REVIEW

The evolution of medulloblastoma therapy to personalized medicine [version 1; peer review: 3 approved]

Soma Sengupta¹ , Daniel Pomeranz Krummel¹, Scott Pomeroy²

¹Winship Cancer Institute, Emory University Hospital, Atlanta, GA, USA

²F.M. Kirby Neurobiology Center, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

V1 First published: 13 Apr 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):490
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10859.1>

Latest published: 13 Apr 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):490
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10859.1>

Abstract

Recent advances in cancer genomics have revolutionized the characterization and classification of medulloblastomas. According to the current WHO guidelines, medulloblastomas are now classified into the following molecularly defined groups: Wnt signaling pathway (WNT)-activated, sonic hedgehog signaling pathway (SHH)-activated and tumor suppressor protein p53 (TP53)-mutant, SHH-activated and TP53-wildtype, and non-WNT/non-SHH (i.e. group 3 and group 4). Importantly, genomic, epigenomic, and proteomic advances have created a potential paradigm shift in therapeutic options. The challenge now is to (i) translate these observations into new therapeutic approaches and (ii) employ these observations in clinical practice, utilizing the classification following a molecular analysis for diagnosis and application of new subgroup-specific targeted therapeutics.

Keywords

Medulloblastoma, specific targeted therapeutics, WNT, SHH, TP53

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3
version 1 13 Apr 2017

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

1. **James T Rutka**, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada
2. **Sridharan Gururangan**, McKnight Brain Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, USA
3. **Charles Eberhart**, Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, USA

Any comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Scott Pomeroy (scott.pomeroy@childrens.harvard.edu)

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Grant information: Soma Sengupta is supported by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke grant (K08 NS083626), and the Winship Cancer Institute Institutional Research grant (IRG-14-188-01) from the American Cancer Society.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2017 Sengupta S *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

How to cite this article: Sengupta S, Pomeranz Krummel D and Pomeroy S. **The evolution of medulloblastoma therapy to personalized medicine [version 1; peer review: 3 approved]** F1000Research 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):490 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10859.1>

First published: 13 Apr 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):490 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10859.1>

Introduction

Medulloblastomas account for 12% of childhood brain tumors¹. Approximately 80% of medulloblastomas occur in children under the age of 15. In adults, medulloblastomas are rare (1–2%). To date, the 5-year survival rate for children with average and high-risk disease as defined by clinical criteria is 80% and 60–65%, respectively². Recent advances in cancer genomics have led to a fundamental change in medulloblastoma classification. Based on genome-wide transcription profiling, it has been shown that medulloblastomas comprise at least four molecular subgroups (Table 1), each with unique transcription profiles, mechanism of tumorigenesis, and clinical outcome^{3–6}. Each of these subgroups, Wnt signaling pathway (WNT) (10% of medulloblastomas), sonic hedgehog signaling pathway (SHH) (30%), group 3 (15%), and group 4 (45%), will be discussed in the following sections.

Clinical behavior

Medulloblastomas typically occur in the cerebellum and are primarily a pediatric brain cancer. Historically, medulloblastomas were described as “small round blue cell tumors”⁷. The tumors are highly cellular with minimal cellular differentiation and have been defined by histological features that do not accurately predict clinical outcome (classic, desmoplastic/nodular, large-cell/anaplastic, medulloblastoma with neuroblastic features, medulloblastoma with glial differentiation, medulloblastoma, and melanotic medulloblastoma). Approximately one-third of the tumors have metastatic spread through CSF pathways, which puts the tumor into a “high-risk” group and is associated with poor outcome. In terms of MRI imaging characteristics, an adult medulloblastoma patient exhibits disseminated leptomeningeal disease in the brain

and spinal cord (Figure 1A), while pediatric medulloblastoma patients of the WNT subgroup tend to be in the cerebellar peduncle, patients of the SHH subgroup tend to be in the cerebellar hemisphere, but can be centrally located, as shown in Figure 1B, and midline cerebellar tumors tend to belong to groups 3 and 4 or SHH⁸.

Treatment: current standard of care

Medulloblastomas are clinically categorized as average-risk and high-risk disease⁹ (Table 2). Maximal surgical resection, in tumors that are amenable to surgery, is the first step in all cases. However, cerebellar mutism (severely diminished or absent speech output) can be an acute post-surgical complication in up to one-quarter of patients, which usually partially recovers, although survivors typically are left with dysarthria and neurocognitive issues¹⁰. For average-risk disease, patients receive craniospinal radiotherapy (23.4 Gy in 30 fractions, followed by conformal tumor bed boost to 54–56 Gy over 6 weeks) with or without vincristine. After the radiation, children older than 3 years with non-disseminated medulloblastoma receive eight cycles of vincristine (mitotic inhibitor), cisplatin (DNA cross-linker), and two alkylating agents – cyclophosphamide and CCNU (lomustine) chemotherapy – for approximately 1 year. For poor-risk disease, craniospinal radiotherapy is given at a higher dose (36–39.6 Gy in 30 fractions, followed by posterior fossa boost to 54–56 Gy over 6 weeks) and chemotherapy (agents used include cisplatin, cyclophosphamide, and vincristine)^{9,11}. Sometimes, stem cell transplants are also offered prior to the initiation of therapy. The craniospinal radiation and chemotherapy regimens described are also for the most part used in adult patients, but the use of post-adjuvant

Table 1. Features of medulloblastoma subgroups.

Subtype	Molecular characteristics	Mutations	Age group
WNT activated	WNT pathway activation	CTNNB1 DDX3X Chromatin-remodeling genes TP53	Least common of subgroups Found in children and adults, not infants
SHH activated and TP53 wild-type	SHH pathway activation	PTCH1 SMO SUFU TERT promoter Chromatin-remodeling genes	Infants, children, and adults
SHH activated and TP53 mutant	SHH pathway activation	TP53	5–18 years old
Group 3	Elevated expression of MYC GABRA5 over-expression	SMARCA4 Chromatin-remodeling genes Genes of TGF- β pathway	Infants and children, not adults More common in boys than in girls
Group 4	Lmx1A expression	Chromatin-remodeling genes	More common in children than in adults Least common in infants

CTNNB1, catenin beta 1; DDX3X, DEAD-box helicase 3; Lmx1a, LIM homeobox transcription factor; PTCH1, Patched-1; SHH, sonic hedgehog; SMARCA4, SWI (switching)/SNF (sucrose non-fermenting)-related, matrix-associated, actin-dependent regulator of chromatin, subfamily A, member 4; SMO, smoothened receptor; SUFU, suppressor of fused homolog protein; TERT, telomerase reverse transcriptase; TGF- β , transforming growth factor beta; TP53, tumor suppressor protein p53.

Figure 1. Imaging of pediatric and adult medulloblastomas. (A) Magnetic resonance imaging of an adult woman who has medulloblastoma in the brain and spine with leptomeningeal spread: (a) axial T1 of the brain post-gadolinium contrast; (b) coronal T1 of the brain post-gadolinium contrast; (c) sagittal T1 of the cervical spine post-gadolinium contrast. (B) Brain magnetic resonance imaging of pediatric medulloblastomas: (a) sagittal post-gadolinium WNT tumor; (b) axial T2 of a SHH tumor. Red arrows delineate the tumor/leptomeningeal disease.

Table 2. Staging and risk stratification of medulloblastomas.

Modified Chang Staging			
T stage		M stage	
T1	Tumor <3 cm in diameter	M0	No evidence of gross subarachnoid or hematogenous metastasis
T2	Tumor ≥3 cm in diameter	M1	Microscopic tumor cells found in CSF
T3a	Tumor >3 cm and with extension into aqueduct of Sylvius or foramen of Luschka	M2	Gross nodular seeding intracranially beyond the primary site (in cerebellar/cerebral subarachnoid space or in third or lateral ventricle)
T3b	Tumor >3 cm and with unequivocal extension into brainstem	M3	Gross nodular seeding in spinal subarachnoid space
T4	Tumor >3 cm with extension past aqueduct of Sylvius or down past foramen magnum	M4	Metastasis outside cerebrospinal axis
Risk Stratification			
Standard (Average) Risk (66%)		High Risk (34%)	
>3 years old		<3 years old	
<1.5 cm ² residual disease after resection		Subtotal resection, >1.5 cm ² residual tumor	
M0 by craniospinal MRI and CSF		M+, leptomeningeal seeding, and location outside of the posterior fossa	

CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

chemotherapy has not been shown to improve survival. In addition, vincristine and cisplatin cause significant toxicity. Infants younger than 3 years are often treated with high-dose chemotherapy and stem cell rescue regimens to delay the time to or avoid completely the administration of craniospinal irradiation^{9,11}.

Unfortunately, these treatment regimens come with considerable morbidity. For example, the majority of children treated with intensive chemotherapy and irradiation (especially infants and those exposed to higher doses) are at risk for significant hearing loss, endocrine and neurocognitive deficits, and secondary benign and malignant tumors¹¹.

Potentially new treatments and approaches

With the advent of genomics, it has become increasingly clear that medulloblastoma is not a discrete entity, as shown by the recent WHO classification⁸. Currently, there is a planned clinical study looking at the feasibility of surgery and chemotherapy in children with Wnt-positive medulloblastoma (NCT02212574). A Pediatric Brain Tumor Consortium study evaluated the use of GDC-0449 (vismodegib, Genentech Corporation, USA), which blocks a key protein (Smoothed, or SMO) in the SHH signaling pathway in medulloblastoma, and, as anticipated, patients with the SHH subtype who had the *SMO/PTCH* mutation responded to this drug¹². However, even in this group who responded to the vismodegib, it was transient with resistance developing quickly. Vismodegib has had some success in the recurrent SHH subgroup setting^{12,13}. A proposed consensus for the design of next-generation clinical trials was discussed by Ramaswamy *et al.*¹⁴ and is summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Adapted table of a proposed consensus for designing the next generation of clinical trials in medulloblastoma (Ramaswamy *et al.*¹⁴).

Medulloblastoma patient subgroups → genome-wide methylation array → molecularly informed clinical trial or other validated methods
Tissue collection from all patients → snap-frozen and paraffin-embedded tumor tissue, blood, and CSF
All patients require tumor board planning for a clinical trial registry: neuroimaging, neuropathology, and radiotherapy
Treatment-related side effects in all patients in the short and long term: quality-of-life measures and neuropsychological outcomes
Recurrent disease: tumors should be re-biopsied if the diagnosis was unclear, or 2 years after the initial diagnosis, or before using targeted therapy for 2 years
Extent of resection: neurosurgeons should aim for maximal safe removal

CSF, cerebrospinal fluid.

WNT subgroup of medulloblastoma

WNT medulloblastomas have evidence of WNT pathway (Figure 2A) activation in their transcription profiles and almost uniformly have oncogenic mutations of *CTNNB1*, the proto-oncogene that encodes β-catenin. This subgroup comprises 10–15% of all medulloblastomas, found mostly in females aged 6–10. Their expression profiles map to multipotential progenitor cells of the lower rhombic lip¹⁵. According to Phoenix *et al.*¹⁶,

Figure 2. WNT and SHH signaling pathways. (A) The WNT signaling pathway is mediated by the receptor Frizzled (FZ) and single-pass low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5 or 6 (LRP5/6). In the pathway's "off" state (in the event of low, no, or WNT ligand function inhibited by WNT inhibitor factor 1 [WIF-1] or secreted frizzle-related protein [SFRP]), β -catenin (β -cat) is targeted for phosphorylation by glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK3) and casein kinase I alpha (CKI α), aided by proteins AXIN and adenomatous polyposis coli (APC). β -catenin is then ubiquitinated and targeted for proteolysis by the proteasome. In the pathway's "on" state, WNT ligand is recognized by FZ and LRP5/6, and LRP5/6 is phosphorylated. The WNT-FZ-LRP5/6 trimeric complex triggers the recognition of Dishevelled (DSH) and AXIN. β -catenin is not phosphorylated, translocates to the nucleus, and functions as a transcriptional coactivator to activate TCF/LEF family transcription factors. Prominent drug targets that aim to regulate WNT-responsive gene expression²² include those that target (1) extracellular events, such as recognition of WNT by FZ and/or LRP5/6 (vantictumab and ipafricept), (2) cytoplasmic events, such as inhibition of DSH or stabilization of the AXIN/APC interaction (IWR-1; XAV939; 3289-8625; FJ9; NSC 668036; JW74), and (3) transcriptional activation, such as perturbing β -catenin function (PFK115-584; CGP049090; iCRT-3, -5, and -14; PRI-724). There are still other drugs that target events involved in WNT secretion to the extracellular space as well as other enzymes that regulate the pathway, but they are not shown in this schematic. Ub, ubiquitin. **(B)** The sonic hedgehog (SHH) pathway is mediated by the receptors Smoothened (SMO) and Patched (PTC). In the pathway's "off" state (in the event of low or no SHH ligand), SMO transport from intracellular vesicles to the membrane and its activity at the membrane are inhibited, in part by PTC. Members of transcription factor family GLI are inhibited by suppressor of fused (SUFU). Protein kinase A (PKA) phosphorylates the GLI transcription factors, which undergo proteasomal cleavage to yield a functional repressor form (GLI^R). GLI^R translocates to the nucleus and inhibits target gene expression. In the pathway's "on" state, SHH binds to and inhibits PTC and SUFU is inhibited. SMO levels at the membrane increase, leading to activation of GLI transcription factors, which translocate to the nucleus to activate SHH-responsive genes. Prominent drug targets that aim to regulate SHH-responsive gene expression¹³ include those that target (1) extracellular events, such as SMO function, including by inhibition of SHH (purmorphamine, cyclopamine, vismodegib¹²; sonidegib or Odomzo®, jervine; saridegib, CUR 61414, BMS-833923, glasdegib, PF-5274857, TAK-441, Taladegib, and SANT-1) and its binding to PTC (5E1, a monoclonal antibody), and (2) transcription activation, such as regulating GLI transcriptional activation (GANT61 and arsenic trioxide).

there is a "signaling paradox" identified in which mutant catenin beta 1 (CTNNB1) protein drives constitutive, oncogenic WNT signaling in medulloblastoma^{17,18}; this in turn silences normal WNT signaling in surrounding endothelial cells by producing inhibitors such as those of the secreted Frizzled-related protein (sFRP) family and WNT inhibitor factor 1 (WIF-1) that are secreted *in situ* (Figure 2A). CTNNB1 mutations are found in approximately 90% of WNT medulloblastomas, and nuclear accumulation of β -catenin is a biomarker for WNT pathway activation. WNT

medulloblastomas form a highly hemorrhagic vasculature that lacks a blood–brain barrier¹⁶. This may explain why these tumors are highly susceptible to chemotherapy, especially those that do not typically cross the blood–brain barrier, e.g. vincristine¹⁶. Monosomy 6 is also found in 80–85% of WNT medulloblastomas (incidentally, these do not harbor telomerase reverse transcriptase [TERT] mutations), DEAD-box helicase 3 (DDX3X) mutations are found in 50% of WNT tumors, TERT mutations are found in 31% of WNT tumors¹⁹, and the most common chromatin remodeling

mutation found in WNT tumors is SMARCA4. Note that tumor suppressor protein p53 (TP53) mutations present in 15% of WNT tumors have no prognostic impact, unlike SHH TP53-mutant tumors that are associated with poor prognosis²⁰.

Patients with WNT medulloblastomas tend to have the most favorable outcomes; hence, current treatment protocols for WNT subgroup tumors are designed to minimize radiation and standard chemotherapy (refer to NCT01878617) and seek new treatments that target oncogenic mechanisms. Northcott *et al.*²¹ suggested the use of panobinostat (a non-selective histone deacetylase inhibitor) (Novartis, USA) since the disruption of chromatin remodeling is thought to play a pivotal role in WNT medulloblastoma^{22,23}. Anastas and Moon²² also discuss a number of other potential inhibitors to the WNT pathway in their review.

SHH subgroup of medulloblastoma

The SHH medulloblastoma group is a complex heterogeneous group of tumors, and the pathway is delineated in [Figure 2B](#). SHH medulloblastomas have an intact blood–brain barrier and are less responsive to chemotherapy compared to WNT medulloblastomas¹⁶. Infants (0–4 years) are more likely to have *SUFU* mutations than other age groups, and 42% of the infant samples have *PTCH1* alterations, while children (4–17 years) have a higher incidence of *MYCN* and *GLI2* amplifications, and 36% have *PTCH1* alterations^{24,25}. Adults (anyone over the age of 17) are more likely to have *SMO* mutations than other age groups, and 54% of the adult samples have *PTCH1* alterations²⁵. Since there is a higher prevalence of *PTCH1* and *SMO* mutations in adult SHH medulloblastomas, this predicts responsiveness to inhibitors of the receptor SMO. Some tumors that arise from *SMO* mutations are sensitive to SMO inhibitors, but for others the *SMO* mutation renders the tumor insensitive²⁴. *MYCN* and *GLI2* amplifications or mutations also have been shown to be insensitive to SMO inhibitors^{12,13}. SHH-inhibiting drugs that act downstream of SMO are currently in development²⁴. TERT promoter mutations are present in 38% of SHH medulloblastomas and, interestingly, are present in 80% of adult SHH tumors¹⁹. Gorlin syndrome (also known as nevoid basal-cell carcinoma syndrome), caused by inherited germline *PTCH1* mutations or *de novo* (60% cases), is an autosomal dominant developmental disorder, and 5% of these individuals develop medulloblastomas during infancy²⁶. The outcome tends to be favorable as long as the patient does not have a *PTEN* or *GNAS* alteration²⁶. SHH-activated, *TP53*-mutant is a recent genetically defined WHO classification⁸. *TP53* mutations occur in 13% of SHH tumors, and many of these are germline mutations (Li-Fraumeni syndrome)^{23,24}. The SHH medulloblastomas with *TP53* mutations have extremely poor outcomes, and patients with these tumors should be selected for more intensive therapies and parents of those with germline mutations be offered genetic counseling¹⁴. Protocols in development include removing DNA alkylating chemotherapy and minimizing radiation therapy in *TP53*-mutant tumors and relying instead on antimetabolite, microtubule-disrupting, or other types of chemotherapy²⁵. The SJMB12 study (NCT01878617) is currently prospectively evaluating treatment of SHH medulloblastoma in molecularly and clinically defined low, average, and high-risk patients and post-chemotherapy maintenance treatment with GDC0449 in children >12 years of age. Also, PI3K, mTOR, arsenic trioxide, and AKT

inhibitors are potentially valuable in controlling specific targets in the SHH pathway and its interaction and links with the PI3K, mTOR, and AKT pathways²⁵.

Non-WNT/non-SHH: group 3 medulloblastoma

Patients with group 3 medulloblastoma have a poor prognosis, and more than 50% of cases are metastatic at the time of diagnosis⁴. Interestingly, older children with group 3 medulloblastomas have a 50% survival in 5 years if they have risk-adapted therapy. These tumors are more common in males and infants. This subgroup is notable for *MYC* over-expression, with *MYC* amplification observed in 17% of cases³. Isochromosome 17q is a predictor of poor outcome in group 3 medulloblastomas²⁷. A large proportion of these group 3 medulloblastomas overexpress GABRA5, which may have therapeutic implications^{3,28–31}. Mutations in a number of genes involving chromatin remodeling affect 28.5% of group 3 tumors³¹. Copy number changes that target genes in the transforming growth factor beta (TGF- β) signaling pathway affect approximately 20% of group 3 tumors³¹. In addition, *PTV1* alterations are present in 12% of tumors, often as a fusion with *MYC* that drives its expression³¹. The Wechsler-Reya group has shown HDAC and PI3K inhibitor combinations are promising in models of group 3 medulloblastoma³⁰. The SJMB12 study (for all medulloblastoma subgroups, mentioned in the previous section) is also prospectively evaluating the use of pemetrexed, gemcitabine, vincristine, cisplatin, and cyclophosphamide in the high-risk medulloblastoma cases, and this study is currently open.

Non-WNT/non-SHH: group 4 medulloblastoma

Group 4 medulloblastoma is also known as the glutamatergic subgroup, and it is the commonest molecular subgroup. For specific characteristics of this subgroup, please refer to [Table 1](#). The average-risk patients in this subgroup have excellent survival with the current standard-of-care treatment options¹¹. It has a phototransduction and neuronal signature in its transcription profile, as initially described by Cho *et al.*³. However, more recently, the homeobox transcription factor Lmx1A has been identified as a master regulator transcription factor of group 4 medulloblastomas³². Lmx1A is important in the normal development of cells in the upper rhombic lip and cerebellum, and it is also critical for the development of midbrain dopaminergic neurons^{31,32}, which are thought to be where group 4 tumors originate. Interestingly, this subgroup of medulloblastoma is three times more common in males than in females⁴. More recently, the presence of metastatic disease at diagnosis or chromosome 11 loss and chromosome 17 gain appear to dictate the prognosis in this subgroup of medulloblastoma patients²⁷. In addition, copy number changes in target genes that are important in the nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF- κ B) signaling pathway are found in this subgroup^{29,31}. The most common chromosomal aberration found in group 4 tumors is isochromosome 17q; it is also found to a lesser degree in group 3 tumors⁴.

Recurrent medulloblastomas

Despite the subgroup designation of medulloblastomas, at recurrence (tumor relapse with or without leptomeningeal dissemination), there is substantial divergence of the dominant clone³³. Interestingly, however, subgroup classification is maintained at

recurrence or metastasis³³. Most medulloblastomas that recur post-cytotoxic therapies are fatal, and the patterns of relapse tend to be subgroup specific. The concept of intratumor heterogeneity was initially described by Gerlinger *et al.*³⁴ for renal cell cancer, and more recently for medulloblastoma³⁵. In addition, the microenvironment of the tumor will have implications for drug design, including immunotherapies³⁶. This might be why, despite the design of targeted clinical trials, there is failure of these targeted agents³⁷.

Secondary tumors following treatment of medulloblastomas

There is the added caveat of medulloblastoma patients who survive radiation and chemotherapy who then go on to develop other tumors such as meningiomas and gliomas³⁷, etc. Packer *et al.*³⁷ in the Children's Oncology Group A9961 trial described a cohort of 379 patients (aged 3 to 21), and 15 of these patients went on to develop secondary tumors 5.8 years after their initial diagnosis of medulloblastoma. Thus, recurrence and secondary cancers post-standard treatment of medulloblastoma patients makes monitoring of this pediatric population well into adulthood a necessity.

Many groups are looking at targeted next-generation sequencing approaches in neuro-oncology in the initial diagnosis and recurrent setting to improve treatment options³⁸, since there is a lack of validated targets for non-SHH/WNT medulloblastoma that sequencing may help unravel. In addition, many cancers, including medulloblastomas, have DDX3X mutations, as briefly discussed in the WNT section, and recent work has shown that mutations in this gene result in global reduced translation³⁹. This may confer certain survival advantages, perhaps in certain microenvironments, which may aid in designing alternative therapeutic options³⁶.

Future directions

Despite dissecting medulloblastomas in the “genomic sense”, it is clear that therapy cannot be dictated by the subgroups alone. There are other key players, and epigenetics has a big role to play⁴⁰. Indeed, it is becoming increasingly clear that global changes in the epigenetic architecture are signatures of cancer and tumorigenesis. It was described in 2014 by Diede *et al.*⁴¹ that DNA methylation probably prevents normal differentiation in pediatric cancers. It is

known that focal regions of low methylation linked to transcription-factor-binding sites shed light on differential transcriptional networks between subgroups; however, increased methylation correlates with gene expression^{41,42}.

Although in its infancy in medulloblastoma, proteomics is another strategy being utilized to analyze the tumor microenvironment, since other metabolites, such as small peptides and lipids, can be crucial in regulating tumor development⁴³. Summarizing the future of medulloblastoma treatment, numerous strategies for designing and tailoring treatment for medulloblastoma will evolve to harness the different technologies, such as genomics, methylomics, and proteomics. Even though personalized medicine is not de rigueur in medulloblastoma management, it is something that is on the horizon.

Abbreviations

CTNNB1, catenin beta 1; DDX3X, DEAD-box helicase 3; SHH, sonic hedgehog signaling pathway; TERT, telomerase reverse transcriptase; TP53, tumor suppressor protein p53; WNT, Wnt signaling pathway.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Grant information

Soma Sengupta is supported by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke grant (K08 NS083626), and the Winship Cancer Institute Institutional Research grant (IRG-14-188-01) from the American Cancer Society.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr Tobey MacDonald for a critical review of the manuscript and the reviewers for their constructive feedback. We would also like to thank Drs Richard Robertson (Radiology, Boston Children's Hospital) and Chad Holder (Radiology and Imaging Sciences, Emory University Hospital) for producing publication-quality MRI images for this review.

References

- Ostrom QT, Gittleman H, Liao P, *et al.*: **CBTRUS statistical report: primary brain and central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the United States in 2007–2011.** *Neuro Oncol.* 2014; **16**(Suppl 4): iv1–63.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Gajjar A, Packer RJ, Foreman NK, *et al.*: **Children's Oncology Group's 2013 blueprint for research: central nervous system tumors.** *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2013; **60**(6): 1022–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Cho YJ, Tsherniak A, Tamayo P, *et al.*: **Integrative genomic analysis of medulloblastoma identifies a molecular subgroup that drives poor clinical outcome.** *J Clin Oncol.* 2011; **29**(11): 1424–30.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Kool M, Korshunov A, Remke M, *et al.*: **Molecular subgroups of medulloblastoma: an international meta-analysis of transcriptome, genetic aberrations, and clinical data of WNT, SHH, Group 3, and Group 4 medulloblastomas.** *Acta Neuropathol.* 2012; **123**(4): 473–84.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
- Taylor MD, Northcott PA, Korshunov A, *et al.*: **Molecular subgroups of medulloblastoma: the current consensus.** *Acta Neuropathol.* 2012; **123**(4):

- 465–72.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
6. **F** Jones DT, Jäger N, Kool M, *et al.*: **Dissecting the genomic complexity underlying medulloblastoma.** *Nature*. 2012; **488**(7409): 100–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 7. Bailey P, Cushing H: **Medulloblastoma cerebelli: a common type of midcerebellar glioma of childhood.** *Arch Neurol Psychiatry*. 1925; **14**(2): 192–223.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 8. Louis DN, Ohgaki H, Wiestler OD, *et al.*: **WHO classification of tumors of the central nervous system.** Revised 4th edition. 2016; 184–200.
[Reference Source](#)
 9. Packer RJ, Vezina G: **Management of and prognosis with medulloblastoma: therapy at a crossroads.** *Arch Neurol*. 2008; **65**(11): 1419–24.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 10. **F** Tamburrini G, Frassanito P, Chieffo D, *et al.*: **Cerebellar mutism.** *Childs Nerv Syst*. 2015; **31**(10): 1841–51.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 11. MacDonald TJ, Packer RJ: **Pediatric Medulloblastoma.** *Medscape Drugs & Diseases*. 2014.
[Reference Source](#)
 12. **F** Robinson GW, Orr BA, Wu G, *et al.*: **Vismodegib Exerts Targeted Efficacy Against Recurrent Sonic Hedgehog-Subgroup Medulloblastoma: Results From Phase II Pediatric Brain Tumor Consortium Studies PBT-025B and PBT-032.** *J Clin Oncol*. 2015; **33**(24): 2646–54.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 13. **F** Samkari A, White J, Packer R: **SHH inhibitors for the treatment of medulloblastoma.** *Expert Rev Neurother*. 2015; **15**(7): 763–70.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 14. Ramaswamy V, Remke M, Bouffet E, *et al.*: **Risk stratification of childhood medulloblastoma in the molecular era: the current consensus.** *Acta Neuropathol*. 2016; **131**(6): 821–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 15. **F** Robinson G, Parker M, Kranenburg TA, *et al.*: **Novel mutations target distinct subgroups of medulloblastoma.** *Nature*. 2012; **488**(7409): 43–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 16. **F** Phoenix TN, Patmore DM, Boop S, *et al.*: **Medulloblastoma Genotype Dictates Blood Brain Barrier Phenotype.** *Cancer Cell*. 2016; **29**(4): 508–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 17. Gibson P, Tong Y, Robinson G, *et al.*: **Subtypes of medulloblastoma have distinct developmental origins.** *Nature*. 2010; **468**(7327): 1095–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 18. Clevers H, Nusse R: **Wnt/ β -catenin signaling and disease.** *Cell*. 2012; **149**(6): 1192–205.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 19. Remke M, Ramaswamy V, Peacock J, *et al.*: **TERT promoter mutations are highly recurrent in SHH subgroup medulloblastoma.** *Acta Neuropathol*. 2013; **126**(6): 917–29.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 20. **F** Chiang JC, Ellison DW: **Molecular pathology of paediatric central nervous system tumours.** *J Pathol*. 2017; **241**(2): 159–72.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 21. Northcott PA, Jones DT, Kool M, *et al.*: **Medulloblastomics: the end of the beginning.** *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2012; **12**(12): 818–34.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 22. Anastas JN, Moon RT: **WNT signalling pathways as therapeutic targets in cancer.** *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2013; **13**(1): 11–26.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 23. Kahn M: **Can we safely target the WNT pathway?** *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2014; **13**(7): 513–32.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 24. **F** Zhukova N, Ramaswamy V, Remke M, *et al.*: **Subgroup-specific prognostic implications of TP53 mutation in medulloblastoma.** *J Clin Oncol*. 2013; **31**(23): 2927–35.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 25. Kool M, Jones DT, Jäger N, *et al.*: **Genome sequencing of SHH medulloblastoma predicts genotype-related response to smoothened inhibition.** *Cancer Cell*. 2014; **25**(3): 393–405.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 26. **F** Gururangan S, Robinson G, Ellison DW, *et al.*: **Gorlin syndrome and desmoplastic medulloblastoma: Report of 3 cases with unfavorable clinical course and novel mutations.** *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2015; **62**(10): 1855–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 27. Shih DJ, Northcott PA, Remke M, *et al.*: **Cytogenetic prognostication within medulloblastoma subgroups.** *J Clin Oncol*. 2014; **32**(9): 886–96.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 28. Sengupta S, Weeraratne SD, Cho Y, *et al.*: **Could α 5-GABA-A receptor activation be used as a target for managing medulloblastomas?** *CNS Oncol*. 2014; **3**(4): 245–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 29. **F** Pugh TJ, Weeraratne SD, Archer TC, *et al.*: **Medulloblastoma exome sequencing uncovers subtype-specific somatic mutations.** *Nature*. 2012; **488**(7409): 106–10.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 30. **F** Pei Y, Liu KW, Wang J, *et al.*: **HDAC and PI3K Antagonists Cooperate to Inhibit Growth of MYC-Driven Medulloblastoma.** *Cancer Cell*. 2016; **29**(3): 311–23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 31. **F** Northcott PA, Shih DJ, Peacock J, *et al.*: **Subgroup-specific structural variation across 1,000 medulloblastoma genomes.** *Nature*. 2012; **488**(7409): 49–56.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 32. **F** Lin CY, Erkek S, Tong Y, *et al.*: **Active medulloblastoma enhancers reveal subgroup-specific cellular origins.** *Nature*. 2016; **530**(7588): 57–62.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 33. **F** Ramaswamy V, Remke M, Bouffet E, *et al.*: **Recurrence patterns across medulloblastoma subgroups: an integrated clinical and molecular analysis.** *Lancet Oncol*. 2013; **14**(12): 1200–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 34. **F** Gerlinger M, Rowan AJ, Horswell S, *et al.*: **Intratumor heterogeneity and branched evolution revealed by multiregion sequencing.** *N Engl J Med*. 2012; **366**(10): 883–92.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 35. **F** Morrissey AS, Garzia L, Shih DJ, *et al.*: **Divergent clonal selection dominates medulloblastoma at recurrence.** *Nature*. 2016; **529**(7586): 351–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 36. Pham CD, Mitchell DA: **Know your neighbors: Different tumor microenvironments have implications in immunotherapeutic targeting strategies across MB subgroups.** *Oncoimmunology*. 2016; **5**(11): e1144002.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 37. Packer RJ, Zhou T, Holmes E, *et al.*: **Survival and secondary tumors in children with medulloblastoma receiving radiotherapy and adjuvant chemotherapy: results of Children's Oncology Group trial A9961.** *Neuro Oncol*. 2013; **15**(1): 97–103.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 38. **F** Kline CN, Joseph NM, Grenet JP, *et al.*: **Targeted next-generation sequencing of pediatric neuro-oncology patients improves diagnosis, identifies pathogenic germline mutations, and directs targeted therapy.** *Neuro Oncol*. 2016; pii: now254.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 39. **F** Valentín-Vega YA, Wang YD, Parker M, *et al.*: **Cancer-associated DDX3X mutations drive stress granule assembly and impair global translation.** *Sci Rep*. 2016; **6**: 25996.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 40. Batora NV, Sturm D, Jones DT, *et al.*: **Transitioning from genotypes to epigenotypes: why the time has come for medulloblastoma epigenomics.** *Neuroscience*. 2014; **264**: 171–85.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 41. Diede SJ, Guenthoer J, Geng LN, *et al.*: **DNA methylation of developmental genes in pediatric medulloblastomas identified by denaturation analysis of methylation differences.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2010; **107**(1): 234–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 42. **F** Hovestadt V, Jones DT, Picelli S, *et al.*: **Decoding the regulatory landscape of medulloblastoma using DNA methylation sequencing.** *Nature*. 2014; **510**(7506): 537–41.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 43. **F** Staal JA, Lau LS, Zhang H, *et al.*: **Proteomic profiling of high risk medulloblastoma reveals functional biology.** *Oncotarget*. 2015; **6**(16): 14584–95.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

The reviewers who approved this article are:

Version 1

- 1. Charles Eberhart**
Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, MD, USA
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
- 2. Sridharan Gururangan**
Preston A. Wells Center for Brain Tumor Therapy, Department of Neurosurgery, McKnight Brain Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
- 3. James T Rutka**
Division of Neurosurgery, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON, Canada
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research