Check for updates

REVIEW

The rise of photoresponsive protein technologies applications *in vivo*: a spotlight on zebrafish developmental and cell biology [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Renee Wei-Yan Chow¹⁻⁴, Julien Vermot ¹⁻⁴

¹Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire, Illkirch, France

²Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique UMR8104, Illkirch, France

³Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, U964, Illkirch, France

⁴Université de Strasbourg, Illkirch, France

V1 First published: 11 Apr 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):459
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10617.1>

Latest published: 11 Apr 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):459
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10617.1>

Abstract

The zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) is a powerful vertebrate model to study cellular and developmental processes *in vivo*. The optical clarity and their amenability to genetic manipulation make zebrafish a model of choice when it comes to applying optical techniques involving genetically encoded photoresponsive protein technologies. In recent years, a number of fluorescent protein and optogenetic technologies have emerged that allow new ways to visualize, quantify, and perturb developmental dynamics. Here, we explain the principles of these new tools and describe some of their representative applications in zebrafish.

Keywords

embryogenesis , CRE , optogenetic technology , fluorescent proteins

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 1
11 Apr 2017		

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

- Filippo Del Bene**, - Institut Curie, PSL Research University, INSERM U 934, CNRS UMR3215, Paris, France
- Periklis Pantazis**, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule (ETH) Zurich, Basel, Switzerland
Manuel Alexander Mohr, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule (ETH) Zurich, Basel, Switzerland

Any comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Julien Vermot (julien.vermot@igbmc.fr)

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Grant information: JV and RC are supported by Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (DEQ20140329553). JV is supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) (ANR-15-CE13-0015-01 and ANR-12-ISO2-0001-01), the European Molecular Biology Organization Young Investigator Program, European Research Council consolidator grant Evalve (682938), and grant ANR-10-LABX-0030-INRT, a French State fund managed by the ANR under the frame program Investissements d'Avenir labeled ANR-10-IDEX-0002-02.

Copyright: © 2017 Chow RWY and Vermot J. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

How to cite this article: Chow RWY and Vermot J. **The rise of photoresponsive protein technologies applications *in vivo*: a spotlight on zebrafish developmental and cell biology [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):459 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10617.1>

First published: 11 Apr 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):459 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10617.1>

Introduction

Since as early as the 1930s, researchers in classic development and embryology have praised the ease of handling zebrafish embryos and their optical clarity¹. These small tropical fishes are easy to house, produce large numbers of externally fertilized eggs (a pair of zebrafish can produce up to 300 fertilized eggs a week), and have relatively short generation times compared with other vertebrate models (around 3 months). They also develop rapidly: within a day of development, the embryonic axes are established, somitogenesis is complete and neural development is under way, and by five days post-fertilization, all major organs have formed and the larvae are able to swim freely and feed. Zebrafish embryos are transparent during the first day of development, allowing direct observation of their embryonic development. Although several types of pigment gradually restrict optical access to deeper structures as the embryos develop, pigmentation can be greatly reduced through the simple addition of chemical drugs (for example, 1-phenyl-2-thiourea, or PTU²) to the water. There are also a large number of pigmentation mutants that survive to adulthood. For example, *nacre* mutants lack skin melanophores³, *sandy* and *albino* mutants show melanin deficiency in both skin and the retinal pigmented epithelium, *roy orbison* and *shady* mutants lack skin iridophores^{4,5}, and *pfeffer/salz* mutants have greatly reduced numbers of xanthophores⁶. Combinatorial pigmentation mutants, such as *casper*⁴, which carry both the *roy orbison* and *nacre* mutations, and *crystal*⁷, which carry the *nacre*, *roy orbison*, and *albino* mutations, offer optical access to even adult fish without incurring the toxic effects of PTU.

The zebrafish rose to prominence as a model for developmental and cell biology in the mid-1990s, when two large-scale forward genetic screens were carried out⁸, and a detailed morphological characterization of zebrafish development was performed⁹. At the time, forward genetics was not technically or economically possible in other prominent vertebrate models, such as frog, chick, and mouse. Although reverse genetics in zebrafish was difficult, microinjection of early zebrafish embryos with either mRNA or antisense morpholino oligonucleotides could be used to transiently overexpress or knockdown gene function^{10,11}.

By the time the large-scale forward genetic screens for zebrafish were complete, a wave of change had happened in the biological sciences because of improvements in fluorescence microscopy. The optical transparency and small size of the zebrafish embryo could be fully exploited, and zebrafish emerged as a powerful model to image cellular and subcellular developmental events *in vivo*. At first, zebrafish transparency was mainly used to generate fate maps during early embryo development¹² and to image neurons of the nervous system¹³; cells were typically labeled by injection of fluorescent dyes, including fluorescent calcium indicator dyes. The optical transparency of the zebrafish also meant that it was possible to target specific populations of fluorescent neurons for laser ablation¹³. The development of green fluorescent protein (GFP) and its spectral variants as genetically encoded fluorescent labels made it possible to follow the movements, positions, and interactions of tagged proteins, organelles, and whole cells

in a variety of tissues^{14,15}. That zebrafish transgenic lines expressing genetically encoded fluorescent proteins could be made was first shown in 1995^{16,17}. A few years later, a landmark multiphoton time-lapse imaging study found a way to specifically express GFP in the zebrafish vasculature¹⁸. This study revealed for the first time the dynamics of angiogenesis in both wild-type and mutant backgrounds and demonstrated the power of fluorescent protein technology when combined with the zebrafish model. When the Tol2 transposon system made zebrafish transgenesis efficient, a large number of transgenic lines expressing fluorescent proteins tagged to different proteins were made, allowing the labeling of various subcellular structures, cells, tissues, and organs¹⁹. The Tol2 system was later combined with the Gal4/UAS system to generate a large collection of fish lines that express Gal4 in specific cells, tissues, and organs^{20,21}—a collection that remains invaluable today.

The mid-2000s heralded the bloom of optogenetics: the use of light to manipulate cell activity using genetically encoded light-responsive proteins. Until this point, the only form of optogenetics was the inactivation of proteins through chromophore-assisted light inactivation, where the chromophore was a fluorescent protein, such as enhanced GFP (EGFP)²². However, our ideas regarding the scope of photoresponsive protein-based tools changed dramatically when a pioneering study showed that, by introducing the gene for channelrhodopsin-2 (a microbial opsin) into cultured mammalian neurons, one could trigger neuronal depolarization using light²³. Whereas performing optogenetic experiments on mouse neurons *in vivo* required invasive surgery, delivering light to zebrafish neurons was relatively straightforward and the zebrafish's small size meant that all neurons from a defined circuit can be monitored at once under a laser scanning microscope. The zebrafish thus played a large part in the "optogenetic revolution" that occurred in the neurosciences, which allowed experimentalists to functionally test the role of identified neurons in specific behaviors for the first time (reviewed in 24–27). To a limited extent, optogenetic use of membrane-bound opsins that are light-sensitive ion channels, pumps, or G protein-coupled receptors expanded from neuroscience into other fields of biology. Two notable uses of optogenetics in the zebrafish involved the expression of the light-gated pump halorhodopsin and the light-sensitive cation channel channelrhodopsin-2 in zebrafish cardiomyocytes, allowing the study of the formation of the cardiac pacemaker in the developing heart²⁸ and the study of flow propagation in the embryonic vascular network²⁹.

Over the years, the variety of fluorescent proteins has greatly expanded, and they now encompass a broad range of tools that go beyond simple labeling of biological structures. Although optogenetics still is most commonly associated with light-sensitive membrane-bound opsins for the study of neuronal function, recently there has been a proliferation of a new set of optogenetic tools based on light-dependent protein-protein interactions that promise to have broad application to all aspects of developmental biology. In this review, we discuss the advantages and

weaknesses of some of the latest developments in fluorescent protein and optogenetic technologies and focus specifically on their use in zebrafish.

Innovative fluorescent protein technologies

Color coding cells

One major challenge in developmental biology is to visualize cellular organization in complex tissues; a related challenge is to follow changes in cell morphology and movement over time and to perform lineage tracing and understand the origin of cells and cell populations. Driving fluorescent proteins under certain promoters can restrict fluorescent protein expression to specific cell subpopulations, but the labeling is often too dense to achieve single-cell resolution when one is studying complex tissues, and sometimes there are no available promoters that are specifically expressed in the cells of interest. A decade ago, researchers came up with a cell-labeling technique to distinguish individual neurons in the mouse brain. The technique, called Brainbow, uses stochastic Cre-loxP recombination to express one of several spectrally distinct fluorescent proteins from a single transgene. Since multiple cassettes are integrated at a single genomic site, and the choice within each cassette is made independently, varied combinations of the different fluorescent proteins label individual cells with distinct fluorescent profiles and generate what is effectively a multicolored Golgi stain³⁰. Brainbow constructs were first tested in zebrafish in 2011³¹, and several transgenic lines incorporating Brainbow technology, including the Zebrow series³² and Tg(UAS:brainbow)³³, soon followed (Table 1). The Brainbow strategy has been adopted for cell tracking in several zebrafish tissues to great success. For example, Brainbow technology allowed clonal analysis of the zebrafish heart and revealed how the orchestrated division of cardiomyocytes results in the distinct architectures of the ventricle and atrium^{34,35} and how endocardial cell movements contribute to cardiac valve formation³⁶. It also allowed the identification and quantification of collective cell behaviors, enabling zebrafish skin homeostatic maintenance and response to injury³⁷ and the precise manner by which zebrafish retinal ganglion cell axons laminate in the tectum³³.

In the original Brainbow design, the expression levels of fluorescent proteins do not follow an even distribution, thus reducing the randomness of fluorescent protein expression and increasing the

likelihood that neighboring cells express indistinguishable spectral profiles. Other disadvantages include not only the possibility of changing the color code of the cell because of permanent CRE expression but also the sensitivity of the technique to factors that affect fluorescent protein signal intensity, such as promoter activity, cell depth, or autofluorescence. Recently, there have been efforts to overcome these problems by using independent transgenes for each fluorescent protein, each equipped with a binary ON/OFF switch. In this approach, called Multibow, fluorescent proteins are initially OFF and then probabilistically ON or OFF following Cre recombination³⁸. A zebrafish line generated using this technique takes advantage of our increasing repertoire of fluorescent proteins, expressing no fewer than seven different fluorescent proteins, which have been tagged to three different subcellular localizations (membrane, cytoplasm, and nucleus) to increase variation of labeling³⁸. Although Multibow has not been used for any biological applications yet, it is an interesting new addition to the multicolor labeling toolbox. Given that membrane, cytoplasmic, and nuclear compartments show large variations in fluorescent protein signal intensity, it can be difficult to distinguish the color of one or more of these compartments³⁸. For example, in some cases, the nucleus of the cell is much more brightly labeled than the cytoplasm or membrane, making it difficult to visualize cellular morphology³⁸. Nonetheless, Multibow may prove useful, especially for cell lineage studies where the use of time-lapse imaging is not possible.

A caveat of current multicolor labeling technologies for live embryo imaging is the necessity to image embryos multiple times, including using violet light for the excitation of blue fluorescent proteins, which can cause phototoxicity. This problem is partially elevated by improved microscopy techniques that require fewer excitation photons to achieve the same resolution. A particularly notable milestone in recent years is the adaptation of light-sheet microscopy for the imaging of live embryos^{39,40}. Light-sheet imaging combines high imaging speed with low light exposure, which, when combined with the optical transparency of zebrafish and standard fluorescent protein labeling techniques, enables many developmental processes to be imaged at unprecedented spatial and temporal resolution. Also significant is the introduction of new point-scanning microscopy setups that apply wavelength mixing to provide simultaneous, efficient, and independent two-photon excitation of more than two spectrally distinct fluorophores, thereby avoiding the use of shorter, more phototoxic wavelengths⁴¹. Another deterrent to using Brainbow technology for cell lineage tracing studies is the time lag between when CRE is first delivered/expressed and when fluorescent proteins mature. An alternative strategy that does not have this limitation is to follow optically highlighted cells, as discussed in the section below.

Highlighting single cells with light

Photoconvertible fluorescent proteins change emission wavelengths in response to irradiation with light of a particular wavelength, whereas photoactivatable fluorescent proteins gain fluorescence after irradiation of light of a particular wavelength (Table 2). Together, these proteins serve as optical highlighters,

Table 1. Brainbow-related zebrafish transgenic lines.

Line	References
Tg(β actin2-Brainbow)	34, 35, 37
Tg(ubi-Zebrow-S)	32
Tg(ubi-Zebrow-M)	32
Tg(UAS:Brainbow)	33
Tg(UAS:Zebrow-V)	32
Tg(UAS:Zebrow-B)	32
Tg(actb2:multibow)	38

Table 2. Photoconvertible and photoactivatable proteins used in zebrafish.

Protein	Before photomodulation		Photomodulation wavelength, nm	Two-photon activation, nm	After photomodulation		Oligomeric state	Discovery/Development	Examples in zebrafish
	Excitation, nm	Emission, nm			Excitation, nm	Emission, nm			
Kaede	508	518	405	Inefficient	504	517	Tetramer	42	47, 143
KikGR; mKikGR	507	517	405	Inefficient	583	593	Tetramer; Monomer	144, 145	143, 146, 147
EosFP; mEosFP	506	516	405	Inefficient	571	581	Tetramer; Monomer	148, 149	92, 150, 151
Dendra2	490	507	405	Inefficient	553	573	Monomer	152	50, 51
PSmOrange	548	565	488	Inefficient	636	662	Monomer	58	48
PA-GFP	400	515	405	720–840	504	517	Monomer	43	65
Dronpa	503	518	ON: 405 OFF: 488	780	460	504	Monomer ^a	44	46

^aDronpa in the OFF state exists as monomers. In the ON state, Dronpa forms dimers, which can come together to form tetramers.

allowing the rapid and precise labeling of biological structures using laser light. The first fluorescent proteins advertised as being photoconvertible and photoactivatable were Kaede and photoactivatable GFP (PA-GFP), respectively. Kaede's photoconvertible properties were discovered serendipitously when the researchers left a vial of the protein overnight by the window and discovered the next day that sunlight had converted the sample from green to red; Kaede is named after the leaves of the Japanese maple that change from green to red in the autumn. Further investigation revealed that the red form of Kaede reflects the protein's ionized state and that this change in emission wavelength can be effectively and irreversibly induced by illuminating the protein with violet 405-nm light⁴². By contrast, PA-GFP was created purposely by modifying the structure of GFP through mutagenesis so that the protein would greatly increase its fluorescence after irradiation using violet 405-nm light⁴³. A few years after the introduction of Kaede and PA-GFP, Dronpa, a fluorescent protein that was not only photoactivatable but photoswitchable, was discovered. The fluorescence of this interesting protein can be switched off by blue 488-nm light and switched on again by violet 405-nm light exposure⁴⁴. Since then, a number of new optical highlighters have been developed, and significant effort has been made to create monomeric versions that perform better in protein fusion studies (reviewed in 45). Optical highlighters have been used in zebrafish to visualize single-cell morphology^{46,47}; to track cells for cell lineage, migration, or proliferation analyses^{48–52}; and to mark cells for laser ablation⁵³ (Table 2).

Recently, there have been two exciting developments relating to photoconvertible proteins. The first development is the surprising discovery that the green-to-red photoconvertible protein Dendra2 can be “primed converted”. It turns out that rather than using violet light, one can photoconvert Dendra2 by irradiating the protein first with blue light and then with near-infrared light⁵⁴. The mechanism responsible for this unusual phenomenon is still unknown, but its usefulness soon became evident. Firstly, infrared light and blue light are less toxic than violet light and penetrate deeper into tissue. Secondly, the dual wavelength mechanism overcomes one of the caveats of green-to-red photoconvertible proteins: they cannot be efficiently photoconverted using multi-photon illumination^{55,56}. Whereas one-photon illumination is not confined in the axial direction (Figure 1A), primed conversion (Figure 1B, 1C) can spatially confine the photoconverted region in all three dimensions by allowing both the priming and the converting beam to meet only at a small axially confined focal volume⁵⁶. Importantly, primed conversion requires much lower peak power than two-photon photomodulation to accomplish focal confinement⁵⁶. The effectiveness of this technique was demonstrated by highlighting neuronal morphology at single-cell resolution in the densely labeled zebrafish brain⁵⁶. Primed conversion of Dendra2 was also shown to be possible using light from red lasers instead of the more uncommon and expensive near-infrared lasers, albeit at much lower efficiencies⁵⁷. Given that many transgenic lines expressing Dendra2—including PhOTO zebrafish (photoconvertible optical tracking of zebrafish) lines that ubiquitously label nuclear or plasma membrane proteins using Dendra2⁵¹—are readily available, primed conversion promises to be a useful technique for future studies.

Figure 1. Primed photoconversion. (A) Traditional photoconversion experiments use green-to-red photoconvertible proteins that change from emission after being converted with violet 405-nm light. It is often difficult to specifically photoconvert single cells because the laser beam is not confined in the axial direction. (B) Primed conversion involves first priming green-to-red photoconvertible proteins with blue 488-nm light, before converting the protein with near infra-red 730-nm light. Hence, only cells at the intersection of the two laser beams are photoconverted. (C) Left and middle: Primed photoconversion of Dendra2 in a single neuron in the trigeminal ganglion of a zebrafish at 3 days post-fertilization (maximum-intensity projection of about 82 μm in depth). Right: Higher-magnification axial orientation images of the boxed region in the left frame. Arrowhead indicates the photoconverted cell, and the arrow indicates a neurite extending from the cell body. Asterisks indicate cells that had noticeable signal in the red Dendra2 channel even before photoconversion. Reprinted with permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd⁵⁶.

The second development is the long-awaited arrival of new photoconvertible proteins with red-shifted excitation and emission wavelengths, such as PSmOrange and its improved version PSmOrange2^{58,59}. These proteins have many advantages over traditional green-to-red fluorescent proteins, not the least of which is that they can be easily used in conjunction with the many available zebrafish lines that already use the green emission wavelength to label biological structures. Another advantage is that the blue-green light needed for one-photon excitation of the protein is less toxic to cells than violet light. One study suggests that PSmOrange2 can also be efficiently photoconverted using infrared light from a two-photon laser⁵⁹, raising the possibility of spatially confined conversion in tissues using two-photon laser light, although this study was performed in cell culture and the two-photon conversion of PSmOrange2 *in vivo* remains to be

verified. Although PSmOrange2 has not been tested in zebrafish, one study reported the injection of H2B-PSmOrange mRNA into one-cell stage zebrafish embryos to track photoconverted cells during ventral habenulae development⁴⁸. Given the study's success, we expect PSmOrange and its variants to be much more widely used in the future.

In addition to classic uses of optical highlighters, we expect great applications of single-cell photoconversion and photoactivation in the field of gene expression profiling with the recent development of single-cell genomics. Recent experiments prove its feasibility *in vivo*⁶⁰ and promise important discoveries in the field of molecular cellular identity and clonal analysis.

Genetically encoded calcium indicators

Genetically encoded calcium indicators (GECIs) have become essential tools to study calcium signaling in a cell type- or cell compartment-specific manner. Since calcium is a second messenger for neurotransmitter reception and membrane depolarization in neurons, GECIs have been especially useful in the study of neuronal function. Most GECIs fall into one of two main groups: Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET)-based indicators, which are composed of two fluorescent proteins linked by a Ca^{2+} -responsive element, such as the cameleons, and those based on a single circularly permuted fluorescent protein and a Ca^{2+} -responsive element, such as the GCaMPs. Improving the performance of these GECIs has sustained research interest over the last 17 years, and at present the state-of-the-art GCaMP6 series outstrip the performance of the most commonly used synthetic calcium dyes^{61–63}. Expressed in cultured neurons, the GECIs now possess the sensitivity and kinetics fast enough to detect single action potentials as well as peak fluorescence intensity levels comparable to that of the parental circularly permuted EGFP itself⁶¹.

Zebrafish was the first vertebrate model system where GECI expression was used to visualize neuronal activity *in vivo*⁶⁴. Today, the use of GECIs has been combined with light-sheet microscopy to visualize neuronal activity in the entire zebrafish brain^{7,65–69}. Our ability to visualize neuronal activity in whole zebrafish brains has improved dramatically with the arrival of new light-sheet microscope technology, most notably IsoView microscopy⁶⁸, which generates images of greater spatial resolution by performing fluorescence detection along four orthogonal directions, and two-photon light-sheet microscopy⁶⁹, which uses light of wavelength greater than that in the visible spectrum to stimulate GECIs and thus has greater tissue penetration and prevents unwanted visually evoked neuronal activity during imaging. Also significant is the introduction of a new zebrafish pigmentation triple mutant, *crystal*, that allows unprecedented optical access to the retina and the brain⁷.

Beyond studying neuronal function, GECI expression in zebrafish has been instrumental in studying the diverse roles of calcium signaling during development, particularly during cardiovascular development. For example, GECIs were used to identify and analyze stages of cardiac conduction that correspond to cellular and anatomical changes of the developing zebrafish heart and, in combination with a forward genetic screen, to identify

conduction-specific mutations⁷⁰. Recently, GECIs were used to characterize heart conduction defects in a zebrafish model of desminopathy, a type of muscle disorder⁷¹, and to show that calcium signaling is critically involved in cilia-mediated blood flow sensing⁷² and sprouting angiogenesis⁷³ in endothelial cells during early vascular morphogenesis.

Excitingly, the combined improvements in GECI brightness and microscopy have opened up the possibility to study calcium signaling in a cell compartment-specific manner in live zebrafish embryos. For example, SyGCaMP2, a synaptic vesicle calcium reporter that consists of GCaMP2 fused to synaptophysin, has allowed both the identification of synapse localization and the reporting of electrical activity in the zebrafish optic tectum and retina⁷⁴; migrating zebrafish neutrophils have been shown to display enriched leading-edge calcium flux, a finding in contrast to *ex vivo* studies⁷⁵; zebrafish myocytes were found to show sustained increase in mitochondrial calcium levels during spontaneous muscle contraction⁷⁶; and calcium oscillations within cilia were found to be required for asymmetric Nodal signaling in the zebrafish left-right organizer during left-right symmetry breaking⁷⁷.

Another exciting development is the introduction of red GECIs, which facilitate experiments that require dual-color imaging^{78–81}. The latest red GECIs—jRCaMP1a,b⁷⁸, jRGECO1a⁷⁸, and R-CaMP2⁷⁹—approach the performance of GCaMP6. The makers of jRCaMP1a,b and jRGECO1a tested the performance of six red GECIs in zebrafish, and their results suggest that jRGECO1a shows the greatest sensitivity in the animal but that jRCaMP1b shows the fastest on- and off-kinetics⁷⁸. The authors also advised that jRCaMP1b may be more suited to many optogenetic experiments than jRGECO1a since it does not photoswitch in response to blue light⁷⁸.

With the advancement in photoactivatable and photoconvertible protein technology (see earlier section), photoactivatable and photoconvertible GECIs are now also available, allowing optical selection of cells/cell compartments for calcium imaging^{82–85}. A particularly interesting photoconvertible GECI, called CaMPARI (calcium-modulated photoactivatable ratiometric integrator), undergoes efficient green-to-red photoconversion by violet 405-nm light when calcium levels are high⁸⁶ (Figure 2A). Although both red and green forms of CaMPARI respond dynamically to calcium in a manner similar to other GECIs, its uniqueness lies in its ability to retroactively report calcium activity over defined periods of time. For example, by illuminating brains expressing CaMPARI for a few hours with violet 405-nm light, neurons that experience higher levels of activity become red fluorescent while neurons with low levels of activity remain green fluorescent. The permanent labeling alleviates the need to image the right cells at the right time to observe neuronal activity. The expression of CaMPARI in zebrafish has allowed the visualization of integrated neuronal activity in zebrafish subjected to different conditions—anesthetized, swimming freely, treated with seizure-inducing compounds, or put in extreme heat or cold (Figure 2B)—and the technique promises a number of potential uses, ranging from functional connectomics to transcriptional profiling based on cellular calcium signaling levels.

Figure 2. Calcium-modulated photoactivatable ratiometric integrator (CaMPARI). (A) Schematic of CaMPARI function. Both green and red forms of CaMPARI decrease fluorescence in the presence of calcium. CaMPARI is more susceptible to photoconversion by violet 405-nm light when intracellular calcium levels are high and this is most likely due to conformational change of the protein when bound to calcium. (B) Zebrafish larvae after 10 seconds of 405-nm light applied during exposure to different conditions. Each image represents a maximum-intensity Z-projection of CaMPARI signal in a confocal stack through a 4- to 5-days post-fertilization larval zebrafish brain expressing CaMPARI pan-neuronally under the *elavl3* promoter. Reprinted with permission from the American Association for the Advancement of Science⁸⁶.

Protein timers

Traditional molecular biology and biochemistry have provided us with the identity of key proteins and regulatory networks involved in many developmental processes. However, the spatiotemporal dynamics of their regulation remains poorly understood, and imaging the proteome *in vivo* remains a significant challenge. Methods that have been used to monitor the behavior of proteins in zebrafish embryos include fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) and its related technologies (fluorescence loss in photobleaching, fluorescence loss after photoactivation, and fluorescence localization after photobleaching)^{87–93} and fluorescence

correlation spectroscopy^{91,94–98}. Recently, a new method has been developed to image protein turnover, based on the use of tandem fluorescent protein timers (tFTs) that change their emission wavelengths as the protein ages⁹⁹.

Using fluorescent proteins that change emission wavelength as the protein ages itself is not a new idea. However, previous versions of fluorescent protein timers consist of a single fluorescent protein that switches color over time as its fluorophore undergoes successive chemical reactions^{100–102}. These protein timers have low brightness and a tendency to form oligomers, which perturbs the

behavior of the tagged proteins, and to our knowledge have not been used for *in vivo* studies. In this context, Knop *et al.* created a new type of fluorescent protein timer, the tFT, which involves a tandem fusion of a fast maturing protein of one color and a slower maturing protein of another color¹⁰³. The idea is that by measuring the ratio of one color to the other, one could track protein movement within the cell; by using mathematical models that incorporate the known maturation kinetics of the fluorescent proteins, one could infer the protein turnover rate constants.

The first study featuring tFTs fused superfolder GFP (sfGFP), which reaches its peak intensity very quickly, with mCherry, which matures more slowly and reaches its peak intensity a few hours later. This tFT was then used to uncover the stable nature and asymmetric inheritance of nuclear pore complexes and identify regulators of N-end rule-mediated protein degradation in yeast¹⁰³. tFTs were introduced to the zebrafish model via two elegant studies investigating the dynamics of chemokines and cell-cell adhesion in the zebrafish lateral line primordium. In the first study, the authors tagged Cxcr4b, the receptor of chemokine Cxcl12a, with sfGFP and the slower-maturing fluorescent protein TagRFP. The red/green fluorescence ratio at the plasma membrane was used as an indicator of the age of receptor populations, and the rate of ligand-triggered internalization was used to measure Cxcl12a chemokine levels. By doing so, the authors effectively showed that a group of cells migrating collectively can self-generate gradients of chemokine activity across its length via polarized receptor-mediated internalization¹⁰⁴. This study has established that tFTs can be sensitive, reliable tools for visualizing protein dynamics over many hours in live zebrafish embryos. Application of protein timers is broad, and other than the zebrafish line primordium^{104–106}, protein timers have been used to study protein relocalization and stabilization during cardiac development¹⁰⁷ and somite morphogenesis¹⁰⁸.

It must be emphasized that tFTs are not neutral tags, and careful selection of fluorophores for use in tFTs has to be tailored to the expected turnover kinetics of the endogenous protein of interest. Given the wide range of fluorescent proteins with different spectral properties, and different maturation and degradation kinetics available, choosing the best combination of fluorescent proteins may be daunting. Recently, a study compared the performance of different GFPs within tFTs in yeast and provided comprehensive advice on the effects of the stability of the GFP fold on tFT behavior¹⁰⁹. Mathematical models of timer kinetics have been developed and were verified by applying a set of timers to once again investigate chemokine signaling gradients across the zebrafish posterior lateral line primordium. Interestingly, one of their findings was that FRET between the fast- and slow-maturing fluorophores increases timer signal, a point that may be useful for future timer designs. An interactive web application based on the models, called TimerQuant, has been made freely available to guide experimentalists on which fluorescent proteins to choose, to interpret timer readouts, and to detect differences in protein half-life¹¹⁰.

The relative ease of use of tFTs compared with fluorescence correlation spectroscopy and FRAP-based methods—including the ability to capture temporal information in a single snapshot, the

possibility to perform experiments with relatively simple microscopy setups, and the availability of open source software—will likely mean that tFTs will become much more widely used in the future.

Protease activity reporters

Proteases are enzymes that perform hydrolysis of peptide bonds and are important in many biological and developmental processes through their ability to activate or inactivate their target proteins. Biosensors for monitoring protease activity typically involve a peptide specific for the protease of interest flanked by a pair of fluorescent proteins that undergo FRET. When the peptide is cleaved, the two fluorescent proteins separate and the FRET signal disappears. However, the signal of FRET reporters is weak because of small fluorescence change in the donor and acceptor fluorophores and the use of these reporters *in vivo* is limited.

Recently, Shu *et al.* introduced ZipGFP, a GFP-based protease reporter that achieves about 10-fold fluorescence increase upon caspase activation¹¹¹. To create ZipGFP, the researchers exploited the fact that GFP can be split into two fragments that have sufficient affinity to self-reassemble and form the complete, fluorescent β -barrel protein¹¹²: the two parts of GFP were each flanked with heterodimerizing coiled coils, which prevent the two parts from self-assembling; the consensus protease cleavage sequence for caspase-3 was incorporated into the peptides linking the heterodimerizing coiled coils and the GFP fragments, such that when cleaved by caspase-3, the two parts become uncaged and free to bind. The researchers injected mRNA encoding ZipGFP into live zebrafish to visualize caspase activity and apoptosis of the vertebrate *in vivo*. They found that the spatial pattern of ZipGFP fluorescence at 2 days post fertilization was consistent with a previous study based on terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) staining and further showed that apoptosis first occurred at the rostral part of the forebrain before appearing in other parts of the brain and the trunk, a finding that would have been extremely difficult to observe with the limited spatial resolution of TUNEL staining using fixed samples. The design of ZipGFP will likely be used to create reporters of many other proteases, allowing us to study protease dynamics with unprecedented spatiotemporal resolution.

Emerging optogenetic technologies

The investigation of dynamic developmental processes requires fast and flexible methods to perturb protein function. The classic method to perturb protein function in zebrafish embryos is simply to add drugs to the swimming media. Since zebrafish embryos are small, many small molecules are able to penetrate even deep tissues. However, drugs delivered this way diffuse to all tissues, not only to cells and tissues of interest. With the advance of zebrafish genetics, proteins can be more finely regulated both spatially and temporally at the transcription level. Tissue-level spatial resolution of expression or recombination is often achieved by the use of cell type-specific promoters, whereas temporal control is achieved via the administration of small-molecule compounds, such as in the LexPR¹¹³, TetON, or TetOFF systems¹¹⁴, or activation of heat shock promoters^{115,116}. Photoactivatable morpholinos and photo-cleavable morpholinos can also be used

to switch on and off morpholino activity, respectively, using ultraviolet (UV) 365-nm light^{72,90,117,118}. However, morpholinos are typically injected into embryos at the one-cell stage and delivered to all cells in the developing embryo, and as such UV irradiation of a specific region of the embryo activates photomorpholinos in all cells of the region, not just in cells of interest. Importantly, the temporal control afforded by photomorpholinos can be applied once only; once you activate a photomorpholino with UV light, you cannot control its deactivation. Recently, novel optogenetic tools based on light-induced protein-protein interactions offered the opportunity to control protein function reversibly down to the subcellular scale, not only at the transcription level but at all stages of a protein's life.

Proteins that interact only with other proteins or protein domains under light conditions are common in organisms that photosynthesize, such as plants, algae, and cyanobacteria (Table 3). The three most well-known photosensitive proteins that have been used for controlling other proteins are cryptochrome2 (CRY2), the light-oxygen-voltage (LOV) domain, and phytochrome B (PhyB). Of these, the most commonly used photosensitive protein is CRY2, which binds to the basic-helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor CIB1 upon blue light illumination. In cultured cells, association occurs on a subsecond timescale and reversion is a spontaneous process with half-life times occurring within minutes¹¹⁹. The CRY2 mutation E490G can also act as an optogenetic system where blue light stimulation induces oligomerization of the protein¹²⁰. The feasibility of creating optogenetic gene switches in zebrafish using the CRY2/CIB1 system was recently confirmed in a proof-of-principle study¹²¹ (Figure 3A), although biological applications of the system in zebrafish are still pending.

The second most used system is the LOV domain, which forms a covalent bond with the flavin cofactor and undergoes a conformational change upon blue light stimulation. As with CRY2, the conformational change is spontaneously reversible in the dark. Since its discovery, various LOV domain-derived optogenetic modules have been developed^{122–126}. Some of these are based on

binding the LOV domain directly to an effector protein and rely on light to uncage the effector protein. Others are based on LOV domain heterodimerization with natural or engineered binding partners in response to light. Still others are based on the ability of LOV domains to homodimerize. A LOV-based Rac1 photo-uncaging system (Figure 3B) has been used in the zebrafish to study neutrophil motility¹²⁷. The study successfully demonstrated that localized activation of the small GTPase at the leading edge of neutrophils is sufficient to direct their migration with precise temporal and spatial control and that PI(3)K-mediated regulation of neutrophil motility occurred through both the modulation of Rac-mediated protrusion at the leading edge and anteroposterior polarity of F-actin dynamics¹²⁷. In another study, photoactivatable Nodal receptors based on LOV domain homodimerization were used to study cell fate specification during zebrafish gastrulation¹²⁸. Through these photoactivatable Nodal receptors, the study found that extending Nodal signaling within the zebrafish embryonic organizer induces prechordal plate and suppresses endoderm specification. Most recently, a light-inducible gene expression system based on EL222, a naturally occurring light-responsive transcription factor that contains a LOV domain, was optimized for low toxicity in zebrafish¹²⁶. In the dark, the LOV domain binds to a helix-turn-helix (HTH) DNA-binding domain. Upon irradiation with blue light, the interaction between the LOV domain and the HTH is disrupted, and HTH is free to homodimerize and bind to a regulatory element termed C120. The authors were able to use the system, named TAE1, to induce ectopic endodermal cells in the presumptive ectoderm via targeted induction of the transcription factor *sox32* and modulation of Nodal signaling dynamics by inducing *lefty1* expression. Furthermore, the authors demonstrated how their LOV-based system can be used together with the latest genome-editing technology, CRISPR/Cas9, to induce gene mutations specifically in cells irradiated by light¹²⁶ (Figure 3C).

Lastly, PhyB binds to its partner PIF3/6, a transcription factor with a helix-loop-helix structure, upon red light stimulation and dissociates with PIF3/6 upon exposure to far-red light. Advantages of this system over CRY2/CIB1 and LOV-based systems include the lower toxicity and deeper penetration of red/far-red light compared

Table 3. Light-inducible protein interaction systems used in zebrafish.

Photosensitive protein	Mechanism	Light, nm	Activation/Inactivation time in cell culture	Use in zebrafish	References
CRY2	CRY2/CIB1 heterodimerization	488	Seconds/Minutes	121	119
LOV domain: AsLOV2	Unfolding of J α helix	465	Seconds/Seconds	127	122,153
LOV domain: TAE1-HTH	TAE1-HTH homodimerization	465	Seconds/Seconds ^a	126	124
LOV domain: AUREO1-LOV	Homodimerization	465	Seconds/Seconds	128	154
PhyB	PhyB/PIF6 heterodimerization	633/750	Milliseconds/Milliseconds (inactivation with far-red light)	129,130	155,156

^aBased on parent protein EL222.

A Optogenetic control of gene expression **B Photo-uncaging of enzymes** **C Optogenetic genome editing** **D Optogenetic control of protein localisation**

Figure 3. Optogenetic approaches in zebrafish. (A) Optogenetic control of gene expression. In this approach, cryptochrome2 (CRY2) is fused to the Gal4 DNA-binding domain, GBD, and CIBN is fused to a transcription activator (TA) and to GAD, a domain required for transcriptional activation through interaction with transcriptional machinery. In the dark, CRY2 does not associate with CIBN. Upon illumination with blue light, CRY2 binds to CIBN, leading to the expression of the gene of interest. (B) Photo-uncaging of enzymes. This photoactivatable Rac1 consists of a light-oxygen-voltage (LOV) domain connected to a J α helix, which is then fused to Rac1. In the dark, LOV binds to J α and sterically inhibits the interaction of Rac1 with its downstream effectors. Upon blue light illumination, the helix unwinds and frees Rac1, thus restoring its activity. (C) Optogenetic genome editing. Here, the LOV domain is fused to a TA and to a helix-turn-helix (HTH) DNA-binding domain via the J α linker. In the dark, the LOV domain interacts with HTH. Upon illumination with blue light, HTH is released and allowed to dimerize and bind to DNA, leading to the expression of *cas9*. (D) Optogenetic control of protein localisation. In this system, phytochrome B (PHYB) is tethered to the membrane via the CAAX motif while PIF is fused to Pard3. In the dark, PHYB does not associate with PIF. Upon illumination with far-red light, PHYB undergoes a conformational change, allowing it to bind to PIF, thus recruiting Pard3 to the membrane. The conformational change of PHYB, and hence its interaction with PIF, is reversible upon infra-red light illumination. This system requires the external cofactor phycocyanobilin (PCB).

with blue light and the greater control of protein activity afforded by the ability to control the OFF state as well as the ON state of the interaction with light. The PhyB/(PIF3/6) system has not been widely used, because it requires a chromophore, phycocyanobilin (PCB), which is not naturally present in many multicellular organisms, including zebrafish. The PhyB/PIF3 system can be applied to the superficial cells of the zebrafish simply by adding PCB to the medium, as has been done by ¹²⁹ to control the nuclear localization of proteins with red light. A more recent study¹³⁰ was able to apply this system to even deep tissues of the zebrafish by injecting a purified version of PCB into one cell of the embryo at the 16-cell stage along with a truncated version of PhyB that optimizes its expression (Figure 3D). The authors generated a Pard3-PIF6 fusion construct and were able to direct the apical polarity protein Pard3 and recruit its binding partner Pard6 to specific membrane locations in the neural progenitors using red laser light.

A promising new area in the optogenetics field is the modification of fluorescent proteins for use as optogenetic regulators of protein function, in part because they have the potential advantage of being sensors of their own activity. So far, two fluorescent proteins that have been modified for this purpose are Dronpa, a photoswitchable

fluorescent protein, and mMaple, a photoconvertible fluorescent protein. As discussed previously, the fluorescence of Dronpa can be switched off by blue 488-nm light and switched on again by violet 405-nm light exposure. Another property of this protein is that light can be used to change its oligomerization status. In its fluorescent state, Dronpa monomers bind to one another to form dimers and then these dimers come together to form tetramers. Switching off Dronpa fluorescence using blue light converts the protein to monomers, whereas switching on Dronpa fluorescence using violet light reverts the protein to its oligomerized state. Taking advantage of this property, an engineered variant of Dronpa containing a point mutation, which makes the protein less likely to form tetramers in low micromolar concentrations and facilitates off-photoswitching, has been used as an optogenetic module that allows reversible uncaging of the effector protein¹³¹ (Figure 4A). To our knowledge, the use of Dronpa for photocaging has not been implemented in zebrafish or other *in vivo* systems.

The second fluorescent protein that has been used for optogenetics, mMaple, converts from green to red fluorescence upon violet light (about 400-nm) irradiation. Recently, this protein was modified such that violet light irradiation causes irreversible cleavage of the protein as well as loss of green fluorescence¹³²

Figure 4. Fluorescent protein-based optogenetic systems. (A) The protein of interest is fused to two Dronpa proteins. Initially, Dronpa is in its "ON" fluorescent state and forms dimers that cause inhibition of protein function by steric interference. Upon irradiation with blue light, the Dronpa dimers dissociate and release protein activity and lose fluorescence. Dronpa can be triggered to form dimers and fluoresce again by irradiation with violet light. (B) The protein of interest is fused to PhoCl, as is a linker that blocks the protein's function. Initially, the protein of interest is caged and PhoCl fluoresces green light. Irradiation with violet light irreversibly cleaves PhoCl, releasing the protein of interest, and the chromophore's green fluorescence is lost.

(Figure 4B). This modified version of mMaple, called PhoCl (photocleavable protein), can be used to cage proteins in an inactive state until release by photocleavage. The use of high-energy violet light to elicit photocleavage can be circumvented by using primed conversion with lower-energy 458-nm and 730-nm light. Importantly, unlike other optogenetic systems, where constant illumination is required to sustain protein activity, once PhoCl is cleaved, uncaged proteins persist until they are degraded by normal cellular processes. Also, PhoCl systems have much lower levels of dark-state activity than systems based on photosensitive proteins whose photo-induced conformational change is reversible. PhoCl systems are thus highly advantageous in scenarios where low levels of protein activity before light irradiation and prolonged protein activity after light irradiation are desired. The inventors of PhoCl demonstrated its versatility by using the protein to engineer photoactivatable Cre-recombinase, photoactivatable Gal4 transcription factor, and a photoactivatable viral protease. The system has yet to be tested *in vivo* but, should it work in zebrafish, its unique properties will undoubtedly be valuable to the zebrafish community.

As can be seen from the examples above, optogenetic strategies can be applied to control cell activities as wide ranging as differentiation, migration, and polarization. The versatility of photo-inducible protein-protein interaction systems is such that optogenetics can be used to study almost all areas of developmental and cell biology. That both blue light systems (CRY2/CIBN, LOV) and red/far-red light systems (PHYB/PIF) are workable in zebrafish means that both systems could potentially be used simultaneously. A downside of current zebrafish-tested optogenetic systems is that they are slightly “leaky”, in that some photosensitive protein modules expressed in cells exist in the activated state even in the dark. As in the case with fluorescent proteins, the tool kit of photo-inducible protein-protein interaction systems is also expected to expand from the discovery and isolation of new naturally occurring photosensitive proteins from different organisms. These systems will respond to different wavelengths of light and will have different activation/inactivation kinetics as well as different changes in binding affinity between the protein dimers during light and dark states, making them suitable for different applications.

Conclusions and outlook

We hope that the examples we have provided illustrate how the latest advances in fluorescent protein and optogenetic technologies can be applied to answer important developmental questions in living zebrafish embryos and larvae. Since data obtained from *in vitro* studies do not always match up to what happens *in vivo*, zebrafish constitute an increasingly valuable model to study vertebrate biology permitting the powerful use of phototechnologies. The advances described here come at a time when microscopy tools and approaches^{133–138}, as well as computational tools for data management and image analysis, are also undergoing rapid development (for some computational tools specific for zebrafish, see 139–142). With the increasing trend of creating microscopes that are optimized for simultaneous optogenetic manipulation and high resolution, long-term imaging remains one of the major goals in the near future. Exciting areas of development will be analyzing and extracting meaningful information from gene expression profiling combined with quantitative information about the cell behaviors and identities *in vivo*. There is a lot to bet that continual interactions between chemists, biologists, computational scientists, engineers, and physicists will be key to reaching that level of understanding.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Grant information

JV and RC are supported by Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (DEQ20140329553). JV is supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) (ANR-15-CE13-0015-01 and ANR-12-ISOV-0001-01), the European Molecular Biology Organization Young Investigator Program, European Research Council consolidator grant Evalve (682938), and grant ANR-10-LABX-0030-INRT, a French State fund managed by the ANR under the frame program Investissements d’Avenir labeled ANR-10-IDEX-0002-02.

Acknowledgments

We thank the JV lab for discussions on the manuscript.

References

- Laale HW: **The biology and use of zebrafish, *Brachydanio rerio* in fisheries research.** *Journal of Fish Biology.* 1977; 10(2): 121–173.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Karlsson J, von Hofsten J, Olsson PE: **Generating transparent zebrafish: a refined method to improve detection of gene expression during embryonic development.** *Mar Biotechnol (NY).* 2001; 3(6): 522–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Lister JA, Robertson CP, Lepage T, et al.: **nacre encodes a zebrafish microphthalmia-related protein that regulates neural-crest-derived pigment cell fate.** *Development.* 1999; 126(17): 3757–67.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- White RM, Zon LI: **Melanocytes in development, regeneration, and cancer.** *Cell Stem Cell.* 2008; 3(3): 242–52.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Lopes SS, Yang X, Müller J, et al.: **Leukocyte tyrosine kinase functions in pigment cell development.** *PLoS Genet.* 2008; 4(3): e1000026.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#) [Free Full Text](#)
- Odenthal J, Rossmagel K, Haffter P, et al.: **Mutations affecting xanthophore pigmentation in the zebrafish, *Danio rerio*.** *Development.* 1996; 123: 391–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Antinucci P, Hindges R: **A crystal-clear zebrafish for *in vivo* imaging.** *Sci Rep.* 2016; 6: 29490.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#) [Free Full Text](#)
- Nüsslein-Volhard C: **The zebrafish issue of *Development*.** *Development.* 2012; 139(22): 4099–103.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kimmel CB, Ballard WW, Kimmel SR, et al.: **Stages of embryonic development of the zebrafish.** *Dev Dyn.* 1995; 203(3): 253–310.
[PubMed Abstract](#) [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Hammerschmidt M, Blader P, Strahle U: **Strategies to perturb zebrafish**

- development. *Methods Cell Biol.* 1999; **59**: 87–115.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
11. **F** Nasevicius A, Ekker SC: **Effective targeted gene 'knockdown' in zebrafish.** *Nat Genet.* 2000; **26**(2): 216–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 12. Woo K, Shih J, Fraser SE: **Fate maps of the zebrafish embryo.** *Curr Opin Genet Dev.* 1995; **5**(4): 439–43.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 13. O'Malley DM, Zhou Q, Gahtan E: **Probing neural circuits in the zebrafish: a suite of optical techniques.** *Methods.* 2003; **30**(1): 49–63.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 14. Tsien RY: **The green fluorescent protein.** *Annu Rev Biochem.* 1998; **67**: 509–44.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 15. Chalfie M, Tu Y, Euskirchen G, et al.: **Green fluorescent protein as a marker for gene expression.** *Science.* 1994; **263**(5148): 802–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 16. Amsterdam A, Lin S, Hopkins N: **The *Aequorea victoria* green fluorescent protein can be used as a reporter in live zebrafish embryos.** *Dev Biol.* 1995; **171**(1): 123–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 17. Lin S: **Transgenic zebrafish.** *Methods Mol Biol.* 2000; **136**: 375–83.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 18. Lawson ND, Weinstein BM: **In vivo imaging of embryonic vascular development using transgenic zebrafish.** *Dev Biol.* 2002; **248**(2): 307–18.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 19. Kawakami K, Takeda H, Kawakami N, et al.: **A transposon-mediated gene trap approach identifies developmentally regulated genes in zebrafish.** *Dev Cell.* 2004; **7**(1): 133–44.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 20. Scott EK, Mason L, Arrenberg AB, et al.: **Targeting neural circuitry in zebrafish using GAL4 enhancer trapping.** *Nat Methods.* 2007; **4**(4): 323–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 21. Asakawa K, Suster ML, Mizusawa K, et al.: **Genetic dissection of neural circuits by Tol2 transposon-mediated Gal4 gene and enhancer trapping in zebrafish.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2008; **105**(4): 1255–60.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 22. Surrey T, Elowitz MB, Wolf PE, et al.: **Chromophore-assisted light inactivation and self-organization of microtubules and motors.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1998; **95**(8): 4293–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 23. **F** Boyden ES, Zhang F, Bamberg E, et al.: **Millisecond-timescale, genetically targeted optical control of neural activity.** *Nat Neurosci.* 2005; **8**(9): 1263–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 24. Sirmich J, Staykov E, Scott E: **Zebrafish as an appealing model for optogenetic studies.** In, *Progress in Brain Research*, K. Thomas and S.B. Edward, Editors. Elsevier. 2012; **196**: 145–162.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 25. Portugues R, Severi KE, Wyart C, et al.: **Optogenetics in a transparent animal: circuit function in the larval zebrafish.** *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 2013; **23**(1): 119–26.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 26. Del Bene F, Wyart C: **Optogenetics: a new enlightenment age for zebrafish neurobiology.** *Dev Neurobiol.* 2012; **72**(3): 404–14.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 27. Knafo S, Wyart C: **Optogenetic neuromodulation: new tools for monitoring and breaking neural circuits.** *Ann Phys Rehabil Med.* 2015; **58**(4): 259–64.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 28. Arrenberg AB, Stainier DY, Baier H, et al.: **Optogenetic control of cardiac function.** *Science.* 2010; **330**(6006): 971–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 29. Anton H, Harlepp S, Ramspacher C, et al.: **Pulse propagation by a capacitive mechanism drives embryonic blood flow.** *Development.* 2013; **140**(21): 4426–34.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 30. **F** Livet J, Weissman TA, Kang H, et al.: **Transgenic strategies for combinatorial expression of fluorescent proteins in the nervous system.** *Nature.* 2007; **450**(7166): 56–62.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 31. Pan YA, Livet J, Sanes JR, et al.: **Multicolor Brainbow imaging in zebrafish.** *Cold Spring Harb Protoc.* 2011; **2011**(1): pdb.prot5546.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 32. Pan YA, Freundlich T, Weissman TA, et al.: **Zebrafish: multispectral cell labeling for cell tracing and lineage analysis in zebrafish.** *Development.* 2013; **140**(13): 2835–46.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 33. Robles E, Filosa A, Baier H: **Precise lamination of retinal axons generates multiple parallel input pathways in the tectum.** *J Neurosci.* 2013; **33**(11): 5027–39.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 34. Gupta V, Poss KD: **Clonally dominant cardiomyocytes direct heart morphogenesis.** *Nature.* 2012; **484**(7395): 479–84.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 35. **F** Foglia MJ, Cao J, Tomini VA, et al.: **Multicolor mapping of the cardiomyocyte proliferation dynamics that construct the atrium.** *Development.* 2016; **143**(10): 1688–96.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 36. **F** Pestel J, Ramadass R, Gaurvit S, et al.: **Real-time 3D visualization of cellular rearrangements during cardiac valve formation.** *Development.* 2016; **143**(12): 2217–27.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 37. **F** Chen CH, Puliafito A, Cox BD, et al.: **Multicolor Cell Barcoding Technology for Long-Term Surveillance of Epithelial Regeneration in Zebrafish.** *Dev Cell.* 2016; **36**(6): 668–80.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 38. Xiong F, Obholzer ND, Noche RR, et al.: **Multibow: digital spectral barcodes for cell tracing.** *PLoS One.* 2015; **10**(5): e0127822.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 39. **F** Huiskens J, Swoger J, Del Bene F, et al.: **Optical sectioning deep inside live embryos by selective plane illumination microscopy.** *Science.* 2004; **305**(5686): 1007–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 40. **F** Keller PJ, Schmidt AD, Wittbrodt J, et al.: **Reconstruction of zebrafish early embryonic development by scanned light sheet microscopy.** *Science.* 2008; **322**(5904): 1065–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 41. **F** Mahou P, Zimmerley M, Loulier K, et al.: **Multicolor two-photon tissue imaging by wavelength mixing.** *Nat Methods.* 2012; **9**(8): 815–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 42. **F** Ando R, Hama H, Yamamoto-Hino M, et al.: **An optical marker based on the UV-induced green-to-red photoconversion of a fluorescent protein.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2002; **99**(20): 12651–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 43. **F** Patterson GH, Lippincott-Schwartz J: **A photoactivatable GFP for selective photolabeling of proteins and cells.** *Science.* 2002; **297**(5588): 1873–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 44. Habuchi S, Ando R, Dedecker P, et al.: **Reversible single-molecule photoswitching in the GFP-like fluorescent protein Dronpa.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2005; **102**(27): 9511–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 45. Nienhaus K, Nienhaus GU: **Fluorescent proteins for live-cell imaging with super-resolution.** *Chem Soc Rev.* 2014; **43**(4): 1088–106.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 46. Aramaki S, Hattori K: **Visualizing neurons one-by-one in vivo: optical dissection and reconstruction of neural networks with reversible fluorescent proteins.** *Dev Dyn.* 2006; **235**(8): 2192–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 47. Sato T, Takahoko M, Okamoto H: **HuCa:Kaede, a useful tool to label neural morphologies in networks in vivo.** *Genesis.* 2006; **44**(3): 136–42.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 48. Beretta CA, Dross N, Bankhead P, et al.: **The ventral habenulae of zebrafish develop in prosomere 2 dependent on Tcf7L2 function.** *Neural Dev.* 2013; **8**: 19.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 49. Steed E, Faggiani N, Roth S, et al.: **kif2a couples mechanotransduction and zebrafish valve morphogenesis through fibronectin synthesis.** *Nat Commun.* 2016; **7**: 11646.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 50. Yoo SK, Huttenlocher A: **Spatiotemporal photolabeling of neutrophil trafficking during inflammation in live zebrafish.** *J Leukoc Biol.* 2011; **89**(5): 661–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 51. Dempsey WP, Fraser SE, Pantazis P: **PhOTO zebrafish: a transgenic resource for in vivo lineage tracing during development and regeneration.** *PLoS One.* 2012; **7**(3): e32888.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 52. He J, Zhang G, Almeida AD, et al.: **How variable clones build an invariant retina.** *Neuron.* 2012; **75**(5): 786–98.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 53. Bianco IH, Ma LH, Schoppik D, et al.: **The tangential nucleus controls a gravito-inertial vestibulo-ocular reflex.** *Curr Biol.* 2012; **22**(14): 1285–95.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 54. Mohr MA, Argast P, Pantazis P: **Labeling cellular structures in vivo using confined primed conversion of photoconvertible fluorescent proteins.** *Nat Protoc.* 2016; **11**(12): 2419–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 55. **F** Brown SC, Bolte S, Gaudin M, et al.: **Exploring plant endomembrane dynamics using the photoconvertible protein Kaede.** *Plant J.* 2010; **63**(4): 696–711.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 56. **F** Dempsey WP, Georgieva L, Helbling PM, et al.: **in vivo single-cell labeling by confined primed conversion.** *Nat Methods.* 2015; **12**(7): 645–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 57. Klementieva NV, Lukyanov KA, Markina NM, et al.: **Green-to-red primed conversion of Dendra2 using blue and red lasers.** *Chem Commun (Camb).* 2016; **52**(89): 13144–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

58. Subach OM, Patterson GH, Ting LM, *et al.*: **A photoswitchable orange-to-far-red fluorescent protein, PsmOrange**. *Nat Methods*. 2011; 8(9): 771–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
59. Subach OM, Entenberg D, Condeelis JS, *et al.*: **A FRET-facilitated photoswitching using an orange fluorescent protein with the fast photoconversion kinetics**. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2012; 134(36): 14789–99.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
60. **F** Nicenboim J, Malkinson G, Lupo T, *et al.*: **Lymphatic vessels arise from specialized angioblasts within a venous niche**. *Nature*. 2015; 522(7554): 56–61.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
61. **F** Chen TW, Wardill TJ, Sun Y, *et al.*: **Ultrasensitive fluorescent proteins for imaging neuronal activity**. *Nature*. 2013; 499(7458): 295–300.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
62. Helassa N, Podor B, Fine A, *et al.*: **Design and mechanistic insight into ultrafast calcium indicators for monitoring intracellular calcium dynamics**. *Sci Rep*. 2016; 6: 38276.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
63. Lin MZ, Schnitzer MJ: **Genetically encoded indicators of neuronal activity**. *Nat Neurosci*. 2016; 19(9): 1142–53.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
64. **F** Higashijima S, Masino MA, Mandel G, *et al.*: **Imaging neuronal activity during zebrafish behavior with a genetically encoded calcium indicator**. *J Neurophysiol*. 2003; 90(6): 3986–97.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
65. **F** Dunn TW, Mu Y, Narayan S, *et al.*: **Brain-wide mapping of neural activity controlling zebrafish exploratory locomotion**. *eLife*. 2016; 5: e12741.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
66. Ahrens MB, Orger MB, Robson DN, *et al.*: **Whole-brain functional imaging at cellular resolution using light-sheet microscopy**. *Nat Methods*. 2013; 10(5): 413–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
67. Vladimirov N, Mu Y, Kawashima T, *et al.*: **Light-sheet functional imaging in fictively behaving zebrafish**. *Nat Methods*. 2014; 11(9): 883–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
68. **F** Chhetri RK, Amat F, Wan Y, *et al.*: **Whole-animal functional and developmental imaging with isotropic spatial resolution**. *Nat Methods*. 2015; 12(12): 1171–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
69. **F** Wolf S, Supatto W, Debrégeas G, *et al.*: **Whole-brain functional imaging with two-photon light-sheet microscopy**. *Nat Methods*. 2015; 12(5): 379–80.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
70. Chi NC, Shaw RM, Jungblut B, *et al.*: **Genetic and physiologic dissection of the vertebrate cardiac conduction system**. *PLoS Biol*. 2008; 6(5): e109.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
71. Ramspacher C, Steed E, Boselli F, *et al.*: **Developmental Alterations in Heart Biomechanics and Skeletal Muscle Function in Desmin Mutants Suggest an Early Pathological Root for Desminopathies**. *Cell Rep*. 2015; 11(10): 1564–76.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
72. Goetz JG, Steed E, Ferreira RR, *et al.*: **Endothelial cilia mediate low flow sensing during zebrafish vascular development**. *Cell Rep*. 2014; 6(5): 799–808.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
73. **F** Yokota Y, Nakajima H, Wakayama Y, *et al.*: **Endothelial Ca²⁺ oscillations reflect VEGFR signaling-regulated angiogenic capacity in vivo**. *eLife*. 2015; 4: pii: e08817.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
74. Dreosti E, Odermatt B, Dorostkar MM, *et al.*: **A genetically encoded reporter of synaptic activity in vivo**. *Nat Methods*. 2009; 6(12): 883–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
75. Beerman RW, Matty MA, Au GG, *et al.*: **Direct In Vivo Manipulation and Imaging of Calcium Transients in Neutrophils Identify a Critical Role for Leading-Edge Calcium Flux**. *Cell Rep*. 2015; 13(10): 2107–17.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
76. Mizuno H, Sassa T, Higashijima S, *et al.*: **Transgenic zebrafish for ratiometric imaging of cytosolic and mitochondrial Ca²⁺ response in teleost embryo**. *Cell Calcium*. 2013; 54(3): 236–45.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
77. **F** Yuan S, Zhao L, Brueckner M, *et al.*: **Intracellular calcium oscillations initiate vertebrate left-right asymmetry**. *Curr Biol*. 2015; 25(5): 556–67.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
78. **F** Dana H, Mohar B, Sun Y, *et al.*: **Sensitive red protein calcium indicators for imaging neural activity**. *eLife*. 2016; 5: pii: e12727.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
79. Inoue M, Takeuchi A, Horigane S, *et al.*: **Rational design of a high-affinity, fast, red calcium indicator R-CaMP2**. *Nat Methods*. 2015; 12(1): 64–70.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
80. Akerboom J, Carreras Calderón N, Tian L, *et al.*: **Genetically encoded calcium indicators for multi-color neural activity imaging and combination with optogenetics**. *Front Mol Neurosci*. 2013; 6: 2.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
81. Wu J, Liu L, Matsuda T, *et al.*: **Improved orange and red Ca²⁺ indicators and photophysical considerations for optogenetic applications**. *ACS Chem Neurosci*. 2013; 4(6): 963–72.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
82. Matsuda T, Horikawa K, Saito K, *et al.*: **Highlighted Ca²⁺ imaging with a genetically encoded 'caged' indicator**. *Sci Rep*. 2013; 3: 1398.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
83. Berlin S, Carroll EC, Newman ZL, *et al.*: **Photoactivatable genetically encoded calcium indicators for targeted neuronal imaging**. *Nat Methods*. 2015; 12(9): 852–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
84. Sattarzadeh A, Saberianfar R, Zipfel WR, *et al.*: **Green to red photoconversion of GFP for protein tracking in vivo**. *Sci Rep*. 2015; 5: 11771.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
85. Hoi H, Matsuda T, Nagai T, *et al.*: **Highlightable Ca²⁺ indicators for live cell imaging**. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2013; 135(1): 46–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
86. **F** Fosque BF, Sun Y, Dana H, *et al.*: **Neural circuits. Labeling of active neural circuits in vivo with designed calcium integrators**. *Science*. 2015; 347(6223): 755–60.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
87. Takeda S, Funakoshi T, Hirokawa N: **Tubulin dynamics in neuronal axons of living zebrafish embryos**. *Neuron*. 1995; 14(6): 1257–64.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
88. **F** Müller P, Rogers KW, Jordan BM, *et al.*: **Differential diffusivity of Nodal and Lefty underlies a reaction-diffusion patterning system**. *Science*. 2012; 336(6082): 721–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
89. Bajanca F, Gonzalez-Perez V, Gillespie SJ, *et al.*: **In vivo dynamics of skeletal muscle Dystrophin in zebrafish embryos revealed by improved FRAP analysis**. *eLife*. 2015; 4: e06541.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
90. Yoo SK, Pascoe HG, Pereira T, *et al.*: **Plexins function in epithelial repair in both Drosophila and zebrafish**. *Nat Commun*. 2016; 7: 12282.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
91. Perez-Camps M, Tian J, Chng SC, *et al.*: **Quantitative imaging reveals real-time Pou5f3-Nanog complexes driving dorsoventral mesendoderm patterning in zebrafish**. *eLife*. 2016; 5: pii: e11475.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
92. **F** Middel V, Zhou L, Takamiya M, *et al.*: **Dysferlin-mediated phosphatidylserine sorting engages macrophages in sarcolemma repair**. *Nat Commun*. 2016; 7: 12875.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
93. Szal TE, Zhao M, Williams C, *et al.*: **Zebrafish models for nemaline myopathy reveal a spectrum of nemaline bodies contributing to reduced muscle function**. *Acta Neuropathol*. 2015; 130(3): 389–406.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
94. Ng XW, Teh C, Korzh V, *et al.*: **The Secreted Signaling Protein Wnt3 Is Associated with Membrane Domains In Vivo: A SPIM-FCS Study**. *Biophys J*. 2016; 111(2): 418–29.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
95. Pan X, Yu H, Shi X, *et al.*: **Characterization of flow direction in microchannels and zebrafish blood vessels by scanning fluorescence correlation spectroscopy**. *J Biomed Opt*. 2007; 12(1): 014034.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
96. **F** Yu SR, Burkhardt M, Nowak M, *et al.*: **Fgf8 morphogen gradient forms by a source-sink mechanism with freely diffusing molecules**. *Nature*. 2009; 461(7263): 533–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
97. Shi X, Teo LS, Pan X, *et al.*: **Probing events with single molecule sensitivity in zebrafish and Drosophila embryos by fluorescence correlation spectroscopy**. *Dev Dyn*. 2009; 238(12): 3156–67.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
98. **F** Shi X, Foo YH, Sudhaharan T, *et al.*: **Determination of dissociation constants in living zebrafish embryos with single wavelength fluorescence cross-correlation spectroscopy**. *Biophys J*. 2009; 97(2): 678–86.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
99. Khmelinski A, Knop M: **Analysis of protein dynamics with tandem fluorescent protein timers**. *Methods Mol Biol*. 2014; 1174: 195–210.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
100. Tersikh A, Fradkov A, Ermakova G, *et al.*: **"Fluorescent timer": protein that changes color with time**. *Science*. 2000; 290(5496): 1585–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
101. Subach FV, Subach OM, Gundorov IS, *et al.*: **Monomeric fluorescent timers that change color from blue to red report on cellular trafficking**. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2009; 5(2): 118–26.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
102. Tsuboi T, Kitaguchi T, Karasawa S, *et al.*: **Age-dependent preferential dense-core vesicle exocytosis in neuroendocrine cells revealed by newly developed monomeric fluorescent timer protein**. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2010; 21(1): 87–94.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
103. **F** Khmelinskii A, Keller PJ, Bartosik A, *et al.*: **Tandem fluorescent protein timers**

- for *in vivo* analysis of protein dynamics. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2012; 30(7): 708–14.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
104. **F** Donà E, Barry JD, Valentin G, *et al.*: **Directional tissue migration through a self-generated chemokine gradient.** *Nature.* 2013; 503(7475): 285–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 105. Revenu C, Streichan S, Donà E, *et al.*: **Quantitative cell polarity imaging defines leader-to-follower transitions during collective migration and the key role of microtubule-dependent adherens junction formation.** *Development.* 2014; 141(6): 1282–91.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 106. **F** Meyen D, Tarbashevich K, Banisch TU, *et al.*: **Dynamic filopodia are required for chemokine-dependent intracellular polarization during guided cell migration *in vivo*.** *ELife.* 2015; 4: e05279.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 107. Cherian AV, Fukuda R, Augustine SM, *et al.*: **N-cadherin relocalization during cardiac trabeculation.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2016; 113(27): 7569–74.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 108. **F** McMillen P, Chatti V, Jülich D, *et al.*: **A Sawtooth Pattern of Cadherin 2 Stability Mechanically Regulates Somite Morphogenesis.** *Curr Biol.* 2016; 26(4): 542–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 109. Khmelinskii A, Meurer M, Ho CT, *et al.*: **Incomplete proteasomal degradation of green fluorescent proteins in the context of tandem fluorescent protein timers.** *Mol Biol Cell.* 2016; 27(2): 360–70.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 110. Barry JD, Donà E, Gilmour D, *et al.*: **TimerQuant: a modelling approach to tandem fluorescent timer design and data interpretation for measuring protein turnover in embryos.** *Development.* 2016; 143(1): 174–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 111. **F** To TL, Schepis A, Ruiz-González R, *et al.*: **Rational Design of a GFP-Based Fluorogenic Caspase Reporter for Imaging Apoptosis *In Vivo*.** *Cell Chem Biol.* 2016; 23(7): 875–82.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 112. **F** Cabantous S, Terwilliger TC, Waldo GS: **Protein tagging and detection with engineered self-assembling fragments of green fluorescent protein.** *Nat Biotechnol.* 2005; 23(1): 102–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 113. Emelyanov A, Parinov S: **Mifepristone-inducible LexPR system to drive and control gene expression in transgenic zebrafish.** *Dev Biol.* 2008; 320(1): 113–21.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 114. **F** Knopf F, Schnabel K, Haase C, *et al.*: **Dually inducible TetON systems for tissue-specific conditional gene expression in zebrafish.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2010; 107(46): 19933–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 115. Shoji W, Sato-Maeda M: **Application of heat shock promoter in transgenic zebrafish.** *Dev Growth Differ.* 2008; 50(6): 401–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 116. **F** Hesselton D, Anderson RM, Beinat M, *et al.*: **Distinct populations of quiescent and proliferative pancreatic beta-cells identified by HOTcore mediated labeling.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2009; 106(35): 14896–901.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 117. Blum M, de Robertis EM, Wallingford JB, *et al.*: **Morpholinos: Antisense and Sensibility.** *Dev Cell.* 2015; 35(2): 145–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 118. **F** Hamm MJ, Kirchmaier BC, Herzog W: **Sema3d controls collective endothelial cell migration by distinct mechanisms via Nr1p and PlxnD1.** *J Cell Biol.* 2016; 215(3): 415–30.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 119. **F** Liu H, Yu X, Li K, *et al.*: **Photoexcited CRY2 interacts with CIB1 to regulate transcription and floral initiation in *Arabidopsis*.** *Science.* 2008; 322(5907): 1535–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 120. Taslimi A, Vrana JD, Chen D, *et al.*: **An optimized optogenetic clustering tool for probing protein interaction and function.** *Nat Commun.* 2014; 5: 4925.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 121. Liu H, Gomez G, Lin S, *et al.*: **Optogenetic control of transcription in zebrafish.** *PLoS One.* 2012; 7(11): e50738.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 122. **F** Wu YI, Frey D, Lungu OI, *et al.*: **A genetically encoded photoactivatable Rac controls the motility of living cells.** *Nature.* 2009; 461(7260): 104–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 123. Polstein LR, Gersbach CA: **Light-inducible spatiotemporal control of gene activation by customizable zinc finger transcription factors.** *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134(40): 16480–3.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 124. Motta-Mena LB, Reade A, Mallory MJ, *et al.*: **An optogenetic gene expression system with rapid activation and deactivation kinetics.** *Nat Chem Biol.* 2014; 10(3): 196–202.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 125. Guntas G, Hallett RA, Zimmerman SP, *et al.*: **Engineering an improved light-induced dimer (iLID) for controlling the localization and activity of signaling proteins.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2015; 112(1): 112–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 126. Reade A, Motta-Mena LB, Gardner KH, *et al.*: **TAEAL: a zebrafish-optimized optogenetic gene expression system with fine spatial and temporal control.** *Development.* 2017; 144(2): 345–55.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 127. **F** Yoo SK, Deng Q, Cavnar PJ, *et al.*: **Differential regulation of protrusion and polarity by PI3K during neutrophil motility in live zebrafish.** *Dev Cell.* 2010; 18(2): 226–36.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 128. **F** Sako K, Pradhan SJ, Barone V, *et al.*: **Optogenetic Control of Nodal Signaling Reveals a Temporal Pattern of Nodal Signaling Regulating Cell Fate Specification during Gastrulation.** *Cell Rep.* 2016; 16(3): 866–77.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 129. Beyer HM, Juillot S, Herbst K, *et al.*: **Red Light-Regulated Reversible Nuclear Localization of Proteins in Mammalian Cells and Zebrafish.** *ACS Synth Biol.* 2015; 4(9): 951–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 130. **F** Buckley CE, Moore RE, Reade A, *et al.*: **Reversible Optogenetic Control of Subcellular Protein Localization in a Live Vertebrate Embryo.** *Dev Cell.* 2016; 36(1): 117–26.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 131. **F** Zhou XX, Chung HK, Lam AJ, *et al.*: **Optical control of protein activity by fluorescent protein domains.** *Science.* 2012; 338(6108): 810–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 132. **F** Zhang W, Lohman AW, Zhuravlova Y, *et al.*: **Optogenetic control with a photocleavable protein, PhoCI.** *Nat Methods.* 2017; 14(4): 391–394.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 133. Supatto W, Truong TV, Débarre D, *et al.*: **Advances in multiphoton microscopy for imaging embryos.** *Curr Opin Genet Dev.* 2011; 21(5): 538–48.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 134. Pantazis P, Supatto W: **Advances in whole-embryo imaging: a quantitative transition is underway.** *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2014; 15(5): 327–39.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 135. Follain G, Mercier L, Osmani N, *et al.*: **Seeing is believing - multi-scale spatio-temporal imaging towards *in vivo* cell biology.** *J Cell Sci.* 2017; 130(1): 23–38.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 136. Liu Z, Keller PJ: **Emerging Imaging and Genomic Tools for Developmental Systems Biology.** *Dev Cell.* 2016; 36(6): 597–610.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 137. Stuurman N, Vale RD: **Impact of New Camera Technologies on Discoveries in Cell Biology.** *Biol Bull.* 2016; 231(1): 5–13.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 138. Huiskens J, Stainier DY: **Selective plane illumination microscopy techniques in developmental biology.** *Development.* 2009; 136(12): 1963–75.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 139. Mikut R, Dickmeis T, Driever W, *et al.*: **Automated processing of zebrafish imaging data: a survey.** *Zebrafish.* 2013; 10(3): 401–21.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 140. Ronneberger O, Liu K, Rath M, *et al.*: **VIBE-Z: a framework for 3D virtual colocalization analysis in zebrafish larval brains.** *Nat Methods.* 2012; 9(7): 735–42.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 141. **F** Randlett O, Wee CL, Naumann EA, *et al.*: **Whole-brain activity mapping onto a zebrafish brain atlas.** *Nat Methods.* 2015; 12(11): 1039–46.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 142. Eames BF, DeLaurier A, Ullmann B, *et al.*: **FishFace: interactive atlas of zebrafish craniofacial development at cellular resolution.** *BMC Dev Biol.* 2013; 13: 23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 143. Hatta K, Tsujii H, Omura T: **Cell tracking using a photoconvertible fluorescent protein.** *Nat Protoc.* 2006; 1(2): 960–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 144. Tsutsui H, Karasawa S, Shimizu H, *et al.*: **Semi-rational engineering of a coral fluorescent protein into an efficient highlighter.** *EMBO Rep.* 2005; 6(3): 233–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 145. Habuchi S, Tsutsui H, Kochaniak AB, *et al.*: **mKikGR, a monomeric photoswitchable fluorescent protein.** *PLoS One.* 2008; 3(12): e3944.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 146. Nakayama S, Ikenaga T, Kawakami K, *et al.*: **Transgenic line with gal4 insertion useful to study morphogenesis of craniofacial perichondrium, vascular endothelium-associated cells, floor plate, and dorsal midline radial glia during zebrafish development.** *Dev Growth Differ.* 2012; 54(2): 202–15.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 147. **F** Masselink W, Cole NJ, Fenyes F, *et al.*: **A somitic contribution to the apical ectodermal ridge is essential for fin formation.** *Nature.* 2016; 535(7613): 542–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 148. **F** Wiedenmann J, Ivanchenko S, Oswald F, *et al.*: **EosFP, a fluorescent marker protein with UV-inducible green-to-red fluorescence conversion.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2004; 101(45): 15905–10.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 149. Zhang M, Chang H, Zhang Y, *et al.*: **Rational design of true monomeric and**

- bright photoactivatable fluorescent proteins. *Nat Methods.* 2012; **9**(7): 727–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)**
150. Curran K, Lister JA, Kunkel GR, *et al.*: **Interplay between Foxd3 and Mitf regulates cell fate plasticity in the zebrafish neural crest.** *Dev Biol.* 2010; **344**(1): 107–18.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 151. Sapède D, Dyballa S, Pujades C: **Cell lineage analysis reveals three different progenitor pools for neurosensory elements in the otic vesicle.** *J Neurosci.* 2012; **32**(46): 16424–34.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 152. Gurskaya NG, Verkhusha VV, Shcheglov AS, *et al.*: **Engineering of a monomeric green-to-red photoactivatable fluorescent protein induced by blue light.** *Nat Biotechnol.* 2006; **24**(4): 461–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 153. Swartz TE, Corchnoy SB, Christie JM, *et al.*: **The photocycle of a flavin-binding domain of the blue light photoreceptor phototropin.** *J Biol Chem.* 2001; **276**(39): 36493–500.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 154. Toyooka T, Hisatomi O, Takahashi F, *et al.*: **Photoreactions of aureochrome-1.** *Biophys J.* 2011; **100**(11): 2801–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 155. Rockwell NC, Su YS, Lagarias JC: **Phytochrome structure and signaling mechanisms.** *Annu Rev Plant Biol.* 2006; **57**: 837–58.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 156. Levskaya A, Weiner OD, Lim WA, *et al.*: **Spatiotemporal control of cell signalling using a light-switchable protein interaction.** *Nature.* 2009; **461**(7299): 997–1001.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

The reviewers who approved this article are:

Version 1

1. Periklis Pantazis

Department of Biosystems Science and Engineering (D-BSSE), Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule (ETH) Zurich, Basel, Switzerland

Manuel Alexander Mohr

Department of Biosystems Science and Engineering (D-BSSE), Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule (ETH) Zurich, Basel, Switzerland

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

2. Filippo Del Bene

- Institut Curie, PSL Research University, INSERM U 934, CNRS UMR3215, Paris, France

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research