Check for updates

REVIEW

Missing the egocentric spatial reference: a blank on the map

[version 1; peer review: 3 approved]Maria Concetta Miniaci ¹, Elvira De Leonibus^{2,3}¹Department of Pharmacy , School of Medicine, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy²Institute of Genetics and Biophysics (IGB) , National Research Council, Naples, Italy³Telethon Institute of Genetics and Medicine, Telethon Foundation, Pozzuoli, Italy**V1** First published: 09 Feb 2018, 7:168
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.13675.1>Latest published: 09 Feb 2018, 7:168
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.13675.1>**Abstract**

Egocentric (self-centered) and allocentric (viewpoint independent) representations of space are essential for spatial navigation and wayfinding. Deficits in spatial memory come with age-related cognitive decline, are marked in mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and Alzheimer's disease (AD), and are associated with cognitive deficits in autism. In most of these disorders, a change in the brain areas engaged in the spatial reference system processing has been documented. However, the spatial memory deficits observed during physiological and pathological aging are quite different. While patients with AD and MCI have a general spatial navigation impairment in both allocentric and egocentric strategies, healthy older adults are particularly limited in the allocentric navigation, but they can still count on egocentric navigation strategy to solve spatial tasks. Therefore, specific navigational tests should be considered for differential diagnosis between healthy and pathological aging conditions. Finally, more research is still needed to better understand the spatial abilities of autistic individuals.

Keywords

egocentric navigation, posterior parietal cortex, striatum, aging, Alzheimer's disease, autism.

Open Peer Review**Approval Status**

	1	2	3
version 1
09 Feb 2018	view	view	view

1. **Sathyanarayanan V. Puthanveetil**, Scripps Research Institute, Jupiter, USA
2. **Sergio Chieffi**, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli , Naples, Italy
3. **Silvia Middei**, Santa Lucia Foundation, Rome, Italy

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Maria Concetta Miniaci (maria.miniaci@unina.it)

Author roles: Miniaci MC: Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; De Leonibus E: Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This study was supported by Bank of Italy grant #744460/13.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2018 Miniaci MC and De Leonibus E. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Miniaci MC and De Leonibus E. **Missing the egocentric spatial reference: a blank on the map [version 1; peer review: 3 approved]** F1000Research 2018, 7:168 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.13675.1>

First published: 09 Feb 2018, 7:168 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.13675.1>

Introduction

Successful navigation is a fundamental cognitive function that is crucial for survival. Humans, like other animals, must learn about the layout of their environments to return home, or move between known locations. The spatial reference frame used to locate positions and directions in a complex environment are commonly divided into two main systems: egocentric (subject-centered) and allocentric (object-centered)^{1,2}. During navigation, information in both egocentric and allocentric reference frames can be integrated to provide a coherent representation of the environment and proper orientation.

Egocentric frames define spatial positions using the body, or a specific part of the body (head or trunk) as a point of reference (Figure 1)^{3–5}. Allocentric reference frame codes the position of the target relative to surrounding visual cues or landmarks and their spatial relationships, independently of the observer's current position⁶. Such information can be used to build a “cognitive map”, a sort of internal representation of the environment⁷.

Typically, the egocentric strategy relies upon kinesthetic and vestibular sensory information as well as motor command efferent copies, and optokinetic flow information as the subject moves past surrounding objects⁸. Egocentric learning ability has been demonstrated in paradigms in which animals must repeat a sequence of responses or movements toward a target, e.g., turning to the left, or reaching a fixed goal from a fixed starting point. Egocentric spatial orientation is likely to occur in the absence of external allothetic visual cues—e.g., in total darkness or in water maze with high and opaque walls that do not allow the use of extramaze cues. However, learning occurs relatively slowly in the water maze in darkness^{9,10}, due to cumulative errors of the vestibular system, which are not corrected by visual inputs¹¹. Egocentric navigation is also associated with path integration, a strategy of spatial navigation that uses vestibular and proprioceptive cues generated during locomotion to keep track of position relative to a known starting point¹². Path integration or dead reckoning is, for example, used by foraging animals, such as desert ants and honeybees, to search for food along novel routes extending hundreds of meters¹³. After reaching the site, those animals show an impressive level of

accuracy at returning back to the nest using only the idiothetic cues generated by their movements. Environmental allothetic cues can be used to correct heading direction. Path integration can also be assessed in human subjects walking blindfolded to a previously seen target or asking them to estimate the distance and direction traveled while walking blindfolded¹⁴.

Neuronal basis of egocentric navigation

Behavioral and brain imaging studies indicate that egocentric and allocentric strategies are mediated by a different cognitive-neural system, with some degree of overlapping. Egocentric-based navigation relies mainly on the posterior parietal cortex (PPC; Figure 2) whereas hippocampus and parahippocampal cortex are crucial for allocentric navigation^{15,16}. The activity in the caudate nucleus has also been associated with egocentric tasks requiring a response strategy, such as following a well-learned route in a virtual city¹⁷.

Role of the posterior parietal cortex

The importance of PPC in egocentric navigation has been demonstrated by lesion studies in rodents and humans as well as by functional MRI (fMRI) studies in healthy subjects. Rogers and Kesner¹⁸ have found that rats with selective lesions of the right posterior parietal cortex were impaired in the acquisition of the egocentric version of the water maze task, in the absence of extramaze cues, but had no difficulty in learning the allocentric version of the task, when extramaze cues were available. Rats with PPC lesions are also strongly impaired in path integration-based navigation under conditions in which visual inputs are irrelevant¹⁹. For example, they are unable to locate the escape platform in a water maze when tested in complete darkness or to return directly to the refuge after searching for a randomly located food reward on a circular arena surrounded by a curtain, suggesting that the PPC plays an important role in idiothetic processing during path integration. Furthermore, single-unit recording data have demonstrated that a substantial fraction of cells in PPC of rats responds selectively to head orientation or to locomotion such as forward motion to the left or right^{20–22}.

The involvement of PPC in egocentric spatial processing has also been observed in humans as they navigated to goal destinations

Figure 1. To reach the goal (bridge on the river), the subject can reproduce a sequence of left-right body turns (egocentric strategy) or use environmental cues (allocentric strategy).

Figure 2. Brain regions involved in egocentric spatial representation.

in the virtual simulation of London²³. Analysis of the fMRI data revealed that the activity in bilateral PPC was significantly correlated with the egocentric direction to the goal. Additional evidence in support of a role of PPC in egocentric based spatial navigation comes from patients with PPC damage following cerebral infarction or hemorrhage in the right hemisphere^{24,25}. Lesion of PPC can result in a contralateral neglect i.e. inattention to objects and space on the body opposite to the brain damage. Patients with neglect lose the ability to represent the location of objects (and landmarks) with respect to the self, though the landmarks are still recognizable. Indeed, they can get lost in their homes as they ignore left-hand turns or doorway. Neglect of representational space has been clearly described by Bisiach and Luzzatti²⁶. They asked patients with left-sided neglect to recall the layout of the Piazza del Duomo in Milan, a place very familiar to them. When imagining themselves facing the cathedral in the middle of the piazza, such patients neglected the left side of the piazza, recalling only the right side; however, when asked to view the piazza from the opposite end, they recalled the previously neglected buildings. An impairment of egocentric processing of remote spatial memory has also been observed in patients with focal lesion of PPC without clinical signs of neglect²⁵. In particular, when examined on mental navigation tasks in a very familiar environment (i.e., downtown Toronto), such patients were impaired on tasks that involved egocentric mental views of places such as describing an efficient route from one specific Toronto landmark to another. However, they showed preserved allocentric knowledge of the same environment, as they were able to indicate the location of landmarks on a map of Toronto or draw a map of the streets of Toronto.

Anatomical studies support the important role of PPC in processing egocentric spatial information²⁷. Indeed, PPC receives and integrates signals from cortical areas representing all main sensory modalities, such as vision, audition, proprioceptive, and vestibular. In addition, it is connected to cortical regions involved in goal-directed behavior such as the orbitofrontal, and medial prefrontal cortices and the striatum²⁸. The parietal cortex is also reciprocally connected with the hippocampal formation via the retrosplenial and the postrhinal cortex²⁹.

Role of the striatal complex

Potegal³⁰ was the first to suggest that the striatal complex is involved in processing egocentric information; he based his hypothesis on the observation that patients with Huntington's disease, which are affected by neurodegeneration of striatal neurons, were impaired when using the egocentric frame of reference.

The striatal complex is part of the basal ganglia nuclei, which receive information from the whole cortical mantle (Figure 2). It was initially thought that these nuclei were selectively involved in the movement control, but more recent evidence clearly indicates that the basal ganglia, and in particular the striatum, also have important cognitive functions, including learning and memory^{31–36}. Neuroanatomical evidence suggests that the striatal complex can be further distinguished in at least three different sub-regions including the dorsolateral and the dorsomedial striatum, corresponding to the putamen and the caudate in non-human and human primates, respectively, and the nucleus accumbens, which is located in the ventral striatum³⁷. The role of these different components in spatial navigation seems to follow lateromedial and dorsoventral gradient. The dorsolateral striatum is involved in all forms of egocentric navigation strategies with little involvement in allocentric navigation^{32,33,38–43}. These findings are in line with neuroanatomical evidence showing that this part of the striatal complex receives dense projections from the PPC and the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, as well as from the vestibular system, which is crucial for egocentric spatial information processing^{44–46}. Both the medial and the ventral striatum are involved in egocentric spatial processing. However, these brain regions seem to be recruited in egocentric spatial tasks only when complex visuospatial tasks require a flexible updating system, like locating the position of a displaced object based on its position related to the subject body axes³³. The medio-ventral striatum receives a direct input from the hippocampal formation^{47,48} and has been particularly implicated in allocentric spatial information processing^{33,49}.

The role of the dorsal striatum in egocentric spatial navigation has been attributed to its specific contribution to the formation

of stimulus-response based habit learning⁵⁰; however, more recent evidence in rodents using one-trial learning tasks, such as the identification of a displaced object based on the subject position, suggests that habit learning and egocentric spatial information processing are two distinct functions involving the dorsal striatum^{32,33}. This dissociation has been confirmed using an outcome evaluation protocol; habit responses are, by definition, controlled by the releasing stimulus, and not by the outcome. For example, if the subject always takes the same route from home to work, it may happen that the subject continues to take the same route for days even after the goal has been changed (such as moving to another place). This situation has been modeled in rodent studies, applying an outcome devaluation protocol after a maze learning. Egocentric strategy is initially used to navigate in relatively constant environments in a flexible manner³⁵; with increasing practice, the egocentric responses become habitual and resistant to changes in the environment and in the outcome value. Indeed, animals continued to turn right (or left) after learning even if their starting position has been changed by 180° or the food they obtained when turning right induced malaise. Furthermore, in the same study it was shown that deactivation of the dorsomedial striatum and the dorsolateral striatum mimicked and abolished, respectively, the effects of practice in the shift from egocentric to habit learning³⁵.

Cerebellum and egocentric based motor sequence

Functional neuroimaging studies in humans have demonstrated that the cerebellum is activated during virtual navigation tasks that can be solved using either sequence-based strategy or place-based strategy⁵¹. However, the two strategies appeared to involve different cerebellar lobules and cortical areas: place-based responses revealed the activation of the left cerebellar lobule VIIA Crus I, the right hippocampus and the medial parietal cortex, whereas for sequence-based response, right lobule VIIA Crus I, left hippocampus and medial prefrontal cortex medial were coactivated and functionally connected.

Experiments carried out in transgenic mouse model with selective inhibition of protein kinase C inhibitor (PKCI) in Purkinje cells, have brought new insights regarding the role of cerebellum in spatial navigation^{52,53}. L7-PKCI transgenic mice lack parallel fiber–Purkinje cell long-term depression (LTD), which is considered the main neural correlate of cerebellar motor learning^{54–56}. Interestingly, L7-PKCI mice exhibited disrupted hippocampal place cell properties when forced to use self-motion cues, such as navigation in total darkness. Consistently with their hippocampal place cell alteration, L7-PKCI mice were unable to navigate efficiently toward a goal in the dark, suggesting that the cerebellum may shape hippocampal activity during spatial navigation. The main hypothesis is that the cerebellum contributes to the formation of spatial representation by combining vestibular with proprioceptive inputs to generate appropriate information about body location in space^{57–59}. The cerebellum appears to also be connected with the posterior parietal cortex, that encodes self-motion and acceleration²². The interaction between PPC and cerebellar lobules has been shown in humans and monkeys and is believed to play an important role in planning and execution of navigation behavior.

Egocentric navigation in childhood and aging

Studies on spatial abilities in childhood suggest that infants use mainly an egocentric reference system and that a gradual ability to use allocentric representations is acquired with age. Egocentric representation is considered a more elementary mean of representing the location of an object than an allocentric representation and, therefore, is already present early^{60,61}. Acredolo and Evans⁶² found that 6-month-old infants, trained to anticipate the appearance of a face at either their left or right and then turned around, continued to look in the same egocentric direction after they were rotated to the opposite side of the room. The correct use of allocentric representations develops progressively with increasing age. A further study carried out in children of 5, 7, and 10-year-olds has demonstrated that a high percentage of children spontaneously used the egocentric strategy on the virtual reality adaptation of the StarMaze task, reproducing the same sequence of body turns during the probe trials as during the training trials⁶³. The allocentric strategy, based on landmark guidance, was spontaneously used to solve the task in a few percentage of children at 7 and 10 years, but not at 5 years of age. However, when the allocentric strategy was imposed, the 5-year-olds were able to use allocentric behavior but their performance was below that of the 10-year-olds.

The shift from egocentric to allocentric strategy preference with increasing age is consistent with the heterogeneity in developmental trajectories of subcortical and cortical structures; decrease of subcortical and increase of cortical gray matter volumes have been described after age 7⁶⁴.

After 60 years of age, there is a clear decline in spatial navigation abilities⁶⁵. Such decline is often related to functional changes of the posteromedial, the medial-temporal and the frontal areas^{66,67}. Older adults present spatial navigation deficits particularly in allocentric navigation, being less effective in forming and using the cognitive maps when examined in both virtual and real-life versions of the human Morris maze^{68,69}. On the other hand, the egocentric spatial navigation and learning is preserved in older age. The same results have been confirmed in studies testing older adults (71–84 years old) in a real-space human analog of the Morris Water Maze⁷⁰.

Behavioral studies on rodents have confirmed the effect of aging on the spatial task performance requiring the use of allocentric strategy⁷¹. For example, aged mice were impaired in the water maze task in which they must remember the location of a submerged platform in relation to a series of extra-maze or distal cues, with the position of the starting point changing from trial to trial⁷². However, the aged mice performed correctly the egocentric spatial task in a T-maze, remembering a sequence of movements (such as rotation to the left). These results suggest that aging can affect the allocentric and egocentric processing of spatial information in a different way.

These findings are in line with an increased sensitivity of brain regions of the medial temporal lobe, including the hippocampus, to the insult of aging and associated neurodegenerative disorders such as AD.

Egocentric spatial deficits in developmental disorders: the case of autism

Based on neuropsychological literature showing that the use of egocentric develops before allocentric spatial strategies⁷³, altered egocentric spatial ability can be considered an early sign of neuro- and psychiatric developmental disorders. This issue is generally little explored. However, some studies have addressed egocentric spatial information processing in Autism spectrum disorder (ASD). ASD is a multifactorial neurodevelopmental disorder that can also be secondary to genetic syndromes, including DiGeorge syndrome, Optiz syndrome or Fragile X syndrome⁷⁴. ASD manifests with impaired eye contact and communication skills, as well as impaired social interaction⁷⁵. Furthermore, children with autism often present stereotyped behavior and self-injury. Visuo-spatial abilities in subjects with autism have been investigated. Although in one study, no impairment was observed⁷⁶, more recent studies suggested that autistic adults show impaired performance in egocentric spatial tasks, when they have to use their body as reference frame^{77,78}.

These deficits have never been directly associated to altered brain activation patterns. Interestingly, structural alteration of subcortical regions has been widely identified in autistic children. For example, the largest brain morphometric study in ASD to date shows that autism is associated with smaller subcortical volumes of the pallidum and putamen. Cerebellar cell loss and atrophy has also revealed in ASD children^{79–83}.

Impaired subcortical functions in animal models of autism have been mainly associated to social behavior impairment, with little attention to the possible effects of spatial information processing. Behavioral investigation of MID1-null mouse model of Opitz G/BBB syndrome (OS), a genetic disorder characterized by mental retardation and brain abnormalities such as hypoplasia of the anterior cerebellar vermis, has demonstrated that these mice can promptly learn to locate the food in a T-maze if the position of the food remains constant relatively to extra-maze cues (allocentric strategy), but they are impaired if the position of the food is anchored to the animal position in the maze (egocentric strategy)⁸⁴. Impairment of egocentric spatial information processing has been also observed in children with Williams syndrome (WS), a neurodevelopmental disorder resulting from a hemizygous microdeletion of ~25 genes on chromosome 7q11.23^{73,85}.

Egocentric spatial abilities impairment correlates with altered social performance in ASD. Therefore, understanding the nature and the neuronal correlates of egocentric spatial abilities in ASD might be relevant to shed light on the nature of other cognitive and social deficits characterizing ASD, as evidenced by studies linking spatial and social cognitive abilities in ASD^{86,87}.

Egocentric impairments deficits in Alzheimer's disease

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative disorder characterized by the accumulation of amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangle accompanied by neuronal loss⁸⁸. In the early phase of the disease, most patients show cognitive impairments,

such as memory deficits, language impairment, poor judgment and decision making^{89–91}. As the disease progresses, reasoning, visual-spatial skills, and sensory processing become increasingly affected. The cognitive impairment in patients with AD is closely associated with the progressive degeneration of medial temporal lobe, including hippocampal formation and entorhinal cortex, frontal and parietal cortex and the basal forebrain^{92,93}. Spatial navigation deficits, such as becoming disoriented or feeling lost in familiar places, represent the first sign of AD, and have, therefore, an important clinical utility for the early detection of AD^{94,95}. Several studies in recent years have focused on spatial deficits in patients with amnesic Mild Cognitive Impairment (aMCI), which is considered an intermediate stage between the expected cognitive deficit of normal aging and the serious decline of dementia. Patients with amnesic MCI, especially those with the hippocampal type of amnesic syndrome, are at very high risk of AD. The conversion rate from MCI to dementia range is about 10% per year; in contrast to conversion rate from healthy elderly subjects to dementia which is about 1–2 percent per year⁹⁶. Interestingly, MCI patients are impaired in both allocentric and egocentric navigation, as documented by a series of study on virtual as well as real navigation. In one of the first studies, Hort and collaborators⁹⁷ tested a group of AD and aMCI patients on a goal-directed navigation task within a circular arena. The goal was invisible and could be identified either by its position relative to the starting position (egocentric subtest) or relative to cues on the wall (allocentric subtest). Each subtest began with an overhead view of the arena on a computer monitor. Both AD and aMCI were similarly impaired in all types of experiments, suggesting that spatial navigation impairment is not limited to AD, but begins to decline earlier in MCI. To understand the neural mechanisms behind spatial navigation of AD, Weniger and collaborators⁹⁸ examined a group of patients with aMCI on two virtual reality tasks, a virtual park containing several landmarks (allocentric memory) and a landmark-free virtual maze (egocentric memory) while undergoing an fMRI scan. They showed that aMCI patients were significantly impaired on both virtual reality tests, getting more frequently lost than controls and being unable to find a navigation strategy to learn the maze. Importantly, the allocentric and egocentric memory of aMCI patients were associated with size reduction of hippocampus, precuneus, and right-sided parietal cortex. These results have been recently confirmed by Boccia *et al.*⁹⁹. In their studies, patients with aMCI were challenged on an intensive learning paradigm, during which participants were shown two paths using either video clips or maps of a real city. The video clips were used to encourage participants to develop an egocentric representation of the city, whereas the maps were used to encourage them to develop an allocentric representation. After learning, they were asked to retrieve each of these paths, using an allocentric or egocentric frame of reference, while undergoing fMRI scan. Behavioral results indicated that aMCI showed a reduction in the rate of learning on the allocentric task. In addition, patients with aMCI showed a selective impairment in retrieving topographical memory using an egocentric perspective. Imaging data suggest that this general decline was correlated with hypoactivation of the brain areas generally involved in spatial navigation, e.g. medial temporal lobe structures, angular gyrus, and orbitofrontal cortex.

Furthermore, there is a strong evidence that patients with mild AD and aMCI are also impaired on path integration task, in which they are required to return to the starting point following an enclosed triangle pathway with a mask over their eyes¹⁰⁰. Such deficit appeared to be correlated to a reduced size of hippocampus, entorhinal and parietal cortices.

These findings suggest that neuropsychological screening designed to assess navigational deficit may represent an important tool for detecting the prodromal symptoms of aMCI and early stage of AD, and therefore, allow appropriate diagnosis and intervention.

Conclusions

Many interesting points emerge from spatial navigation studies presented in this review. First of all, it appears that, unlike allocentric, the egocentric spatial strategy is quite preserved under physiological aging. But there are conditions such as AD in which egocentric ability is also impaired and this determines

devastating effects on spatial navigation so that individual get lost even in a familiar environment. Secondly, the egocentric spatial deficits in brain disorders such as AD are often correlated with a reduced size of brain areas involved in egocentric information processing. Overall, these findings suggest that neuropsychological screening designed to assess navigational deficit may represent an important approach for detecting neurological and psychiatric disease progression, thus allowing an appropriate intervention.

Competing interests

There are no actual or potential conflicts of interest.

Grant information

This study was supported by Bank of Italy grant #744460/13.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

References

- O'Keefe J, Nadel L: **The Hippocampus as a Cognitive Map**. 1978; Oxford University Press.
[Reference Source](#)
- Burgess N, Maguire EA, O'Keefe J: **The human hippocampus and spatial and episodic memory**. *Neuron*. 2002; **35**(4): 625–641.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Nadel L, Hardt O: **The spatial brain**. *Neuropsychology*. 2004; **18**(3): 473–476.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Burgess N: **Spatial memory: how egocentric and allocentric combine**. *Trends Cogn Sci*. 2006; **10**(12): 551–557.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Iglói K, Zaoui M, Berthoz A, *et al.*: **Sequential egocentric strategy is acquired as early as allocentric strategy: Parallel acquisition of these two navigation strategies**. *Hippocampus*. 2009; **19**(12): 1199–1211.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Miniati MC, Lippello P, Monda M, *et al.*: **Role of hippocampus in polymodal-cue guided tasks in rats**. *Brain Res*. 2016; **1646**: 426–432.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- O'Keefe J: **An allocentric spatial model for the hippocampal cognitive map**. *Hippocampus*. 1991; **1**(3): 230–235.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Arleo A, Rondi-Reig L: **Multimodal sensory integration and concurrent navigation strategies for spatial cognition in real and artificial organisms**. *J Integr Neurosci*. 2007; **6**(3): 327–66.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Save E, Moghaddam M: **Effects of lesions of the associative parietal cortex on the acquisition and use of spatial memory in egocentric and allocentric navigation tasks in the rat**. *Behav Neurosci*. 1996; **110**(1): 74–85.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Miniati MC, Scotto P, Bures J: **Place navigation in rats guided by a vestibular and kinesthetic orienting gradient**. *Behav Neurosci*. 1999; **113**(6): 1115–1126.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Barlow JS: **Inertial navigation as a basis for animal navigation**. *J Theor Biol*. 1964; **6**(1): 76–117.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- McNaughton BL, Chen LL, Markus EJ: **"Dead reckoning," landmark learning, and the sense of direction: a neurophysiological and computational hypothesis**. *J Cogn Neurosci*. 1991; **3**(2): 190–205.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Müller M, Wehner R: **Path integration in desert ants, *Cataglyphis fortis***. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1988; **85**(14): 5287–5290.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Loomis JM, Klatzky RL, Golledge RG: **Navigating without vision: basic and applied research**. *Optom Vis Sci*. 2001; **78**(5): 282–289.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Byrne P, Becker S, Burgess N: **Remembering the past and imagining the future: a neural model of spatial memory and imagery**. *Psychol Rev*. 2007; **114**(2): 340–375.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- O'Keefe J, Dostrovsky J: **The hippocampus as a spatial map. Preliminary evidence from unit activity in the freely-moving rat**. *Brain Res*. 1971; **34**(1): 171–175.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Hartley T, Maguire EA, Spiers HJ, *et al.*: **The well-worn route and the path less traveled: distinct neural bases of route following and wayfinding in humans**. *Neuron*. 2003; **37**(5): 877–888.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Rogers JL, Kesner RP: **Lesions of the dorsal hippocampus or parietal cortex differentially affect spatial information processing**. *Behav Neurosci*. 2006; **120**(4): 852–860.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Parron C, Save E: **Evidence for entorhinal and parietal cortices involvement in path integration in the rat**. *Exp Brain Res*. 2004; **159**(3): 349–359.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Chen LL, Lin LH, Green EJ, *et al.*: **Head-direction cells in the rat posterior cortex. I. Anatomical distribution and behavioral modulation**. *Exp Brain Res*. 1994; **101**(1): 8–23.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Nitz DA: **Tracking route progression in the posterior parietal cortex**. *Neuron*. 2006; **49**(5): 747–756.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Whitlock JR, Pfuhl G, Dagslott N, *et al.*: **Functional split between parietal and entorhinal cortices in the rat**. *Neuron*. 2012; **73**(4): 789–802.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Spiers HJ, Maguire EA: **Thoughts, behaviour, and brain dynamics during navigation in the real world**. *Neuroimage*. 2006; **31**(4): 1826–1840.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Wilson BA, Berry E, Gracey F, *et al.*: **Egocentric disorientation following bilateral parietal lobe damage**. *Cortex*. 2005; **41**(4): 547–554.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Ciaramelli E, Rosenbaum RS, Solcz S, *et al.*: **Mental space travel: damage to posterior parietal cortex prevents egocentric navigation and reexperiencing of remote spatial memories**. *J Exp Psychol Learn Mem Cogn*. 2010; **36**(3): 619–634.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Bisiach E, Luzzatti C: **Unilateral neglect of representational space**. *Cortex*. 1978; **14**(1): 129–133.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Ungerleider LG, Mishkin M: **Two cortical visual systems**. In: Ingle DJ, Goodale MA,

Mansfield RJW (Eds.), *Analysis of Visual Behavior*. MIT press, Cambridge, MA; 1982.

Reference Source

28. Kolb B, Walkley J: **Behavioural and anatomical studies of the posterior parietal cortex in the rat.** *Behav Brain Res*. 1987; 23(2): 127–145.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
29. Burwell RD, Amaral DG: **Cortical afferents of the perirhinal, postrhinal, and entorhinal cortices of the rat.** *J Comp Neurol*. 1998; 398(2): 179–205.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
30. Potegal M: **Role of the caudate nucleus in spatial orientation of rats.** *J Comp Physiol Psychol*. 1969; 69(4): 756–764.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
31. Cools R, Gibbs SE, Miyakawa A, *et al.*: **Working memory capacity predicts dopamine synthesis capacity in the human striatum.** *J Neurosci*. 2008; 28(5): 1208–1212.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
32. De Leonibus E, Costantini VJ, Castellano C, *et al.*: **Distinct roles of the different ionotropic glutamate receptors within the nucleus accumbens in passive-avoidance learning and memory in mice.** *Eur J Neurosci*. 2003; 18(8): 2365–2373.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
33. De Leonibus E, Lafenetre P, Oliverio A, *et al.*: **Pharmacological evidence of the role of dorsal striatum in spatial memory consolidation in mice.** *Behav Neurosci*. 2003; 117(4): 685–694.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
34. De Leonibus E, Pascucci T, Lopez S, *et al.*: **Spatial deficits in a mouse model of Parkinson disease.** *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2007; 194(4): 517–525.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
35. De Leonibus E, Costantini VJ, Massaro A, *et al.*: **Cognitive and neural determinants of response strategy in the dual-solution plus-maze task.** *Learn Mem*. 2011; 18(4): 241–244.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
36. Giordano N, Iemolo A, Mancini M, *et al.*: **Motor learning and metaplasticity in striatal neurons: relevance for Parkinson's disease.** *Brain*. 2018; 141(2): 505–520.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
37. Parent A, Hazrati LN: **Functional anatomy of the basal ganglia. II. The place of subthalamic nucleus and external pallidum in basal ganglia circuitry.** *Brain Res Brain Res Rev*. 1995; 20(1): 128–54.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
38. Devan BD, Goad EH, Petri HL: **Dissociation of hippocampal and striatal contributions to spatial navigation in the water maze.** *Neurobiol Learn Mem*. 1996; 66(3): 305–23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
39. Devan BD, White NM: **Parallel information processing in the dorsal striatum: relation to hippocampal function.** *J Neurosci*. 1999; 19(7): 2789–98.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
40. Colombo PJ, Davis HP, Volpe BT: **Allocentric spatial and tactile memory impairments in rats with dorsal caudate lesions are affected by preoperative behavioral training.** *Behav Neurosci*. 1989; 103(6): 1242–50.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
41. Cook D, Kesner RP: **Caudate nucleus and memory for egocentric localization.** *Behav Neural Biol*. 1988; 49(3): 332–43.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
42. DeCoteau WE, Kesner RP: **A double dissociation between the rat hippocampus and medial caudoputamen in processing two forms of knowledge.** *Behav Neurosci*. 2000; 114(6): 1096–108.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
43. Whishaw IQ, Mittleman G, Bunch ST, *et al.*: **Impairments in the acquisition, retention and selection of spatial navigation strategies after medial caudate-putamen lesions in rats.** *Behav Brain Res*. 1987; 24(2): 125–38.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
44. Jones EG, Leavitt RY: **Retrograde axonal transport and the demonstration of non-specific projections to the cerebral cortex and striatum from thalamic intralaminar nuclei in the rat, cat and monkey.** *J Comp Neurol*. 1974; 154(4): 349–377.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
45. Magnin M, Kennedy H: **Anatomical evidence of a third ascending vestibular pathway involving the ventral lateral geniculate nucleus and the intralaminar nuclei of the cat.** *Brain Res*. 1979; 171(3): 523–529.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
46. Potegal M: **A note on spatial-motor deficits in patients with Huntington's disease: a test of a hypothesis.** *Neuropsychologia*. 1971; 9(2): 233–235.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
47. Brog JS, Salyapongse A, Deutch AY, *et al.*: **The patterns of afferent innervation of the core and shell in the "accumbens" part of the rat ventral striatum: immunohistochemical detection of retrogradely transported fluoro-gold.** *J Comp Neurol*. 1993; 338(2): 255–278.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
48. Kelley AE, Domesick VB: **The distribution of the projection from the hippocampal formation to the nucleus accumbens in the rat: an anterograde- and retrograde-horseradish peroxidase study.** *Neuroscience*. 1982; 7(10): 2321–2335.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
49. Sargolini F, Florian C, Oliverio A, *et al.*: **Differential involvement of NMDA and AMPA receptors within the nucleus accumbens in consolidation of information necessary for place navigation and guidance strategy of mice.** *Learn Mem*. 2003; 10(4): 285–292.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
50. Packard MG: **Exhumed from thought: basal ganglia and response learning in the plus-maze.** *Behav Brain Res*. 2009; 199(1): 24–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
51. Iglói K, Doeller CF, Paradis AL, *et al.*: **Interaction between hippocampus and cerebellum Crus I in sequence-based but not place-based navigation.** *Cereb Cortex*. 2015; 25(11): 4146–4154.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
52. Rochefort C, Arabo A, André M, *et al.*: **Cerebellum shapes hippocampal spatial code.** *Science*. 2011; 334(6054): 385–389.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
53. Rochefort C, Lefort JM, Rondi-Reig L: **The cerebellum: a new key structure in the navigation system.** *Front Neural Circuits*. 2013; 7: 35.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
54. Ito M: **Long-term depression.** *Annu Rev Neurosci*. 1989; 12: 85–102.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
55. Hoxha E, Lippello P, Scelfo B, *et al.*: **Maturation, Refinement, and Serotonergic Modulation of Cerebellar Cortical Circuits in Normal Development and in Murine Models of Autism.** *Neural Plast*. 2017; 2017: 6595740.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
56. Hoxha E, Tempia F, Lippello P, *et al.*: **Modulation, Plasticity and Pathophysiology of the Parallel Fiber-Purkinje Cell Synapse.** *Front Synaptic Neurosci*. 2016; 8: 35.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
57. Angelaki DE, Yakusheva TA, Green AM, *et al.*: **Computation of egomotion in the macaque cerebellar vermis.** *Cerebellum*. 2010; 9(2): 174–182.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
58. Petrosini L, Leggio MG, Molinari M: **The cerebellum in the spatial problem solving: a co-star or a guest star?** *Prog Neurobiol*. 1998; 56(2): 191–210.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
59. Yakusheva TA, Shaikh AG, Green AM, *et al.*: **Purkinje cells in posterior cerebellar vermis encode motion in an inertial reference frame.** *Neuron*. 2007; 54(6): 973–985.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
60. Acredolo LP: **Behavioral approaches to spatial orientation in infancy.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 1990; 608: 596–607; discussion 607–12.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
61. Campos JJ, Anderson DI, Barbu-Roth MA, *et al.*: **Travel broadens the mind.** *Infancy*. 2000; 1(2): 149–219.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
62. Acredolo LP, Evans D: **Developmental changes in the effects of landmarks on infant spatial behavior.** *Dev Psychol*. 1980; 16(4): 312–318.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
63. Bullens J, Nardini M, Doeller CF, *et al.*: **The role of landmarks and boundaries in the development of spatial memory.** *Dev Sci*. 2010; 13(1): 170–180.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
64. Wierenga LM, Langen M, Oranje B, *et al.*: **Unique developmental trajectories of cortical thickness and surface area.** *Neuroimage*. 2014; 87: 120–126.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
65. Barrash J: **Age-related decline in route learning ability.** *Dev Neuropsychol*. 1994; 10(3): 189–201.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
66. Lithfous S, Dufour A, Després O: **Spatial navigation in normal aging and the prodromal stage of Alzheimer's disease: insights from imaging and behavioral studies.** *Ageing Res Rev*. 2013; 12(1): 201–213.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
67. Moffat SD: **Aging and spatial navigation: what do we know and where do we go?** *Neuropsychology Rev*. 2009; 19(4): 478–489.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
68. Newman MC, Kaszniak AW: **Spatial memory and aging: performance on a human analog of the Morris water maze.** *Aging Neuropsychol Cogn*. 2000; 7(2): 86–93.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
69. Iaria G, Palermo L, Committeri G, *et al.*: **Age differences in the formation and use of cognitive maps.** *Behav Brain Res*. 2009; 196(2): 187–191.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
70. Gazova I, Laczó J, Rubinova E, *et al.*: **Spatial navigation in young versus older adults.** *Front Aging Neurosci*. 2013; 5: 94.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
71. Gallagher M, Pelleymounter MA: **Spatial learning deficits in old rats: a model for memory decline in the aged.** *Neurobiol Aging*. 1988; 9(5–6): 549–556.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
72. Begega A, Cienfuegos S, Rubio S, *et al.*: **Effects of ageing on allocentric and egocentric spatial strategies in the Wistar rat.** *Behav Processes*. 2001; 53(1–2): 75–85.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
73. Broadbent HJ, Farran EK, Tolmie A: **Egocentric and allocentric navigation strategies in Williams syndrome and typical development.** *Dev Sci*. 2014; 17(6): 920–934.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

74. Miles JH: **Autism spectrum disorders—a genetics review.** *Genet Med.* 2011; **13**(4): 278–94.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
75. Rajendran G, Mitchell P: **Cognitive theories of autism.** *Dev Rev.* 2007; **27**(2): 224–260.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
76. Giovannini L, Jacomuzzi AC, Bruno N, *et al.*: **Distance perception in autism and typical development.** *Perception.* 2009; **38**(3): 429–41.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
77. Conson M, Mazzarella E, Esposito D, *et al.*: **“Put Myself Into Your Place”: Embodied Simulation and Perspective Taking in Autism Spectrum Disorders.** *Autism Res.* 2015; **8**(4): 454–66.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
78. Hamilton AF, Brindley R, Frith U: **Visual perspective taking impairment in children with autistic spectrum disorder.** *Cognition.* 2009; **113**(1): 37–44.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
79. Allen G: **The cerebellum in autism.** *Clin Neuropsychiatry.* 2005; **2**(6): 321–337.
[Reference Source](#)
80. Bailey A, Palfeman S, Heavey L, *et al.*: **Autism: the phenotype in relatives.** *J Autism Dev Disord.* 1998; **28**(5): 369–92.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
81. Fatemi SH, Halt AR, Realmuto G, *et al.*: **Purkinje cell size is reduced in cerebellum of patients with Autism.** *Cell Mol Neurobiol.* 2002; **22**(2): 171–175.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
82. Palmen SJ, van Engeland H, Hof PR, *et al.*: **Neuropathological findings in autism.** *Brain.* 2004; **127**(Pt 12): 2572–83.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
83. Silvestri L, Paciscopi M, Soda P, *et al.*: **Quantitative neuroanatomy of all Purkinje cells with light sheet microscopy and high-throughput image analysis.** *Front Neuroanat.* 2015; **9**: 68.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
84. Lancioni A, Pizzo M, Fontanella B, *et al.*: **Lack of *Mid1*, the mouse ortholog of the Opietz syndrome gene, causes abnormal development of the anterior cerebellar vermis.** *J Neurosci.* 2010; **30**(8): 2880–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
85. Hillier LW, Fulton RS, Fulton LA, *et al.*: **The DNA sequence of human chromosome 7.** *Nature.* 2003; **424**(6945): 157–164.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
86. Clements-Stephens AM, Vasiljevic K, Murray AJ, *et al.*: **The role of potential agents in making spatial perspective taking social.** *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2013; **7**: 497.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
87. Surtees A, Apperley I, Samson D: **Similarities and differences in visual and spatial perspective-taking processes.** *Cognition.* 2013; **129**(2): 26–438.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
88. Selkoe DJ: **The cell biology of beta-amyloid precursor protein and presenilin in Alzheimer's disease.** *Trends Cell Biol.* 1998; **8**(11): 447–453.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
89. Morris RG: **The Cognitive Neuropsychology of Alzheimer-Type Dementia.** New York: Oxford University Press, 1996.
[Reference Source](#)
90. Tarawneh R, Holtzman DM: **The clinical problem of symptomatic Alzheimer disease and mild cognitive impairment.** *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med.* 2012; **2**(5): a006148.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
91. Baudic S, Barba GD, Thibaudet MC, *et al.*: **Executive function deficits in early Alzheimer's disease and their relations with episodic memory.** *Arch Clin Neuropsychol.* 2006; **21**(1): 15–21.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
92. Scallan RL, Schott JM, Stevens JM, *et al.*: **Mapping the evolution of regional atrophy in Alzheimer's disease: unbiased analysis of fluid-registered serial MRI.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2002; **99**(7): 4703–4707.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
93. Braak H, Braak E: **Neuropathological staging of Alzheimer-related changes.** *Acta Neuropathol.* 1991; **82**(4): 239–259.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
94. Vlček K, Laczó J: **Neural correlates of spatial navigation changes in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease.** *Front Behav Neurosci.* 2014; **8**: 89.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
95. Gazova I, Vlček K, Laczó J, *et al.*: **Spatial navigation—a unique window into physiological and pathological aging.** *Front Aging Neurosci.* 2012; **4**: 16.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
96. Petersen RC, Smith GE, Waring SC, *et al.*: **Mild cognitive impairment: Clinical characterization and outcome.** *Arch Neurol.* 1999; **56**(3): 303–308.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
97. Hort J, Laczó J, Vyhnálek M, *et al.*: **Spatial navigation deficit in amnesic mild cognitive impairment.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2007; **104**(10): 4042–4047.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
98. Weniger G, Ruhleder M, Lange C, *et al.*: **Egocentric and allocentric memory as assessed by virtual reality in individuals with amnesic mild cognitive impairment.** *Neuropsychologia.* 2011; **49**(3): 518–527.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
99. Boccia M, Silveri MC, Sabatini U, *et al.*: **Neural Underpinnings of the Decline of Topographical Memory in Mild Cognitive Impairment.** *Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Dement.* 2016; **31**(8): 618–30.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
100. Mokrisova I, Laczó J, Andel R, *et al.*: **Real-space path integration is impaired in Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment.** *Behav Brain Res.* 2016; **307**: 150–158.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 05 March 2018

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.14855.r30709>

© 2018 Middei S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Silvia Middei

Department of Experimental Neurology, Santa Lucia Foundation, Rome, Italy

This is an interesting review paper on spatial reference abilities under physiological and pathological conditions. The manuscript is well written and clear, also it draws relevant, though in some instances not novel, conclusions. I fully support the publication of this paper, and I only have a couple of minor comments. First, I agree with the authors about the possibility to use spatial tasks for a pre-clinical screening of people at risk for AD. For this reason, I would suggest to also include in the discussion the (few) available studies that investigated the relationship between spatial navigation deficits and markers of AD, including for instance CSF levels of Abeta (see studies from Head and collaborators) or amyloid PET scan. Second, the sentence '*egocentric develops before allocentric spatial strategies*' (and hence) '*altered egocentric abilities... can be considered an early sign*' looks a bit confounding to me. If so, one would expect that deficits in allocentric spatial strategies may emerge after the ones in egocentric ones in ASD, which is not the case in the studies reported here. The general view of the paragraph is correct, then I would only suggest to re-phrase the first sentence.

References

1. Allison S, Fagan A, Morris J, Head D: Spatial Navigation in Preclinical Alzheimer's Disease. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*. 2016; **52** (1): 77-90 [Publisher Full Text](#)

Is the topic of the review discussed comprehensively in the context of the current literature?

Yes

Are all factual statements correct and adequately supported by citations?

Yes

Is the review written in accessible language?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn appropriate in the context of the current research literature?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Neuroscience, Alzheimer disease

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 05 March 2018

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.14855.r31042>

© 2018 Chieffi S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Sergio Chieffi

Department of Experimental Medicine, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Naples, Italy

In this review, Miniaci and De Leonibus present a concise and balanced overview of the egocentric-based spatial navigation. In the first part, the authors focus on the brain areas mainly involved in egocentric information processing, such as the posterior parietal cortex and the striatum. Then, they review a series of studies showing that the egocentric spatial abilities are impaired in developmental disorders like autism, as well as under pathological aging conditions, such as those of Alzheimer's disease.

The authors provide a critical view of the summarized literature leaving also some important questions open. For example, they realize how little attention has been paid to the study of spatial processing in autism and associated brain activation patterns. Indeed, understanding the nature and the neuronal correlates of spatial processing in autism can shed light on the nature of cognitive and social deficits that characterize the autism spectrum disorder. In addition, neuropsychological screening designed to assess navigational deficit may represent an important tool for detecting the prodromal symptoms of amnesic mild cognitive impairment and early stage of Alzheimer's disease. Overall, the paper is well written and the figures are clearly presented.

My recommendation to the authors is to improve the conclusions about the relevance of the egocentric spatial processing and its relationship with cognitive disorders.

Is the topic of the review discussed comprehensively in the context of the current literature?

Yes

Are all factual statements correct and adequately supported by citations?

Yes

Is the review written in accessible language?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn appropriate in the context of the current research literature?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 26 February 2018

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.14855.r30711>

© 2018 Puthanveettil S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Sathyanarayanan V. Puthanveettil

Department of Neuroscience, Scripps Research Institute, Jupiter, FL, USA

This is a beautifully written article by Miniaci and De Leonibus. Importantly this article is timely because more and more people are reported to have dementia and that both industry and academic research is struggling to produce therapeutics to cure dementia or for efficient long-term management of dementia. In this review article, Miniaci and De Leonibus discuss forms of spatial representations (allocentric and egocentric) in the brain and how these representations are altered in normal aging as well as in autism and Alzheimer's disease. The review is well written and images included are highly useful to the readers.

Few suggestions:

1. Revise the abstract so that it reads smoothly.
2. If the cartoons drawn (including brain images) are modified versions of previous images, earlier work should be cited directly in the figure legend. If it is adapted from a database, authors need to include links to the image.
3. It is not clear how the authors are trying to distinguish the egocentric/allocentric spatial deficits in mCI versus Alzheimer's disease.
4. Conclusion section should also need comments on spatial deficits in ASD and comparison with AD.

Is the topic of the review discussed comprehensively in the context of the current literature?

Yes

Are all factual statements correct and adequately supported by citations?

Yes

Is the review written in accessible language?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn appropriate in the context of the current research literature?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research