Check for updates

REVIEW

The evolution and diversity of the nonsense-mediated mRNA decay pathway [version 1; peer review: 4 approved]

James P. B. Lloyd

ARC Centre of Excellence in Plant Energy Biology, University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia, 6009

V1 First published: 15 Aug 2018, 7:1299
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.15872.1>
Latest published: 22 Nov 2018, 7:1299
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.15872.2>

Abstract

Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay is a eukaryotic pathway that degrades transcripts with premature termination codons (PTCs). In most eukaryotes, thousands of transcripts are degraded by NMD, including many important regulators of development and stress response pathways. Transcripts can be targeted to NMD by the presence of an upstream ORF or by introduction of a PTC through alternative splicing. Many factors involved in the recognition of PTCs and the destruction of NMD targets have been characterized. While some are highly conserved, others have been repeatedly lost in eukaryotic lineages. Here, I outline the factors involved in NMD, our current understanding of their interactions and how they have evolved. I outline a classification system to describe NMD pathways based on the presence/absence of key NMD factors. These types of NMD pathways exist in multiple different lineages, indicating the plasticity of the NMD pathway through recurrent losses of NMD factors during eukaryotic evolution. By classifying the NMD pathways in this way, gaps in our understanding are revealed, even within well studied organisms. Finally, I discuss the likely driving force behind the origins of the NMD pathway before the appearance of the last eukaryotic common ancestor: transposable element expansion and the consequential origin of introns.

Keywords

RNA, NMD, evolution, UPF1, SMG1, transposable element, RNA decay

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3	4
version 2 (revision) 22 Nov 2018				
version 1 15 Aug 2018	 view	 view	 view	 view

1. **Wei Miao**, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Wuhan, China
2. **Damien Garcia** , University of Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France
3. **Niels H. Gehring** , University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany
4. **J. Robert Hogg** , National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, USA

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: James P. B. Lloyd (jamespblloyd@gmail.com)

Author roles: Lloyd JPB: Conceptualization, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was supported by the Australian Research Council (ARC) Centre of Excellence program in Plant Energy Biology CE140100008.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2018 Lloyd JPB. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Lloyd JPB. **The evolution and diversity of the nonsense-mediated mRNA decay pathway [version 1; peer review: 4 approved]** F1000Research 2018, 7:1299 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.15872.1>

First published: 15 Aug 2018, 7:1299 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.15872.1>

What is nonsense-mediated mRNA decay?

Gene expression is controlled by a variety of mechanisms, sometimes in unexpected ways. Early mutant screens identified mutations that introduced nonsense mutations, but surprisingly, these premature termination codons (PTCs) lead to a reduction in mRNA stability^{1,2}. This increase in RNA decay is the result of an active translation-dependent process^{1,3}. This pathway was termed nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) and is now known to regulate hundreds to thousands of transcripts in plants, animals and fungi⁴⁻⁸. Many of the NMD targeted transcripts are not the result of nonsense mutations, but are instead the result of alternative splicing events that introduce PTCs or the presence of an upstream open reading frame (uORF). Many such splicing events are not the result of splicing errors, but are in fact highly conserved events^{9,10}. Therefore, NMD has a major role in shaping the transcriptome of diverse eukaryotes. However, the exact molecular nature of the NMD pathway varies between organisms. Most eukaryotes share the core NMD factors (see below), but an impressive number of modifications to the NMD pathway exist. In this review, I will examine the factors known to act in NMD, discuss the diversity of these factors in eukaryotes, and explore the different mechanisms

that explain how a PTC is differentiated from an authentic stop codon. Finally, I will discuss how the NMD pathway may have evolved and some remaining key questions in our understanding of the NMD pathway.

The factors that read nonsense

Early mutant screens in baker's yeast and *Caenorhabditis elegans* identified three conserved factors that could suppress a nonsense mutation^{11,12}. These factors were named UP-frameshift (UPF) 1, 2 and 3 in baker's yeast and suppressors with morphological defects on genitalia (SMG) 2, 3 and 4 in *C. elegans*. The baker's yeast names of these factors are used throughout this review. UPF1 is a highly conserved RNA helicase¹³ that interacts with UPF2, which is an MIF4G domain-containing protein¹⁴, that in turn binds to UPF3 (Figure 1)^{15,16}. The initial mutant screens in *C. elegans* also revealed four additional factors: the kinase SMG1 and the 14-3-3-like domain proteins SMG5, SMG6 and SMG7^{11,17}. In animals, SMG1 is known to phosphorylate UPF1 after a PTC is been recognised (Figure 1)¹⁸⁻²⁰. Initially, NMD factors were defined by their role in the phosphorylation of UPF1. UPF2 and UPF3 support the phosphorylation of UPF1 by creating a complex compatible for

Figure 1. The model of NMD activation in animals. At termination events, UPF1 and SMG1 are recruited to termination events by eRF1 and eRF3, leading to the formation of the SMG1-Upf1-eRF1-eRF3 (SURF) complex²⁰. If an EJC, bound by UPF3 and UPF2, is present downstream of the terminating ribosome, then the decay-inducing (DECID) complex will form^{20,21}. This will lead to the phosphorylation of UPF1 by SMG1. Then the ribosome will disassociate and SMG5-7 will be recruited to transcript through phos-UPF1 binding. The transcript is degraded by nucleases.

phosphorylation by SMG1²⁰, while also acting to activate the RNA helicase activity of UPF1²². SMG5-7 bind to phosphorylated UPF1²³ and are active in the dephosphorylation of UPF1 by recruiting the PP2A phosphatase^{24–26}. However, it is now clear that their primary role is in acting at various stages of RNA decay. SMG5-7 have a central role in recruiting the degradation machinery to degrade the NMD target (Figure 1). SMG5 and SMG7 act to recruit exonucleases²⁷, while SMG6 is an endonuclease, cutting the transcript near the PTC^{28,29}. Over time, many more NMD factors have been identified through further genetic and biochemical screens^{30–33}. Of these, SMG8 and SMG9 are of particular interest. First identified in human cells as SMG1-interacting proteins, they act in the NMD pathway of humans and possibly *C. elegans*^{32,34} through the inhibition of the kinase SMG1. Curiously, studies in mammals have revealed that many NMD targets do not require the involvement of all NMD factors. Many NMD targets use “branches” of the NMD pathway that do not require UPF2³⁵ or UPF3b³⁶. However, all branches do involve UPF1, highlighting its central importance to the NMD pathway.

Together these studies, mostly using animal systems, paint a picture where multiple factors (UPF2, UPF3, SMG1, SMG8, and SMG9) assist in the activation of UPF1, while other factors (SMG5-7) act to degrade an NMD target and dephosphorylate UPF1.

Variations on a common pathway

Despite the deduction of a basic schematic of the NMD pathway in animals (Figure 1), many of the factors involved in this classical model of NMD vary between different organisms (Figure 2 and Figure 3). The most highly divergent NMD pathways are those found in the excavata (Figure 2 and Figure 3). The excavata have been suggested to be the most basal group of eukaryotes³⁷, although other work places them within the same supergroup as plants^{38,39}. Although the NMD pathways of the parasites *Giardia lamblia* and *Trypanosoma brucei* have been studied, it is unclear if a functional NMD pathway exists in these organisms^{40,41}. They contain heavily reduced compliments of NMD factors: the genome of *G. lamblia* only harbors UPF1, and the genome of *T. brucei* only harbors UPF1 and UPF2^{40,41}. Over-expression of UPF1 in *G. lamblia* caused an NMD reporter to further decrease, suggesting that *G. lamblia* might have an active NMD pathway⁴⁰. In contrast, the knockdown of UPF1 in *T. brucei* did not increase NMD reporter construct expression, or endogenous genes⁴¹. However, tethering of UPF1 in *T. brucei* did decrease reporter expression⁴¹. Therefore, it is difficult to definitively conclude the status of the NMD pathway in excavata. However, it is worth noting that parasites are known to have reduced genomes relative to free-living relatives⁴², and that the excavata *Naegleria gruberi* does harbor the additional NMD factors of SMG1 and SMG9⁴³. This indicates that a complex NMD pathway involving the kinase SMG1 likely existed in the last eukaryotic common ancestor.

Further support for this comes from the examination of plant NMD pathways. Plants, which diverged from animals and fungi early in eukaryotic evolution (Figure 2), do have functional

Figure 2. The various NMD types across diverse eukaryotic lineages. The distribution of the key NMD factors, UPF1, SMG1 and a member of the SMG5-7 family define the NMD pathway type. All NMD types have arisen multiple times within eukaryotic evolution. To date, no SMG5-7 family member has yet been identified in *Naegleria gruberi* but given the presence of SMG1⁴³, I am currently classifying it as a type 1 NMD pathway. The branch lengths do not reflect the relatedness of any species, but represent the order of separation between the lineages. The root of eukaryotes is unclear, so branches representing a Excavata early and late divergence are represent in grey, dashed-lines. *SMG1 appears to have been lost in other fungal lineages as well, representing repeated losses in multiple fungal lineages⁴³. NMD pathways can be classified into four types (Figure 3).

Figure 3. Models of evolutionarily diverse NMD pathways. (A) Classical NMD, exemplified by humans (modified from Figure 1). (B) Recent SMG1-independent NMD, exemplified by *A. thaliana*. *A. thaliana* lost SMG1 within the last 5–10 million years^{43,44}. *A. thaliana* requires SMG7 for a functional NMD pathway⁴⁵, retains a S/TQ rich UPF1⁴³ and its UPF1 needs to be phosphorylated to function in NMD in tobacco leaves^{46,47}. This suggests an alternative kinase may have replaced SMG1. (C) Ancient SMG1-independent NMD, exemplified by baker's yeast. The NMD pathway of baker's yeast was the first to be characterised. UPF1, UPF2 and UPF3 have central roles in this pathway. Reverse genetics revealed a potential lesser role for EBS1, a SMG7 homologue, in NMD⁴⁸ but its UPF1 is depleted in S/TQ dipeptides⁴³. (D) Heavily derived NMD, exemplified by *T. brucei*. It is unclear if a functional NMD pathway exists in these organisms. In T, it has been shown that UPF1 and UPF2 interact, but their interaction with the ribosome and potential NMD targets is unclear⁴¹. Tethering of UPF1 a transcript can decrease its abundance⁴¹.

homologues of the NMD holy trinity: UPF1-3^{49,50}. Plants also have homologues of SMG5-7, known as SMG7 and SMG7-like⁴⁵, and SMG1 homologues^{43,44}. SMG1 has been repeatedly lost throughout eukaryotic evolution, including two losses in land plants (*Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Capsella rubella*) and multiple losses in fungi (Figure 2)^{43,44}. The repeated loss of SMG1 raises some interesting questions about the mechanism of NMD activation. In animals, and presumably in most plants, SMG1 phosphorylates SQ and TQ dipeptides at the N- and C-termini of UPF1^{18,46,51}. Species, such as baker's yeast, with an ancient loss of SMG1 (Figure 2), have UPF1 sequences depleted of S/TQ dipeptides relative to species with SMG1⁴³. Species that lost SMG1 more recently, such as *A. thaliana*, have UPF1 proteins that are rich in S/TQ dipeptides⁴³. The repeated losses of SMG1 in eukaryotes suggests that there is a genetic buffer, another factor/mechanisms that allows SMG1 to be lost but the NMD pathway to be activated^{43,44}. In support of this notion, the experimental perturbation of SMG1 in fruit flies and zebrafish has little or no effect on the NMD pathway of these organisms^{52–54},

suggesting that a backup UPF1-activation mechanism is already present in these species. Alternatively, different mechanisms have replaced SMG1 in each independent loss of SMG1, which might explain why some organisms have retained S/TQ richness within their UPF1 protein sequences while others have not^{43,44}.

The SMG5-7 family split and diversified in the animal lineage, with the acquisition of the PIN domain in SMG5 and SMG6^{26,55,56}. The PIN domain of SMG6 gives it the ability to act as an endonuclease, cutting the NMD targeted transcript near the PTC^{28,29}. The SMG5-7 family also have a role in regulating telomere length⁵⁷. SMG5-7 homologues in plants are known as SMG7, given they lack the PIN domain of SMG5 and SMG6⁴⁵. SMG5-7 family members of baker's yeast, EBS1 and ETS1, also lack the PIN domain⁴⁸. In baker's yeast, ETS1 is implicated in telomere regulation but not NMD, while a knockout of EBS1 reveals a mild NMD phenotype⁴⁸. Given that baker's yeast lacks SMG1^{19,43,44}, it is not clear why EBS1/SMG7 would be required

for NMD. The UPF1 of baker's yeast is depleted of S/TQ dipeptides⁴³, which once phosphorylated by SMG1, normally act as binding site for SMG5-7²³. The lack of S/TQ dipeptides suggest that classical phosphorylation of UPF1 is not required for the activation of NMD in baker's yeast. Tyrosine phosphorylation of UPF1 in baker's yeast has been observed and appears to regulate the RNA helicase activity of UPF1⁵⁸. It is possible that these or other phosphorylated sites could act to recruit decay factors like S/TQ dipeptides do. However, given the differences between S and T residues from Y, it seems unlikely that EBS1/SMG7 would be involved. Recently, another member of the SMG5-7 family was characterized in the ciliate *Tetrahymena thermophila*⁵⁹, despite the loss of the SMG1 kinase from *T. thermophila*^{43,59}. The SMG5-7 family member of *T. thermophila* was named SMG6-like (SMG6L) due to the presence of the C-terminal NYN nuclease domain, potentially taking on the same role as the PIN domain of animal SMG6 proteins⁵⁹. SMG6L appears to work with UPF1 in the NMD pathway of *T. thermophila* and is conserved in many other protozoa⁵⁹. However, it is unclear how it is recruited to UPF1 given the lack of SMG1 and classical phosphorylation sites on UPF1.

The kinase activity of SMG1 is regulated in part by SMG8 and SMG9³². These factors have been identified but not characterized outside of the animal kingdom⁴³; a curious finding which indicates they may have a role in NMD in diverse eukaryotes. When SMG1 is lost from a genome, SMG8 and SMG9 are generally also lost⁴³. Further work will be needed to reveal the extent of any conserved role in NMD for these factors.

Taken together, a diverse set of NMD pathways with varying levels of classically defined NMD factors been identified. Generally speaking, these can be split into four major types (Figure 2 and Figure 3):

- 1) Classical SMG1-dependent NMD (As exemplified by *C. elegans*, humans, and moss)
- 2) Recent SMG1-independent NMD (As exemplified by *A. thaliana*)
- 3) Ancient SMG1-independent NMD (As exemplified by baker's yeast and *T. thermophila*)
- 4) Heavily derived NMD (As exemplified by *G. lamblia*, *T. brucei* and *Cyanidioschyzon merolae*)

Type 1 NMD pathways (classical SMG1-dependent NMD; Figure 3A) are known to exist in both animals and plants^{18,19,44} and is likely to be the ancestral state of NMD^{43,44}. However, even here, the dependence on SMG1 is not always clear: SMG1 mutants in fruit flies have much milder phenotypes than mutations in other NMD factors^{53,60} and knockdown of SMG1 in zebrafish revealed no phenotype⁵⁴. It is possible that the NMD pathways of some species with a type 1 NMD pathway in appearance might better resemble type 2 NMD (Recent SMG1-independent NMD).

Type 2 NMD pathways (recent SMG1-independent NMD; Figure 3B), such as those of the land plants *A. thaliana* and

C. rubella, appear very much like those of type 1, with the exception of SMG1 being absent from the genome, likely with the accompanying loss of SMG8 and SMG9^{43,44}. However, UPF1 still maintains the relatively high level of S/TQ dipeptide phosphorylation sites⁴³, and phospho-UPF1 binding protein SMG7^{8,45}. It would be tempting to speculate that a kinase related to SMG1 replaced it in the NMD pathway⁴⁴. ATM and ATR are two kinases from the same family as SMG1 that are conserved in plants and are involved in DNA repair. However, in *A. thaliana*, the reported mutant phenotypes of ATM and ATR⁶¹ do not overlap with the classical NMD phenotypes⁴⁹, so this seems unlikely to be the case.

A type 3 NMD pathway (ancient SMG1-independent NMD; Figure 3C), was the first to be characterized by a mutant screen in baker's yeast^{12,62}. These ancient losses of SMG1 lead to an NMD pathway without SMG1, without SMG8 and SMG9⁴³, with UPF1 depleted in S/TQ dipeptides⁴³, and an unclear role for SMG5-7 proteins^{48,59}. Future work (see below) will be needed to better understand the exact molecular role of SMG5-7 proteins in type 3 NMD pathways, and to understand how the NMD pathway functions without the SMG1 activating UPF1.

Type 4 NMD pathways (heavily derived NMD; Figure 3D) are the most variable group and are found throughout the eukaryotic tree of life. These pathways often lack SMG1, but also core NMD factors (UPF2 and UPF3). Although UPF3 is hard to identify with homology searches⁶³, it does appear to be missing from the genomes of a number of species⁴³. These include the excavata parasites *G. lamblia* and *T. brucei*^{40,41} but also the red algae *C. merolae*⁴⁴. *C. merolae* has a very reduced genome, with only 27 introns in total⁶⁴. *C. merolae* and *G. lamblia* also lack homologues of UPF2. It is certainly possible that the presence of these factors do not represent a fully functional form of an NMD pathway and instead reflect the molecular reminiscence of a former NMD pathway whose factors have not been co-opted for other functions.

In any of these species, additional NMD factors are likely to have arisen. PNRC2 is a vertebrate-specific NMD factor³³. The only non-type 1 species to have had a forward genetics screen performed for is the baker's yeast, so we have limited unbiased studies to draw from. More forward screens and biochemical studies are likely to yield more species-specific factors. This will be especially exciting in type 4 species, with the most heavily reduced NMD pathways. This framework of NMD types based on presence/absence of conserved NMD factor is aimed at aiding the comparison and discussion of NMD pathways from diverse organisms. Thinking of all NMD pathways as being fundamentally the same at the molecular level is wrong. There is certainly an overlap, but more focused studies are needed to understand when homologous NMD factors do have the same molecular role in NMD and do not.

Defining NMD targets

So far I have discussed the molecular processes that link the recognition of a PTC to transcript destruction. However, a lot of work has also been focused on understanding the mechanism

of how a PTC is differentiated from an authentic stop codon. Multiple models for how this is achieved have been proposed. One of the most well characterized models centres around the exon junction complex (EJC), a protein complex deposited on an mRNA after two exons are ligated together during splicing^{65,66}. While most EJCs are removed from the transcript by the translating ribosome⁶⁷, EJCs associated with exon-exon junctions ≥ 50 nt downstream of a stop codon are not removed and can elicit NMD^{68,69}. Early work showed that the EJC was not involved in the NMD pathways of fruit flies⁵⁶, but more recent work proved the contrary, revealing a role for the EJC in fruit fly NMD⁷⁰. The EJC has been lost from baker's yeast and so cannot have a role in its NMD pathway, but the EJC is involved in the fungi *Neurospora crassa*'s NMD pathway⁷¹. The EJC mode has even found support in plants, with reporter genes and transcriptome-wide studies supporting a role for exon-exon junctions in 3' UTRs eliciting NMD^{50,72-74}. These findings would suggest that the EJC mode is an ancient mechanism for targeting transcripts to NMD. A surprising version of the EJC mode is the finding that NMD in *T. thermophila* is dependent on splice junctions downstream of the stop codon, but not on the EJC itself⁵⁹. Knockout of the core EJC component Mago nashi did not alter the expression levels of NMD targets identified by knockout of UPF1 and SMG6L⁵⁹. This indicates that an alternative mechanism might maintain an EJC-like mode of NMD in *T. thermophila*.

Another well-explored system used in defining PTCs is the long 3' UTR mode. Transcripts with abnormally long 3' UTRs have been found in reporter genes^{50,72,75} and transcriptome-wide studies^{5,73,76} to target transcripts to NMD, although some recent transcriptome-wide studies found little to no trend across the transcriptome, when the presence of 3' UTR introns were taken into account^{59,74,77,78}. One proposed mechanism is the increased distance between the stop codon (PTC) and the polyA-binding protein, bound to the polyA tail^{79,80}. This physical separation between the polyA tail and the terminating ribosome might lead to aberrant termination and the recruitment of NMD factors^{79,80}. An alternative model posits that longer 3' UTRs are able to recruit more UPF1 directly bound to the 3' UTR⁸¹. It has been found that UPF1 coats transcripts, but translation displaces UPF1 from all regions, except the 3' UTRs⁸². This model suggests that a higher level of UPF1 binding increases the chances of NMD being triggered during the termination of translation; Naturally long 3' UTRs that are resistant to NMD have been observed to bind less UPF1 than susceptible long 3' UTR transcripts⁸¹. In fact, some naturally long 3' UTR transcripts appear to be protected from NMD by various features such as a recently identified *cis*-sequence element in the TRAM1 gene⁸³ or the many genes found to bind PTBP1 near the stop codon to prevent NMD⁸⁴. Such features protecting long 3' UTR transcripts from NMD might explain why transcriptome-wide studies find so few long 3' UTR transcripts that are targeted to NMD.

In baker's yeast, a downstream sequence element (DSE) was identified^{85,86}. When this sequence is downstream of a stop codon, NMD is elicited, likely through the recruitment of an RNA

binding protein^{85,86}. This mechanism is very similar to the way in which the EJC mode works in animals and plants. The existence of DSEs in other species are possible, but to date, none have been identified. Fission yeast also display an unusual PTC recognition mechanism, not yet observed in other species: splicing near a stop codon, either upstream or downstream of the stop codon, can induce NMD and is independent of the EJC⁸⁷. Whether such a mechanism exists in other eukaryotes and what factors determine this remain to be seen.

The mechanisms used to define PTCs in the last eukaryotic common ancestor are unclear. While the EJC mode has been identified in both plants and animals, suggesting an ancient origin, there are many eukaryotic lineages where it has not been characterized, or does not function^{59,87}. The long 3' UTR mode of NMD has also been characterized in many diverse eukaryotes (plants, animals and fungi), but the mechanism underlying this mode, and failure to observe a strong signal for this feature in transcriptome-wide studies, does raise questions.

The origins of NMD

Today, eukaryotes appear to utilize NMD in a variety of ways to achieve the same aim, degrading PTC-containing transcripts from a variety of sources. It is clear that a rather complex NMD pathway, belonging to the type 1 group, existed in the last eukaryotic common ancestor (see above). In extant diploid eukaryotes, NMD can prevent some mutations from being dominant, protecting heterozygous individuals by turning these alleles recessive^{11,88,89}. However, NMD also increases the severity of some genetic disorders⁹⁰, creating a double-edged sword: protecting some mutation-carrying individuals while exacerbating the conditions of others. Therefore, it is unlikely that protecting the genome from nonsense mutations was the driving force behind the origin of the NMD pathway. Early eukaryotes did face a particular selective pressure not present in prokaryotes: rapidly multiplying transposable elements (TEs). The origin of sex in eukaryotes allowed for TEs to expand in copy number, which is not possible in prokaryotes with their primarily asexual reproductive system^{91,92}. With the advent of sex, eukaryotes faced the expansion of many TE classes, including the self-splicing (group II) introns. Expansion of group II introns has been proposed to have driven the evolution of the spliceosome to enhance the splicing of these selfish elements⁹³, the nucleus evolved to physically separate the processes of transcription and translation and allow for intron removal before translation⁹⁴, and NMD evolved to degrade intron-retaining transcripts that escaped the nucleus⁹⁴. These adaptations ensure that retention of these efficiently-spliced introns would not be repeatedly translated. More recent expansions of introns in some eukaryotic lineages are due to the expansion of DNA transposons⁹⁵, indicating the importance of these mechanisms to protect the genome from TE expansions in extant eukaryotes, and suggests multiple origins for introns from TEs throughout eukaryotic evolution. Once functional as a TE-intron protection pathway, NMD appears to have been co-opted to control gene expression. Today, in addition to repressing the expression of uORF-containing genes, pseudogenes and the products of alternative splicing, NMD may allow for the evolution of new

introns. The presence of NMD may act as a buffer for novel introns with weak splice sites⁹⁶. In fact, the red algae *C. merolae* only has 27 introns⁶⁴ and is missing all of the classical NMD factors with the exception of a UPF1 homologue⁴⁴. It is possible that *C. merolae* lacks a functional NMD pathway and this limits the acquisition of new introns, at least partly explaining its intron depleted genome.

Unanswered questions

Many years of study have revealed diverse NMD pathways, centering on UPF1. However, there are a number of fundamental questions remaining in the field regarding the mechanisms and evolution of NMD.

- 1) Why is SMG1 repeatedly lost in different lineages? Is there a backup mechanism to activate UPF1 and is this conserved between the lineages that have recently lost (eg *A. thaliana*) and more anciently (eg baker's yeast and *T. thermophila*) SMG1? Or are there multiple SMG1 replacement mechanisms?
- 2) What recruits the RNA degradation machinery to UPF1 when SMG1 is lost and S/TQ dipeptides are depleted? Does this still depend on the SMG5-7 family and UPF1 phosphorylation?
- 3) What precisely are the roles of SMG5-7 family members in lineages that have lost SMG1? Do their roles differ between species with type 2 (recent loss of SMG1) and type 3 (ancient loss of SMG1) NMD pathways?
- 4) What is the molecular basis of an EJC mode of PTC recognition when the EJC is not involved, such as in *T. thermophila*?
- 5) What is the precise mechanistic roles of UPF2/UPF3 in relation to EJC mode and non-EJC mode NMD pathways?
- 6) To understand the discrepancies between transcriptome-wide and reporter construct approaches to the long 3' UTR mode of NMD and to uncover the molecular mechanism(s) behind the long 3' UTR mode.

Hopefully future research efforts can resolve these and other unknowns surrounding NMD.

Conclusion

Here I have discussed the NMD pathway in the context of evolution and the many shapes the NMD pathways takes. I have proposed a classification system with four types of NMD pathway, based on the presence/absence of conserved NMD factors. I propose that the classical (type 1) NMD involves UPF1-3, the UPF1-kinase SMG1 and the SMG5-7 family. The recent (type 2) and ancient (type 3) loss of SMG1 define the next two types of NMD, while loss of all but UPF1 and perhaps UPF2 define the final type (type 4), where NMD might not actually function at all. It is highly likely that species specific NMD factors have been co-opted in many, if not all, of these types of NMD pathway and are waiting to be discovered. Discussing the evolution and mechanism of NMD within this framework will hopefully aid in the communication of ideas between different model systems used to study NMD and therefore help in knowledge acquisition. Finally, I outline key outstanding questions regarding the mechanism and evolution of the NMD pathway. Focused research efforts to address these issues will certainly help in our overall understanding of the NMD pathway and for us to at last appreciate the true fundamental nature of NMD.

Data availability

No data are associated with this article.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council (ARC) Centre of Excellence program in Plant Energy Biology CE140100008.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to Barry Causier, Suruchi Roychoudhry and Joanna Franklin-Lloyd for critical feedback on this review. Thanks to the Centre of Excellence in Plant Energy Biology, Australian Research Council (CE140100008) for funding.

References

1. Losson R, Lacroute F: **Interference of nonsense mutations with eukaryotic messenger RNA stability.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1979; **76**(10): 5134–7. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
2. Maquat LE, Kinniburgh AJ, Rachmilewitz EA, *et al.*: **Unstable beta-globin mRNA in mRNA-deficient beta o thalassemia.** *Cell.* 1981; **27**(3 Pt 2): 543–53. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Gozalbo D, Hohmann S: **Nonsense suppressors partially revert the decrease of the mRNA level of a nonsense mutant allele in yeast.** *Curr Genet.* 1990; **17**(1): 77–9. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. He F, Li X, Spatrick P, *et al.*: **Genome-wide analysis of mRNAs regulated by the nonsense-mediated and 5' to 3' mRNA decay pathways in yeast.** *Mol Cell.* 2003; **12**(6): 1439–52. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
5. Mendell JT, Sharifi NA, Meyers JL, *et al.*: **Nonsense surveillance regulates expression of diverse classes of mammalian transcripts and mutes genomic noise.** *Nat Genet.* 2004; **36**(10): 1073–8. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
6. Rehwinkel J, Letunic I, Raes J, *et al.*: **Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay factors act in concert to regulate common mRNA targets.** *RNA.* 2005; **11**(10): 1530–44. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
7. Kurihara Y, Matsui A, Hanada K, *et al.*: **Genome-wide suppression of aberrant**

- mRNA-like noncoding RNAs by NMD in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009; **106**(7): 2453–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
8. Rayson S, Arciga-Reyes L, Wootton L, *et al.*: A role for nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in plants: pathogen responses are induced in *Arabidopsis thaliana* NMD mutants. *PLoS One*. 2012; **7**(2): e31917.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 9. Lareau LF, Inada M, Green RE, *et al.*: Unproductive splicing of SR genes associated with highly conserved and ultraconserved DNA elements. *Nature*. 2007; **446**(7138): 926–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 10. Lareau LF, Brenner SE: Regulation of splicing factors by alternative splicing and NMD is conserved between kingdoms yet evolutionarily flexible. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2015; **32**(4): 1072–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 11. Pulak R, Anderson P: mRNA surveillance by the *Caenorhabditis elegans* smg genes. *Genes Dev*. 1993; **7**(10): 1885–97.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 12. Leeds P, Wood JM, Lee BS, *et al.*: Gene products that promote mRNA turnover in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol Cell Biol*. 1992; **12**(5): 2165–77.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 13. Culbertson MR, Leeds PF: Looking at mRNA decay pathways through the window of molecular evolution. *Curr Opin Genet Dev*. 2003; **13**(2): 207–14.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 14. Ponting CP: Novel eIF4G domain homologues linking mRNA translation with nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *Trends Biochem Sci*. 2000; **25**(9): 423–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 15. Schell T, Köcher T, Wilm M, *et al.*: Complexes between the nonsense-mediated mRNA decay pathway factor human upf1 (up-frameshift protein 1) and essential nonsense-mediated mRNA decay factors in HeLa cells. *Biochem J*. 2003; **373**(Pt 3): 775–83.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 16. Kadlec J, Izaurralde E, Cusack S: The structural basis for the interaction between nonsense-mediated mRNA decay factors UPF2 and UPF3. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 2004; **11**(4): 330–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 17. Cali BM, Kuchma SL, Latham J, *et al.*: smg-7 is required for mRNA surveillance in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics*. 1999; **151**(2): 605–16.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 18. Yamashita A, Ohnishi T, Kashima I, *et al.*: Human SMG-1, a novel phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-related protein kinase, associates with components of the mRNA surveillance complex and is involved in the regulation of nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *Genes Dev*. 2001; **15**(17): 2215–28.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 19. Grimson A, O'Connor S, Newman CL, *et al.*: SMG-1 is a phosphatidylinositol kinase-related protein kinase required for nonsense-mediated mRNA Decay in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2004; **24**(17): 7483–90.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 20. Kashima I, Yamashita A, Izumi N, *et al.*: Binding of a novel SMG-1-Upf1-eRF1-eRF3 complex (SURF) to the exon junction complex triggers Upf1 phosphorylation and nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *Genes Dev*. 2006; **20**(3): 355–67.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 21. Hug N, Cáceres JF: The RNA helicase DHX34 activates NMD by promoting a transition from the surveillance to the decay-inducing complex. *Cell Rep*. 2014; **8**(6): 1845–56.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 22. Chamieh H, Ballut L, Bonneau F, *et al.*: NMD factors UPF2 and UPF3 bridge UPF1 to the exon junction complex and stimulate its RNA helicase activity. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 2008; **15**(1): 85–93.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 23. Fukuhara N, Ebert J, Unterholzner L, *et al.*: SMG7 is a 14-3-3-like adaptor in the nonsense-mediated mRNA decay pathway. *Mol Cell*. 2005; **17**(4): 537–47.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 24. Chiu SY, Serin G, Ohara O, *et al.*: Characterization of human Smg5/7a: a protein with similarities to *Caenorhabditis elegans* SMG5 and SMG7 that functions in the dephosphorylation of Upf1. *RNA*. 2003; **9**(1): 77–87.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 25. Anders KR, Grimson A, Anderson P: SMG-5, required for *C.elegans* nonsense-mediated mRNA decay, associates with SMG-2 and protein phosphatase 2A. *EMBO J*. 2003; **22**(3): 641–50.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 26. Ohnishi T, Yamashita A, Kashima I, *et al.*: Phosphorylation of hUPF1 induces formation of mRNA surveillance complexes containing hSMG-5 and hSMG-7. *Mol Cell*. 2003; **12**(5): 1187–200.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 27. Unterholzner L, Izaurralde E: SMG7 acts as a molecular link between mRNA surveillance and mRNA decay. *Mol Cell*. 2004; **16**(4): 587–96.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 28. Huntzinger E, Kashima I, Fauser M, *et al.*: SMG6 is the catalytic endonuclease that cleaves mRNAs containing nonsense codons in metazoan. *RNA*. 2008; **14**(12): 2609–17.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 29. Eberle AB, Lykke-Andersen S, Mühlemann O, *et al.*: SMG6 promotes endonucleolytic cleavage of nonsense mRNA in human cells. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 2009; **16**(1): 49–55.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 30. Longman D, Plasterk RH, Johnstone IL, *et al.*: Mechanistic insights and identification of two novel factors in the *C. elegans* NMD pathway. *Genes Dev*. 2007; **21**(9): 1075–85.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 31. Longman D, Hug N, Keith M, *et al.*: DHX34 and NBAS form part of an autoregulatory NMD circuit that regulates endogenous RNA targets in human cells, zebrafish and *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2013; **41**(17): 8319–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 32. Yamashita A, Izumi N, Kashima I, *et al.*: SMG-8 and SMG-9, two novel subunits of the SMG-1 complex, regulate remodeling of the mRNA surveillance complex during nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *Genes Dev*. 2009; **23**(9): 1091–105.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 33. Cho H, Kim KM, Kim YK: Human proline-rich nuclear receptor coregulatory protein 2 mediates an interaction between mRNA surveillance machinery and decapping complex. *Mol Cell*. 2009; **33**(1): 75–86.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 34. Rosains J, Mango SE: Genetic characterization of smg-8 mutants reveals no role in *C. elegans* nonsense mediated decay. *PLoS One*. 2012; **7**(11): e49490.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 35. Gehring NH, Kunz JB, Neu-Yilik G, *et al.*: Exon-junction complex components specify distinct routes of nonsense-mediated mRNA decay with differential cofactor requirements. *Mol Cell*. 2005; **20**(1): 65–75.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 36. Chan WK, Huang L, Gudikote JP, *et al.*: An alternative branch of the nonsense-mediated decay pathway. *EMBO J*. 2007; **26**(7): 1820–30.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 37. He D, Fiz-Palacios O, Fu CJ, *et al.*: An alternative root for the eukaryote tree of life. *Curr Biol*. 2014; **24**(4): 465–70.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 38. Richards TA, Cavalier-Smith T: Myosin domain evolution and the primary divergence of eukaryotes. *Nature*. 2005; **436**(7054): 1113–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 39. Derelle R, Torruella G, Klimeš V, *et al.*: Bacterial proteins pinpoint a single eukaryotic root. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2015; **112**(7): E693–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 40. Chen YH, Su LH, Sun CH: Incomplete nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in *Giardia lamblia*. *Int J Parasitol*. 2008; **38**(11): 1305–17.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 41. Delhi P, Queiroz R, Inchaustegui D, *et al.*: Is there a classical nonsense-mediated decay pathway in trypanosomes? *PLoS One*. 2011; **6**(9): e25112.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 42. Poulin R, Randhawa HS: Evolution of parasitism along convergent lines: from ecology to genomics. *Parasitology*. 2015; **142** Suppl 1: S6–15.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 43. Causier B, Li Z, De Smet R, *et al.*: Conservation of Nonsense-Mediated mRNA Decay Complex Components Throughout Eukaryotic Evolution. *Sci Rep*. 2017; **7**(1): 16692.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 44. Lloyd JP, Davies B: SMG1 is an ancient nonsense-mediated mRNA decay effector. *Plant J*. 2013; **76**(5): 800–10.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 45. Riehs N, Akimcheva S, Puizina J, *et al.*: *Arabidopsis* SMG7 protein is required for exit from meiosis. *J Cell Sci*. 2008; **121**(Pt 13): 2208–16.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 46. Kerényi F, Wawer I, Sikorski PJ, *et al.*: Phosphorylation of the N- and C-terminal UPF1 domains plays a critical role in plant nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *Plant J*. 2013; **76**(5): 836–48.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 47. Mérai Z, Benkovics AH, Nyikó T, *et al.*: The late steps of plant nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *Plant J*. 2013; **73**(1): 50–62.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 48. Luke B, Azzalin CM, Hug N, *et al.*: *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Ebs1p is a putative ortholog of human Smg7 and promotes nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2007; **35**(22): 7688–97.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 49. Arciga-Reyes L, Wootton L, Kieffer M, *et al.*: UPF1 is required for nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) and RNAi in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J*. 2006; **47**(3): 480–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 50. Kerényi Z, Mérai Z, Hiripi L, *et al.*: Inter-kingdom conservation of mechanism of nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *EMBO J*. 2008; **27**(11): 1585–95.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 51. Okada-Katsuhata Y, Yamashita A, Kutsuzawa K, *et al.*: N- and C-terminal Upf1 phosphorylations create binding platforms for SMG-6 and SMG-5:SMG-7 during NMD. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2012; **40**(3): 1251–66.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 52. Chen Z, Smith KR, Batterham P, *et al.*: Smg1 nonsense mutations do not abolish nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics*. 2005; **171**(1): 403–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

53. Metzstein MM, Krasnow MA: **Functions of the nonsense-mediated mRNA decay pathway in *Drosophila* development.** *PLoS Genet.* 2006; 2(12): e180.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
54. Wittkopp N, Huntzinger E, Weiler C, *et al.*: **Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay effectors are essential for zebrafish embryonic development and survival.** *Mol Cell Biol.* 2009; 29(13): 3517–28.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
55. Clissold PM, Ponting CP: **PIN domains in nonsense-mediated mRNA decay and RNAi.** *Curr Biol.* 2000; 10(24): R888–90.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
56. Gatfield D, Unterholzner L, Ciccarelli FD, *et al.*: **Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in *Drosophila*: at the intersection of the yeast and mammalian pathways.** *EMBO J.* 2003; 22(15): 3960–70.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
57. Azzalin CM, Reichenbach P, Khoriaili L, *et al.*: **Telomeric repeat containing RNA and RNA surveillance factors at mammalian chromosome ends.** *Science.* 2007; 318(5851): 798–801.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
58. Lasalde C, Rivera AV, León AJ, *et al.*: **Identification and functional analysis of novel phosphorylation sites in the RNA surveillance protein Upf1.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2014; 42(3): 1916–29.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
59. Tian M, Yang W, Zhang J, *et al.*: **Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in *Tetrahymena* is EJC independent and requires a protozoa-specific nuclease.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2017; 45(11): 6848–63.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
60. Frizzell KA, Rynearson SG, Metzstein MM: ***Drosophila* mutants show NMD pathway activity is reduced, but not eliminated, in the absence of *Smg6*.** *RNA.* 2012; 18(8): 1475–86.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
61. Culligan K, Tissier A, Britt A: **ATR regulates a G2-phase cell-cycle checkpoint in *Arabidopsis thaliana*.** *Plant Cell.* 2004; 16(5): 1091–104.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
62. Leeds P, Peltz SW, Jacobson A, *et al.*: **The product of the yeast UPF1 gene is required for rapid turnover of mRNAs containing a premature translational termination codon.** *Genes Dev.* 1991; 5(12A): 2303–14.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
63. Aronoff R, Baran R, Hodgkin J: **Molecular identification of *smg-4*, required for mRNA surveillance in *C. elegans*.** *Gene.* 2001; 268(1–2): 153–64.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
64. Matsuzaki M, Misumi O, Shin-I T, *et al.*: **Genome sequence of the ultrasmall unicellular red alga *Cyanidioschyzon merolae* 10D.** *Nature.* 2004; 428(6983): 653–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
65. Le Hir H, Moore MJ, Maquat LE: **Pre-mRNA splicing alters mRNP composition: evidence for stable association of proteins at exon-exon junctions.** *Genes Dev.* 2000; 14(9): 1098–108.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
66. Le Hir H, Izaurralde E, Maquat LE, *et al.*: **The spliceosome deposits multiple proteins 20–24 nucleotides upstream of mRNA exon-exon junctions.** *EMBO J.* 2000; 19(24): 6860–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
67. Gehring NH, Lamprinaki S, Kulozik AE, *et al.*: **Disassembly of exon junction complexes by PYM.** *Cell.* 2009; 137(3): 536–48.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
68. Gehring NH, Neu-Yilik G, Schell T, *et al.*: **Y14 and hUpf3b form an NMD-activating complex.** *Mol Cell.* 2003; 11(4): 939–49.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
69. Ferraiuolo MA, Lee CS, Ler LW, *et al.*: **A nuclear translation-like factor eIF4AIII is recruited to the mRNA during splicing and functions in nonsense-mediated decay.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2004; 101(12): 4118–23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
70. Saulière J, Haque N, Harms S, *et al.*: **The exon junction complex differentially marks spliced junctions.** *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2010; 17(10): 1269–71.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
71. Zhang Y, Sachs MS: **Control of mRNA Stability in Fungi by NMD, EJC and CBC Factors Through 3'UTR Introns.** *Genetics.* 2015; 200(4): 1133–48.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
72. Kertész S, Kerényi Z, Mérai Z, *et al.*: **Both introns and long 3'-UTRs operate as cis-acting elements to trigger nonsense-mediated decay in plants.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2006; 34(21): 6147–57.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
73. Drechsel G, Kahles A, Kesarwani AK, *et al.*: **Nonsense-mediated decay of alternative precursor mRNA splicing variants is a major determinant of the *Arabidopsis* steady state transcriptome.** *Plant Cell.* 2013; 25(10): 3726–42.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
74. Lloyd JPB, Lang D, Zimmer AD, *et al.*: **The loss of SMG1 causes defects in quality control pathways in *Physcomitrella patens*.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018; 46(11): 5822–5836, [cited 2018 Mar 28].
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
75. Yepiskoposyan H, Aeschmann F, Nilsson D, *et al.*: **Autoregulation of the nonsense-mediated mRNA decay pathway in human cells.** *RNA.* 2011; 17(12): 2108–18.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
76. Hurt JA, Robertson AD, Burge CB: **Global analyses of UPF1 binding and function reveal expanded scope of nonsense-mediated mRNA decay.** *Genome Res.* 2013; 23(10): 1636–50.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
77. Lindeboom RG, Supek F, Lehner B: **The rules and impact of nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in human cancers.** *Nat Genet.* 2016; 48(10): 1112–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
78. Colombo M, Karousis ED, Bourquin J, *et al.*: **Transcriptome-wide identification of NMD-targeted human mRNAs reveals extensive redundancy between SMG6- and SMG7-mediated degradation pathways.** *RNA.* 2017; 23(2): 189–201.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
79. Amrani N, Ganesan R, Kervestin S, *et al.*: **A faux 3'-UTR promotes aberrant termination and triggers nonsense-mediated mRNA decay.** *Nature.* 2004; 432(7013): 112–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
80. Behm-Ansmant I, Gatfield D, Rehwinkel J, *et al.*: **A conserved role for cytoplasmic poly(A)-binding protein 1 (PABPC1) in nonsense-mediated mRNA decay.** *EMBO J.* 2007; 26(6): 1591–601.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
81. Hogg JR, Goff SP: **Upf1 senses 3'UTR length to potentiate mRNA decay.** *Cell.* 2010; 143(3): 379–89.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
82. Zünd D, Gruber AR, Zavolan M, *et al.*: **Translation-dependent displacement of UPF1 from coding sequences causes its enrichment in 3' UTRs.** *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2013; 20(8): 936–43.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
83. Toma KG, Rebbapragada I, Durand S, *et al.*: **Identification of elements in human long 3' UTRs that inhibit nonsense-mediated decay.** *RNA.* 2015; 21(5): 887–97.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
84. Ge Z, Quek BL, Beemon KL, *et al.*: **Polypyrimidine tract binding protein 1 protects mRNAs from recognition by the nonsense-mediated mRNA decay pathway.** *eLife.* 2016; 5: pii: e11155.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
85. Peltz SW, Brown AH, Jacobson A: **mRNA destabilization triggered by premature translational termination depends on at least three cis-acting sequence elements and one trans-acting factor.** *Genes Dev.* 1993; 7(9): 1737–54.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
86. González CI, Ruiz-Echevarría MJ, Vasudevan S, *et al.*: **The yeast hnRNP-like protein Hrp1/Nab4 marks a transcript for nonsense-mediated mRNA decay.** *Mol Cell.* 2000; 5(3): 489–99.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
87. Wen J, Brogna S: **Splicing-dependent NMD does not require the EJC in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*.** *EMBO J.* 2010; 29(9): 1537–51.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
88. Cali BM, Anderson P: **mRNA surveillance mitigates genetic dominance in *Caenorhabditis elegans*.** *Mol Gen Genet.* 1998; 260(2–3): 176–84.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
89. Khajavi M, Inoue K, Lupski JR: **Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay modulates clinical outcome of genetic disease.** *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2006; 14(10): 1074–81.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
90. Bhuvanagiri M, Schlitter AM, Hentze MW, *et al.*: **NMD: RNA biology meets human genetic medicine.** *Biochem J.* 2010; 430(3): 365–77.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
91. Hickey DA: **Selfish DNA: a sexually-transmitted nuclear parasite.** *Genetics.* 1982; 101(3–4): 519–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
92. Zeyl C, Bell G, Green DM: **Sex and the spread of retrotransposon Ty3 in experimental populations of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.** *Genetics.* 1996; 143(4): 1567–77.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
93. Cavalier-Smith T: **Intron phylogeny: a new hypothesis.** *Trends Genet.* 1991; 7(5): 145–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
94. Martin W, Koonin EV: **Introns and the origin of nucleus-cytosol compartmentalization.** *Nature.* 2006; 440(7080): 41–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
95. Huff JT, Zilberman D, Roy SW: **Mechanism for DNA transposons to generate introns on genomic scales.** *Nature.* 2016; 538(7626): 533–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
96. Farlow A, Meduri E, Dolezal M, *et al.*: **Nonsense-mediated decay enables intron gain in *Drosophila*.** *PLoS Genet.* 2010; 6(1): e1000819.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 25 September 2018

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.17330.r37784>

© 2018 Hogg J. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

J. Robert Hogg

Biochemistry and Biophysics Center, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

The nonsense-mediated mRNA decay pathway is found throughout eukaryotes, where it performs important quality control and regulatory functions. How the pathway originally emerged and has subsequently adapted to diverse eukaryotic transcriptomes is an important but poorly understood question. This manuscript represents a helpful summary of the evidence for compositionally- and mechanistically-distinct NMD pathways in diverse eukaryotes and raises interesting questions that deserve future study.

Major points:

1. The review raises the question of how RNA decay machinery is recruited to NMD substrate mRNAs in organisms that have lost SMG1. Work from yeast and human cells give two possible answers to this question. First, the Jacobson and Parker labs have presented evidence that budding yeast UPF1 directly interacts with components of the decapping complex, enabling SMG1- and SMG6-independent decay ^{1,2}. Second, the Conti and Muhlemann groups have ^{3,4} shown that SMG6 can interact with UPF1 in a phosphorylation-independent manner. Their data suggest that UPF1 phosphorylation may be dispensable for SMG6 recruitment but may contribute to activation of its endonucleolytic activity, raising the possibility that a SMG-1 independent pathway could rely on phosphorylation-independent SMG6-UPF1 interactions coupled with a distinct mechanism for activation of SMG6.
2. Figure 3: The schematics in the figure suggest that there is a universal step of mRNP remodeling that involves ribosome displacement from target mRNAs prior to initiation of decay, but it is not clear that this is the case. Previous work from the Baker lab has indicated that budding yeast NMD can initiate on polysome-bound mRNAs, rather than those stripped of ribosomes ⁵. In addition, this figure and Figure 1 should acknowledge that there is evidence that “classical” NMD can also proceed through deadenylation, decapping, and exonucleolytic decay, not just SMG6-mediated cleavage. For other organisms, “cleavage”

implies an endonucleolytic step, which in organisms lacking SMG6 is not known to occur. As referenced above, yeast NMD proceeds through decapping, and this should be made clear in the figure.

3. It would be helpful to note that in *Drosophila*, a much more significant role for SMG1 is uncovered in SMG5 mutants ⁶. This is consistent with the idea that organisms such as *Drosophila* have developed redundant pathways for NMD. However, this also means that the extent to which “the dependence on SMG1 is not always clear” may be overstated. The fact that SMG1 has been maintained in this organism and can function in at least some contexts should carry greater weight than the failure to observe a strong phenotype in the limited experimental contexts in which it has been examined.
4. Page 6, second paragraph: It is somewhat misleading to state that “transcriptome-wide studies find so few long 3’UTR transcripts that are targeted to NMD.” It is true that there have been differing reports of the extent to which 3’UTR length correlates with decay susceptibility transcriptome-wide, but this is not the same as saying that these studies did not find evidence that substantial numbers of long 3’UTR-containing transcripts are subject to NMD. In addition, it is important to recognize that the Lindeboom *et al.* study cited here examined apparent NMD susceptibility of mRNAs with nonsense mutations, not the scope of long 3’UTR-mediated decay among normal transcripts ⁷.
5. Page 6, second paragraph, continued: The poly-A binding protein-centric model and the UPF1 length-sensing model are not necessarily exclusive. It has been reported that Pab1 and poly-A tails are dispensable for accurate NMD target discrimination in yeast ⁸, but it is possible that UPF1 binding contributes to competition between poly-A binding protein and NMD factors for binding to release factors at the terminating ribosome. Another emerging possibility is that PABP antagonizes UPF1 binding to 3’UTRs, as proposed by Lee *et al.* ⁹.

Minor points:

1. It would be helpful to the reader to reference recent evidence that PNRC2 may function in general decapping but contribute minimally to NMD in vertebrates ¹⁰.
2. Page 2, second paragraph: Since UPF2 and UPF3 were identified and initially characterized in yeast, in which UPF1 phosphorylation is not known to play a key role in decay, the basis for the statement that “initially, NMD factors were defined by their role in the phosphorylation of UPF1” is unclear.
3. Page 2, first paragraph: The Maquat *et al.*, 1981 paper was not based on a “mutant screen” but instead observations in human genetic disease ¹¹.
4. Figure 1 implies that the roles of UPF2 and UPF3 are dependent on the EJC, but this is not the case — these proteins have been found to be required for decay of NMD substrates lacking 3’UTR introns ^{12,13}.
5. At several points, it is not clear whether the authors use the nomenclature ‘SMG5-7’ to refer to SMG5, 6, and 7, or just SMG5 and 7, as is the more standard usage. For example, on Page 3, first paragraph, it should be made clear that SMG6 does not function in “recruiting the degradation machinery” but is itself an endonuclease.

6. Page 5, fifth paragraph. It is possible that other PIKK-type kinases other than ATM and ATR are re-purposed to phosphorylate UPF1.
7. Discussion of the *Tetrahymena* data should acknowledge that at this point the evidence for a role for exon junctions is correlative and remains to be mechanistically investigated. This is an important caveat to the classification system offered by the author ¹⁴.
8. Page 6, third paragraph: More recent investigations of yeast NMD have not uncovered evidence for a downstream sequence element that contributes significantly to decay target discrimination ^{8,15}.

References

1. Swisher KD, Parker R: Interactions between Upf1 and the decapping factors Edc3 and Pat1 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *PLoS One*. 2011; **6** (10): e26547 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. He F, Jacobson A: Control of mRNA decapping by positive and negative regulatory elements in the Dcp2 C-terminal domain. *RNA*. 2015; **21** (9): 1633-47 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Chakrabarti S, Bonneau F, Schüssler S, Eppinger E, et al.: Phospho-dependent and phospho-independent interactions of the helicase UPF1 with the NMD factors SMG5-SMG7 and SMG6. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2014; **42** (14): 9447-60 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Nicholson P, Josi C, Kurosawa H, Yamashita A, et al.: A novel phosphorylation-independent interaction between SMG6 and UPF1 is essential for human NMD. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2014; **42** (14): 9217-35 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
5. Hu W, Petzold C, Collier J, Baker KE: Nonsense-mediated mRNA decapping occurs on polyribosomes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 2010; **17** (2): 244-7 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
6. Nelson JO, Förster D, Frizzell KA, Luschnig S, et al.: Multiple Nonsense-Mediated mRNA Processes Require Smg5 in *Drosophila*. *Genetics*. **209** (4): 1073-1084 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
7. Lindeboom RG, Supek F, Lehner B: The rules and impact of nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in human cancers. *Nat Genet*. **48** (10): 1112-8 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
8. Meaux S, van Hoof A, Baker KE: Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in yeast does not require PAB1 or a poly(A) tail. *Mol Cell*. 2008; **29** (1): 134-40 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
9. Lee SR, Pratt GA, Martinez FJ, Yeo GW, et al.: Target Discrimination in Nonsense-Mediated mRNA Decay Requires Upf1 ATPase Activity. *Mol Cell*. 2015; **59** (3): 413-25 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
10. Nicholson P, Gkratsou A, Josi C, Colombo M, et al.: Dissecting the functions of SMG5, SMG7, and PNRC2 in nonsense-mediated mRNA decay of human cells. *RNA*. **24** (4): 557-573 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
11. Maquat LE, Kinniburgh AJ, Rachmilewitz EA, Ross J: Unstable beta-globin mRNA in mRNA-deficient beta o thalassemia. *Cell*. 1981; **27** (3 Pt 2): 543-53 [PubMed Abstract](#)
12. Eberle AB, Stalder L, Mathys H, Orozco RZ, et al.: Posttranscriptional gene regulation by spatial rearrangement of the 3' untranslated region. *PLoS Biol*. 2008; **6** (4): e92 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
13. Singh G, Rebbapragada I, Lykke-Andersen J: A competition between stimulators and antagonists of Upf complex recruitment governs human nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *PLoS Biol*. 2008; **6** (4): e111 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

14. Tian M, Yang W, Zhang J, Dang H, et al.: Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in Tetrahymena is EJC independent and requires a protozoa-specific nuclease. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2017; **45** (11): 6848-6863 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
15. Celik A, Baker R, He F, Jacobson A: High-resolution profiling of NMD targets in yeast reveals translational fidelity as a basis for substrate selection. *RNA.* **23** (5): 735-748 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Is the topic of the review discussed comprehensively in the context of the current literature?

Yes

Are all factual statements correct and adequately supported by citations?

Partly

Is the review written in accessible language?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn appropriate in the context of the current research literature?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 12 Nov 2018

James Lloyd, University of Western Australia, Perth

Thank you for your thoughtful and insightful reading of my review. You raised a number of important points that I have tried to address in version 2 of my review article. Some of the points I directly address are:

Second, the Conti and Muhlemann groups have ^{3,4} shown that SMG6 can interact with UPF1 in a phosphorylation-independent manner.

It would be helpful to note that in *Drosophila*, a much more significant role for SMG1 is uncovered in SMG5 mutants ⁶.

This is a very important point and I think that this points to a possible mechanism to explain how some species can have an active NMD pathway without SMG1. When we combine this with recent yeast work finding direct interactions between EBS1 and NMD4, and UPF1, this suggests that in many cases, phosphorylation is not needed for NMD. This supports a model that in mammals phosphorylation of UPF1 increases if NMD is stalled, suggesting that it acts to increase the recruitment of degradation factors (<https://www.nature.com/articles/ncomms12434>), potentially in NMD limiting conditions or for tricky to degrade transcripts.

The poly-A binding protein-centric model and the UPF1 length-sensing model are not necessarily exclusive. It has been reported that Pab1 and poly-A tails are dispensable for accurate NMD target discrimination in yeast⁸, but it is possible that UPF1 binding contributes to competition between poly-A binding protein and NMD factors for binding to release factors at the terminating ribosome. Another emerging possibility is that PABP antagonizes UPF1 binding to 3'UTRs, as proposed by Lee et al.⁹.

This is a fair point and I did not mean to suggest that the two models were mutually exclusive in the first version. Also I think that Lee et al. is a great reference for me to include, thank you for bringing it to my attention!

It would be helpful to the reader to reference recent evidence that PNRC2 may function in general decapping but contribute minimally to NMD in vertebrates¹⁰.

Thank you for raising this point about PNRC2, as did other referees and I have removed mention of it from my review for the sake of simplicity.

Figure 1 implies that the roles of UPF2 and UPF3 are dependent on the EJC, but this is not the case — these proteins have been found to be required for decay of NMD substrates lacking 3'UTR introns^{12,13}.

Yes this is a very important point and I did not mean to give that impression with this figure. I have removed the EJC from Figure 3 and I have mentioned in the legend of Figure 1 that NMD can happen without an EJC present in the now submitted version 2 of this manuscript.

It is possible that other PIKK-type kinases other than ATM and ATR are re-purposed to phosphorylate UPF1.

The only other kinase active PIKK in Arabidopsis is TOR, which I have now included in the submitted version 2 of this review.

More recent investigations of yeast NMD have not uncovered evidence for a downstream sequence element that contributes significantly to decay target discrimination^{8,15}.

I have now removed the section of DSE from the submitted version 2 of this review.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 24 September 2018

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.17330.r38016>

© 2018 Gehring N. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Niels H. Gehring

Institute for Genetics, Department of Biology, University of Cologne, Cologne, North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany

In this review article James P. B. Lloyd summarizes the evolution of NMD in various eukaryotic organisms. He describes the process of NMD in general as well as the detection of NMD substrates by the NMD machinery and discusses the functions of several NMD factors. As a novelty, he introduces a new classification of NMD pathways based on the presence of NMD factors in different organisms.

In my view, this is a well-written review that takes a refreshing new look at evolutionary aspects of NMD. However, one should keep in mind that the absence of a certain NMD pathway does not necessarily mean that it really does not exist in a particular organism. A good example for this is NMD in *Drosophila*, which was thought to be EJC-independent based on initial publications. However, there is now evidence that certain NMD substrates in *Drosophila* are degraded in an EJC-dependent manner. It is therefore possible that the classification of NMD pathways will change with future publications.

Additional comments:

Page 4, last paragraph on the right side: The author probably does not mean ETS1, but EST1 (Reichenbach *et al.*, 2003¹). Furthermore, a second SMG6 homologue in yeast may be NMD4, which has been originally identified in a yeast-2-hybrid screen (He and Jacobson, 1995²) and was recently described by Dehecq *et al.* (Dehecq *et al.*, 2018³) to be associated with UPF1.

Page 4, last paragraph on the right side and page 5, first paragraph on the left side: Wang *et al.* (Wang *et al.*, 2006⁴) and de Pinto *et al.* (de Pinto *et al.*, 2004⁵) have reported that UPF1 and UPF2 are phosphoproteins in yeast. However, it is not clear which kinase is responsible for the phosphorylation of UPF1 and UPF2 and whether the phosphorylation plays a functional role during NMD in yeast.

Page 5, penultimate paragraph on the right side: It is strongly debated whether PNRC2 is an NMD factor (Nicholson *et al.*, 2018⁶). Therefore, PNRC2 is not a good example for a vertebrate-specific NMD factor.

Page 6, last paragraph on the right side: the meaning of the sentence “These adaptations ensure that retention of these efficiently-spliced introns would not be repeatedly translation” is not clear to me.

Page 7, question 2: It is known that SMG6 can interact with UPF1 also in a phosphorylation-independent manner (Nicholson *et al.*, 2014⁷ and Chakrabarti *et al.*, 2014⁸). This could explain how NMD substrates are degraded in the absence of SMG1 or when S/TQ dipeptides are depleted.

References

1. Reichenbach P, Höss M, Azzalin C, Nabholz M, et al.: A Human Homolog of Yeast Est1 Associates with Telomerase and Uncaps Chromosome Ends When Overexpressed. *Current Biology*. 2003; **13** (7): 568-574 [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. He F, Jacobson A: Identification of a novel component of the nonsense-mediated mRNA decay pathway by use of an interacting protein screen. *Genes & Development*. 1995; **9** (4): 437-454 [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Dehecq M, Decourty L, Namane A, Proux C, et al.: Detection and Degradation of Nonsense-mediated mRNA Decay Substrates Involve Two Distinct Upf1-bound Complexes. *bioRxiv*. 2018. [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Wang W, Cajigas IJ, Peltz SW, Wilkinson MF, et al.: Role for Upf2p phosphorylation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2006; **26** (9): 3390-400 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
5. de Pinto B, Lippolis R, Castaldo R, Altamura N: Overexpression of Upf1p compensates for mitochondrial splicing deficiency independently of its role in mRNA surveillance. *Molecular Microbiology*. 2004; **51** (4): 1129-1142 [Publisher Full Text](#)
6. Nicholson P, Gkratsou A, Josi C, Colombo M, et al.: Dissecting the functions of SMG5, SMG7, and PNRC2 in nonsense-mediated mRNA decay of human cells. *RNA*. **24** (4): 557-573 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
7. Nicholson P, Josi C, Kurosawa H, Yamashita A, et al.: A novel phosphorylation-independent interaction between SMG6 and UPF1 is essential for human NMD. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2014; **42** (14): 9217-35 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
8. Chakrabarti S, Bonneau F, Schüssler S, Eppinger E, et al.: Phospho-dependent and phospho-independent interactions of the helicase UPF1 with the NMD factors SMG5-SMG7 and SMG6. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2014; **42** (14): 9447-60 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Is the topic of the review discussed comprehensively in the context of the current literature?

Yes

Are all factual statements correct and adequately supported by citations?

Yes

Is the review written in accessible language?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn appropriate in the context of the current research literature?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: mRNA turnover (nonsense-mediated mRNA decay)

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 12 Nov 2018

James Lloyd, University of Western Australia, Perth

I am truly grateful for your careful reading and thoughtful feedback of my review. I have now submitted a version 2 of this article that updated the text and figures with many of the insights you and the other referees offered. Below are some highlights of things that I address in the updated manuscript:

one should keep in mind that the absence of a certain NMD pathway does not necessarily mean that it really does not exist in a particular organism. A good example for this is NMD in *Drosophila*, which was thought to be EJC-independent based on initial publications. However, there is now evidence that certain NMD substrates in *Drosophila* are degraded in an EJC-dependent manner. It is therefore possible that the classification of NMD pathways will change with future publications.

I completely agree with this point and I hope that the readers will take this message away with them after reading my review.

The author probably does not mean ETS1, but EST1

Yes, thank you for catching this.

Furthermore, a second SMG6 homologue in yeast may be NMD4, which has been originally identified in a yeast-2-hybrid screen (He and Jacobson, 1995²) and was recently described by Dehecq et al. (Dehecq et al., 2018³) to be associated with UPF1.

Thank you for bringing Dehecq et al. to my attention! I think that this article represents very important work and I have now included much discussion of it and its implications in the submitted version 2 of my article.

penultimate paragraph on the right side: It is strongly debated whether PNRC2 is an NMD factor

Thank you for raising this point about PNRC2, as did other referees and I have removed mention of it from my review for the sake of simplicity.

It is known that SMG6 can interact with UPF1 also in a phosphorylation-independent manner (Nicholson et al., 2014⁷ and Chakrabarti et al., 2014⁸). This could explain how NMD substrates are degraded in the absence of SMG1 or when S/TQ dipeptides are depleted.

Yes, I think that this is a really great point and I discuss this at length in the submitted version 2 of the review. Thank you for raising this point.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 21 September 2018

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.17330.r37786>

© 2018 Garcia D. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Damien Garcia

Institute of Molecular Biology of Plants (IBMP), University of Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France

In this review, the author suggests a novel NMD classification system based on the evolutionary conservation of known NMD factors among different species. The author also discusses a possible driving force for the apparition of NMD. This is a well-written and structured manuscript considering NMD under an interesting evolutionary point of view. It is of broad interest for the NMD community, and it mentions several fundamental questions currently unanswered in the NMD field. Nevertheless, a few modifications of the figures and the addition of some very important references and concepts could make it easier to read and broaden the general interest of the review, as detailed in the following paragraphs.

Major comments:

1. In Figure 1, the model of mammalian NMD indicates cleavage of the NMD target downstream of the PTC. It doesn't indicate the distinct roles of SMG6 and SMG5/7; it would be important here to indicate the distinct involvement of SMG6 in the cleavage activity and the role of SMG5/7 in decay factors recruitment. These two routes for decay should clearly appear in Figure 1.
2. In Figure 2, the definition of Type 1/2/3/4 NMD should already be mentioned in the figure legends (e.g. Type 1: classical SMG1 dependent NMD, Type 2: recent loss of SMG1 with conserved phosphorylation, Type 3: ancient loss of SMG1 with loss of UPF1 S/Q phosphorylation, Type 4: Heavily derived NMD).
3. In the paragraph 'Defining NMD targets', the author cites the 2016 paper on the NMD protection effect of PTB1; an earlier study describing similar protection effect of Pub1 in yeast should also be cited (Ruiz-Echevarria and Peltz, 2000¹).
4. In the same paragraph, the author focuses on Baker's yeast DSE, stimulating NMD. The author should also mention a recent paper in yeast describing that poor translation efficiency is a major criteria for NMD targeting (Celik et al., 2017²). This major result could potentially explain not yet understood deregulations observed in several other species upon NMD knockdown.

5. It was proposed that invading RNAs of external origin, including TEs and viruses, could be a driving force for NMD apparition and evolution - this should be mentioned in the paragraph on the possible origin of NMD (Hamid and Makeyev, 2016³).

Minor comments:

1. UPF1 might have other essential functions beyond NMD, as observed for UPF3b in mammals, involved in translation termination (Neu-Yilik et al., 2017⁴), which could explain its presence in some species without any other known NMD factors. This could be mentioned in the corresponding section.
2. As branches of NMD exist without the need of UPF2/UPF3, it suggests that NMD could be active with only UPF1. This possibility should be discussed/mentioned when describing Type 4 species depleted of UPF2 and UPF3.
3. In addition to ATR/ATM, the author could mention TOR or TRRAP kinases as described in (Lloyd and Davies, 2013⁵), as possible kinase replacements for SMG1.
4. In Figure 3, the author should add precisions on endonucleolytic cleavage and decay factor recruitment for (A), and decay factor recruitment only for the others. The different types of NMD defined in Figure 2 should be mentioned again here in Figure 3, namely: Type 1, Type 2, Type 3 and Type 4.

References

1. Ruiz-Echevarría MJ, Peltz SW: The RNA binding protein Pub1 modulates the stability of transcripts containing upstream open reading frames. *Cell*. 2000; **101** (7): 741-51 [PubMed Abstract](#)
2. Celik A, Baker R, He F, Jacobson A: High-resolution profiling of NMD targets in yeast reveals translational fidelity as a basis for substrate selection. *RNA*. **23** (5): 735-748 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Hamid FM, Makeyev EV: Exaptive origins of regulated mRNA decay in eukaryotes. *Bioessays*. **38** (9): 830-8 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Neu-Yilik G, Raimondeau E, Eliseev B, Yeramala L, et al.: Dual function of UPF3B in early and late translation termination. *The EMBO Journal*. 2017; **36** (20): 2968-2986 [Publisher Full Text](#)
5. Lloyd JP, Davies B: SMG1 is an ancient nonsense-mediated mRNA decay effector. *Plant J*. 2013; **76** (5): 800-10 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Is the topic of the review discussed comprehensively in the context of the current literature?

Yes

Are all factual statements correct and adequately supported by citations?

Yes

Is the review written in accessible language?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn appropriate in the context of the current research literature?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 12 Nov 2018

James Lloyd, University of Western Australia, Perth

I am thankful for you carefully reading my review and giving great suggestions for its improvement. I have now submitted a version 2 of this article that updated the text and figures with many of the insights you and the other referees offered. Below are some highlights of things that I address in the updated manuscript:

involvement of SMG6 in the cleavage activity and the role of SMG5/7 in decay factors recruitment. These two routes for decay should clearly appear in Figure 1.

This is a great point and I have tried to address this in the submitted version 2 of the review.

In Figure 2, the definition of Type 1/2/3/4 NMD should already be mentioned in the figure legends

I have now added this in the submitted version 2 of this review.

In the paragraph 'Defining NMD targets', the author cites the 2016 paper on the NMD protection effect of PTB1; an earlier study describing similar protection effect of Pub1 in yeast should also be cited

Thank you for bringing this to my attention and it is now included in the submitted version 2 of this review.

It was proposed that invading RNAs of external origin, including TEs and viruses, could be a driving force for NMD apparition and evolution - this should be mentioned in the paragraph on the possible origin of NMD (Hamid and Makeyev, 2016³).

I have now cited this work in the submitted version 2 of this review.

UPF1 might have other essential functions beyond NMD, as observed for UPF3b in mammals, involved in translation termination (Neu-Yilik et al., 2017⁴), which could explain its presence in some species without any other known NMD factors. This could be mentioned in the corresponding section.

Great point and I have now mentioned this in the submitted version 2 of this review.

As branches of NMD exist without the need of UPF2/UPF3, it suggests that NMD could be active with only UPF1. This possibility should be discussed/mentioned when describing Type 4 species depleted of UPF2 and UPF3.

Agreed and this is now mentioned in the submitted version 2 of this review.

In addition to ATR/ATM, the author could mention TOR or TRRAP kinases as described in (Lloyd and Davies, 2013⁵), as possible kinase replacements for SMG1.

Good point, I have now added TOR to the submitted version 2 of this review. I did not add TRRAP because TRRAP has been reported to be a kinase dead member of the family (<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0092867400814798?via%3Dihub>).

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 30 August 2018

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.17330.r37408>

© 2018 Miao W. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Wei Miao

Key Laboratory of Aquatic Biodiversity and Conservation, Institute of Hydrobiology (IHB), Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Wuhan, China

In this manuscript, the author discussed the diversity of the NMD pathway according to the variation of NMD factors in different eukaryotes, and briefly summarized some popular NMD models. Furthermore, the author also discussed the relationship between intron gain/loss and NMD. Several interesting and unsolved questions in this field were also mentioned by the end of the manuscript. Interestingly, the author came up with a novel classification system (although it needs to be further modified, see below) to classify NMD mechanisms into different types based on the new criterion. Namely, it classifies NMD mechanisms according to the presence or absence of key factors that related to the well-characterized Upf1 C-terminus phosphorylation events. The manuscript is clearly written, and this work is likely to be of general interest to the NMD field. My main criticism is about the novel classification system.

Major

1. As it is still unclear whether excavates have functional NMD, the type 4 NMD may not need

to be taken into account. Or question marks should be included in the figure 2 and figure 3D, and in the main text.

2. Type 1 NMD seems to be SMG1-dependent and EJC-dependent (see figure 3A). Hence it cannot be exemplified by *C. elegans* (EJC-independent NMD). The author could modify the figure a little. For example, one could draw EJC with a dashed line to indicate it is dispensable for NMD in some organisms, like *C. elegans*. Besides, the presence of Smg1 and Upf1 orthologs in *N. gruberi* does not necessarily mean it possesses a functional NMD pathway, thus I suggest not consider it has type 1 NMD. Or, as I mentioned above, excavates need not be discussed too much.
3. As mentioned by the author, some organisms (e.g., *D. melanogaster* and *D. rerio*) are considered to have SMG1-dependent type 1 NMD, yet their SMG1 proteins are dispensable for NMD activation and therefore similar to the type 2 NMD. I am wondering whether this issue can be solved by defining another type of NMD, namely in between type 1 and type 2. Besides, an additional figure (e.g., figure 3 in (Lareau and Brenner, 2015)¹) could be provided to show the evolutionary relationship between different types of NMD.
4. Figure 2 needs to be modified. Clearly, solely based on the pattern of these icons (NMD proteins), readers may get confused why organisms with the same pattern are not classified into the same group. For example, like *C. rubella*, *Tetrahymena* also has a red square and a blue triangle. However, they are classified into different types. Although, in this case, it can be easily solved by adding another icon to indicate Upf1 with phosphorylatable S/TQ motifs. Additional modifications are required to let readers understand, for example, why *Dikarya* and *Mirosporia* are considered as type 1, but not type 2.

Minor

1. Page 2, left panel, lane 10. Ciliates should be mentioned here as well, because NMD was also proved to be required for regulating many of their transcripts (Jaillon et al. 2008², Tian et al. 2017³).
2. Page 2, right panel, lanes 19 – 20. I suggest deleting this sentence. Or, I would rather say that, even in animals, NMD factors were simply defined by their requirement for NMD. Because phosphorylation of Upf1 seems to be not essential for eliciting NMD in some animals (e.g., SMG1-independent NMD in fruit flies and zebrafish).
3. Page 2, figure 1, the bottom panel. I suggest moving Upf2, Upf1 and its associated proteins (especially the endonuclease Smg6) to the left side of the EJC, close to the endonucleolytic cleavage site.
4. Page 2, figure 1, the title of figure legend. The word “Animals” is probably too general, hence the author may want to replace it with another word (e.g., vertebrates). Because it is known that EJC is not required or dispensable for NMD in some invertebrates (Longman et al. 2007⁴, Gatfield et al. 2003⁵).
5. Page 2, figure 1, the last sentence of the figure legend. Replace “phoso-UPF1” with “phosphor-UPF1” or “phos-UPF1”.

6. Page 3, right panel, lane 8. Smg7 recruits CCR4–NOT deadenylase complex instead of exonuclease (Loh et al. 2013⁶).
7. Page 3, left panel, lane 14. Reference 34 (Rosains and Mango, 2012⁷) suggests that Smg8 is not required for NMD in *C. elegans*.
8. Page 3, left panel, lane 28. Change the word “animals”, see comments #3.
9. Page 3, left panel, the first paragraph of the section “Variations on a common pathway”. Since it is still unclear whether NMD exists in excavates, it is inappropriate to say that they have “the most divergent NMD pathway”. Some following sentences in this paragraph are also against the existence of NMD pathway in excavates. To support the hypothesis “a complex NMD pathway involving... in the last eukaryotic common ancestor”, the author could start the discussion from plant NMD mechanism, and then use the existence of orthologs of NMD core factors in excavates as a supporting evidence.
10. Page 4, figure 3 legend. “In T, it has been shown” should be “In *T. brucei* ...”.
11. Page 5, left panel, lanes 2 – 3 and lanes 20 – 21. The author should mention that the interaction between Upf1 and Smg6 can also be phosphorylation-independent (Chakrabarti et al. 2014⁸).
12. Page 5 and many other places. It is better to use a unified way to indicate different organisms (groups). For example: change “*C. elegans*, humans, and moss” to “*C. elegans*, *H. sapiens* and *P. patens*” or “worms, humans and moss”.
13. Page 5, right panel, lane 5. Is there experimental evidence to support that S/TQ sites have undergone phosphorylation? If not, I suggest replacing “S/TQ dipeptide phosphorylation sites” with “phosphorylatable S/TQ motifs”.
14. Page 5, right panel, “PNRC2 is a vertebrate-specific NMD factor”. The author may want to remove this sentence because a recent study has shown that PNRC2 may not be required for NMD (Nicholson et al. 2018⁹).
15. Page 6, left panel, lanes 19 – 21. The author should modify this sentence a little. Despite the splice junctions downstream of the stop codon (DSJ) are enriched in potential *Tetrahymena* NMD targets (Tian et al. 2017³), it is still insufficient to conclude that “NMD in *T. thermophila* is dependent on DSJ”.
16. Page 6, left panel, bottom. The description of DSE model could be removed because evidence from a few studies are against this model (for example (Meaux et al. 2008¹⁰)).
17. Page 6, right panel, lane 12. The fungus *N. crassa* should also be mentioned here, due to the requirement of EJC for its NMD pathway.
18. Page 6, right panel, section “The origins of NMD”, the second sentence. The word “clear” is too strong here.

19. Page 6, right panel, section “The origins of NMD”. Firstly, the author may want to change the subtitle of this section. In this section, a clear answer to origins of NMD is not given, and discussions are mainly about the relationship between NMD and the intron evolution. Secondly, when discussing the relationship between intron evolution and (the evolution of) NMD, an earlier study from Michael Lynch and Avinash Kewalramani is deserved to be mentioned here (Lynch et al. 2003¹¹).
20. Page 7, left panel, section “unanswered questions”. Regarding the question 2, the author should consider the existence of phosphorylation-independent interaction between Upf1 and Smg6. The question 4 should be modified as the existence of “an EJC mode of PTC recognition” in *Tetrahymena* has not been proven yet.

References

1. Lareau LF, Brenner SE: Regulation of splicing factors by alternative splicing and NMD is conserved between kingdoms yet evolutionarily flexible. *Mol Biol Evol.* 2015; **32** (4): 1072-9 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Jaillon O, Bouhouche K, Gout JF, Aury JM, et al.: Translational control of intron splicing in eukaryotes. *Nature.* 2008; **451** (7176): 359-62 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Tian M, Yang W, Zhang J, Dang H, et al.: Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in *Tetrahymena* is EJC independent and requires a protozoa-specific nuclease. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2017; **45** (11): 6848-6863 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Longman D, Plasterk RH, Johnstone IL, Cáceres JF: Mechanistic insights and identification of two novel factors in the *C. elegans* NMD pathway. *Genes Dev.* 2007; **21** (9): 1075-85 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
5. Gatfield D, Unterholzner L, Ciccarelli FD, Bork P, et al.: Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in *Drosophila*: at the intersection of the yeast and mammalian pathways. *EMBO J.* 2003; **22** (15): 3960-70 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
6. Loh B, Jonas S, Izaurralde E: The SMG5-SMG7 heterodimer directly recruits the CCR4-NOT deadenylase complex to mRNAs containing nonsense codons via interaction with POP2. *Genes Dev.* 2013; **27** (19): 2125-38 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
7. Rosains J, Mango SE: Genetic characterization of smg-8 mutants reveals no role in *C. elegans* nonsense mediated decay. *PLoS One.* 2012; **7** (11): e49490 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
8. Chakrabarti S, Bonneau F, Schüssler S, Eppinger E, et al.: Phospho-dependent and phospho-independent interactions of the helicase UPF1 with the NMD factors SMG5-SMG7 and SMG6. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2014; **42** (14): 9447-60 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
9. Nicholson P, Gkratsou A, Josi C, Colombo M, et al.: Dissecting the functions of SMG5, SMG7, and PNRC2 in nonsense-mediated mRNA decay of human cells. *RNA.* **24** (4): 557-573 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
10. Meaux S, van Hoof A, Baker KE: Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in yeast does not require PAB1 or a poly(A) tail. *Mol Cell.* 2008; **29** (1): 134-40 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
11. Lynch M, Kewalramani A: Messenger RNA surveillance and the evolutionary proliferation of introns. *Mol Biol Evol.* 2003; **20** (4): 563-71 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Is the topic of the review discussed comprehensively in the context of the current literature?

Yes

Are all factual statements correct and adequately supported by citations?

Partly

Is the review written in accessible language?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn appropriate in the context of the current research literature?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 12 Nov 2018

James Lloyd, University of Western Australia, Perth

Thank you for reading my review and giving so many insights into how I can improve my manuscript. I have now submitted a version 2 of this article that updated the text and figures with many of the insights you and the other referees offered. Below are some highlights of things that I address in the updated manuscript:

As it is still unclear whether excavates have functional NMD, the type 4 NMD may not need to be taken into account

Certainly and I have tried to make this clear in the submitted version 2 of this review.

Type 1 NMD seems to be SMG1-dependent and EJC-dependent (see figure 3A). Hence it cannot be exemplified by *C. elegans* (EJC-independent NMD).

I did not mean to give that impression so I have removed the EJC from Figure 3 of the submitted version 2 of this review. Also, while no reports of EJC involvement in C. elegans are published, I do not think we have enough data to state whether NMD in C. elegans is really EJC-independent and I look forward to future work that might add to this.

Figure 2 needs to be modified. Clearly, solely based on the pattern of these icons (NMD proteins), readers may get confused why organisms with the same pattern are not classified into the same group. For example, like *C. rubella*, *Tetrahymena* also has a red square and a blue triangle. However, they are classified into different types. Although, in this case, it can be easily solved by adding another icon to indicate Upf1 with phosphorylatable S/TQ motifs. Additional modifications are required to let readers understand, for example, why *Dikarya* and *Mirosporia* are considered as type 1, but not type 2.

Thank you for raising some issues with Figure 2. I have now corrected some of my mistakes of misclassification in this figure. I was not satisfied with any of my attempts to differentiate between UPF1 proteins of Type 2 and 3 so that I have left unchanged but I was a good point that you raise.

“PNRC2 is a vertebrate-specific NMD factor”. The author may want to remove this sentence because a recent study has shown that PNRC2 may not be required for NMD

Thank you for raising this point about PNRC2, as did other referees and I have removed mention of it from my review for the sake of simplicity.

The description of DSE model could be removed because evidence from a few studies are against this model

This is a great point and I have now removed the section of DSE from the submitted version 2 of this review.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research