SOFTWARE TOOL ARTICLE

Increasing workflow development speed and reproducibility with Vectools [version 1; peer review: 1 approved with reservations]

Tyler Weirick^{1,2}, Raphael Müller^{2,3}, Shizuka Uchida ^{1,2,4}

¹Cardiovascular Innovation Institute, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, 40202, USA

²Institute of Cardiovascular Regeneration, Goethe University Frankfurt, Frankfurt am Main, Hessen, 60590, Germany

³Institute for Bioinformatics and Systems Biology, Justus Liebig University Giessen, Giessen, Hessen, 35392, Germany

⁴Institute of Molecular Cardiology, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, 40202, USA

v1 First published: 20 Sep 2018, 7:1499
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.16301.1>
 Latest published: 23 Oct 2018, 7:1499
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.16301.2>

Abstract

Despite advances in bioinformatics, custom scripts remain a source of difficulty, slowing workflow development and hampering reproducibility. Here, we introduce Vectools, a command-line tool-suite to reduce reliance on custom scripts and improve reproducibility by offering a wide range of common easy-to-use functions for table and vector manipulation. Vectools also offers a number of vector related functions to speed up workflow development, such as simple machine learning and common statistics functions.

Keywords

bioinformatics, reproducibility, workflow, vector, matrix, spreadsheet

This article is included in the **Bioinformatics** gateway.

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 2		
(revision)		
23 Oct 2018		
version 1		
20 Sep 2018		

1. **Yutaka Saito** , National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Tokyo, Japan
2. **Dimitar Vassilev**, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Tokyo, Japan

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Shizuka Uchida (heart.lncrna@gmail.com)

Author roles: **Weirick T:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Müller R:** Software, Writing – Review & Editing; **Uchida S:** Funding Acquisition, Project Administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This study was supported by the start-up funding from the Mansbach Family, the Gheens Foundation and other generous supporters at the University of Louisville; University of Louisville 21st Century University Initiative on Big Data in Medicine (Z1762); and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB834 Z4).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2018 Weirick T *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Weirick T, Müller R and Uchida S. **Increasing workflow development speed and reproducibility with Vectools [version 1; peer review: 1 approved with reservations]** F1000Research 2018, 7:1499
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.16301.1>

First published: 20 Sep 2018, 7:1499 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.16301.1>

Introduction

Although the importance of computational analyses in biological research is increasingly appreciated, many analyses are time consuming to implement and remain complicated, as well as being difficult to reproduce¹. Workflow-managers [e.g., [Snakemake](#)²] have greatly simplified many aspects needed for reproducibility. However, custom scripts (i.e., software not intended for use by a wider audience) remain a problem³. Custom scripts are often needed to further process data generated by high-use programs (i.e., programs intended for a wide user base). At the most basic level, analysis pipelines requiring custom scripts simply take more time to implement as additional code needs to be written. However, writing custom scripts also increases the chance of software bugs, which is concerning as even small bugs have led to retractions, such as mislabeling metadata⁴ or a sign change⁵. Furthermore, analyses using custom scripts also hamper reproducibility as the scripts may be publically unavailable, lack documentation, or does not work on certain operation systems. To reduce the impact of these problems, we introduce Vectools⁶, a command-line tool for working with vectors, matrices, and tables. Vectools reduces the need for custom scripts by offering an easy-to-use command-line tool with a wide range functions for manipulating tables, one of the most commonly used formats in bioinformatics. Further, Vectools incorporates a number of other useful vector-related functions, such as statistics and machine learning. Altogether, Vectools helps to speed up workflow development and improves reproducibility by offering a wide range of useful functions.

Methods

Implementation

Vectools can be run via command-line by simply typing “vectools”, which will print the main help menu. Vectools contains over 45 operations organized by headings. These are

analysis, descriptors, manipulation, math, normalization, supervised learning, and unsupervised learning. A list of all headings and functions is available in ([Supplementary File 1](#)). To run an operation, simply type “vectools” followed by the operation name. If the “—help” argument is added after an operation name, a help menu with usage instructions and examples will be printed.

Operation

A standard laptop computer with a recent version of Python3 will handle most applications.

Use cases

When manipulating data in tables, Core Utilities (Coreutils) programs (e.g., awk, grep, sed, and join) can be used instead of custom scripts. Using Coreutils helps to solve problems with availability as they are common to Unix-based systems. Here, we compared the usage of Vectools to Coreutils. Methods and output can be found in the archived data⁷. One downside of Coreutils programs is that they can be complex and difficult to understand. For example, joining multiple tables requires a Bash script using Coreutils-join, whereas this can be done with a single line with Vectools ([Figure 1A](#)). Furthermore, while common in Unix systems, the behavior of Coreutils programs may differ depending on the operating system. These differences can potentially cause errors or unexpected behavior, such as aggregating Gene Ontology (GO) terms by gene accession numbers with sed ([Figure 1B](#)). Instead of aggregating values on MacOS or other Berkeley Software Distribution (BSD) Unix systems, the Coreutils function prints the original input data. These errors can be caused by multiple reasons, such as BSD-sed not interpreting ANSI-C escape sequences (e.g., \n for newline, \t for tab) and differences in how regular expressions are evaluated. These problems can be overcome with Vectools

Figure 1. Comparison of Vectools and Coreutils. (A) Joining more than two files requires a single command using Vectools. The same operation using Coreutils requires a custom script. **(B)** Aggregating Gene Ontology terms by gene accession numbers with Vectools can be done with a simple command. The same operation using Coreutils requires a complex regular expression. Further, the regular expression does not work properly on MacOS. **(C)** Vectools also includes many operations unavailable in Coreutils, such as machine learning. Here, in five commands, we use supervised-learning for homology-independent prediction of enzyme function. Using Vectools we generated a support-vector machine model capable of predicting carbonic anhydrases with an estimated 99% accuracy and predict 15,018 of 1,223,287 uncharacterized proteins as potential carbonic anhydrases. Methods and output can be found in the archived data and analysis pipelines⁷.

with only one line of command. Vectools offers many functions that are currently unavailable in Coreutils, such as basic machine learning. Here, we show a simple example of using a support-vector machine to find potential novel carbonic anhydrases independent of sequence homology (Figure 1C). Carbonic anhydrases were chosen as they have multiple distinct classes, which arose via convergent evolution⁸. Vectools significantly simplifies a number of steps needed for this task. For example, the “svmtrain” operation handles hyper-parameter tuning via grid search, k-fold testing, and independent set testing. This significantly simplifies implementing machine learning in analysis pipelines.

Discussion

Here, we show that Vectools reduces the need for custom scripts and is simpler to use than Coreutils. While Coreutils is faster and uses less memory, this is a minor issue given the increasing power and decreasing cost of computational resources. Vectools also offers various other functionalities, such as allowing easy incorporation of machine learning into analysis pipelines. Furthermore, Vectools helps to increase reproducibility by making analysis pipelines easier to share and reducing bugs. Users may also be interested in comparison with R. While certainly suited to the same tasks: 1) integrating R into a pipeline requires custom scripts; and 2) the use-cases for R and Vectools are different. R offers a large variety of functions at the cost of package dependency issues. Conversely, Vectools emphasizes ease-of-use by hosting a curated list of common functions. Thus, one common use-case of Vectools when combined with a workflow-manager is to replace work done in spreadsheets. This use-case offers a number of benefits. For example, it is in line with a recent technology feature in

Nature, which argues that the concept of reproducibility extends to creating easy-to-update analysis pipelines⁹. With Vectools, these easy-to-update pipelines will also be easy to share, making valuable tool for bioinformatics research.

Data availability

All data used in the paper are archived in Zenodo⁷.

Software availability

Source code available from: <https://vectools.bitbucket.io/>.

Data and analysis pipelines: <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1413666>⁷.

Source code at time of publication: <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1413671>⁶.

License: The software, and data and analysis pipelines are available under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International \(CC BY 4.0\)](#) license.

Grant information

This study was supported by the start-up funding from the Mansbach Family, the Gheens Foundation and other generous supporters at the University of Louisville; University of Louisville 21st Century University Initiative on Big Data in Medicine (Z1762); and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB834 Z4).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Supplementary material

Supplementary File 1. A list of operations offered by Vectools with short descriptions of their functions.

[Click here to access the data](#)

References

- Fehr J, Heiland J, Himpe C, *et al.*: **Best practices for replicability, reproducibility and reusability of computer-based experiments exemplified by model reduction software.** *AIMS Mathematics*. 2016; 1(3): 261–281.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Köster J, Rahmann S: **Snakemake—a scalable bioinformatics workflow engine.** *Bioinformatics*. 2012; 28(19): 2520–2522.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- LeVeque RJ: **Top ten reasons to not share your code (and why you should anyway).** *SIAM News*. 2013; 1.
[Reference Source](#)
- Henson KE, Jaggi R, Cutter D, *et al.*: **Retraction.** *J Clin Oncol*. 2016; 34(27): 3358–3359.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Ma C, Chang G: **Structure of the multidrug resistance efflux transporter EmrE from *Escherichia coli*.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2007; 104(9): 3668.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Weirick T, Müller R, Uchida S: **Vectools source code at time of publication.** *Zenodo*. 2018.
<http://www.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1413671>
- Weirick T, Müller R, Uchida S: **Data and analysis pipelines used in Increasing workflow development speed and reproducibility with Vectools [Data set].** *Zenodo*. 2018.
<http://www.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1413671>
- Hewett-Emmett D, Tashian RE: **Functional diversity, conservation, and convergence in the evolution of the alpha-, beta-, and gamma-carbonic anhydrase gene families.** *Mol Phylogenet Evol*. 1996; 5(1): 50–77.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Perkel JM: **A toolkit for data transparency takes shape.** *Nature*. 2018; 560(7719): 513–515.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: ?

Version 1

Reviewer Report 26 September 2018

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.17808.r38645>

© 2018 Saito Y. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Yutaka Saito

Artificial Intelligence Research Center, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Tokyo, Japan

This article describes Vectools, a command-line tool that can do various kinds of matrix operations for tsv-like data with simple one-liner programs. Vectools is similar to sed and awk commands in Unix Coreutils but has more functionalities, thereby reducing the cost for implementing custom scripts for daily data analyses. The authors claim this will improve the reproducibility problem in recent bioinformatics studies.

As a general comment, I think Vectools is useful and will be of interest for bioinformaticians who work in practical data analyses. Although I do not feel the tool has a theoretical novelty, its practical usefulness is worth post-publication evaluation by future users.

I have several comments as follows:

1:

- Vectools is also similar to "groupby" function in Bedtools.
- Some functionalities of Bedtools groupby are not included in Vectools, and vice versa.
- The authors should refer to Bedtools, and if any, other command-line tools similar to Vectools.

2:

- For each analysis in Figure 1, please provide the size of input data (#rows, #columns, #sequences, etc.).
- Especially, I get the impression that SVM consumes a large memory.
- Although I partly agree with the authors' statement that the computational cost is a minor issue, it is still important to provide the information of memory usage along with data size.

3 (minor points):

- (Top left in page 2) However --> In addition (?)

- (Top right in page 3) valuable tool --> valuable tools

References

1. Quinlan AR, Hall IM: BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics*. 2010; **26** (6): 841-2 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Is the rationale for developing the new software tool clearly explained?

Partly

Is the description of the software tool technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of the code, methods and analysis (if applicable) provided to allow replication of the software development and its use by others?

Yes

Is sufficient information provided to allow interpretation of the expected output datasets and any results generated using the tool?

Partly

Are the conclusions about the tool and its performance adequately supported by the findings presented in the article?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: bioinformatics

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 19 Oct 2018

Shizuka Uchida

We would like to thank the reviewer for valuable comments. The followings are our point-by-point responses:

> Comment #1: I have several comments as follows:

- Vectools is also similar to "groupby" function in Bedtools.
- Some functionalities of Bedtools groupby are not included in Vectools, and vice versa.
- The authors should refer to Bedtools, and if any, other command-line tools similar to Vectools.

> Our response: Thank you very much for raising this point. We now clearly cite Bedtools in the

Discussion section. To address the functionality issue, we have implemented two additional operations in Vectools, which are: 1) "mode" for calculating mode/antimode in Vectools; and 2) "colmerge" for combining or splitting columns based on a delimiter. We have also added the "--group" option to relevant operations (e.g., mean, mode, stdev). For cases in which the operation names or functionality do not match exactly, we list the equivalences between Bedtools Groupby and Vectools below:

Groupby - Vectools
count- shape | slice
collapse- aggregate
distinct- unique
count_distinct- unique | sum
sstdev- vrep | stdev
freqasc/ freqdesc- unique | slice | colmerge | aggregate
first/ last- chop

> Comment #2:

- **For each analysis in Figure 1, please provide the size of input data (#rows, #columns, #sequences, etc.).**
- **Especially, I get the impression that SVM consumes a large memory.**
- **Although I partly agree with the authors' statement that the computational cost is a minor issue, it is still important to provide the information of memory usage along with data size.**

> Our response: We have updated the figure by adding the file sizes for the SVM example. The first two examples display the entire file. Thus, we did not add file sizes in those examples. We have updated the figure legend to make this clearer. Further, all data used is assessable in the archived data. We have also updated the figure legend to make this more apparent. Finally, we fixed two typos in the figure.

> Comment #3 (minor points):

- **(Top left in page 2) However --> In addition (?)**
- **(Top right in page 3) valuable tool --> valuable tools**

> Our response: Thank you very much for reading our manuscript carefully. We have corrected the above grammatical errors as well as others.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research