Rocha V, Cornish J, Sievers EL, *et al.*: **Comparison of outcomes of unrelated bone marrow and umbilical cord blood transplants in children with acute leukemia.** *Blood.* 2001; 97(10): 2962–71.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 9. **F** Eapen M, Rubinstein P, Zhang MJ, *et al.*: **Outcomes of transplantation of unrelated donor umbilical cord blood and bone marrow in children with acute leukaemia: a comparison study.** *Lancet.* 2007; 369(9577): 1947–54.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 10. **F** Wagner JE Jr, Eapen M, Carter S, *et al.*: **One-unit versus two-unit cord-blood transplantation for hematologic cancers.** *N Engl J Med.* 2014; 371(18): 1685–94.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 11. Prasad VK, Mendizabal A, Parikh SH, *et al.*: **Unrelated donor umbilical cord blood transplantation for inherited metabolic disorders in 159 pediatric patients from a single center: influence of cellular composition of the graft on transplantation outcomes.** *Blood.* 2008; 112(7): 2979–89.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 12. Laughlin MJ, Barker J, Bambach B, *et al.*: **Hematopoietic engraftment and survival in adult recipients of umbilical-cord blood from unrelated donors.** *N Engl J Med.* 2001; 344(24): 1815–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 13. Ballen KK, Spitzer TR, Yeap BY, *et al.*: **Double unrelated reduced-intensity umbilical cord blood transplantation in adults.** *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant.* 2007; 13(1): 82–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 14. Barker JN, Weisdorf DJ, DeFor TE, *et al.*: **Transplantation of 2 partially HLA-matched umbilical cord blood units to enhance engraftment in adults with hematologic malignancy.** *Blood.* 2005; 105(3): 1343–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 15. Cutler C, Stevenson K, Kim HT, *et al.*: **Double umbilical cord blood transplantation with reduced intensity conditioning and sirolimus-based GVHD prophylaxis.** *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2011; 46(5): 659–67.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 16. **F** Ustun C, Giannotti F, Zhang MJ, *et al.*: **Outcomes of UCB transplantation are comparable in FLT3+ AML: results of CIBMTR, EUROCORD and EBMT collaborative analysis.** *Leukemia.* 2017; 31(6): 1408–14.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 17. Cutler C, Kim HT, Sun L, *et al.*: **Donor-specific anti-HLA antibodies predict outcome in double umbilical cord blood transplantation.** *Blood.* 2011; 118(25): 6691–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 18. **F** Brunstein CG, Fuchs EJ, Carter SL, *et al.*: **Alternative donor transplantation after reduced intensity conditioning: results of parallel phase 2 trials using partially HLA-mismatched related bone marrow or unrelated double umbilical cord blood grafts.** *Blood.* 2011; 118(2): 282–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 19. Ballen K, Woo Ahn K, Chen M, *et al.*: **Infection Rates among Acute Leukemia Patients Receiving Alternative Donor Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation.** *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant.* 2016; 22(9): 1636–45.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 20. **F** Kiernan J, Damien P, Monaghan M, *et al.*: **Clinical Studies of Ex Vivo Expansion to Accelerate Engraftment After Umbilical Cord Blood Transplantation: A Systematic Review.** *Transfus Med Rev.* 2017; 31(3): 173–82.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 21. **F** Kurita N, Goshō M, Yokoyama Y, *et al.*: **A phase I/II trial of intrabone marrow cord blood transplantation and comparison of the hematological recovery with the Japanese nationwide database.** *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2017; 52(4): 574–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 22. **F** Popat U, Mehta RS, Rezvani K, *et al.*: **Enforced fucosylation of cord blood hematopoietic cells accelerates neutrophil and platelet engraftment after transplantation.** *Blood.* 2015; 125(19): 2885–92.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 23. Bautista G, Cabrera JR, Regidor C, *et al.*: **Cord blood transplants supported by co-infusion of mobilized hematopoietic stem cells from a third-party donor.** *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2009; 43(5): 365–73.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 24. Liu H, Rich ES, Godley L, *et al.*: **Reduced-intensity conditioning with combined haploidentical and cord blood transplantation results in rapid engraftment, low GVHD, and durable remissions.** *Blood.* 2011; 118(24): 6438–45.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 25. Ponce DM, Dahi PB, Devlin S, *et al.*: **Double-unit cord blood transplantation combined with haplo-identical CD34+ selected PSBC results in 100% CB engraftment and enhanced myeloid recovery.** *Blood.* 2013; 122(21): 298.
[Reference Source](#)
 26. Horwitz ME, Chao NJ, Rizzieri DA, *et al.*: **Umbilical cord blood expansion with nicotinamide provides long-term multilineage engraftment.** *J Clin Invest.* 2014; 124(7): 3121–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 27. **F** Delaney C, Heimfeld S, Brashem-Stein C, *et al.*: **Notch-mediated expansion of human cord blood progenitor cells capable of rapid myeloid reconstitution.** *Nat Med.* 2010; 16(2): 232–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 28. **F** de Lima M, McNiece I, Robinson SN, *et al.*: **Cord-blood engraftment with ex vivo mesenchymal-cell coculture.** *N Engl J Med.* 2012; 367(24): 2305–15.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 29. Hanley PJ, Cruz CR, Savoldo B, *et al.*: **Functionally active virus-specific T cells that target CMV, adenovirus, and EBV can be expanded from naive T-cell populations in cord blood and will target a range of viral epitopes.** *Blood.* 2009; 114(9): 1958–67.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 30. van de Ven C, Collins D, Bradley MB, *et al.*: **The potential of umbilical cord blood multipotent stem cells for nonhematopoietic tissue and cell regeneration.** *Exp Hematol.* 2007; 35(12): 1753–65.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 31. Cotten CM, Murtha AP, Goldberg RN, *et al.*: **Feasibility of autologous cord blood cells for infants with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy.** *J Pediatr.* 2014; 164(5): 973–979.e1.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 32. Sun J, Allison J, McLaughlin C, *et al.*: **Differences in quality between privately and publicly banked umbilical cord blood units: a pilot study of autologous cord blood infusion in children with acquired neurologic disorders.** *Transfusion.* 2010; 50(9): 1980–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 33. **F** Feng M, Lu A, Gao H, *et al.*: **Safety of Allogeneic Umbilical Cord Blood Stem Cells Therapy in Patients with Severe Cerebral Palsy: A Retrospective Study.** *Stem Cells Int.* 2015; 2015: 325652.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 34. Feng M, Gao HX, Dai P, *et al.*: **Clinical observation on the efficacy of umbilical blood stem cell transplantation in 30 cases with severe cerebral palsy.** *Chinese Journal of Blood Transfusion.* 2011; 24(7): 602–604.
 35. **F** Medhekar SK, Shende VS, Chincholkar AB: **Recent Stem Cell Advances: Cord Blood and Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell for Cardiac Regeneration- a Review.** *Int J Stem Cells.* 2016; 9(1): 21–30.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 36. **F** Ma J, Zhao Y, Sun L, *et al.*: **Exosomes Derived from Akt-Modified Human Umbilical Cord Mesenchymal Stem Cells Improve Cardiac Regeneration and Promote Angiogenesis via Activating Platelet-Derived Growth Factor D.** *Stem Cells Transl Med.* 2017; 6(1): 51–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 37. Furfaro EM, Gaballa MA: **Do adult stem cells ameliorate the damaged myocardium? Human cord blood as a potential source of stem cells.** *Curr Vasc Pharmacol.* 2007; 5(1): 27–44.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 38. Blencowe H, Cousens S, Oestergaard MZ, *et al.*: **National, regional, and worldwide estimates of preterm birth rates in the year 2010 with time trends since 1990 for selected countries: a systematic analysis and implications.** *Lancet.* 2012; 379(9832): 2162–72.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 39. Li J, McDonald CA, Fahey MC, *et al.*: **Could cord blood cell therapy reduce preterm brain injury?** *Front Neurol.* 2014; 5: 200.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 40. **F** Mao F, Wu Y, Tang X, *et al.*: **Human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells alleviate inflammatory bowel disease through the regulation of 15-LOX-1 in macrophages.** *Biotechnol Lett.* 2017; 39(6): 929–38.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 41. **F** Shao C, Chen J, Chen P, *et al.*: **Targeted transplantation of human umbilical cord blood endothelial progenitor cells with immunomagnetic nanoparticles to repair corneal endothelium defect.** *Stem Cells Dev.* 2015; 24(6): 756–67.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 42. Peng X, Xu H, Zhou Y, *et al.*: **Human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells attenuate cisplatin-induced acute and chronic renal injury.** *Exp Biol Med (Maywood).* 2013; 238(8): 960–70.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 43. Ballen KK, Gluckman E, Broxmeyer HE: **Umbilical cord blood transplantation: the first 25 years and beyond.** *Blood.* 2013; 122(4): 491–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

The reviewers who approved this article are:

Version 1

1. **Éliane Gluckman**
EUROCORD, Paris, France
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
2. **Celalettin Ustun**
University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research