REVIEW

Recent advances in the management of acute ischemic stroke

[version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Philip Chang¹, Shyam Prabhakaran²¹Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, 303 East Chicago Avenue, Ward 12-140, Chicago, USA²Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Abbott Hall Suite 1123, 710 N Lake Shore Drive, Chicago, USA

V1 First published: 13 Apr 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):484
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.9191.1>

Latest published: 13 Apr 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):484
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.9191.1>

Abstract

In recent years, several landmark trials have transformed acute ischemic stroke care. The most dramatic results from the field of acute endovascular intervention demonstrate unequivocal benefit for a select group of patients with moderate to severe deficits presenting within 7 hours from onset and with occlusions of proximal arteries in the anterior circulation. In addition, technological advances and workflow efficiencies have facilitated more rapid delivery of acute stroke interventions. This review provides an overview of recent advances in the management of acute ischemic stroke.

Keywords

Ischemic Stroke , Endovascular Therapy , Malignant Stroke , TeleStroke , Mobile Stroke Unit , ischemia, stroke

Open Peer ReviewApproval Status

	1	2
version 1 13 Apr 2017

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

1. **Seemant Chaturvedi**, University of Miami
Miller School of Medicine, Miami, USA
2. **Adnan I. Qureshi**, Zeenat Qureshi Stroke
Institute, St Cloud, USA

Any comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Shyam Prabhakaran (shyam.prabhakaran@northwestern.edu)

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2017 Chang P and Prabhakaran S. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

How to cite this article: Chang P and Prabhakaran S. **Recent advances in the management of acute ischemic stroke [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):484 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.9191.1>

First published: 13 Apr 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):484 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.9191.1>

Introduction

Until recently, recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (r-tPA) was the only acute ischemic stroke treatment. However, only 3–9% of patients with ischemic stroke actually receive r-tPA^{1–4}, in part because of the limited time window for treatment. In 2008, the ECASS-3 trial extended the window for r-tPA eligibility from 3 to 4.5 hours after symptom onset, with additional exclusion criteria⁵, which increased r-tPA utilization by as much as 20% in some centers⁶. In 2015, the introduction of advanced endovascular treatment approaches further expanded this treatment window in select patients to up to 7 hours from symptom onset. Rapid assessment of suspected stroke patients to determine eligibility for these treatments, therefore, remains a critical step. Systems and processes to efficiently identify suspected stroke patients as early as possible and rapidly deliver acute reperfusion therapy are now commonplace. Further technological advances using telestroke and mobile stroke units in the prehospital setting have increased r-tPA utilization and reduced delays to treatment. This review will discuss the most recent developments in acute stroke treatment and improved systems for their delivery in current practice.

Mechanisms and principles of acute ischemic stroke therapy

The most effective treatment for acute ischemic stroke is timely reperfusion of the causative vessel occlusion via r-tPA and/or mechanical thrombectomy. Reperfusion improves outcomes by reducing the volume of brain tissue injury. Therefore, a small core infarction (irreversibly lost brain tissue) with a large penumbra (viable but ischemic tissue) is the ideal target for acute reperfusion therapy. As time from initial stroke increases, the penumbra is quickly replaced by infarcted tissue⁷. Therefore, swift reperfusion preserves tissue in the penumbra while reducing the size of the final infarct core, thereby limiting the volume of damaged tissue and reducing disability from stroke.

Recent advances in acute endovascular therapy

Since 2015, there have been five prospective randomized clinical trials showing efficacy of endovascular thrombectomy in addition to standard management, typically r-tPA, in improving outcomes of acute ischemic stroke patients with proximal internal carotid artery (ICA) or middle cerebral artery (MCA) occlusions, moderate to severe stroke severity, and presenting within 12 hours of symptom onset^{8–12}. Overall, there was over twofold increased odds of good outcome (independent of activities of daily living) with endovascular therapy compared to standard medical management with no increase in harm such as major intracranial bleeding. Because of these studies, the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association (AHA/ASA) released an update in 2015 (Table 1) to their acute stroke management guidelines that recommended endovascular therapy for these select patients with acute ischemic stroke (class I, level of evidence A)¹³.

Compared to prior failed trials, these studies had notable differences and advantages. First, the trials emphasized workflow efficiency with targets for door to groin puncture and reperfusion that were far more aggressive than in previous trials and therefore

Table 1. American Heart Association/American Stroke Association recommended selection criteria for mechanical thrombectomy in acute ischemic stroke¹⁴.

Functionally independent pre-stroke (mRS score of 0 to 1)
Acute ischemic stroke receiving intravenous r-tPA within 4.5 hours of onset
Stroke caused by occlusion of the internal carotid artery or proximal (M1) middle cerebral artery on imaging (CT angiography)
Age ≥18 years old
NIHSS score of ≥6
CT brain without evidence of large infarct (ASPECTS score ≥6)
Treatment is able to be initiated (groin puncture) within 6 hours of symptom onset

ASPECTS, Alberta Stroke Program Early CT score; CT, computerized tomography; mRS, modified Rankin scale; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health stroke scale; r-tPA, recombinant tissue plasminogen activator.

achieved times from symptom onset to reperfusion in less than 4 hours for many patients. Second, the use of stent-retrievers, superior to first-generation devices used in earlier trials, achieved greater rates of near-complete or complete reperfusion. Third, each trial included at minimum a vessel imaging screening test, typically computerized tomographic angiography (CTA), for the detection of large artery occlusion, avoiding the scenario encountered in prior trials wherein the target lesion was absent in a substantial number of patients enrolled, biasing the study towards a null result¹⁴. In sum, these new trials validated long-held hypotheses that reperfusion is effective in patients with acute ischemic stroke due to large artery occlusion if provided early, when the majority of brain tissue is ischemic (reversible and salvageable) but not infarcted (irreversible and non-salvageable).

It is still unclear which imaging method is most appropriate in the acute evaluation of stroke patients for endovascular therapy. Two trials, MR-CLEAN and REVASCAT, utilized CTA and did not include any perfusion imaging prior to randomization^{8,12}. The EXTEND-IA trial enrolled patients with CTA to confirm a proximal anterior circulation occlusion and CT perfusion imaging to confirm a small infarct core prior to randomization⁹. The ESCAPE trial also used CTA scans to confirm a proximal anterior circulation occlusion but measured infarct burden using ASPECTS (Alberta Stroke Program Early CT score), a 10-point scoring system which evaluates 10 pre-determined areas of the brain supplied by the MCA. A point is deducted for hypodensities seen in each area on a standard CT scan, which included scores from 0 (imaging evidence of complete infarction of the MCA territory) to 10 (no imaging evidence of early infarction). Patients with small infarct burden (defined as an ASPECTS >5) were enrolled. Multi-phase CTA was used to assess collateral circulation. Patients who had good collateral circulation (filling of 50% or more of the MCA arterial circulation) were enrolled¹¹. SWIFT-PRIME utilized either MR angiography or CTA to identify proximal anterior circulation occlusion and the ASPECTS score to identify infarct core but included

perfusion imaging in nearly 80% of patients enrolled using RAPID software¹⁵ to automate the interpretation of penumbra and infarct core volumes¹⁰. A meta-analysis of the major interventional trials showed that the benefit decreased every hour as time from stroke onset to time of arterial puncture for endovascular therapy, eventually becoming non-beneficial at 7.3 hours after stroke onset¹⁶.

Currently, an initial CT scan without evidence of a large infarction by ASPECTS along with evidence of a proximal anterior circulation occlusion on CTA is the simplest approach to select patients for endovascular intervention, provided the procedure is initiated within 7 hours of stroke onset.

Though these trials ushered forth a new, exciting era for stroke intervention, further research is needed to evaluate whether more patients, such as those with basilar, vertebral, or posterior cerebral artery occlusions, may benefit from mechanical thrombectomy. In addition, treatment of occlusions beyond the first bifurcation of the MCA is uncertain, pending further study. Further research on optimal imaging selection, as will be discussed below, may be helpful in identifying patients who may benefit from intervention in delayed time windows.

Expanding the treatment-eligible population

Only 25% of patients arrive at a hospital within 3.5 hours, and less than 65% arrive within 8 hours of symptom onset¹⁷, excluding many patients from acute stroke reperfusion therapy. As a result, there is great interest in identifying a physiologic “tissue clock” rather than a chronological one (i.e. from last known well). Specific patient populations such as those who experience stroke symptoms upon awakening and mild stroke patients may benefit from reperfusion beyond the currently approved chronological timeline.

Selecting wake-up stroke patients for r-tPA using MRI

About 15–25% of all ischemic strokes occur during sleep, without the patient knowing the exact time of symptom onset, often making the last known normal time greater than 6 hours before time of presentation^{18,19}. As a result, these wake-up stroke (WUS) patients are excluded from r-tPA treatment and often mechanical thrombectomy as well.

The DEFUSE studies found early reperfusion was associated with improved clinical outcomes if there was a perfusion/diffusion mismatch (larger area of decreased perfusion than infarcted tissue) on MRI^{20,21}. Other studies found that MR diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) sequences identify acute ischemic changes within minutes of symptom onset while fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequences identify changes 3–6 hours after symptom onset²². Thus, a DWI-positive and FLAIR-negative MRI would suggest an acute ischemic stroke under 3 hours of symptom onset. The MR-WITNESS trial was a single-arm feasibility study which used the DWI/FLAIR selection criteria to administer r-tPA to 80 patients who woke up with stroke symptoms and presented to healthcare within 4.5 hours of waking up²³. Only one patient had a symptomatic hemorrhage, and the group had functional outcomes similar to those of the patients in

the ECASS-3 trial, suggesting that this technique may be a safe and effective way of selecting more patients for r-tPA treatment. Currently, the WAKE-UP and EXTEND trials are underway to further investigate this approach in a larger cohort of patients with WUS²⁴. The DEFUSE III trial is a phase III trial investigating mechanical thrombectomy in patients with an ICA or proximal MCA occlusion and presenting between 6 and 16 hours from last known normal time, many of whom are WUS patients, using penumbra-core mismatch on perfusion imaging to identify stroke patients with salvageable tissue who could benefit from intervention²⁵.

Decreased r-tPA dosage for populations with increased risk of intracranial hemorrhage

In the last few years, the Japanese drug safety authority approved r-tPA at a dosage of 0.6 mg/kg, a dose lower than the standard 0.9 mg/kg used for acute stroke treatment based on an uncontrolled open-label study done in 2006²⁶. Since then, there is increasing concern that Asians in America may be at increased risk of intracranial hemorrhage after treatment of acute ischemic stroke with r-tPA²⁷. The recently published ENCHANTED trial investigated low-dose r-tPA (0.6 mg/kg versus 0.9 mg/kg) for patients with acute ischemic stroke and showed that there was a reduction in mortality and symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage with a lower dosage when compared to the standard-dosage r-tPA, but this benefit was offset by an increased rate of disability at 90 days in the low-dose group. While the study failed to meet its primary endpoint, a secondary ordinal analysis of severity of disability based on the modified Rankin scale did show non-inferiority²⁸. While the lower dosage seems safer and may be suitable for particular high-risk populations, further research is needed to demonstrate efficacy.

Improving stroke systems of care

Delay in r-tPA administration leads to worse outcomes

Patients who received r-tPA within 90 minutes of symptom onset had better functional outcomes more than those who were treated at 181 to 270 minutes from onset²⁹. The number of patients needed to treat to achieve an outcome of functional independence increases from 3.5 patients in the first 90 minutes to 7 patients between 91 and 180 minutes and to 14 patients between 181 and 270 minutes³⁰. This is likely explained by the decreasing volume of viable brain tissue (e.g. penumbra) as time from symptom onset increases. Unfortunately, up to 69% of acute stroke patients are unable to get r-tPA secondary to delay in patient arrival³¹, spurring initiatives to deliver stroke care as quickly as possible. Several proven options include expediting hospital arrival to intervention times, telestroke, and mobile stroke units.

Improving door to needle (DTN) times

Target: Stroke is a quality initiative introduced by the AHA/ASA in 2010 which disseminated best practice strategies to achieve faster DTN times for r-tPA delivery at participating hospitals across the United States (US), with the goal of administering r-tPA in less than 60 minutes from hospital arrival in at least 50% of eligible patients. The best practices include 11 care strategies to achieve faster DTN: emergency medical services pre-notification to hospitals about stroke patients, hospital-specific

stroke protocols, a rapid stroke identification and notification system, a single-call stroke activation system, direct to CT protocol, rapid transportation to imaging, rapid interpretation of imaging, rapid protocols for laboratory testing, pre-mixing of r-tPA in patients with high likelihood of stroke, a team-based approach, and a prompt feedback system. Implementation of these strategies resulted in a reduction of r-tPA administration times from a mean of 74 minutes to 59 minutes and reduced in-hospital mortality and long-term mortality³². Because of this success, Target: Stroke Phase II is underway with an even more aggressive goal of administering r-tPA to at least 75% of patients within 60 minutes of arrival and at least 50% of patients within 45 minutes of arrival.

Internationally, a few DTN process improvement efforts have been even more stunning. An expedited protocol developed in Helsinki, Finland, in a single-provider area has demonstrated the most efficient DTN times in the world. Their ambulances have a direct line to a stroke neurologist, enabling the on-call neurologist to obtain a history and r-tPA consent over the phone as well as ready the stroke team at the hospital for prompt CT scanning and r-tPA administration before the patient arrives. The patient arrives and is taken from the ambulance to the CT scanner directly, during which time the neurologist performs a quick neurologic examination. After the CT scan excludes hemorrhage, r-tPA can be given immediately, often in the CT scanner, resulting in DTN times averaging 20 minutes³³. Three features of protocol – pre-notification by ambulances, direct-to-CT imaging, and pre-mixing r-tPA – were introduced in Melbourne, Australia, with similar results³⁴.

There are some limitations to applying the Helsinki protocol in the US. First, rather than one hospital in a region, most urban areas have multiple stroke centers. Close contact with ambulance systems and pre-notification of receiving hospitals may still help expedite r-tPA delivery but would require coordination across multiple hospital systems in a region. Second, the lack of universal health records in the US increases delays to treatment owing to time spent in eligibility determination. For example, use of anticoagulants and recent surgical history are relevant for r-tPA eligibility determination and require verification by patient or caregiver interview or medical record review. Third, a substantial portion of the US still does not reside within close proximity to designated stroke centers³⁵. In these instances, other solutions will be necessary.

Telestroke

Telestroke permits remote audio-visual connection between a stroke center (the “hub”) and multiple community hospitals (the “spokes”). Patients who arrive at non-stroke center hospitals, often smaller rural hospitals, may particularly benefit from telestroke, as these hospitals do not have access to an on-site stroke expert with experience in delivering r-tPA efficiently and safely³⁶. Studies have shown that telemedical consultation with a stroke neurologist remotely can reduce DTN times substantially at small hospitals³⁷. While the DTN times are higher than the Helsinki protocol, transport to the nearest hospital is faster than to the “hub” hospital, potentially resulting in similar onset-to-treatment times³⁸.

Mobile stroke units

While DTN times are the focus of quality improvement at the hospital, reducing time from symptom onset to treatment makes the most impact on functional outcomes. Half of patients arrive at a hospital after 2 hours from symptom onset³⁹, and this has not changed over the last decade⁴⁰. The largest, and most important, window for reducing delays to treatment is therefore prior to hospital arrival. Programs have been developed to bring neurologists and r-tPA administration to the field in the form of a mobile stroke ambulance⁴¹. These ambulances are equipped with a mobile CT scanner and point-of-care laboratory testing⁴². In some programs, a vascular neurologist travels in the rig for in-field interview and examination before administration of r-tPA⁴³. Other programs have installed telemedicine equipment for remote interview and examination by a neurologist with the aid of emergency medical technicians in the ambulance⁴⁴.

The prospective PHANTOM-S study compared conventional care to pre-hospital thrombolysis with r-tPA with an in-field emergency-trained vascular neurologist. Significantly more patients received r-tPA in the pre-hospital thrombolysis arm of the study versus conventional care (31% versus 4.9%, $P<0.001$). Among patients who received r-tPA, the pre-hospital thrombolysis arm had a remarkably lower median onset-to-intervention time (50 minutes versus 105 minutes, $P<0.001$)⁴⁵. In a comparative analysis of symptom onset to r-tPA treatment times, pre-hospital thrombolysis with a mobile stroke unit provides the best times, even when compared to the Helsinki protocol and telestroke programs⁴⁶. However, this comes at a very large financial cost (e.g. initial outfitting of the ambulance and subsequent maintenance), which may be an obstacle for implementation within many healthcare systems⁴⁷.

Conclusions

With the technological advances in acute stroke care, many more patients with the most severe strokes can receive treatments that can reduce death and disability from the disease. Endovascular intervention with mechanical thrombectomy has been shown to improve functional outcomes in select patients with severe stroke and large artery occlusion in the anterior cerebral circulation. Administration of r-tPA has been shown to be effective up to 4.5 hours from symptom onset. New protocols have been developed to potentially expand r-tPA treatment to patients with WUS, approximately 15–25% of all ischemic strokes and mild stroke, and up to 75% of all ischemic strokes. Advances in technology have also led to models of care to deliver r-tPA in a speedy and efficient manner, whether through pre-hospital triage via telephone, r-tPA administration via telemedicine, or actually administering r-tPA in the ambulance. These innovations have revolutionized acute ischemic stroke care from a disease with no treatments to one with multiple proven options.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

References

1. Kapral MK, Laupacis A, Phillips SJ, *et al.*: **Stroke care delivery in institutions participating in the Registry of the Canadian Stroke Network.** *Stroke.* 2004; 35(7): 1756–62.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Adeoye O, Hornung R, Khatri P, *et al.*: **Recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator use for ischemic stroke in the United States: a doubling of treatment rates over the course of 5 years.** *Stroke.* 2011; 42(7): 1952–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
3. Stolz E, Hamann GF, Kaps M, *et al.*: **Regional differences in acute stroke admission and thrombolysis rates in the German federal state of Hesse.** *Dtsch Arztebl Int.* 2011; 108(36): 607–11.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
4. Stecksén A, Asplund K, Appelos P, *et al.*: **Thrombolytic therapy rates and stroke severity: an analysis of data from the Swedish stroke register (Riks-Stroke) 2007-2010.** *Stroke.* 2012; 43(2): 536–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
5. Hacke W, Kaste M, Bluhmki E, *et al.*: **Thrombolysis with alteplase 3 to 4.5 hours after acute ischemic stroke.** *N Engl J Med.* 2008; 359(13): 1317–29.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
6. Lyster MJ, Albright KC, Boehme AK, *et al.*: **The Potential Impact of Maintaining a 3-Hour IV Thrombolysis Window: How Many More Patients can we Safely Treat?** *J Neurol Disord Stroke.* 2013; 1(2): 1015.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
7. Guadagno JV, Calautti C, Baron JC: **Progress in imaging stroke: emerging clinical applications.** *Br Med Bull.* 2003; 65(1): 145–57.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
8. Berkhemer OA, Fransen PS, Beumer D, *et al.*: **A randomized trial of intraarterial treatment for acute ischemic stroke.** *N Engl J Med.* 2015; 372(1): 11–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
9. Campbell BC, Mitchell PJ, Kleinig TJ, *et al.*: **Endovascular therapy for ischemic stroke with perfusion-imaging selection.** *N Engl J Med.* 2015; 372(11): 1009–18.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
10. Saver JL, Goyal M, Bonafe A, *et al.*: **Stent-retriever thrombectomy after intravenous t-PA vs. t-PA alone in stroke.** *N Engl J Med.* 2015; 372(24): 2285–95.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
11. Goyal M, Demchuk AM, Menon BK, *et al.*: **Randomized assessment of rapid endovascular treatment of ischemic stroke.** *N Engl J Med.* 2015; 372(11): 1019–30.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
12. Jovin TG, Chamorro A, Cobo E, *et al.*: **Thrombectomy within 8 hours after symptom onset in ischemic stroke.** *N Engl J Med.* 2015; 372(24): 2296–306.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
13. Powers WJ, Derdeyn CP, Biller J, *et al.*: **2015 American Heart Association/American Stroke Association Focused Update of the 2013 Guidelines for the Early Management of Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke Regarding Endovascular Treatment: A Guideline for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association.** *Stroke.* 2015; 46(10): 3020–35.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
14. Broderick JP, Palesch YY, Demchuk AM, *et al.*: **Endovascular therapy after intravenous t-PA versus t-PA alone for stroke.** *N Engl J Med.* 2013; 368(10): 893–903.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
15. Straka M, Albers GW, Bammer R: **Real-time diffusion-perfusion mismatch analysis in acute stroke.** *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2010; 32(5): 1024–37.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
16. Saver JL, Goyal M, van der Lugt A, *et al.*: **Time to Treatment With Endovascular Thrombectomy and Outcomes From Ischemic Stroke: A Meta-analysis.** *JAMA.* 2016; 316(12): 1279–88.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
17. Tong D, Reeves MJ, Hernandez AF, *et al.*: **Times from symptom onset to hospital arrival in the Get with the Guidelines–Stroke Program 2002 to 2009: temporal trends and implications.** *Stroke.* 2012; 43(7): 1912–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
18. Mackey J, Kleindorfer D, Sucharew H, *et al.*: **Population-based study of wake-up strokes.** *Neurology.* 2011; 76(19): 1662–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
19. Serena J, Davalos A, Segura T, *et al.*: **Stroke on awakening: looking for a more rational management.** *Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2003; 16(2): 128–33.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
20. Albers GW, Thijs VN, Wechsler L, *et al.*: **Magnetic resonance imaging profiles predict clinical response to early reperfusion: the diffusion and perfusion imaging evaluation for understanding stroke evolution (DEFUSE) study.** *Ann Neurol.* 2006; 60(5): 508–17.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
21. Ogata T, Christensen S, Nagakane Y, *et al.*: **The effects of alteplase 3 to 6 hours after stroke in the EPITHET-DEFUSE combined dataset: post hoc case-control study.** *Stroke.* 2013; 44(1): 87–93.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
22. Thomalla G, Cheng B, Ebinger M, *et al.*: **DWI-FLAIR mismatch for the identification of patients with acute ischaemic stroke within 4.5 h of symptom onset (PRE-FLAIR): a multicentre observational study.** *Lancet Neurol.* 2011; 10(11): 978–86.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
23. Schwamm L: **IV Alteplase in MR-Selected Patients With Stroke of Unknown Onset is Safe and Feasible: Results of the Multicenter MR WITNESS Trial (NCT01282242).** *International Stroke Conference (ISC) 2016.* Abstract LB23. Presented February 19, 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
24. Rimmelle DL, Thomalla G: **Wake-up stroke: clinical characteristics, imaging findings, and treatment option - an update.** *Front Neurol.* 2014; 5: 35.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
25. Albers GW: **Endovascular Therapy Following Imaging Evaluation for Ischemic Stroke 3 (DEFUSE-3).** (NCT02586415).
[Reference Source](#)
26. Yamaguchi T, Mori E, Minematsu K, *et al.*: **Alteplase at 0.6 mg/kg for acute ischemic stroke within 3 hours of onset: Japan Alteplase Clinical Trial (J-ACT).** *Stroke.* 2006; 37(7): 1810–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
27. Menon BK, Saver JL, Prabhakaran S, *et al.*: **Risk score for intracranial hemorrhage in patients with acute ischemic stroke treated with intravenous tissue-type plasminogen activator.** *Stroke.* 2012; 43(9): 2293–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
28. Anderson CS, Robinson T, Lindley RL, *et al.*: **Low-Dose versus Standard-Dose Intravenous Alteplase in Acute Ischemic Stroke.** *N Engl J Med.* 2016; 374(24): 2313–23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
29. Hacke W, Donnan G, Fieschi C, *et al.*: **Association of outcome with early stroke treatment: pooled analysis of ATLANTIS, ECASS, and NINDS rt-PA stroke trials.** *Lancet.* 2004; 363(9411): 768–74.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
30. Lees KR, Bluhmki E, von Kummer R, *et al.*: **Time to treatment with intravenous alteplase and outcome in stroke: an updated pooled analysis of ECASS, ATLANTIS, NINDS, and EPITHET trials.** *Lancet.* 2010; 375(9727): 1695–703.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
31. California Acute Stroke Pilot Registry (CASPR) Investigators: **Prioritizing interventions to improve rates of thrombolysis for ischemic stroke.** *Neurology.* 2005; 64(4): 654–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
32. Fonarow GC, Zhao X, Smith EE, *et al.*: **Door-to-needle times for tissue plasminogen activator administration and clinical outcomes in acute ischemic stroke before and after a quality improvement initiative.** *JAMA.* 2014; 311(16): 1632–40.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
33. Meretoja A, Strbian D, Mustanoja S, *et al.*: **Reducing in-hospital delay to 20 minutes in stroke thrombolysis.** *Neurology.* 2012; 79(4): 306–13.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
34. Vijaratnam N, Mackey E, Tu H, *et al.*: **Modified Helsinki Model Reduced Stroke Thrombolysis Delays In A Resource Limited, Non-Metropolitan Australian Hospital (RLNMAH) (P7.128).** *Neurology.* 2014; 82(10 Supplement): P7.128.
[Reference Source](#)
35. Mullen MT, Branas CC, Kasner SE, *et al.*: **Optimization modeling to maximize population access to comprehensive stroke centers.** *Neurology.* 2015; 84(12): 1196–205.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
36. Hubert GJ, Müller-Barna P, Audebert HJ: **Recent advances in TeleStroke: a systematic review on applications in prehospital management and Stroke Unit treatment or TeleStroke networking in developing countries.** *Int J Stroke.* 2014; 9(8): 968–73.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
37. Heffner DL, Thirumala PD, Pokharna P, *et al.*: **Outcomes of Spoke-Retained Telestroke Patients Versus Hub-Treated Patients After Intravenous Thrombolysis: Telestroke Patient Outcomes After Thrombolysis.** *Stroke.* 2015; 46(11): 3161–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

38. Sanders KA, Patel R, Kiely JM, *et al.*: **Improving Telestroke Treatment Times in an Expanding Network of Hospitals.** *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2016; **25**(2): 288–91.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
39. Fassbender K, Balucani C, Walter S, *et al.*: **Streamlining of prehospital stroke management: the golden hour.** *Lancet Neurol.* 2013; **12**(6): 585–96.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
40. Morris DL, Rosamond W, Madden K, *et al.*: **Prehospital and emergency department delays after acute stroke: the Genentech Stroke Presentation Survey.** *Stroke.* 2000; **31**(11): 2585–90.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
41. Faiz KW, Sundseth A, Thommessen B, *et al.*: **Prehospital delay in acute stroke and TIA.** *Emerg Med J.* 2013; **30**(8): 669–74.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
42. Fassbender K, Walter S, Liu Y, *et al.*: **“Mobile stroke unit” for hyperacute stroke treatment.** *Stroke.* 2003; **34**(6): e44.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
43. Walter S, Kostopoulos P, Haass A, *et al.*: **Bringing the hospital to the patient: first treatment of stroke patients at the emergency site.** *PLoS One.* 2010; **5**(10): e13758.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
44. Parker SA, Bowry R, Wu TC, *et al.*: **Establishing the first mobile stroke unit in the United States.** *Stroke.* 2015; **46**(5): 1384–91.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
45. Ebinger M, Kunz A, Wendt M, *et al.*: **Effects of golden hour thrombolysis: a Prehospital Acute Neurological Treatment and Optimization of Medical Care in Stroke (PHANTOM-S) substudy.** *JAMA Neurol.* 2015; **72**(1): 25–30.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
46. Rajan SS, Baraniuk S, Parker S, *et al.*: **Implementing a mobile stroke unit program in the United States: why, how, and how much?** *JAMA Neurol.* 2015; **72**(2): 229–34.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
47. Gyrd-Hansen D, Olsen KR, Bollweg K, *et al.*: **Cost-effectiveness estimate of prehospital thrombolysis: results of the PHANTOM-S study.** *Neurology.* 2015; **84**(11): 1090–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

The reviewers who approved this article are:

Version 1

1. **Adnan I. Qureshi**

Zeenaat Qureshi Stroke Institute, St Cloud, MN, USA

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

2. **Seemant Chaturvedi**

Department of Neurology & Stroke Program, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL, USA

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research