RESEARCH ARTICLE

Cross sectional study to determine chloroquine resistance among *Plasmodium falciparum* clinical isolates from Khartoum, Sudan [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 2 approved with reservations]

Walaa Salah Abdulla Mohammed ¹, Kyakonye Yasin², N.S. Mahgoub³⁻⁵, Muzamil Mahdi Abdel Hamid ⁶

¹Faculty of Pharmacy, Sudan International University, Khartoum, Sudan

²Faculty of Pharmacy, International University of Africa, Khartoum, Sudan

³Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Sinnar University, Sinnar, Sudan

⁴Department of Parasitology and Medical Entomology, Nile College, Khartoum, Sudan

⁵Department of Molecular Biology, National University Research Institute (NURI), National University, Khartoum, Sudan

⁶Department of Parasitology and Medical Entomology, Institute of Endemic Diseases, University of Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan

V1 First published: 20 Feb 2018, 7:208
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.13273.1>

Latest published: 20 Feb 2018, 7:208
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.13273.1>

Abstract

Background: Malaria continues to present a global health threat; the World Health Organization (WHO) reported 214 million cases of malaria by the year 2015 with a death rate of 438000. Sudan is endemic to malaria with over 95% of malaria cases due to *Plasmodium falciparum*. Chloroquine is a well-established drug in the treatment of *P. falciparum* malaria although its use has declined since its introduction as the drug of choice in treatment of malaria in Sudan. The mechanism of resistance has been attributed to mutations in *P. falciparum* Chloroquine resistance transporter gene coding for a key food vacuole proteins. In current study we aimed at verifying the genetic cause of resistance to Chloroquine in field isolates of *P. falciparum*.

Methods: Twenty *P. falciparum* cases were diagnosed from East Nile hospital in Khartoum and recruited in the investigation. Nested PCR was conducted to isolate mutation region in the PfCRT gene and the amplicons were sequenced using Sanger sequencing technique (Macrogen, Soule Korea).

Results: 16/20 (80%) of the field isolates contained base pair mutation of codon 76 in the *pfcr* gene thus being resistant to chloroquine treatment and only 4/20 (20%) did not contain such mutation.

Conclusions: High treatment failures associated with Chloroquine treatment is evident of the high prevalence of mutant strains of *P. falciparum* field isolates thus suggesting the reduced relevance of

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3
version 1
20 Feb 2018	view	view	view

1. **Jagadish Mahanta**, Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), Dibrugarh, India
2. **Satish K. Dhingra** , Columbia University Medical Center, New York City, USA
3. **Gabriel N. Magoma**, Pan African University, Juja, Kenya

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Chloroquine as a treatment choice in the management of *P. falciparum* malaria.

Keywords

Chloroquine, resistant, sensitive, *P. falciparum*, pfcr

This article is included in the **Pathogens** gateway.

Corresponding author: Muzamil Mahdi Abdel Hamid (mahdi@iend.org)

Author roles: **Abdulla Mohammed WS:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Yasin K:** Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Software; **Mahgoub NS:** Formal Analysis; **Abdel Hamid MM:** Funding Acquisition, Project Administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2018 Abdulla Mohammed WS *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the **Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver** (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

How to cite this article: Abdulla Mohammed WS, Yasin K, Mahgoub NS and Abdel Hamid MM. **Cross sectional study to determine chloroquine resistance among *Plasmodium falciparum* clinical isolates from Khartoum, Sudan [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 2 approved with reservations]** F1000Research 2018, 7:208 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.13273.1>

First published: 20 Feb 2018, 7:208 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.13273.1>

Introduction

The use of chloroquine in low middle income countries (LMICs) has helped to reduce mortality and morbidity. In 1940, sixteen years after its discovery, Chloroquine had been used as a first drug of choice for malaria treatment due to its high efficacy, especially in highly endemic areas in Africa¹. It has been used as the main treatment for malaria in Africa for over 50 years. Since the late 1980s, resistance to chloroquine has rapidly increased across much of Eastern and Southern Africa².

Drug resistance of *Plasmodium falciparum* represents one of the greatest public health challenges in Africa. Tropical Africa accounts for more than 90% of the 300–500 million clinical cases of malaria occurring each year³. Malaria is transmitted by the female anopheles mosquito with *P. falciparum* being most prevalent; however recent studies in most parts Sudan have indicated a high prevalence of *P. vivax* infection⁴.

Chloroquine resistance is associated with a parasitic digestive vacuole, in which the toxic free heme is converted into an insoluble non-toxic crystalline form called hemozoin⁵. In sensitive parasites, Chloroquine diffuses across the food vacuole membrane and accumulates inside it to inhibit hemozoin crystallization, which leads to formation of another highly toxic-dimeric hematin complex^{1,5}. Resistant strains fail to accumulate sufficient Chloroquine inside their food vacuoles as a result of mutations in the FV membrane proteins⁵. Mutation in *P. falciparum* Chloroquine resistance transporter gene *Pfcr*, which codes for one of the food vacuole proteins is considered the strongest predictor for Chloroquine resistance⁵. This mutation leads to the substitution of the amino acid lysine with threonine at position 76 (K76T)⁵.

By 2004, the National Malaria Control Programme of Sudan updated the policy of malaria treatment due to high prevalence of Chloroquine resistant cases. In this policy, Artesunate + Sulfadoxine-Pyrimethamine (AS + SP) was adopted as the first-line treatment for uncomplicated *P. falciparum* malaria, and Artemether-Lumefantrine (AL) was adopted as a second-line treatment⁶.

However, until now, Chloroquine is still considered as one of the cheapest and safest drugs ever used for malaria treatment. Besides, recent clinical observations show a decreasing trend in prevalence of *P. falciparum* Chloroquine resistance, which has brought Chloroquine reintroduction back into the discussion about the management of malaria^{7,8}.

Hence, in this pilot study, we aimed to assess the prevalence of Chloroquine resistant *P. falciparum* from clinically isolated samples by sequencing the *Pfcr* gene in patients with uncomplicated malaria in Khartoum, Sudan.

Methods

Ethical statement

This study was reviewed and approved by Institute Of Endemic Diseases Research and Ethics Committee (ethical approval number 6/2016). Oral informed consent was obtained

from participating patients or from parents or guardians for the case of minors (under 19 years). Oral consent was obtained over written consent, since the majority of the patients included in this study were illiterate.

Study site and patients

A cross sectional study was conducted between December 2015 and January 2016 within Khartoum East Nile Hospital. According to Isaac and Michael (1995) suggestion of pilot study sample size, twenty patients, between age 4–55 years, have been randomly selected from the hospital attendance sheet⁹. After getting positive results of uncomplicated malaria diagnostic tests, the selected patients were immediately asked to be a part of the present study. Thirteen of the patients were women and seven were men. Demographic data was obtained from participating patients including ethnicity and recent history of malaria infection. Only patients confirmed with *P. falciparum* monoinfection were included in further studies. Pregnant or lactating women and patients presenting with signs or symptoms of severe malaria or having a history of taking antimalarials during the previous month or another febrile disease requiring treatment were excluded.

Sampled and malaria microscopy

1ml blood sample was collected from each patient and stored in a labeled EDTA tube, thick and thin blood films were prepared for microscopical examination to detect and isolate *Plasmodium* species and estimate of parasitemia level.

Parasite DNA extraction

1ml blood sample, for mutation molecular detection, was collected from each patient and stored in a labeled EDTA tube. A mixture of 1ml of Saponin (0.5%) (Sigma-Aldrich) and 150 µL of each blood sample was incubated overnight at 4°C, and then centrifuged at 6000 rpm speed. 1ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (Sigma-Aldrich) was added and the mixture was centrifuged, at 6000 speed, for four consecutive times. 70 µL of chelex (Bio-Rad) and 30 µL of water were added to the precipitant and the mixture heated at 95°C for 20 minutes in 4 rounds (5 min/round). After every round the mixture was vortexed for 1 minute. DNA was collected and stored at -20°C until a PCR reaction was conducted.

Molecular detection of *Pfcr* K76T mutation

For round 1 of nested PCR, 2µL of extracted DNA was multiplied using 0.5µL of Taq DNA polymerase, 2µL buffer, 2µL dNTPs, 10.5µL nuclease-free PCR water, 1.5µL of forward primer (CRTP1; Macrogen), and 1.5µL reverse primer (CRTP2; Macrogen). Primer details are provided in Table 1. The PCR initial denaturation was conducted at 95°C for 3min, 94°C for 30 s for the successive denaturation steps, DNA annealing was set at 56°C for 30 s and elongation at 60°C for 1 min, a total of 45 cycles were conducted and final extension at 72°C for 5min.

For round 2 of nested PCR, 1 µL of round 1 product was used with CRTD1 (Macrogen), as forward primer and CRTD2 (Macrogen) as reverse primer, with the PCR profile as summarized below.

Initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min and 92°C for 30 s for succeeding denaturation steps, annealing was conducted at 48°C for 30 s, elongation at 65°C for 30 s. Final elongation was conducted at 65°C for 3 min. The DNA amplicons were run in 1.5% agarose gel stained with 2% ethidium bromide electrophoresis gel and finally bands were visualized under UV light. The amplicons were then sequenced using Sanger sequencing techniques at Macrogen (Soul Korea). All sequences were obtained and compared to the nucleotide sequence of *Plasmodium falciparum* 3D7 reference strain provided by Biomedical Primate Research Centre (BPRC, Netherlands).

Bioinformatics analysis

Sequence cleaning and blast analysis were conducted using FinchTV software version 1.4.0. Visualization of nucleotide sequences and alignment with wild type sequences was conducted using Bioedit software version 7.2.5.

Results

All samples from 20 patients were successfully amplified. Nucleotide sequences were obtained and analyzed using bioinformatics analysis. Nucleotide sequences were aligned and compared to standard sequence of *Plasmodium falciparum* 3D7 reference strain. 16/20 (80%) of the sequenced DNA contained ACA triplet codon, which codes for Threonine at the Apo I restriction enzyme cutting region. Only 4/20 (20%) samples contained the AAA triple codon which codes for Lysin in the wild type *Pfprt* gene, as shown in Figure 1.

Dataset 1. Raw sequences for the 20 patients included in the present study

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.13273.d192978>

Files included: sequence in pdf format, sequence for opening in FinchTV software (.ab1 file), and sequence for opening in Notepad (TXT file).

Table 1. Primers used in the present study.

Primer	Sequence	Product size
Outer forward primer CRTP1	5'CCGTTAATAATAATACACGCAG3'	537 bp
Outer reverse primer CRTP2	5'CGGATGTTACAAAACATATAGTTACC3'	
Nested forward primer CRTD1	5'TGTGCTCATGTGTTTAAACTT3'	145 bp
Nested reverse primer CRTD2	5'CAAACTATAGTTACCAATTTTG3'

Figure 1. (a) Multiple sequence alignment highlighting the *Pfprt* gene at the Apo I cutting region. (b and c) Highlight point mutation in *Pfprt* gene where the A nucleotide in a wild-type is substituted with C nucleotide in the mutant strain, (d and e) the nucleotide sequence chromatogram of wild type and mutant strains respectively. (f and g) The translated amino acid in wild and mutant strains, respectively.

Discussion

Chloroquine has been widely used in many malaria endemic regions of many sub-Saharan African regions for its cost benefit and cost effective effects; and has therefore been considered as the golden choice in the treatment of malaria¹⁰. In the current study, Chloroquine resistance showed an increase to 80% from the previously documented figure of 72.7% in 2007 in Sudan⁸. This evidence differs from that documented from surveys in Malawi, Tanzania and Mozambique as in such regions there has been a decreasing trend of Chloroquine resistance prior to withdrawal of Chloroquine from the treatment guidelines¹¹.

In Malawi, resistant strains of *P. falciparum* (*Pfcr*- T76) had declined from >85% to 0% within 13 years following Chloroquine withdrawal from treatment guidelines. In Tanzania *P. falciparum* resistance reduced from >80% to <10% in ten years, and in Mozambique statistics showed a decline of Chloroquine resistance from >95% to 20% within five years of Chloroquine withdrawal¹¹. However, studies from Kenya indicated a slow decline in Chloroquine resistant *P. falciparum* from 95% to 60% between 1993 to 2006 following malaria policy changes¹². The results of the present study are similar to studies from Uganda as the frequency of *Pfcr*- T76 was between 100 and 98.7% in 2008, about eight years post-Chloroquine replacement¹¹.

In present study, the small sample size represents a limitation and the final result shows obvious persistence of *P. falciparum*

chloroquine resistant strains in endemic areas where Chloroquine use was continued following the World Health Organization's declaration of sidelining Chloroquine as a first treatment choice for malaria.

Conclusions

Pfcr K76T mutation still persists in Sudan, which makes it impossible for the reintroduction of Chloroquine as an antimalarial treatment choice on the current National clinical guidelines of Sudan. However for a better implementation of this policy further studies need to be conducted with a larger representative sample from all regions of Sudan as this was a primary survey that involved a narrow sample.

Data availability

Dataset 1: Raw sequences for the 20 patients included in the present study. Files included: sequence in pdf format, sequence for opening in FinchTV software (.ab1 file), and sequence for opening in Notepad (TXT file). DOI, [10.5256/f1000research.13273.d192978](https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.13273.d192978)¹³

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

References

- Zhou R, Zhang H, Yang C, *et al.*: Molecular mutation profile of *pfcr* in *Plasmodium falciparum* isolates imported from Africa in Henan province. *Malar J.* BioMed Central; 2016; 15(1): 265. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Winstanley P, Ward S, Snow R, *et al.*: Therapy of falciparum malaria in sub-saharan Africa: from molecule to policy. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2004; 17(3): 612–37. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Bloland PB, Kazembe PN, Oloo AJ, *et al.*: Chloroquine in Africa: critical assessment and recommendations for monitoring and evaluating chloroquine therapy efficacy in sub-Saharan Africa. *Trop Med Int Health.* 1998; 3(7): 543–52. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Suliman MMA, Hamad BM, Albasheer MMA, *et al.*: Reemergence of chloroquine (CQ) as multi-targeting antimalarial agents: 2016. 2016.
- Mushtaque M, Shahjahan S: Reemergence of chloroquine (CQ) analogs as multi-targeting antimalarial agents: a review. *Eur J Med Chem.* Elsevier Ltd; 2015; 90: 280–95. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Adeel AA, Elnour FA, Elmardi KA, *et al.*: High efficacy of artemether-lumefantrine and declining efficacy of artesunate + sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine against *Plasmodium falciparum* in Sudan (2010–2015): evidence from *in vivo* and molecular marker studies. *Malar J.* BioMed Central; 2016; 15(1): 285. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Wang X, Mu J, Li G, *et al.*: Decreased prevalence of the *Plasmodium falciparum* chloroquine resistance transporter 76T marker associated with cessation of chloroquine use against *P. falciparum* malaria in Hainan, People's Republic of China. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2005; 72(4): 410–4. [PubMed Abstract](#)
- Menegon M, Talha AA, Severini C, *et al.*: Frequency distribution of antimalarial drug resistance alleles among *Plasmodium falciparum* isolates from Gezira State, central Sudan, and Gedarif State, eastern Sudan. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2010; 83(2): 250–7. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Johanson GA, Brooks GP: Initial scale development: sample size for pilot studies. *Educ Psychol Meas.* 2010; 70(3): 394–400. [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Tajebe A, Aemero M, Francis K, *et al.*: Identification of chloroquine resistance *Pfcr*-K76T and determination of *Pfmdr1*-N86Y copy number by SYBR Green I qPCR. *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed.* Hainan Medical University; 2015; 5(3): 208–20. [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Mohammed A, Ndaro A, Kalinga A, *et al.*: Trends in chloroquine resistance marker, *Pfcr*-K76T mutation ten years after chloroquine withdrawal in Tanzania. *Malar J.* 2013; 12(1): 415. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Mwai L, Ochong E, Abdirahman A, *et al.*: Chloroquine resistance before and after its withdrawal in Kenya. *Malar J.* 2009; 8: 106. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Abdulla Mohammed WS, Kyakonye Y, Mahgoub NS, *et al.*: Dataset 1 in: Cross sectional study to determine chloroquine resistance among clinically isolated samples of *Plasmodium falciparum* from Khartoum, Sudan. *F1000Research.* 2018. [Data Source](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: ? ? ✓

Version 1

Reviewer Report 16 May 2018

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.14400.r31878>

© 2018 Magoma G. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Gabriel N. Magoma

Institute for Basic Sciences, Technology and Innovation (PAUSTI), Pan African University, Juja, Kenya

The rationale for the research on the determination of prevalence chloroquine resistance among clinical isolates is generally sound given the significance of the Malaria disease.

The statement that there chloroquine has been in used despite the ban is not clearly articulated. The argument supporting the reintroduction of chloroquine is not well articulated. The sample size is also quite small and the selection criteria of study participants is not well supported. There is an implication of inclusion of children statement that there were 13 women and 7 men contradict the inclusion of children.

The methods are not sufficiently detailed since the gel photos of the amplification products are missing and there is lack of explanation as to how the products were treated before sequencing. If this are articulated it is possible to ensure that the methods are fully reproducible.

The analysis of the presence of the mutation, the interpretation and subsequent conclusions are generally adequate.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Partly

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Partly

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Partly

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 08 May 2018

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.14400.r33752>

© 2018 Dhingra S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Satish K. Dhingra

Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Columbia University Medical Center, New York City, NY, USA

1. The premise of this study uses PfCRT K76T as a molecular marker of chloroquine resistance. However, a recent study from French Guiana in South America has shown that despite the presence of PfCRT K76T parasites can be sensitive to chloroquine (Pelleau *et al.* 2015, *PNAS*). So stating that parasite population in Sudan are clinically resistance to CQ based only upon the status of position 76 is incorrect. The authors need to sequence full length *pfcr*t in order to draw that conclusion.

2. Overall the sample size is small and not very diverse.

3. Authors fail to cite key publications, as an example Fidock *et al.* 2000, *Mol Cell*.

4. First sentence, second paragraph in discussion is missing a citation.

5. The authors need to also elaborate of the prevalence of *P. vivax* in the area and whether reintroduction of CQ is for targeting this species and how this can impact the population structure of the *P. falciparum*.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Partly

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Partly

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

No

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: P. falciparum drug resistance

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Reviewer Report 03 April 2018

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.14400.r31876>

© 2018 Mahanta J. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Jagadish Mahanta

Regional Medical Research Centre, Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), Dibrugarh, India

1. The concept is old and methodology is well established, however geography specific information still has the relevance and the results of such studies have policy implications in the programme. In this context, the investigators should give elaborate justification for revisiting resistance pattern at molecular level by including the clinical experience of physicians or in-vitro drug sensitivity using CHQ across the country.
2. As the studies of such nature have geographical relevance, the coverage should have been wide rather than limiting in a small area.
3. As stated in the text, drug policy has been changed since 2004 and chloroquine is no longer in use, therefore sampling should have been from different areas of the country and detail description of the patient's therapeutic history, residential status and travel detail prior to infection should have been included.
4. Investigator should elaborate on site selection, patient selection and randomization detail

during sampling.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

No

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Partly

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Partly

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research