REVIEW

X chromosome inactivation: new players in the initiation of gene silencing [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Ines Pinheiro , Edith Heard

Mammalian Developmental Epigenetics Group (équipe labellisée La Ligue), Institut Curie, PSL Research University, CNRS UMR3215, INSERM U934, 26 Rue d'Ulm, 11 75248 Paris Cedex 05, France

V1 First published: 27 Mar 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):344
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10707.1>

Latest published: 27 Mar 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):344
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10707.1>

Abstract

X chromosome inactivation (XCI) is a dosage compensation process that was adopted by female mammals to balance gene dosage between XX females and XY males. XCI starts with the upregulation of the non-coding RNA Xist, after which most X-linked genes are silenced and acquire a repressive chromatin state. Even though the chromatin marks of the inactive X have been fairly well described, the mechanisms responsible for the initiation of XCI remain largely unknown. In this review, we discuss recent developments that revealed unexpected factors playing a role in XCI and that might be of crucial importance to understand the mechanisms responsible for the very first steps of this chromosome-wide gene-silencing event.

Keywords

X chromosome inactivation, XCI, Xist RNA, X-linked genes, chromatin, epigenetics

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 1 27 Mar 2017

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

1. **Carolyn Brown**, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Vancouver, Canada
2. **Christine Disteche**, Department of Pathology, University of Washington, Seattle, Seattle, USA

Any comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding authors: Ines Pinheiro (Ines.Pires-Santos-Ramos-Pinheiro@curie.fr), Edith Heard (Edith.Heard@curie.fr)

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Grant information: The European Molecular Biology Organization (grant ALTF 549-2014) and Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (grant SPF20140129387), provided funding support to Ines Pinheiro. The ANR project NonCodiX (grant ANR-14-CE10-0017), La Ligue, Paris Alliance of Cancer Research Institutes (grant PACRI-ANR), Labex DEEP (grant ANR-11-LBX-0044) part of the IDEX Idex PSL 734 (grant ANR-10-IDEX-0001-02 PSL) and ABS4NGS (grant ANR-11-BINF-0001), provided funding support to Edith Heard.

Copyright: © 2017 Pinheiro I and Heard E. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

How to cite this article: Pinheiro I and Heard E. **X chromosome inactivation: new players in the initiation of gene silencing** [version 1; peer review: 2 approved] F1000Research 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):344 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10707.1>

First published: 27 Mar 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):344 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10707.1>

Introduction

In many animal species, males and females have unbalanced genetic content regarding the sex chromosomes (reviewed in 1). In mammals, females have two X chromosomes and males have an X and a Y chromosome. Sex chromosomes originated from autosomes. The X chromosome retained >95% of its ancestral genes, while the Y chromosome retained only 2% of its ancestral genes^{2,3}. This generates a genetic imbalance for ancestral genes between males and females, for which various dosage compensation strategies have evolved across different species. In mammals, females transcriptionally inactivate one of their two X chromosomes in a process called X chromosome inactivation (XCI)^{1,4}.

In mice, XCI occurs in two waves during early development. A first wave of XCI starts between the 4–8-cell stage⁵. This results in imprinted inactivation of the paternal X chromosome (Xp)^{6,7}. The Xp is then reactivated at the blastocyst stage and random XCI occurs, implying that either the maternal X chromosome (Xm) or the Xp is silenced⁸. After this choice is made, the inactive state is inherited throughout cell division. XCI begins with expression of the long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) *Xist* from the chromosome that will be silenced⁹. *Xist* RNA coats the X chromosome in *cis*, resulting first in rapid depletion of RNA polymerase II (RNA Pol II) and euchromatic histone marks (such as histone acetylation)¹⁰. *Xist* RNA-coated chromatin then becomes enriched in repressive histone ubiquitination and methylation marks (H2AK119ub and H3K27me3)^{5,11,12}. In addition, *Xist* RNA coating triggers spatial reorganization of the X chromosome^{13,14}.

Chromatin seems an obvious candidate as the template targeted by *Xist* RNA to carry the *cis*-limited epigenetic memory of the X chromosome during XCI. The chromatin modifications that decorate the inactive X (Xi) and the kinetics of their deposition have been well defined using immunofluorescence during embryonic stem cell (ESC) differentiation or early embryogenesis^{5,11} or chromatin immunoprecipitation for candidate histone modifications followed by qPCR for target genomic regions¹⁵. Despite several decades of research, the transcription- or chromatin-associated mechanisms responsible for the initiation of *Xist*-mediated gene silencing have remained unclear.

Recently, three different groups developed methods that allow the pull down of endogenous *Xist* and its associated proteins^{16–18}. These approaches identified factors that might have important roles during the initiation of XCI. Highlighting their roles, two genetic screens for factors involved in the onset of *Xist*-mediated gene silencing (as opposed to its maintenance¹⁹) identified the same proteins^{20,21}.

In this review, we will discuss these exciting new discoveries as well as some of the open questions regarding *Xist*-mediated gene repression during XCI.

The spatiotemporal dynamics of *Xist* RNA association, gene silencing, and escape

XCI is a dynamic process operating at different levels, including gene silencing, chromatin modifications, and chromosome reorganization (reviewed in 22). Upon *Xist* expression, genes along the Xi start to be progressively silenced, with groups of genes that

are silenced at early, mid, or late stages during XCI²³. The reasons why the kinetics of gene silencing vary so dramatically from one gene to another are not clear. It has been suggested that silencing might progress as a linear gradient from the *Xist* gene within the X-inactivation center (Xic)²⁴, with the genes closest to the Xic being silenced first. However, although some genes close to the Xic are silenced early, others are not²³. In fact, the spread of XCI should be considered in the context of the 3D chromosome organization of the X, whereby distant genomic regions can come in close contact because of chromosome folding²⁵. This was investigated using RNA-antisense purification (RAP) that allows the purification of *Xist* RNA–DNA contacts²⁶. By sequencing the RNA-bound DNA, the chromosome contact regions of *Xist* RNA were mapped during XCI, revealing that the earliest regions associated with *Xist* were those that came into closest proximity to the Xic region based on chromosome conformation capture (HiC) data²⁷. Similar results were found using a capture hybridization analysis of RNA targets with deep sequencing, or CHART-seq²⁸. Both studies further revealed that *Xist* RNA tends to initially bind in close proximity to active gene-rich regions, correlating with sites of H3K27me3. How do these findings relate to gene silencing kinetics? Although the ESC systems involving doxycycline induction of *Xist* used in these studies undergo more synchronized XCI, this might not entirely reflect the normal kinetics of XCI. To address this question *in vivo*, a recent study examined the X-chromosome-wide gene-silencing kinetics during imprinted XCI, a system with endogenous initiation of XCI that is triggered by paternal *Xist* expression from the 2–4-cell stage. Single-cell RNA-seq of single blastomeres from F1 hybrid mouse embryos at every stage of pre-implantation development revealed that many of the genes silenced earliest in embryos lie within the first *Xist*-bound regions as defined in ESCs. However, some late-silenced genes, or even escapees, also lie in their vicinity²⁹. Similar findings were reported in hybrid mouse ESCs during differentiation towards embryoid bodies and neural progenitor cells³⁰. This implies that although 3D proximity to *Xist* indeed seems to promote XCI of early silenced genes, this is not the only factor predicting the silencing kinetics of any particular region. Whether there is local spread in *cis* from *Xist*-coated regions and whether this relates to the local chromatin context or proximity of certain regions to the nuclear envelope remains to be found.

Escape from X inactivation

How some genes avoid XCI altogether is another interesting question. Several such constitutive “escapees” exist in mice³¹ and even more in humans³². Indeed, in both the RAP and the CHART-seq studies, the constitutive escapee *Jarid1c* was found to be surrounded by *Xist*-binding sites that are abruptly depleted at this locus. This observation implies that there must be some features at the *Jarid1c* genomic locus (and the factors that bind to it) or else in its chromatin structure that prevent *Xist* RNA from spreading into this region. The sequences responsible for escape from gene silencing remain to be identified. Transgenesis studies have shown that *Jarid1c* has an intrinsic capacity to escape, with *Jarid1c* transgenes being capable of escape from XCI at different locations on the X chromosome³³. More detailed BAC integration studies mapped elements required for escape to the region upstream or within the *Jarid1c* gene³⁴. A candidate sequence-specific escape-promoting factor that has been proposed is CTCF (CCCTC-binding factor)³⁵.

CTCF is a zinc-finger DNA-binding protein that has been identified as an insulator between different genomic regions³⁶, maintaining a gene in a spatial functional domain with its own regulatory elements³⁷. CTCF is widely bound across the mouse and human genomes³⁸. It is also bound to the X chromosome, including transcription start sites (TSS) of escapees³⁹, suggesting a possible role for the transcriptional state of these genes. In fact, escape from Xist-mediated gene silencing correlates with the presence of CTCF at the TSS of escaping genes on the X chromosome, but not when a *Xist* transgene is integrated in an autosome (Loda *et al.*, unpublished data). More detailed analysis of the possible role of the CTCF-binding sites flanking the *Jarid1c* locus is still intriguing³⁵. On the one hand, deleting 3' CTCF sites does not prevent *Jarid1c*'s capacity to escape. Rather, this promotes illegitimate escape of neighboring genes. On the other hand, flanking GFP transgenes inserted in the *Hprt* locus with CTCF-binding sites did not prevent its silencing upon *Xist* expression⁴⁰. Taken together, these data suggest that CTCF might not be sufficient to explain escape. It would be interesting to know whether there is a common mechanism of escape (do other escapees display similar behavior when inserted into different X-linked regions?) and whether there are common genomic or epigenetic features or trans-factors involved.

In addition to genes that constitutively escape from XCI, tissue-specific escapees that are silenced during XCI in pre implantation embryos and become reactivated in certain tissues also exist. Whether Xist-binding sites are found in the vicinity of such loci when they are silenced but then disappear in the tissues in which they escape is not yet known. The nature of the regulatory regions and epigenomic features of these escapees was recently hinted at based on allele-specific ATAC seq³⁹. The mechanisms allowing such regions to overcome the heterochromatic state and become reactivated remain unclear. In the environment of the silent X, where many chromatin modifiers play a role in locking in a very stable silent state, it will be important to understand the features of regions that can revert from this repressive state and the extent to which this is driven by specific transcription factors, chromatin states, chromosome architectural proteins, or nuclear compartments.

Gene silencing during X-chromosome inactivation: mechanisms and key players

As mentioned above, *Xist* expression is accompanied by global changes in chromatin and gene expression. Genetic dissection of the *Xist* transcript revealed that a highly conserved region called the A-repeat (on exon 1)⁴¹ is necessary for *Xist*'s gene-silencing role during XCI⁴². However, the mechanisms responsible for gene silencing and the importance of chromatin-modifying complexes for the initiation of gene silencing are still largely unknown. Most of the studies characterizing Xi chromatin were based on indirect immunofluorescence approaches, which are quite crude assays and might highlight only the players that are more enriched in the Xi territory. Additionally, it was observed that the exclusion of RNA Pol II and histone acetyl marks from the Xi territory precedes gene silencing, indicating that there might be other protein complexes important for the initiation of X-linked gene silencing.

One of the obvious first steps needed in order to learn about the mechanisms involved in Xist-mediated gene silencing is the identification of the protein, RNA, and DNA partners of Xist RNA that likely mediate its functions. This represented a technical challenge for many years, as Xist RNA pull down experiments present many difficulties and only recently have systematic approaches yielded conclusive data^{16–18}. One reason for this was that RNA pull downs often retrieve many non-specific interacting proteins that bind nucleic acids in general. Another challenge was the length of the Xist RNA molecule (>17,000 nucleotides), which renders it difficult to manipulate and to use for capture while maintaining its integrity.

In the past year, several groups have finally been able to isolate factors that bind Xist RNA specifically using a variety of biochemical approaches that rely on the purification of Xist and its binding partners followed by mass spectrometry^{16–18}. Many of these have turned out to be important for the initiation of gene silencing during XCI. Furthermore, genetic screens were applied to identify factors that impair Xist-mediated gene silencing^{20,21}.

Factors implicated in the initiation of gene silencing during X-chromosome inactivation

Thanks to these two types of approach, a set of factors that are necessary for the initiation of Xist-mediated gene silencing has been defined. Amongst these different methods and the different lists of candidate proteins identified, one factor identified in all studies was Spen (for "Split-ends", which was originally identified in *Drosophila melanogaster*⁴³). Spen is a very large protein with several RNA-binding domains. It has been implicated in transcriptional silencing owing to its interaction with the NuRD-MBD3 complex, more particularly via HDACs 1 and 2^{44,45} or HDAC3⁴⁶. Spen is proposed to facilitate the initiation of XCI through direct binding to the Xist RNA A-repeat, via its RNA-binding motifs, and by recruitment of HDACs to the future Xi. siRNA-mediated knockdown of HDAC3, but not of HDAC1 or 2, phenocopied the results obtained with Spen knockdown¹⁷. In the absence of Spen or HDAC3, *Xist* RNA coating is normal but is reported to no longer lead to RNA Pol II depletion or Ezh2 enrichment and to result in defective gene silencing. Given its huge size, Spen might interact with multiple different proteins in various complexes. Although a spectrum of Spen-interacting proteins has previously been described⁴⁶, a Spen-XCI-specific protein complex has not yet been characterized.

Factors associated with PRC1 and 2 complexes

Another revelation from these studies was the identification of PRC1 but not PRC2 members as Xist-interacting proteins^{16,18}. This appears to challenge the prevailing view that PRC2 is directly recruited by Xist. In the study by the Lee lab¹⁸, the PRC1 factor RING1 was identified. The Chang lab identified RING2, RYBP, and PCGF5¹⁶. Indeed, the latter factor is one of those that distinguish non-canonical PRC1, the variant of PRC1 that is directly targeted to chromatin independently of PRC2 or H3K27me3^{47,48}. Thus, it appears that Xist-mediated Polycomb recruitment may not follow the model for hierarchical PRC2-PRC1 recruitment⁴⁹. A recent

study supports this order of events: initial Xist-mediated PRC1 recruitment followed by indirect recruitment of PRC2 through Jarid2⁵⁰. Indeed, Jarid2 may bind to PRC1-mediated H2AK119Ub, thus enabling PRC2 to become recruited. However, the situation may be complex, with multiple parallel pathways for PRC2 and PRC1 recruitment to the Xi⁵¹.

In fact, like PRC2-H3K27me3, PRC1-H2AK119Ub had already been implicated in an early time-window during XCI^{52,53}. Differentiation of *Eed*^{-/-} ESCs revealed that, upon Xist induction, the region corresponding to the Xi becomes enriched in H2AK119Ub, even though H3K27me3 is not present⁵⁴, indicating that PRC1-mediated H2AK119Ub can occur on the Xi independently of PRC2. The reverse is also true, however. *RING1B*^{-/-} cells that lack the E3 ligase activity responsible for H2AK119Ub show loss of H2AK119Ub from the Xi without affecting H3K27me3 deposition⁵⁵. Both mutants show reduced levels of their specific histone marks without affecting gene silencing on the Xi. This suggests that there may indeed be several pathways for PRC1 and PRC2 recruitment to the Xi, one of which is direct (PRC1) and the other indirect (PRC2). However, all of the data to date suggest that none of these PRC complexes are sufficient to induce X-chromosome-wide gene silencing during XCI. Rather, Polycomb and its associated chromatin changes are likely to be involved in maintenance, as has been found in other contexts (in mammals and also in flies and worms). This is also supported by studies using inducible Xist transgenes that lack the A-repeat region. These mutants retain the capacity to express Xist and coat the chromosome in *cis*, although to a slightly lesser extent, and they are also able to induce enrichment of H3K27me3 and H2AK119Ub but are not able to induce gene silencing⁵⁶. Thus, PRC-induced histone modifications are involved in reinforcement and memory mechanisms for gene silencing rather than for initiation.

RNA methylation as a new player in X-chromosome inactivation

Another protein identified in the Xist pull down experiments was WTAP (Wilms tumor 1 associated protein)^{16,21}. WTAP is a member of a complex responsible for N⁶-methyladenosine modification on RNA⁵⁷, or m⁶A RNA. RNA methylation is an emerging field, and the many possible functions of this RNA modification on RNA stability, translation, or splicing are still being explored⁵⁸. However, to date, RNA methylation pathways had never been suspected in XCI. WTAP could have a direct role in Xist RNA methylation, improving its stability, or acting as a guiding mechanism for its target sites (Figure 1A). Alternatively, WTAP may have a role in Xist-mediated gene silencing by post-transcriptional control. It has previously been reported that mRNAs with m⁶A groups can be recognized by reader proteins that will be responsible for their function, similar to histone or DNA methylation (reviewed in 59). Two of these readers, YTHDF1 and 2, have opposing roles in transcriptional output: YTHDF1 is correlated with increased translation efficiency, resulting in a positive transcriptional output, but, on the other hand, YTHDF2 seems to reduce the stability of bound m⁶A mRNAs, targeting them for degradation⁶⁰. The list of peptides identified in the Xist pull down experiments includes YTHDF3¹⁶, which has been correlated with m⁶A RNA but has no reported functions to date, and YTHDC1¹⁸, which is involved in exon-inclusion mechanisms in alternative splicing⁶¹. Another possible role of m⁶A

RNA is through binding of HNRNPC, which has been reported to affect splicing of target mRNAs and lncRNAs⁶². HNRNPC was also co-purified with Xist in two of these studies^{17,18} and might therefore have a role in Xist splicing and stability. In line with these hypotheses, a recent study reported that Xist is methylated, which is important for its gene silencing function⁶³. In this study, m⁶A Xist methylation is shown to be recognized by YTHDC1. The role of YTHDC1 in Xist-mediated silencing still remains unclear. The authors propose, based on previously published proteomics studies⁶¹ together with protein-protein interaction database analysis⁶⁴, that YTHDC1 might recruit transcriptional repressors such as PRC1 or PRC2.

In summary, the data obtained so far concerning WTAP's interaction with Xist appears to point to a role in Xist m⁶A methylation. However, it is still not clear whether this RNA modification machinery might also act on mRNAs of X-linked genes upon Xist-mediated recruitment. The exploration of RNA modifications could provide exciting new insights into XCI initiation mechanisms.

Roles for X chromosome conformation and nuclear organization during X-chromosome inactivation

Given the large body of early cytogenetic studies on the Xi describing it as a distinct nuclear compartment (the Barr body)⁶⁵, a role for nuclear organization has long been proposed in the process of XCI⁶⁶. Fluorescence microscopy revealed that Xist RNA accumulation during XCI leads to the rapid formation of a repressive compartment, from which RNA Pol II and transcription-associated factors are excluded^{13,67}. This repressive compartment is largely made of repetitive elements initially¹³. Genes become relocated into this compartment as they become silenced, while genes that escape remain at the periphery of this Xist RNA domain. The exact interplay between nuclear organization and XCI has remained an open question, however, and whether gene relocation into the Xist RNA compartment is a cause or a consequence of gene silencing is unclear.

Global reorganization of the X chromosome upon Xist RNA coating can also be seen thanks to chromosome conformation capture techniques^{18,25,39,68–70}. The active X chromosome, just like the autosomes, is organized into contact domains comprising neighboring chromosome regions called topologically associating domains (TADs) as well as into active and inactive compartments^{68,70,71}. XCI triggers the loss of TADs and the formation of two megadomains on the Xi^{18,39,68,69} (Figure 1B).

How do these chromosomal changes impact on XCI? In one study¹⁴, it was shown that deletion of Xist RNA from the Xi in differentiated cells results in the recovery of an active 3D conformation of the X chromosome, even though genes remain silent, presumably due to epigenetic marks such as DNA methylation. This indicates that Xist RNA plays a role in the global organization of X chromosome structure, and a role for Xist in the repulsion of the architectural protein, cohesion, has been proposed¹⁸. Although the role of the Xi megadomains is still not clear, the DXZ4 macrosatellite conserved in humans and mice was found to be critical in creating the frontier between them, together with Xist RNA coating^{39,72}. Deletion of DXZ4 results in the absence of megadomain formation, and surprisingly this does not appear to interfere

with XCI onset or maintenance^{39,72}. Chromatin marks, such as H3K27me3, also remained globally intact upon DXZ4 deletion, except for a region adjacent to the DXZ4 macrosatellite, where there is a loss of H3K27me3 and a gain of H3K9me3⁷². Intriguingly, however, the frequency with which some facultative escapees on the X can escape seemed to be slightly affected³⁹, suggesting a potential link between this unusual region and the capacity of some parts of the X to escape.

Finally, in addition to Xist's role in X chromosome organization, it has also been suggested that it might help to bring the Xi to the nuclear lamina. In fact, nuclear positioning is one of the oldest hypotheses for differential treatment of the two Xs in the same nucleus, by localization at the nuclear lamina⁶⁶, although both the active and the inactive Xs tend to be fairly peripheral in their nuclear positioning⁷³. Also, the inactive X is often at the nucleolus, not at the periphery^{74–76}. However, the identification of the lamin B receptor (LBR) as one of Xist's binding partners retrieved by RAP-MS¹⁷ and the fact that its knockdown impairs silencing of specific X-linked genes (*Gpc4*, *Atrx*, *MeCP2*, *Rbm*x, and *Smc1a*) led to the proposal that LBR may be necessary to tether X-linked regions to the nuclear periphery and that this environment would somehow facilitate Xist RNA-mediated silencing. Impairment of relocalization of the X chromosome might result in impaired X-linked gene silencing owing to a failure to maintain genes within a confined Xist silent compartment⁷⁷. However, the Xist RNA-coated X in LBR mutants is still devoid of RNA Pol II and becomes enriched in PRC2, and the effect on chromosome-wide silencing/escape was not examined⁷⁷. The exact role of LBR recruitment in XCI and its potential collaboration with other Xist protein partners will undoubtedly warrant future investigation.

Concluding remarks

The emerging picture from recent studies using diverse molecular, biochemical, and genetic approaches is that XCI involves not just one but multiple gene-silencing mechanisms. The challenge now will be to dissect the specific functions and inter-relationships of these different layers of control. The recently published panel of Xist interactors as well as factors identified using genetic screens suggests that even the initiation step of XCI involves a variety of mechanisms. SPEN appears to play a central role and has been reported to interact with HDAC3. This could provide an

initiation mechanism via histone deacetylation. On the other hand, WTAP, a member of the RNA methyltransferase complexes, also appears to play a key role in the initiation of XCI by methylation of either Xist RNA itself or X-linked mRNAs. Only a few chromatin factors were implicated, maybe surprisingly, and although PRC1 was identified as a direct interactor of Xist, PRC complexes are likely to be brought in by Xist to enable the maintenance of gene silencing rather than its initiation, which may involve histone deacetylation. Finally, recent studies also point to alterations in chromosome organization and nuclear localization being involved in the establishment of a stably inactive state, since Xist RNA triggers a massive reorganization of the Xi in the nucleus. This flurry of new results in the field of XCI has opened up many questions, which will hopefully be answered through the careful dissection of the genetic and molecular pathways involved.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Grant information

The European Molecular Biology Organization (grant ALTF 549-2014) and Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (grant SPF20140129387), provided funding support to Ines Pinheiro.

The ANR project NonCodiX (grant ANR-14-CE10-0017), La Ligue, Paris Alliance of Cancer Research Institutes (grant PACRI-ANR), Labex DEEP (grant ANR-11-LBX-0044) part of the IDEX Idex PSL 734 (grant ANR-10-IDEX-0001-02 PSL) and ABS4NGS (grant ANR-11-BINF-0001), provided funding support to Edith Heard.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Heard lab for stimulating discussion. We sincerely apologize to all those authors whose papers we were not able to cite due to lack of space. Research in the Heard lab is funded by the ANR projet NonCodiX (ANR-14-CE10-0017), La Ligue, Paris Alliance of Cancer Research Institutes (PACRI-ANR), Labex DEEP (ANR-11-LBX-0044) part of the IDEX Idex PSL 734 (ANR-10-IDEX-0001-02 PSL) and ABS4NGS (ANR-11-BINF-0001).

References

1. Graves JA: **Evolution of vertebrate sex chromosomes and dosage compensation.** *Nat Rev Genet.* 2016; 17(1): 33–46.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
2. Soh YQ, Alföldi J, Pyntikova T, et al.: **Sequencing the mouse Y chromosome reveals convergent gene acquisition and amplification on both sex chromosomes.** *Cell.* 2014; 159(4): 800–13.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
3. Mueller JL, Skaletsky H, Brown LG, et al.: **Independent specialization of the human and mouse X chromosomes for the male germ line.** *Nat Genet.* 2013; 45(9): 1083–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
4. Lyon MF: **Sex chromatin and gene action in the mammalian X-chromosome.** *Am J Hum Genet.* 1962; 14: 135–48.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
5. Okamoto I, Otte AP, Allis CD, et al.: **Epigenetic dynamics of imprinted X inactivation during early mouse development.** *Science.* 2004; 303(5658): 644–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
6. Kay GF, Penny GD, Patel D, et al.: **Expression of Xist during mouse**

- development suggests a role in the initiation of X chromosome inactivation. *Cell*. 1993; 72(2): 171–82.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
7. Takagi N, Wake N, Sasaki M: Cytologic evidence for preferential inactivation of the paternally derived X chromosome in XX mouse blastocysts. *Cytogenet Cell Genet*. 1978; 20(1–6): 240–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 8. **F** Okamoto I, Arnaud D, Le Baccon P, *et al.*: Evidence for *de novo* imprinted X-chromosome inactivation independent of meiotic inactivation in mice. *Nature*. 2005; 438(7066): 369–73.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 9. Brown CJ, Ballabio A, Rupert JL, *et al.*: A gene from the region of the human X inactivation centre is expressed exclusively from the inactive X chromosome. *Nature*. 1991; 349(6304): 38–44.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 10. Jeppesen P, Turner BM: The inactive X chromosome in female mammals is distinguished by a lack of histone H4 acetylation, a cytogenetic marker for gene expression. *Cell*. 1993; 74(2): 281–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 11. Chaumeil J, Okamoto I, Guggiari M, *et al.*: Integrated kinetics of X chromosome inactivation in differentiating embryonic stem cells. *Cytogenet Genome Res*. 2002; 99(1–4): 75–84.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 12. **F** Heard E, Rougeulle C, Arnaud D, *et al.*: Methylation of histone H3 at Lys-9 is an early mark on the X chromosome during X inactivation. *Cell*. 2001; 107(6): 727–38.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 13. **F** Chaumeil J, Le Baccon P, Wutz A, *et al.*: A novel role for Xist RNA in the formation of a repressive nuclear compartment into which genes are recruited when silenced. *Genes Dev*. 2006; 20(16): 2223–37.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 14. Splinter E, de Wit E, Nora EP, *et al.*: The inactive X chromosome adopts a unique three-dimensional conformation that is dependent on Xist RNA. *Genes Dev*. 2011; 25(13): 1371–83.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 15. Rougeulle C, Chaumeil J, Sarma K, *et al.*: Differential histone H3 Lys-9 and Lys-27 methylation profiles on the X chromosome. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2004; 24(12): 5475–84.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 16. **F** Chu C, Zhang QC, da Rocha ST, *et al.*: Systematic discovery of Xist RNA binding proteins. *Cell*. 2015; 161(2): 404–16.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 17. **F** McHugh CA, Chen CK, Chow A, *et al.*: The Xist lncRNA interacts directly with SHARP to silence transcription through HDAC3. *Nature*. 2015; 521(7551): 232–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 18. **F** Minajigi A, Froberg JE, Wei C, *et al.*: Chromosomes. A comprehensive Xist interactome reveals cohesin repulsion and an RNA-directed chromosome conformation. *Science*. 2015; 349(6245): pii: aab2276.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 19. Minkovsky A, Sahakyan A, Bonora G, *et al.*: A high-throughput screen of inactive X chromosome reactivation identifies the enhancement of DNA demethylation by 5-aza-2'-dC upon inhibition of ribonucleotide reductase. *Epigenetics Chromatin*. 2015; 8: 42.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 20. **F** Monfort A, Di Minin G, Postlmayr A, *et al.*: Identification of *Spem* as a Crucial Factor for Xist Function through Forward Genetic Screening in Haploid Embryonic Stem Cells. *Cell Rep*. 2015; 12(4): 554–61.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 21. **F** Moindrot B, Cerase A, Coker H, *et al.*: A Pooled shRNA Screen Identifies Rbm15, Spem, and Wtap as Factors Required for Xist RNA-Mediated Silencing. *Cell Rep*. 2015; 12(4): 562–72.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 22. Gendrel AV, Heard E: Noncoding RNAs and epigenetic mechanisms during X-chromosome inactivation. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol*. 2014; 30: 561–80.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 23. Patrat C, Okamoto I, Diabougouaya P, *et al.*: Dynamic changes in paternal X-chromosome activity during imprinted X-chromosome inactivation in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009; 106(13): 5198–203.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 24. **F** Huynh KD, Lee JT: Inheritance of a pre-inactivated paternal X chromosome in early mouse embryos. *Nature*. 2003; 426(6968): 857–62.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 25. **F** Dekker J, Rippe K, Dekker M, *et al.*: Capturing chromosome conformation. *Science*. 2002; 295(5558): 1306–11.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 26. **F** Engreitz JM, Pandya-Jones A, McDonel P, *et al.*: The Xist lncRNA exploits three-dimensional genome architecture to spread across the X chromosome. *Science*. 2013; 341(6147): 1237973.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 27. **F** Lieberman-Aiden E, van Berkum NL, Williams L, *et al.*: Comprehensive mapping of long-range interactions reveals folding principles of the human genome. *Science*. 2009; 326(5950): 289–93.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 28. Simon MD, Pinter SF, Fang R, *et al.*: High-resolution Xist binding maps reveal two-step spreading during X-chromosome inactivation. *Nature*. 2013; 504(7480): 465–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 29. Borensztein M, Syx L, Ancelin K, *et al.*: Xist-dependent imprinted X inactivation and the early developmental consequences of its failure. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 2017; 24(3): 226–233.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 30. **F** Marks H, Kerstens HH, Barakat TS, *et al.*: Dynamics of gene silencing during X inactivation using allele-specific RNA-seq. *Genome Biol*. 2015; 16: 149.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 31. Yang F, Babak T, Shendure J, *et al.*: Global survey of escape from X inactivation by RNA-sequencing in mouse. *Genome Res*. 2010; 20(5): 614–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 32. Carrel L, Willard HF: X-inactivation profile reveals extensive variability in X-linked gene expression in females. *Nature*. 2005; 434(7031): 400–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 33. Li N, Carrel L: Escape from X chromosome inactivation is an intrinsic property of the *Jarid1c* locus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008; 105(44): 17055–60.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 34. Horvath LM, Li N, Carrel L: Deletion of an X-inactivation boundary disrupts adjacent gene silencing. *PLoS Genet*. 2013; 9(11): e1003952.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 35. Filippova GN, Fagerlie S, Klenova EM, *et al.*: An exceptionally conserved transcriptional repressor, CTCF, employs different combinations of zinc fingers to bind diverged promoter sequences of avian and mammalian c-myc oncogenes. *Mol Cell Biol*. 1996; 16(6): 2802–13.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 36. Bell AC, West AG, Felsenfeld G: The protein CTCF is required for the enhancer blocking activity of vertebrate insulators. *Cell*. 1999; 98(3): 387–96.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 37. Kellum R, Schedl P: A position-effect assay for boundaries of higher order chromosomal domains. *Cell*. 1991; 64(5): 941–50.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 38. Martin D, Pantoja C, Fernández Miñán A, *et al.*: Genome-wide CTCF distribution in vertebrates defines equivalent sites that aid the identification of disease-associated genes. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 2011; 18(6): 708–14.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 39. Giorgetti L, Lajoie BR, Carter AC, *et al.*: Structural organization of the inactive X chromosome in the mouse. *Nature*. 2016; 535(7613): 575–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 40. Ciavatta D, Kalantry S, Magnuson T, *et al.*: A DNA insulator prevents repression of a targeted X-linked transgene but not its random or imprinted X inactivation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006; 103(26): 9958–63.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 41. Nesterova TB, Slobodyanyuk SY, Elisaphenko EA, *et al.*: Characterization of the genomic Xist locus in rodents reveals conservation of overall gene structure and tandem repeats but rapid evolution of unique sequence. *Genome Res*. 2001; 11(5): 833–49.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 42. **F** Wutz A, Rasmussen TP, Jaenisch R: Chromosomal silencing and localization are mediated by different domains of Xist RNA. *Nat Genet*. 2002; 30(2): 167–74.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 43. Wiellette EL, Harding KW, Mace KA, *et al.*: *spem* encodes an RNP motif protein that interacts with Hox pathways to repress the development of head-like sclerites in the *Drosophila* trunk. *Development*. 1999; 126(23): 5373–85.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
 44. Zhang Y, Ng HH, Erdjument-Bromage H, *et al.*: Analysis of the NuRD subunits reveals a histone deacetylase core complex and a connection with DNA methylation. *Genes Dev*. 1999; 13(15): 1924–35.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 45. Shi Y, Downes M, Xie W, *et al.*: Sharp, an inducible cofactor that integrates nuclear receptor repression and activation. *Genes Dev*. 2001; 15(9): 1140–51.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 46. **F** Malovannaya A, Lanz RB, Jung SY, *et al.*: Analysis of the human endogenous corepressor complexome. *Cell*. 2011; 145(5): 787–99.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 47. **F** Blackledge NP, Farcas AM, Kondo T, *et al.*: Variant PRC1 complex-dependent H2A ubiquitylation drives PRC2 recruitment and polycomb domain formation. *Cell*. 2014; 157(6): 1445–59.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 48. **F** Tavares L, Dimitrova E, Oxley D, *et al.*: RYBP-PRC1 complexes mediate H2A ubiquitylation at polycomb target sites independently of PRC2 and H3K27me3. *Cell*. 2012; 148(4): 664–78.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)

49. **F** Wang L, Brown JL, Cao R, *et al.*: **Hierarchical recruitment of polycomb group silencing complexes.** *Mol Cell.* 2004; **14**(5): 637–46.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
50. da Rocha ST, Boeva V, Escamilla-Del-Arenal M, *et al.*: **Jarid2 Is Implicated in the Initial Xist-Induced Targeting of PRC2 to the Inactive X Chromosome.** *Mol Cell.* 2014; **53**(2): 301–16.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
51. Cooper S, Grijzenhout A, Underwood E, *et al.*: **Jarid2 binds mono-ubiquitylated H2A lysine 119 to mediate crosstalk between Polycomb complexes PRC1 and PRC2.** *Nat Commun.* 2016; **7**: 13661.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
52. Plath K, Fang J, Mlynarczyk-Evans SK, *et al.*: **Role of histone H3 lysine 27 methylation in X inactivation.** *Science.* 2003; **300**(5616): 131–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
53. Fang J, Chen T, Chadwick B, *et al.*: **Ring1b-mediated H2A ubiquitination associates with inactive X chromosomes and is involved in initiation of X inactivation.** *J Biol Chem.* 2004; **279**(51): 52812–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
54. Schoeftner S, Sengupta AK, Kubicek S, *et al.*: **Recruitment of PRC1 function at the initiation of X inactivation independent of PRC2 and silencing.** *EMBO J.* 2006; **25**(13): 3110–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
55. Leeb M, Wutz A: **Ring1B is crucial for the regulation of developmental control genes and PRC1 proteins but not X inactivation in embryonic cells.** *J Cell Biol.* 2007; **178**(2): 219–29.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
56. Kohlmaier A, Savarese F, Lachner M, *et al.*: **A chromosomal memory triggered by Xist regulates histone methylation in X inactivation.** *PLoS Biol.* 2004; **2**(7): E171.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
57. **F** Ping XL, Sun BF, Wang L, *et al.*: **Mammalian WTAP is a regulatory subunit of the RNA N6-methyladenosine methyltransferase.** *Cell Res.* 2014; **24**(2): 177–89.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
58. **F** Zhao BS, Roundtree IA, He C: **Post-transcriptional gene regulation by mRNA modifications.** *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2017; **18**(1): 31–42.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
59. Fu Y, Dominissini D, Rechavi G, *et al.*: **Gene expression regulation mediated through reversible m⁶A RNA methylation.** *Nat Rev Genet.* 2014; **15**(5): 293–306.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
60. **F** Wang X, Zhao BS, Roundtree IA, *et al.*: **N⁶-methyladenosine Modulates Messenger RNA Translation Efficiency.** *Cell.* 2015; **161**(6): 1388–99.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
61. **F** Xiao W, Adhikari S, Dahal U, *et al.*: **Nuclear m⁶A Reader YTHDC1 Regulates mRNA Splicing.** *Mol Cell.* 2016; **61**(4): 507–19.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
62. **F** Liu N, Dai Q, Zheng G, *et al.*: **N⁶-methyladenosine-dependent RNA structural switches regulate RNA-protein interactions.** *Nature.* 2015; **518**(7540): 560–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
63. **F** Patil DP, Chen CK, Pickering BF, *et al.*: **m⁶A RNA methylation promotes Xist-mediated transcriptional repression.** *Nature.* 2016; **537**(7620): 369–73.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
64. Cowley MJ, Pinese M, Kassahn KS, *et al.*: **PINA v2.0: mining interactome modules.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2012; **40**(Database issue): D862–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
65. Barr ML, Bertram EG: **A morphological distinction between neurones of the male and female, and the behaviour of the nucleolar satellite during accelerated nucleoprotein synthesis.** *Nature.* 1949; **163**(4148): 676.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
66. Comings DE: **The rationale for an ordered arrangement of chromatin in the interphase nucleus.** *Am J Hum Genet.* 1968; **20**(5): 440–60.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
67. Clemson CM, Hall LL, Byron M, *et al.*: **The X chromosome is organized into a gene-rich outer rim and an internal core containing silenced nongenic sequences.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2006; **103**(20): 7688–93.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
68. **F** Rao SS, Huntley MH, Durand NC, *et al.*: **A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin looping.** *Cell.* 2014; **159**(7): 1665–80.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
69. **F** Deng X, Ma W, Ramani V, *et al.*: **Bipartite structure of the inactive mouse X chromosome.** *Genome Biol.* 2015; **16**: 152.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
70. **F** Nora EP, Lajoie BR, Schulz EG, *et al.*: **Spatial partitioning of the regulatory landscape of the X-inactivation centre.** *Nature.* 2012; **485**(7398): 381–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
71. **F** Dixon JR, Selvaraj S, Yue F, *et al.*: **Topological domains in mammalian genomes identified by analysis of chromatin interactions.** *Nature.* 2012; **485**(7398): 376–80.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
72. **F** Darrow EM, Huntley MH, Dudchenko O, *et al.*: **Deletion of DXZ4 on the human inactive X chromosome alters higher-order genome architecture.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2016; **113**(31): E4504–12.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
73. **F** Boyle S, Gilchrist S, Bridger JM, *et al.*: **The spatial organization of human chromosomes within the nuclei of normal and emerin-mutant cells.** *Hum Mol Genet.* 2001; **10**(3): 211–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
74. Puck TT, Johnson R: **DNA exposure and condensation in the X and 21 chromosomes.** *Stem Cells.* 1996; **14**(5): 548–57.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
75. Zhang L, Huynh KD, Lee JT: **Perinuclear targeting of the inactive X during S phase: evidence for a role in the maintenance of silencing.** *Cell.* 2007; **129**(4): 693–706.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
76. Rego A, Sinclair PB, Tao W, *et al.*: **The facultative heterochromatin of the inactive X chromosome has a distinctive condensed ultrastructure.** *J Cell Sci.* 2008; **121**(Pt 7): 1119–27.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
77. **F** Chen C, Blanco M, Jackson C, *et al.*: **Xist recruits the X chromosome to the nuclear lamina to enable chromosome-wide silencing.** *Science.* 2016; **354**(6311): 468–72.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

The reviewers who approved this article are:

Version 1

1. **Christine Disteche**

Department of Pathology, University of Washington, Seattle, Seattle, WA, USA

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

2. **Carolyn Brown**

University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Vancouver, Canada

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research