RESEARCH ARTICLE

Accessibility to health services among migrant workers in the Northeast of Thailand [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Suprawee Khongthanachayopit ¹, Wongsu Laohasiriwong ²

¹Faculty of Public Health, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, 40002, Thailand

²Research and Training Center for Enhancing Quality of Life of Working-Age People, Faculty of Public Health, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, 40002, Thailand

V1 First published: 22 Jun 2017, 6:972
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11651.1>
 Latest published: 22 Jun 2017, 6:972
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11651.1>

Abstract

Background. There is an increasing trend of trans-border migration from neighboring countries to Thailand. According to human rights laws, everyone must have access to health services, even if they are from other nationalities. However, a small minority of health personnel in Thailand discriminate against immigrant workers, as they are from a lower financial bracket.

Methods. This cross-sectional study aims to determine the prevalence of accessibility to health services and factors associated with access to health services among migrant workers who work along the Northeast border of Thailand. A total of 621 legal migrant workers were randomly selected to respond to a structured questionnaire about the satisfaction of health services, using the 5As of health services: availability; accessibility; accommodation; affordability; acceptability. Associations between independent variables and access to health services were analysed using multiple logistic regression analysis.

Results. The results indicated that the majority of these registered migrant workers were female (63.9%) with an average age of 29 ± 8.61 years old, and were married (54.3%). Most of the workers worked at restaurants (80%), whereas only 20% were in agricultural sectors. Only 14% (95% CI: 11-17%) of migrant workers had access to health services. The factors that were significantly associated with accessibility to health service experienced ill health during the past one year (OR = 2.48; 95%CI: 1.54–3.97; p-value<0.001); have been married (OR = 2.32; 95% CI: 1.40 – 3.90; p-value <0.001).

Conclusions. Most of the migrant workers could not access health services. The ones who did access health services were married or ill.

Keywords

accessibility, health service, migrant workers, curative

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 1		
22 Jun 2017	view	view

1. Songkramchai Leethongdee,

Maharakham University, Maha Sarakham, Thailand

2. Bhunyabhadh Chaimay,

Papayom, Thailand

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Wongs Laohasiriwong (drwongsa@gmail.com)

Author roles: **Khongthanachayopit S:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Investigation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Laohasiriwong W:** Methodology, Supervision, Validation

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2017 Khongthanachayopit S and Laohasiriwong W. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

How to cite this article: Khongthanachayopit S and Laohasiriwong W. **Accessibility to health services among migrant workers in the Northeast of Thailand [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2017, 6:972
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11651.1>

First published: 22 Jun 2017, 6:972 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11651.1>

Introduction

Mobilization of people across borders is widely spread around the world. There has been an increasing trend of migrant workers in Thailand, who are allowed to work all over the country. These individuals have increased by 13.18% since 2013, to comprise 87.99% of workers in 2014, totaling over 3 million individuals. These migrant workers are mostly from three nationalities: Burmese, Laotian and Cambodian¹. The workers' physical appearance, language, and culture are quite similar to the Thai population, which causes the numbers of migrant workers and patients from neighbouring countries to increase annually². The country is in need of migrant workers for jobs that are mostly labour intensive both in agricultural and industrial sectors, which can be of a risky nature with lower wages. The number of migrants from many countries has rapidly increased as a result of economic development activities, trade and tourism along Thai borders. The growth of immigration is clearly seen, especially in the special economic zones, and Thailand is also an ASEAN Member State since December 2015.

The migrant workers mainly work as unskilled labour in dirty, dangerous and degrading conditions that leaves them exposed to a higher risk of communicable diseases, such as tuberculosis³. From the literature it is noted that 40% of migrant workers do not have a health insurance card, which results in lower access to healthcare services compared to those with a health insurance card⁴. It is mandatory that government healthcare services in the border provinces should serve these foreign patients, whether they can afford the medical expense or not. Several government healthcare institutes have used the budget allocated for Thai patients to support foreign patients⁵. However, in 2015 the Thai government attempted to solve these problems by allowing foreigners and migrant workers to purchase a health insurance card with different coverage periods and extended the coverage to the foreign workers. Even a migrant worker who is legally registered with the Ministry of Labour has numerous difficulties in using a government health insurance card, for example the employer confiscates the health card from the workers, or the workers prefer private clinics due to inadequate attention in public hospitals⁶. This obstructs migrant workers from having access to good healthcare. In addition, there are other factors, such as communications barriers, frustrations in contacting the government officers at the hospital, the distance from their residential areas or work place to the public hospital, that have hindered their access to health services, which, according to human rights, migrants must have equity of access to health care.

The concept of accessibility is a central objective of many health care systems. Nevertheless, there are substantial challenges to achieving this goal of health security for migrants. Access and how they experience their access to health service is important for the policy maker. A literature review of studies on accessibility to health services of migrant workers are limited, especially in Thailand. Data on accessibility to health service are not consistent and there are not enough studies on the given factors⁷. The literature on health and access to care of migrants is limited and different in focus and quality⁸. A previous study found that the migrant workers experienced alienation and inequality when

they were treated at healthcare services⁹. Therefore, there is still ambiguity in the knowledge regarding the current situation of migrant workers in the Northeast and associated factors during their work in Thailand. This study examines the factors associated with access to health services among legal migrant workers in the Northeast of Thailand.

Methods

This cross-sectional study aims to examine the prevalence of accessibility to health services and factors associated with access for legal migrant workers in the Northeast of Thailand. The study applied the concept of access developed by Penschansky & Thomas in 1981¹⁰. The accessibility to health services in this study focused on satisfactory health services in terms of availability, accessibility, accommodation, affordability and acceptability (the 5As). To avoid recall bias, we trained the interviewer and carefully asked the questions in the migrants' language (LAO).

Study participants

The inclusion criteria were legal migrant workers, who were not of Thai nationality, but from LAO, and had registered as migrant workers with the Department of Employment, the Ministry of Labor, and had been working in Nakhon Phanom, Mukdahan and Nong Khai province. The participants were migrant workers who had stayed in Thailand and had expired work permits dated 31 March 2016. Migrants were then selected randomly from a list once they re-registered.

The required sample size was estimated by using a formula for multiple logistic regression¹¹, to identify relationships between multiple independent variables and a dichotomous dependent variable. Hence, the sample size was 547, with 15% increase to allow for potential non-responders. Therefore, the total number of samples was 629 individuals. Due to incompleteness of some questionnaires only 621 samples were included in this study.

The participants were selected in this study by systemic random sampling from the name list of re-registered migrant workers from three provinces that were located in the North east part of Thailand.

Accessibility questionnaire

When investigating access, we classified the dichotomous dependent variable into two groups: access and non - access. The questionnaire tool was developed from reviewing literature^{10,12,13} and was also pretested among 30 workers in Loei province, which is a different area from the data collection site. Most of these workers worked in factories. The feedback from these workers was that the questionnaire was complex and required simple language for it to be understood. Hence the questionnaire was made simpler in language and re distributed. Reliability was assessed using Cronbach's alpha, yielding a score of 0.80, which was judged and accepted. Three experts (Prevention of HIV/AIDS Among Migrant Workers in Thailand [PHAMIT Project] Thailand; Nakhon Phanom University, Thailand; Mahasarakham University, Thailand) inspected and commented on the draft questionnaire, then revision was made to improve its validity. It was also validated by Khon Kaen University Ethics Committee. The study

used a structured questionnaire. The question was applied to the concept of access developed by Penschansky & Thomas in 1981¹⁰, which stated that access is a fit between patient need and actual outcome.

Data analysis

The data collection process was conducted by approaching a migrant at either their home or work place. Subsequently, the migrant workers were asked to respond to a structured questionnaire interview. All participants were interviewed by trained bilingual interviewers face-to-face. After data collection, the data was validated, coded and analysed using STATA® (ver. 13; College Station, TX, USA: Stata Corp).

In part 2 of the questionnaire “Knowledge of right and benefit in health insurance of migrant workers” 0, correct; 1, wrong. In part 3 “Expectation and satisfaction from health service” and part 4 “Access to health service”, three choices were offered; however, in STATA (multiple logistic regression), there was provision only for two choices, 0 and 1. Hence the choices 1, 2, 3 had to be limited to 0 and 1: 1, high or moderate; 0, low (in dataset: 1, low; 2, moderate; 3, high).

Descriptive statistics were used to examine the characteristics of migrant workers and the prevalence of access. Associations between independent variables and access to health services were calculated by using multiple logistic regression.

Ethics statement

The researcher submitted the approval request to the Office of the Khon Kaen University Ethics Committee in Human Research, which was approved (approval number, HE 592096). A coding scheme was used for data collection, and every document relating to the participants, such as the questionnaire, was destroyed on completion of research.

Only oral consent and no written consent was obtained from all participants prior to participation. Only oral consent was obtained in order to protect the rights of the participants, since they wanted their information to be confidential (participants were worried that if they provided written consent, they would be vulnerable to government checks as they are from LAO and not citizens of Thailand).

Results

Characteristics of migrant workers

The characteristics of the migrant workers are shown in Table 1. The results indicated that from the total of 621 legal migrant workers, the majority of these individuals were female (63.9%), married (54.3%) with the average age of 29±8.61 years old. Most of the workers worked at restaurants (80.0%), whereas only 20.0% were in agricultural sectors. The majority had a monthly income < 9,000 Baht. About one-third of the migrant workers were ill (37.2 %) in the past year.

Table 1. Characteristics of migrant workers in the Northeast of Thailand (n = 621).

Characteristics	Number	Percent
Sex		
Male	224	36.1
Female	397	63.9
Age (years)		
<25	231	37.2
25–35	245	39.4
35–45	95	14.3
>45	50	8.1
Mean±SD	29.07±8.61	
Median (Min:Max)	27.0 (18:59)	
Marital status		
Single	284	45.7
Married	337	54.3
Education		
Uneducated	252	40.6
Educated	369	59.4
Income (Baht)		
≤9,000	447	72.1
>9,000	173	27.9
Mean±SD	6535.5±3377.4	
Median (Min:Max)	6000 (1500:25000)	
Occupation		
Agriculture sector	124	20.0
Employment in restaurant/factory	497	80.0
Experience of illness		
Not ill	390	62.8
Ill	231	37.2
Distance (km)		
≤5	454	73.1
>5	167	26.9
Mean±SD	4.82±4.30	
Median (Min:Max)	3 (1:25)	
Knowledge of health insurance card		
No	123	19.8
Yes	498	80.2
Residency type		
Live alone	225	36.2
Live with family/employer	396	63.8

Accessibility to health services

Even though 37.2% of the migrant workers were ill during the past one year, only 14% (95% CI: 11–17%) of migrant workers had access to health services (Table 2). The common illness that was found among migrant workers were musculoskeletal disorders

(7.57%), diabetes mellitus (5.61%), antenatal care (4.76%), hypertension (2.21%) and allergy (1.76%). The average distance from their residence to the public hospital was 4.82 ± 4.30 km, with 73.1% at a distance <5 km.

Table 2. Crude odds ratio obtained from performing bivariate analysis of each factor and accessibility to health service of migrant workers (n = 621).

Factors	Number	Access %	Crude OR	95% CI	p-value
Overall	621	14.0		11–17	
Sex					0.564
Male	224	13	1		
Female	397	14.6	1.15	0.71–1.86	
Age (years)					0.001
<25	231	9.1	1		
25–35	245	12.2	1.40	0.77 – 2.52	
35–45	95	42.2	3.57	1.88 – 6.77	
>45	50	42.1	2.82	1.26 – 6.31	
Income (Baht)					<0.001
≤9,000	447	16.3	1		
>9,000	173	8.1	0.45	0.25 – 0.81	
Marital status					<0.001
Single	284	8.1	1		
Married	337	19.0	2.66	1.60 – 4.41	
Level of education					0.526
Uneducated	252	15.1	1		
Educated	369	13.3	0.86	0.55 – 1.36	
Occupation					0.191
Agriculture sector	124	17.3	1		
Employment in restaurant/factory	497	13.1	0.70	0.41 – 1.18	
Experience of illness					<0.001
Not ill	390	9.2	1		
Ill	231	22.1	2.79	1.75 – 4.43	
Distance (km)					0.528
≤5	454	14.5	1		
>5	167	12.6	0.85	1.50 – 1.43	
Knowledge of health insurance card					<0.01
No	123	21.1	1		
Yes	498	12.3	0.52	0.31 – 0.87	
Residency type					<0.001
Live alone	225	21.3	1		
Live with family/employer	396	9.89	0.40	0.25 – 0.64	

Crude odds ratio obtained from performing bivariate analysis of each factor and accessibility to health services for migrant workers

Factors that had a relationship with access to health care service were age, income, marital status, occupation, the experience of illness during the past one year, knowledge of health insurance card, and place of residence, and these underwent simple logistic regression. Only the factors that had $p < 0.25$ in the simple logistic regression were selected for further multivariate analysis using multiple logistic regression (Table 2).

Multivariable analysis for associated factors of accessibility to health services of migrant workers

The multivariable analysis identified only two factors that were associated with migrant workers access to health services. These factors were being married (adj. OR = 2.73; 95%CI: 1.39 – 3.90) and being ill during the past one-year (adj. OR = 2.48; 95% CI: 1.55 – 3.97). The results are shown in Table 3.

Dataset 1. Raw data gathered from the questionnaire

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.11651.d165357>

Discussion

About one-third of the migrant workers who participated in the current study were ill during the past year (37.2%). However, the most common illness was musculoskeletal disorders and general illness. This may be related to the work that the migrants performed, since most of them work at restaurants, factories and in the agricultural fields. The results were similar to migrant farmworkers in the Northern Shenandoah Valley, in whom the most common health problems reported were musculoskeletal pain¹⁴.

The migrant workers seldom had severe health problems, maybe because they were mostly of an age that is usually healthy. In addition, all legal migrant workers had to have a physical examination before being allowed to register with the Ministry

of Labor. This study, in accordance with another study in Thailand¹⁵, stated that even though many Myanmar workers had access to the health service, around half of the migrants would not go to the health centers until their conditions worsened. This study found very poor access to health services (14%), which is a different result from a study among immigrants in Portugal, which stated that 77% of immigrants reported having used health services¹⁶.

In health care utilization amongst Shenzhen migrant workers who reported illness, 62.15% did not visit a doctor because of inability to pay¹⁷, which is the same reason why immigrants in Thailand in this study did not visit health services (72.1%) - as they had a low income, less than 9,000 baht per month. Therefore, the main barriers to health access for the urban poor related to interacting effects of poverty¹⁸. Migrants did not use the health service in spite of the workers having a health insurance card and the distance from home to health center was not too far. This is in contrasts to another study that found that the most common reasons for non-utilization of a medical card was a lack of transportation and lack of knowledge of where to go for care¹⁹.

The multivariate analysis indicated that only two factors were associated with access to health services among migrant workers when controlling for other covariates. The first factor was that they experienced illness during the past year (adj. OR = 2.32; 95%CI: 1.40 – 3.90; p -value < 0.001). Those with chronic illnesses had a high cost of health services, so the migrant workers used the service of the hospital whereas those with mild musculoskeletal disorders seldom used the health service card. They were used only for chronic illness, as treatment was expensive. In nearly all cases, poorer physical and mental health was a significant predictor of increased utilization. Perceived need and self-rated health were also associated with health services used in some studies²⁰.

The second factor was marital status (adj. OR = 2.48; 95%CI: 1.54 – 3.97; p -value < 0.001): those that were married might have better support from their partners to access the health service, and migrants could share news and information about the health services within their families. Moreover, they could get more social support from others when they had health problems. According to Babitsch 2012²⁰ which was a systematic review of studies from 1998–2011, married individuals use health services more than single individuals. In addition, Australian women who were separated, divorced, or living with children used a general practitioner more compared to their counterparts.

Conclusion

The overall prevalence of access to health services among migrant workers was 14%, which was rather low when compared to the prevalence of illness at 37.2%. The findings support that personal factors were statistically associated with access to health service. Those who had experienced illness during the past year would seek health services to cure their health problems, especially among those with severe illness and those who received support from family.

Table 3. Multivariable analysis for associated factors of accessibility to health service of migrant workers using multiple logistic regression (n = 621).

Factors	Number	Access %	Adjusted OR	95% CI	p-value
Marital status					< 0.001
Single	284	8.1	1		
Married	337	19.0	2.73	1.39 – 3.90	
Experience of illness					< 0.001
Not ill	390	9.2	1		
Ill	231	22.1	2.48	1.55 – 3.97	

Data availability

Dataset 1: Raw data gathered from the questionnaire. doi, [10.5256/f1000research.11651.d165357](https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.11651.d165357)²¹

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express sincere thanks and appreciation to all migrant workers who participated in this study.

Supplementary material

Supplementary File 1: Questionnaire asked to migrants workers, relating to accessibility of health services.

[Click here to access the data.](#)

References

- Office of Foreign Affairs Administration, Department of Employment: **Report on the results of the alien work permit application for 2014**. Nonthaburi: The Office; 2014.
- Chamchan C, Apipornchaisakul K: **A situation analysis on health System strengthening for migrants in Thailand**. Nakhon Pathom: Institute for Population and Social Research, Mahidol University; 2012.
[Reference Source](#)
- Naing T, Geater A, Punggrassami P: **Migrant workers' occupation and healthcare-seeking preferences for TB-suspicious symptoms and other health problems: a survey among immigrant workers in Songkhla province, southern Thailand**. *BMC Int Health Hum Rights*. 2012; 12: 22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Guendelman S, Wier M, Angulo V, *et al.*: **The effects of child-only insurance coverage and family coverage on health care access and use: recent findings among low-income children in California**. *Health Serv Res*. 2006; 41(1): 125–47.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Archavanikul K: **Migrant workers and Thailand's health security system**. Nakhon Pathom: Institute for Population and Social Research, Mahidol University; 2013.
[Reference Source](#)
- Webber G, Spitzer D, Somrongthong R, *et al.*: **Facilitators and barriers to accessing reproductive health care for migrant beer promoters in Cambodia, Laos, Thailand and Vietnam: a mixed methods study**. *Global Health*. 2012; 8: 21.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Fernández-Mayoralas G, Rodríguez V, Rojo F: **Health services accessibility among Spanish elderly**. *Soc Sci Med*. 2000; 50(1): 17–26.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Woodward A, Howard N, Wolffers I: **Health and access to care for undocumented migrants living in the European Union: a scoping review**. *Health Policy Plan*. 2014; 29(7): 818–30.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Yimyam S: **Health behavior and access to reproductive health in Thai Yai female migrant worke**. *Public Health J*. 2012; 42(3): 68–52.
- Penchansky R, Thomas JW: **The concept of access: definition and relationship to consumer satisfaction**. *Med Care*. 1981; 19(2): 127–40.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Hsieh FY, Bloch DA, Larsen MD: **A simple method of sample size calculation for linear and logistic regression**. *Stat Med*. 1998; 17(14): 1623–34.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Jantara B: **Access to health services under the universal coverage policy among elderly in Khon Kaen municipal area**. Khon Kaen: Graduate School, Khon Kaen University; 2006.
- Wongkongdech A, Laohasiriwong W: **Movement disability: situations and factors influencing access to health services in the Northeast of Thailand**. *Kathmandu Univ Med J*. 2014; 12(47): 168–74.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kelly NJ: **Migrant farmworkers in the Northern Shenandoah Valley: health status and access to care**. Charlottesville (VA): Department of Nursing, University of Virginia; 2001.
- Rakprasit J, Nakamura K, Seino K, *et al.*: **Healthcare use for communicable diseases among migrant workers in comparison with Thai workers**. *Ind Health*. 2017; 55(1): 67–75.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Dias S, Gama A, Cortes M, *et al.*: **Healthcare-seeking patterns among immigrants in Portugal**. *Heal Soc Care Community*. 2011; 19(5): 514–21.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Mou J, Cheng J, Zhang D, *et al.*: **Health care utilisation amongst Shenzhen migrant workers: does being insured make a difference?** *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2009; 9: 214.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Andermann A, CLEAR Collaboration: **Taking action on the social determinants of health in clinical practice: a framework for health professionals**. *CMAJ*. 2016; 188(17–18): E474–83.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Weathers A, Minkovitz C, O'Campo P, *et al.*: **Access to care for children of migratory agricultural workers: factors associated with unmet need for medical care**. *Pediatrics*. 2004; 113(4): e276–282.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Babitsch B, Gohl D, von Lengerke T: **Re-visiting Andersen's Behavioral Model of Health Services Use: a systematic review of studies from 1998–2011**. *Psycho-Soc Med*. 2012; 9: Doc11.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Khongthanachayopit S, Laohasiriwong W: **Dataset 1 in: Accessibility to health services among migrant workers in the Northeast of Thailand**. *F1000Research*. 2017.
[Data Source](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 26 September 2017

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.12585.r23738>

© 2017 Chaimay B. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Bhunyabhadh Chaimay

Department of Public Health, Faculty of Health and Sports Science, Thaksin University, Papayom, Thailand

This is a very good article related to health services among migrant workers in Thailand, which is a hot issues. However, there are 4 issues to discuss in this article;

1. The objectives of the study mentioned in abstract, introduction and method are not relevance. Regarding the end of introduction mentioned only factors associated but not mentioned about the prevalence of
2. Regarding the method, authors mentioned that to avoid recall bias.... In my opinion, this should be information bias.
3. About discussion, please check the accuracy of the effect size of factors marital status and experience of illness between table 3 and the discussion column 2, paragraph 3 and 4. These are not relevant to the results of the study.
4. In conclusion, the factors associated with access to health service mentioned are incomplete, which marital status factor is not mentioned yet.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Epidemiology, public health

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 23 August 2017

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.12585.r23741>

© 2017 Leethongdee S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Songkramchai Leethongdee

Faculty of Public Health, Mahasarakham University, Maha Sarakham, Thailand

In my view as a referee, this is a good article and suitable for publication. I would give some recommendations as follow:

1. Please review much more on migrants policy and the state direction to arrange this problem.
2. Please fill in the gap between the state policy and the situation of this problem.
3. With regards to research findings please state and recommend to policy suggestions.
4. Due to the results of his/her finding indicated that two factors were associated with access to health service among the cases, the first that they experienced illness during the past year and the second was marital status which related the previous research and evidenced. So I would suggest to author to contribute his/her own idea to respond or support the two findings as causes of problems in this article.

I do agree in this article and feel acceptable after correcting as I have recommended.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research