RESEARCH NOTE

A scoring system for the evaluation of the mutated *Crb1*/rd8-derived retinal lesions in C57BL/6N mice [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Danilo Concas , H.L. Cater, S.E. Wells

Mary Lyon Centre, Harwell Campus, MRC Harwell Institute, Oxfordshire, OX11 0RD, UK

V1 First published: 31 Mar 2017, 6:404
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11252.1>
 Latest published: 31 Mar 2017, 6:404
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11252.1>

Abstract

As part of the International Mouse Phenotyping Consortium (IMPC) programme, the MRC Harwell is conducting a large eye morphology phenotyping screen on genetically modified mice compared to the baseline phenotype observed in the background strain of C57BL/6NTac. The C57BL/6NTac strain is known to carry a spontaneous mutation in the *Crb1* gene that causes retinal degeneration characterized by the presence of white spots (flecks) in the fundus. These flecks potentially represent a confounding factor, masking similar retinal phenotype abnormalities that may be detected in mutants. Therefore we investigated the frequency, position and extent of the flecks in a large population of C57BL/6NTac mice to provide the basis for evaluating the presence of flecks in mutant mice with the same genetic background. We found that in our facility males were more severely affected than females and that in both males and females the most common localisation of the flecks was in the inferior hemicycle of the fundus.

Keywords

mouse phenotyping, *Crb1*/rd8 mutation, retina degeneration

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 1
31 Mar 2017	view	view

1. **Cheryl Mae Craft**, USC Roski Eye Institute, Arcadia, USA
 University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA

2. **Michel J. Roux** , University of Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: H.L. Cater (h.cater@har.mrc.ac.uk)

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The research described in this manuscript was funded by the National Institutes for Health (U54HG006348) and by the Medical Research Council Strategic Award (53650).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2017 Concas D *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

How to cite this article: Concas D, Cater HL and Wells SE. **A scoring system for the evaluation of the mutated *Crb1*/*rd8*-derived retinal lesions in C57BL/6N mice [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2017, 6:404
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11252.1>

First published: 31 Mar 2017, 6:404 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11252.1>

Introduction

Retinal degeneration in mice occurs in many forms, many of which can be attributed to mutations in specific genes. Some of the reported types of retinal degeneration display a similar phenotype, characterised by the presence in the fundus of white spots of different shapes and sizes^{1–3}. One of the causative mutations for retinal degeneration in the mouse is the spontaneous single nucleotide deletion rd8 in the *Crb1* gene, situated on chromosome 1^{1,4}. It has been previously reported that the C57BL/6N strain, derived from the unaffected C57BL/6J strain, often presents typical retinal white spots (flecks) caused by the *Crb1/rd8* mutation⁴. These have been described as dysplastic lesions affecting the retinal region between the inner and the outer nuclear layer and are mainly localised in the inferior part of the retina^{5,6}. The observed phenotype is considered a possible confounding factor that could mask a phenotype with a similar appearance but a different causative gene mutation (Figure 1). This is of particular importance considering that the C57BL/6N line is a widely used commercial line and is the background strain used for the generation of gene-targeted mice in several mouse mutagenesis/phenotyping programmes, including the International Knockout Mouse Consortium (IKMC) and the International Mouse Phenotyping Consortium (IMPC).

Over the last 5 years of phenotyping mice through the IMPC pipeline at MRC Harwell, we have observed the presence of fundus flecks in both the knockout lines and in the C57BL/6NTac wild type mice. The number of affected individuals for each knockout line generated has been variable, as has the number of flecks present in each individual. As a result of such variability, the probability that the flecking in the mutant line is a phenotype attributable to the gene mutations rather than the background strain effect becomes

questionable. To correctly interpret similar phenotypes in the knock-out lines and exclude the contribution of *Crb1/rd8*-related flecks, we have created a scoring system to allow us to fully categorise the lesions present in the C57BL/6NTac mice in a systematic manner in order to provide a comprehensive background strain reference. The flecks scoring system takes into account the position of the flecks in the superior and inferior retinal hemicycle, as the retina is not uniformly affected by the phenotype⁵. As an innovative approach, we also scored the number of flecks in each hemicycle as a measure of the phenotypic penetrance. In addition, in order to determine any sexual dimorphism, we applied our scoring system to both males and females.

Methods

Animals

194 C57BL/6NTac males and 200 females were screened at 15 weeks of age. Animals were housed in IVC cages from birth under 12-hour-on/12-hour-off cyclic lighting, at controlled temperature ($21 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$) and humidity ($55 \pm 10\%$) conditions. The mice had free access to water (25 p.p.m. chlorine) and were fed *ad libitum* on a commercial diet (SDS Rat and Mouse No.3 Breeding diet RM3). All procedures and animal studies were carried out in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, UK, SI 4 2012/3039) and with the NC3R's ARRIVE guidelines. All animal work reported in this article has been optimised to minimise the animals' suffering and unnecessary procedures.

Fundus imaging and flecks scoring

For the fundus examination an Omega 180 ophthalmoscope (Heine Ltd, USA) and a Superfield NC lens (Volk Optical Inc., USA) were used. Each eye pupil was dilated using a drop of 1% w/v Minims Tropicamide (Bausch & Lomb Inc., USA) and the observation was performed after 2 minutes. Images of the fundus were acquired by the use of a topical endoscopy fundus imaging (TEFI) camera.

The examinations were conducted by trained technicians on both eyes and the flecks on individual eyes were evaluated according to an in-house scoring system (Figure 2), taking into account their position in the fundus with respect to the optic nerve head (superior or inferior) and their number (with respect to the retinal surface covered by the flecks) as a measure of the severity grade. Therefore, the combination of both the position and the severity grade formed a scoring category for each eye.

Data analysis

All observational data were recorded on a Microsoft Office Excel spreadsheet, and counts and percentage calculations were performed. Where different flecking scores were obtained for the left and right eye of the same animal, the eye with the most severe grade was used for the percentage calculations.

Results

As shown in Table 1, the total percentage of affected males was higher than that of affected females (14.4% of males and 5% of females). Further categorising the flecks according to our scoring system, we observed that the males were still the most affected in the score classes ranging from I1 to I3 (Figure 3), with

Figure 1. Picture showing the retinal fundus with an example of the flecks treated in this study. The flecks appear in the superior hemicycle of the fundus because the image is inverted by the ophthalmoscope.

Figure 2. In-house flecks scoring system. The retinal fundus has been divided into two hemicycles: inferior and superior. In each one of the hemicycles the percentage of the surface that is covered by flecks represents the severity grade within a range of 25% for each level. The combination of the position, superior (S) or inferior (I), and the severity grade (from 0 to 4) represents the flecks score.

Table 1. Number of individuals within each flecks class in male and female C57BL/6NTac mice. For each flecks class, the percentage relative to the total number of animals in each sex group has been calculated.

Flecks class	Males affected	Females affected	% of males affected	% of females affected
I1	4	1	2.1	0.5
I2	16	6	8.2	3.0
I3	7	2	3.6	1.0
I4	0	1	0.0	0.5
S1	0	0	0.0	0.0
S2	0	0	0.0	0.0
S3	1	0	0.5	0.0
S4	0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	28	10	14.4	5.0

a symmetrical distribution of the frequencies centred in the I2 class (25–50% of inferior retina surface) in both sexes (8.2% of males and 3.0% of females). In the sample, there were no males affected in the class I4 (75 to 100% of inferior retina surface), whilst only one female (0.5% of the total) presented that severity grade. We mentioned above that the presence of this kind of flecks has already been associated with the inferior hemicycle of the fundus by other authors, a fact supported by our data that show just one male in the S3 (50–75% of superior retina surface) class of flecking.

Dataset 1. Flecks scores raw data

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.11252.d156405>

A spreadsheet with the raw data related to the manual scoring of flecks made by the trained technicians, according to our in-house scoring system. The spreadsheet contains one column related to the animal ID and one column with the score class for males and females. The score class represents the combination of the position, superior (S) or inferior (I), and the severity grade (from 0 to 4) as described in Figure 2.

Figure 3. Frequency distribution of the flecks in male and female C57BL/6NTac mice according to our score system. The chart shows the percentage distribution of the flecks in the retinal fundus of males (black columns) and females (white columns) C57BL/6NTac mice. The horizontal categories represent the flecks class as previously explained in Figure 2.

Conclusions

With this study we make available both the observational data on the retinal flecks in C57BL/6NTac mice determined by the use of our scoring system, and the scoring system itself. Our findings, using a large population of wild type mice, provide a reference baseline that could significantly contribute to the further evaluation of *Crb1* mutations-based eye morphology phenotypes. In addition to the flecks distribution data, the scoring system used represents a reliable quantitative method to evaluate the degree of flecking of an affected mouse retina and to make the comparison process between two or more strains (or treatment groups) more accurate and manageable.

Data availability

Dataset 1: Flecks scores raw data. A spreadsheet with the raw data related to the manual scoring of flecks made by the trained technicians, according to our in-house scoring system. The spreadsheet contains one column related to the animal ID and one column with the score class for males and females. The score class represents the combination of the position, superior (S) or inferior (I), and the severity grade (from 0 to 4) as described in Figure 2.

DOI, [10.5256/f1000research.11252.d1564057](https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.11252.d1564057)

Author contributions

DC: Score system design, data analysis, article writing, charts and preparation of figures. HC: Score system design, article review. SW: Article review

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

The research described in this manuscript was funded by the National Institutes for Health (U54HG006348) and by the Medical Research Council Strategic Award (53650).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Sharon Clementson-Mobbs, Russell Joynson and Clare Norris (MRC Harwell Institute, Mary Lyon Centre) for their precious contribution with fundus examination. We wish also to thank Dr Debora Bogani (MRC Harwell Institute), for her generous contribution to the manuscript reviewing process.

References

1. Chang B, Hawes NL, Hurd RE, *et al.*: **Retinal degeneration mutants in the mouse.** *Vision Res.* 2002; **42**(4): 517–525.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Hawes NL, Chang B, Hageman GS, *et al.*: **Retinal degeneration 6 (rd6): a new mouse model for human retinitis punctata albescens.** *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2000; **41**(10): 3149–3157.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
3. Chen J, Nathans J: **Genetic ablation of cone photoreceptors eliminates retinal folds in the retinal degeneration 7 (rd7) mouse.** *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2007; **48**(6): 2799–2805.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Mattapallil MJ, Wawrousek EF, Chan CC, *et al.*: **The Rd8 mutation of the Crb1 gene is present in vendor lines of C57BL/6N mice and embryonic stem cells, and confounds ocular induced mutant phenotypes.** *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2012; **53**(6): 2921–2927.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
5. Aleman TS, Cideciyan AV, Aguirre GK, *et al.*: **Human CRB1-associated retinal degeneration: comparison with the rd8 Crb1-mutant mouse model.** *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2011; **52**(9): 6898–6910.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
6. Mehalow AK, Kameya S, Smith RS, *et al.*: **CRB1 is essential for external limiting membrane integrity and photoreceptor morphogenesis in the mammalian retina.** *Hum Mol Genet.* 2003; **12**(17): 2179–2189.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
7. Concas D, Cater H, Wells S: **Dataset 1 in: A scoring system for the evaluation of the mutated Crb1/rd8-derived retinal lesions in C57BL/6N mice.** *F1000Research.* 2017.
[Data Source](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 29 August 2017

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.12139.r24563>

© 2017 Roux M. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Michel J. Roux

Translational Medicine and Neurogenetics, Institute of Genetics and Molecular and Cellular Biology (IGBMC), CNRS UMR 7104 (French National Centre for Scientific Research), INSERM U 964 (French Institute of Health and Medical Research), University of Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France

The present report describes the distribution of rd8 lesions found in commercial C57Bl/6NTac mice. While the presence of such lesions has been known for a while (Mattapallil et al. 2012, the uncited Simon et al. Genome Biology 2013¹), it is to my knowledge the first such quantitative description, with as well the first report of the higher prevalence of lesions in males compared to females.

I would suggest to replace Figure 1 with a composite fundus image indicating the extent of the retinal field which is examined (an approximate angle should be indicated), as the retina is rarely observed up to the ciliary margin, especially in a first-line phenotyping as the IMPC pipeline. As some animals may have only peripheral lesions, they may or may not be taken into account depending on the retinal field examined.

As animals are essentially affected in the inferior hemicycle, I think Figure 2 is misleading. The panels should rather be 0, I1/S0, I2/S1, I3/S2 and I4/S4. It would also be better to include fundus images that illustrate the various grades of scoring.

The difference between males and females is large, and considering the number of animals, should be significant, but it would be better to use statistics.

The flecks scores raw data are provided, but it would be useful to provide a comprehensive set of images illustrating the full spectrum of lesions.

Were the lesions randomly distributed in cohorts, or were some cohorts more affected than others?

References

1. Simon MM, Greenaway S, White JK, Fuchs H, et al.: A comparative phenotypic and genomic analysis of C57BL/6J and C57BL/6N mouse strains. *Genome Biol.* 2013; **14** (7): R82 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Partly

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Partly

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Retinal physiopathology, notably in diseases which main symptoms are not visual, as Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy or Down Syndrome, using immunohistology, microscopy, electrophysiology and retinal imaging (TEFI, OCT). In parallel, I have been supervising visual phenotyping at the Mouse Clinic Institute, notably for the Eumodic and IMPC program, thus facing the common problem of C57Bl/6N users described and quantified here.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 12 June 2017

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.12139.r23403>

© 2017 Craft C. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Cheryl Mae Craft

¹ USC Roski Eye Institute, Arcadia, CA, USA

² Department of Ophthalmology, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

The report is interesting in describing the Crb1 /Rd 8 phenotype; however, the genetic analysis is still essential to use and to verify the status of the mutation since other genetic defects can lead to a similar retinal phenotype on different mouse background strains.

Limited references were included.

Because Crb1/Rd8 is a recessively inherited gene, it can significantly play a role and the defective Crb1 protein can interact with other retinal proteins that lead to degeneration and go undetected because of its regional effect.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: My expertise is molecular neurobiology and genetics of blindness using mouse and rat models to decipher and understand the phototransduction cascade. I was the first to molecularly identify the members of the arrestin superfamily and other key signal transduction proteins in the retina by creating genetically engineered knockout mice for the cone arrestin and to address the phototransduction shutoff in cones. We identified and characterized a serious degeneration with Crb1 on the knockout Grk1 because of a C57Bl/6N background (Pak JS, Lee EJ, Craft CM. The retinal phenotype of Grk1^{-/-} is compromised by a Crb1 rd8 mutation. Mol Vis. 2015 Nov 30;21:1281-94. PMID:26664249

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research