REVIEW

The cyanobacterial nitrogen fixation paradox in natural waters [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Hans Paerl

Institute of Marine Sciences, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Morehead City, NC, USA

V1 First published: 09 Mar 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):244
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10603.1>

Latest published: 09 Mar 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):244
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10603.1>

Abstract

Nitrogen fixation, the enzymatic conversion of atmospheric N (N_2) to ammonia (NH_3), is a microbially mediated process by which “new” N is supplied to N-deficient water bodies. Certain bloom-forming cyanobacterial species are capable of conducting N_2 fixation; hence, they are able to circumvent N limitation in these waters. However, this anaerobic process is highly sensitive to oxygen, and since cyanobacteria produce oxygen in photosynthesis, they are faced with a paradoxical situation, where one critically important (for supporting growth) biochemical process is inhibited by another.

N_2 -fixing cyanobacterial taxa have developed an array of biochemical, morphological, and ecological adaptations to minimize the “oxygen problem”; however, none of these allows N_2 fixation to function at a high enough efficiency so that it can supply N needs at the ecosystem scale, where N losses via denitrification, burial, and advection often exceed the inputs of “new” N by N_2 fixation. As a result, most marine and freshwater ecosystems exhibit chronic N limitation of primary production. Under conditions of perpetual N limitation, external inputs of N from human sources (agricultural, urban, and industrial) play a central role in determining ecosystem fertility and, in the case of N overenrichment, excessive primary production or eutrophication. This points to the importance of controlling external N inputs (in addition to traditional phosphorus controls) as a means of ensuring acceptable water quality and safe water supplies.

Nitrogen fixation, the enzymatic conversion of atmospheric N_2 to ammonia (NH_3) is a microbially-mediated process by which “new” nitrogen is supplied to N-deficient water bodies. Certain bloom-forming cyanobacterial species are capable of conducting N_2 fixation; hence they are able to circumvent nitrogen limitation in these waters. However, this anaerobic process is highly sensitive to oxygen, and since cyanobacteria produce oxygen in photosynthesis, they are faced with a paradoxical situation, where one critically-important (for supporting growth) biochemical process is inhibited by another. Diazotrophic cyanobacterial taxa have developed an array of

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 1		
09 Mar 2017		

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

1. **Justin Chaffin**, Ohio State University, Put-in-Bay, USA
2. **James Cotner**, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, USA

Any comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

biochemical, morphological and ecological adaptations to minimize the “oxygen problem”; however, none of these allows N_2 fixation to function at a high enough efficiency so that it can supply N needs at the ecosystem scale, where N losses via denitrification, burial and advection often exceed the inputs of “new” N by N_2 fixation.

As a result, most marine and freshwater ecosystems exhibit chronic N-limitation of primary production. Under conditions of perpetual N limitation, external inputs of N from human sources (agricultural, urban, industrial) play a central role in determining ecosystem fertility and in the case of N-overenrichment, excessive primary production, or eutrophication. This points to the importance of controlling external N inputs (in addition to traditional phosphorus controls) as a means of ensuring acceptable water quality and safe water supplies.

Keywords

cyanobacteria, nitrogen fixation, freshwater, marine

Corresponding author: Hans Paerl (hans_paerl@unc.edu)

Competing interests: The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Grant information: This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation (DEB 9815495; CBET 0826819, 1230543; and Dimensions of Biodiversity 1240851).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2017 Paerl H. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

How to cite this article: Paerl H. **The cyanobacterial nitrogen fixation paradox in natural waters [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):244 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10603.1>

First published: 09 Mar 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):244 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10603.1>

Nitrogen fixation, the biochemical conversion of “inert” atmospheric N (N_2) to biologically available ammonia (NH_3), is a microbially mediated process of global significance because it provides “new” N to aquatic ecosystems in which biological production is often controlled by N availability^{1,2}. N_2 fixation is an anaerobic process carried out by specific prokaryotes, including heterotrophic and chemolithotrophic bacteria and some cyanobacteria (blue-green algae)³. The process likely evolved during the oxygen (O_2)-devoid Precambrian period some 2+ billion years ago^{4,5}. Of the N_2 -fixing microbial taxa, the cyanobacteria are of particular biogeochemical and ecological interest because they were also the first O_2 -evolving photosynthetic organisms on Earth⁶; their proliferation during this period is thought to be an evolutionary “milestone” because it led to the generation of an O_2 -rich atmosphere, a prerequisite for the evolution of O_2 -requiring fungi, bacteria, animals, and higher plant species on our planet⁶.

Ironically, the development of an O_2 -rich atmosphere, hydrosphere, and pedosphere constituted a formidable biochemical challenge for the cyanobacteria because, while they were capable of fixing N_2 , the process had to be confined to an O_2 -free micro-environment⁷. This requirement posed a serious dilemma, especially for aquatic cyanobacteria, because they require illuminated conditions in surface waters, but the high ambient O_2 levels produced by photosynthesis in these waters also represents an environmental barrier to O_2 -sensitive N_2 fixation. Over their long evolutionary history, cyanobacteria have developed biochemical and structural adaptations as well as biotic associations in order to optimize N_2 fixation while relying on oxygenic photosynthesis to provide energy and organic carbon (C) compounds to support metabolism and growth. The adaptations include (1) confining N_2 fixation to night-time when photosynthesis is “turned off”, (2) forming colonies and aggregates to reduce illumination and form low- O_2 “microzones”, (3) participating as endosymbionts in biological associations, and (4), forming heterocysts (non-photosynthetic, O_2 -free cells) in some filamentous taxa, which allows N_2 fixation to proceed while receiving photo-reductant and organic C through photosynthesis from adjacent cells⁸.

These are all remarkably clever adaptations to a modern-day oxic biosphere, which help circumvent the “ O_2 problem”⁶. From an ecosystem perspective, they have allowed N_2 -fixing species to provide biologically available N from the vast reservoir of atmospheric N_2 . However, on the ecosystem scale, recent N budget analyses indicate that N_2 fixation inputs fall far short of meeting ecosystem requirements when biologically available N inputs (from terrestrial and atmospheric sources) and losses (via denitrification, sedimentation and burial, and advection) are considered^{9–11}. As a result, freshwater, estuarine, and marine systems are often chronically N deficient^{11–17}. Pervasive N limitation has many implications for ecosystem function, especially when excessive external nutrient inputs lead to accelerating primary production (eutrophication), harmful algal blooms, and excessive O_2 consumption (hypoxia). If chronic N-limited conditions prevail in water bodies and N_2 fixation cannot meet ecosystem N requirements, then external N inputs often supply N to support eutrophication

and its unwanted symptoms. From a management perspective, this means that the growing global glut of N inputs from agricultural, urban, and industrial sources^{14,18–20} needs to be controlled, in addition to the broadly accepted phosphorus (P) input constraints, in order to protect our waterways and water supplies.

Why does N_2 fixation fall short of meeting ecosystem demands? Apparently, this process does not operate at sufficient rates in a modern-day, oxic world to compensate for losses via burial, export, and denitrification, even though it is protected and optimized by the various biological adaptations mentioned above. It is counteracted at larger scales by biogeochemical processes, such as denitrification, that run in the opposite direction ($NO_3^- \rightarrow N_2$). The N_2 -fixing process is an energy-demanding one, requiring 16 ATP molecules to fix one molecule of N_2 ³. In cyanobacteria, this energy demand has to be met by photosynthesis, while in non-photosynthetic bacteria, organic matter and redox reactions serve as energy sources³. In highly productive (eutrophic), turbid waters where cyanobacteria and bacteria thrive, the availability of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR: 400–700 nm) is often restricted, causing a radiant energy deficit and suboptimal N_2 fixation rates. Secondly, cyanobacteria taxa that dominate in eutrophic waters often accumulate as thick surface “blooms”, in part to circumvent light limitation in subsurface waters¹¹. High rates of photosynthesis in such blooms lead to O_2 supersaturation, often in excess of 200% saturation²¹. These ambient O_2 levels inhibit N_2 fixation *in situ*, even in heterocystous taxa^{22,23}. Thirdly, N_2 fixation requires high levels of P (to support the energetics, e.g. ATP formation and nucleic acid production) and metals, most prominently iron (Fe), which is a co-factor in the enzyme complex nitrogenase³. In highly oxygenated surface waters, Fe occurs as the insoluble and biologically unavailable Fe^{3+} ion that may lead to Fe-limited conditions²⁴. Lastly, wind-induced turbulence and vertical mixing can reduce N_2 fixation potential by disrupting colonies and aggregates and enhancing inward diffusion of O_2 (Figure 1)²⁵ and deepening the mixed layer, reducing light availability.

Thus, while N_2 fixation converts inert N_2 into biologically available NH_3 to support aquatic fertility in a remarkable fashion, it faces multiple constraints and limitations in aquatic environments, especially in surface waters, which are often N limited. Geochemists, some limnologists, and a few oceanographers have assumed that as long as P and Fe are readily available, N_2 fixation should make up for an N deficit, given the unlimited supply of N_2 available^{26,27}. However, this assumed linear stoichiometric relationship is not straightforward. Major environmental factors constrain this process, preventing it from functioning at optimal rates and supplying complete ecosystem N requirements^{8,11}. As a result, much of the world’s marine and freshwater environments remain chronically N deficient. In practical (management) terms, this limitation means that external inputs of N play a key role in providing adequate and excessive fertility (eutrophication) of many freshwater and most marine ecosystems^{11,15,16}. Tremendous increases in anthropogenically generated bioavailable N in the form of synthetic (Haber process) fertilizers, agricultural, industrial,

Figure 1. The nitrogen fixing process, as mediated by cyanobacteria (utilizing oxygenic photosynthesis as an energy and carbon source) as well as heterotrophic and chemolithotrophic microorganisms, in eutrophic surface waters. Potential environmental controls, including phosphorus (P) and iron (Fe) availability, energy sources, and dissolved oxygen inhibition, are shown in red. The background photo is of an O_2 -supersaturated (during daytime) cyanobacterial surface bloom in Lake Taihu, China. Photograph by H. Paerl.

and urban wastes, and N_2 emissions (as both oxides and reduced forms of N) far overshadow biological fixation of N_2 in providing available N to receiving waters. Effective future management and protection of our fresh and marine waters will depend on the control of external inputs of both N and $P^{1,27}$ instead of depending on the more traditional approach of controlling P inputs without N restrictions²⁸.

Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Grant information

This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation (DEB 9815495; CBET 0826819, 1230543; and Dimensions of Biodiversity 1240851).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

I appreciate the helpful comments by my colleagues W. Gardner, M. McCarthy, and J.T. Scott and appreciate the technical assistance from A.R. Joyner.

References

- Howarth RW, Marino R, Cole JJ: **Nitrogen fixation in freshwater, estuarine, and marine ecosystems. 2. Biogeochemical controls.** *Limnol Oceanogr.* 1988; **33**(4 part 2): 688–701.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Karl D, Michaels A, Bergman B, et al.: **Dinitrogen fixation in the world's oceans.** *Biogeochemistry.* 2002; **57**(1): 47–98.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Postgate J: **Nitrogen Fixation.** Cambridge University Press, 1998.
[Reference Source](#)
- Raymond J, Siefert JL, Staples CR, et al.: **The natural history of nitrogen fixation.** *Mol Biol Evol.* 2004; **21**(3): 541–54.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Latysheva N, Junker VL, Palmer WJ, et al.: **The evolution of nitrogen fixation in cyanobacteria.** *Bioinformatics.* 2012; **28**(5): 603–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Knoll AH: **Life on a young planet: the first three billion years of evolution on earth.** Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2003.
[Reference Source](#)
- Gallon JR: **Reconciling the incompatible: N_2 fixation And O_2 .** *New Phytol.* 1992; **122**(4): 571–609.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Paerl HW: **Physiological Ecology and Regulation of N_2 Fixation in Natural Waters.** In: Marshall KC editor. *Adv Microb Ecol.* Boston, MA: Springer US; 1990; **11**: 305–344.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Scott JT, McCarthy MJ: **Nitrogen fixation may not balance the nitrogen pool in lakes over timescales relevant to eutrophication management.** *Limnol Oceanogr.* 2010; **55**(3): 1265–70.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Grantz EM, Haggard BE, Scott JT: **Stoichiometric imbalance in rates of**

nitrogen and phosphorus retention, storage, and recycling can perpetuate nitrogen deficiency in highly-productive reservoirs. *Limnol Oceanogr.* 2014; **59**(6): 2203–16.

[Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)

11. Paerl HW, Scott JT, McCarthy MJ, *et al.*: **It Takes Two to Tango: When and Where Dual Nutrient (N & P) Reductions Are Needed to Protect Lakes and Downstream Ecosystems.** *Environ Sci Technol.* 2016.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
12. Dugdale RC: **Nutrient limitation in the sea: dynamics, identification, and significance.** *Limnol Oceanogr.* 1967; **12**(4): 685–95.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
13. Elser JJ, Bracken ME, Cleland EE, *et al.*: **Global analysis of nitrogen and phosphorus limitation of primary producers in freshwater, marine and terrestrial ecosystems.** *Ecol Lett.* 2007; **10**(12): 1135–42.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
14. Paerl HW, Piehler MF: **Nitrogen and Marine Eutrophication.** In DG Capone, M. Mulholland and E. Carpenter (Eds.), *Nitrogen in the Marine Environment.* Academic Press, Orlando. 2008; **2**: 529–567.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
15. Conley DJ, Paerl HW, Howarth RW, *et al.*: **Ecology. Controlling eutrophication: nitrogen and phosphorus.** *Science.* 2009; **323**(5917): 1014–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
16. Lewis WM, Wurtsbaugh WA, Paerl HW: **Rationale for control of anthropogenic nitrogen and phosphorus to reduce eutrophication of inland waters.** *Environ Sci Technol.* 2011; **45**(24): 10300–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
17. Dodds W, Smith V: **Nitrogen, phosphorus, and eutrophication in streams.** *Inland Waters.* 2016; **6**: 155–64.
[Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
18. Galloway JN, Cowling EB, Seitzinger SP, *et al.*: **Reactive Nitrogen: Too Much of a Good Thing?** *Ambio.* 2002; **31**(2): 60–3.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
19. US EPA: **Reactive Nitrogen in the United States: An Analysis of Inputs, Flows, Consequences, and Management Options. A Report of the EPA Science Advisory Board.** EPA-SAB-11-013. Unites States of America Environmental Protection Agency: Washington, DC, 2011.
[Reference Source](#)
20. Glibert PM, Maranger R, Sobota DJ: **The Haber Bosch-harmful algal bloom (HB-HAB) link.** *Environ Res Lett.* 2014; **9**(10): 105001.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
21. Paerl HW, Webb KL, Weibe WJ: **Nitrogen fixation in waters.** In WJ Broughton (ed.), *The Ecology of Nitrogen Fixation.* Oxford Univ Press, Oxford, 1981; 193–241.
22. Paerl HW, Kellar PE: **Nitrogen-fixing *anabaena*: physiological adaptations instrumental in maintaining surface blooms.** *Science.* 1979; **204**(4393): 620–2.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
23. Kellar PE, Paerl HW: **Physiological adaptations in response to environmental stress during an *N₂*-fixing *Anabaena* bloom.** *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 1980; **40**(3): 587–595.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
24. Hyenstrand P, Rydin E, Gunnerhed M: **Response of pelagic cyanobacteria to iron additions—enclosure experiments from Lake Erken.** *J Plankton Res.* 2000; **22**(6): 1113–26.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
25. Moisander PH, Hench JL, Kononen K, *et al.*: **Small-scale shear effects on heterocystous cyanobacteria.** *Limnol Oceanogr.* 2002; **47**(1): 108–19.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
26. Tyrrell T: **The relative influences of nitrogen and phosphorus on oceanic primary production.** *Nature.* 1999; **400**: 525–31.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
27. Paerl HW, Otten TG: **Duelling 'CyanoHABs': unravelling the environmental drivers controlling dominance and succession among diazotrophic and non-*N₂*-fixing harmful cyanobacteria.** *Environ Microbiol.* 2016; **18**(2): 316–24.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
28. Schindler DW, Hecky RE, Findlay DL, *et al.*: **Eutrophication of lakes cannot be controlled by reducing nitrogen input: results of a 37-year whole-ecosystem experiment.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2008; **105**(32): 11254–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

The reviewers who approved this article are:

Version 1

1. **James Cotner**
University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, 55108, USA
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
2. **Justin Chaffin**
Stone Laboratory, Ohio State University, Put-in-Bay, OH, USA
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research