REVIEW

Developments in diffusion MRI and tractography to study language network alterations following very preterm birth

[version 1; peer review: 3 approved]

Piergiorgio Salvan ¹, Chiara Nosarti ^{2,3}

¹Wellcome Centre for Integrative Neuroimaging, Oxford Centre for Functional MRI of the Brain, Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Oxford, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK

²Department of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK

³Centre for the Developing Brain, Division of Imaging Sciences & Biomedical Engineering, King's College London, London, UK

V1 First published: 28 Feb 2018, 7(F1000 Faculty Rev):240
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.13218.1>

Latest published: 28 Feb 2018, 7(F1000 Faculty Rev):240
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.13218.1>

Abstract

Language is key for human interactions and relies on a well-known set of brain cortical areas linked by large-scale white-matter fasciculi. However, very little is known about the ontogeny of the language network, how it is affected by very preterm birth, or how structural connectivity profiles observable before language acquisition may predispose distinct computational mechanisms associated with later language processing. Recent advances in diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging and tractography are allowing researchers to provide novel, insightful understanding of the human language brain network through *in vivo* non-invasive investigations across the whole lifespan. Here, we propose a commentary on a series of papers which aimed to summarise the latest technological advances in neuroimaging research in order to provide future directions to study language development following very preterm birth.

Keywords

diffusion magnetic resonance imaging, tractography, language, preterm

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3
version 1 28 Feb 2018			

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

- Torsten Baldeweg**, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, University College London, London, UK
- Jessica Dubois**, Cognitive Neuroimaging Unit, INSERM, Paris, France
- Alessandra Sansavini**, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

Any comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Chiara Nosarti (chiara.nosarti@kcl.ac.uk)

Author roles: **Salvan P:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Nosarti C:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was supported by the Education Endowment Foundation & Wellcome Trust (PS) and by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London and Action Medical Research (CN).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2018 Salvan P and Nosarti C. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Salvan P and Nosarti C. **Developments in diffusion MRI and tractography to study language network alterations following very preterm birth [version 1; peer review: 3 approved]** F1000Research 2018, 7(F1000 Faculty Rev):240 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.13218.1>

First published: 28 Feb 2018, 7(F1000 Faculty Rev):240 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.13218.1>

Background

Language represents one of the most important cornerstones of human evolution and its neural architecture arguably exemplifies it¹. The brain basis of language is believed to be a distinct piece of the biological makeup of our brain^{2,3}, and evidence regarding human neural specialisation for language has steadily accumulated during the last two decades^{4–6}. Nonetheless, key questions of broad scientific interest remain unanswered.

Today, non-invasive *in vivo* diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) represents a powerful tool with which neuroscientists are able to study the anatomical/structural architecture underlying the human brain's ability to combine symbolic representation in rich and communicable systems of knowledge. Although to explain the physical basis underlying this technique is not within the aims of the present work⁷, diffusion MRI and tractography offer an important advantage over many other modalities: the possibility of highlighting the large-scale white-matter tracts underlying perisylvian cortical brain regions known as the human language network⁸. Diffusion MRI has found ubiquitous applications across the lifespan and has highlighted how the neural organisation of language processing can be further subdivided into ventral and dorsal streams. Each of these pathways consists of specific brain regions that are linked via distinct white matter bundles and that support diverse computational properties of language processing^{9,10}. Specifically, the ventral stream is organised into the inferior fronto-occipital and the uncinate fasciculi and is thought to be involved in the development of semantic processing^{11,12}, whilst the dorsal stream may underlie the development of core syntactic processing and is mainly characterised by the direct, long-distance branches of the arcuate fasciculi^{1,8,13}.

What diffusion MRI has taught us about typical and atypical development of the language system

Some of the most outstanding contributions to current knowledge about language development come from diffusion MRI studies investigating the ontogeny of the human language brain network¹⁴. These studies used cutting-edge methodology in infant populations, before the emergence of complex language abilities, to understand whether the human specialisation for language partially relies on a series of large-scale white-matter connections which are present shortly after birth and which resemble the mature language network. These studies showed that infants' vocalisation during the first six months of postnatal life might rely on the early development of the dorsal and ventral white-matter pathways and displayed some adult-like signs of microstructural specialisation and lateralization, which refers to the early microstructural differentiation of these language streams¹⁵. Recent work extended these findings, showing that in very preterm children, a population at well-known risk of long-lasting linguistic impairment, language and cognitive abilities at 20 months of postnatal age could be predicted by the microstructure of the adult-like bilateral arcuate fasciculus measured at the time of normal birth¹⁶. Measuring the tract microstructural organisation before the emergence of complex language may provide an early neural marker to potentially assess impaired

trajectories of brain development of language-specific networks following very preterm birth. Furthermore, this result may represent a significant step towards understanding early human language brain specialisation, as it may show a precocious brain basis for the emergence of auditory-verbal working memory, which provides a buffer for language processing^{17,18}. Such an interpretation would be well aligned with the adult literature, showing the arcuate fasciculus is crucial for efficient auditory-verbal learning^{19,20}. Together, these studies and others^{21–23} provide scholars with novel knowledge of typical and atypical developmental trajectories of the language system and may further aid the development of early, targeted interventions.

Other studies, though not directly investigating language abilities, have highlighted functional and structural brain alterations in very preterm samples^{24–31}. These could contribute to their risk of developing impaired linguistic function^{32–34}, even in the absence of brain injury or socio-economic deprivation, with long-lasting effects and increased need for speech therapy and educational support³⁵. Mullen *et al.* studied very preterm adolescents, and reported that microstructure of the bilateral uncinate and arcuate fasciculi, respectively, was associated with scores on standardised tests of semantic and phonological abilities³⁶. Northam *et al.* instead investigated specific neural correlates of language impairment in preterm adolescents, combining diffusion MRI and tractography with an in-depth language assessment³⁷. Results showed that no intra-hemispheric tract was significantly associated with linguistic impairment. Instead, they found that a high proportion of variance in preterm adolescents' linguistic abilities was explained by the integrity of inter-hemispheric connectivity, such as the anterior commissure and the temporal lobe inter-hemispheric fibres. These results provide evidence that, during later childhood, the long-lasting, complex language impairment seen in preterm born individuals³² may depend on the microstructure of inter-hemispheric associative bundles. However, we may speculate that this result could also be interpreted as a plastic mechanism of language reorganisation in childhood development, during which inter-hemispheric connectivity has a known early role in auditory processing³⁸. In either case, these results point to the importance of inter-hemispheric connectivity and lateralization in language brain development following very preterm birth. **Figure 1** summarises current hypotheses about impaired developmental trajectories of language-specific brain networks following very preterm birth.

Lessons from functional MRI

The idea that language brain organisation in very preterm samples may follow an adaptive developmental trajectory, in order to cope with broader high-order neurocognitive alterations in prefrontal areas, is not new, and it receives support from functional MRI studies (for example, ³⁵). Scheinost *et al.* investigated whether functional lateralization may be associated with linguistic skills in preterm born individuals^{39,40}. This work showed that young adults who were born very preterm presented alterations in functional lateralization compared with controls specifically in temporo-parietal junction and that greater

Figure 1. Altered developmental trajectories of language-specific brain networks following very preterm birth may lead to long-lasting language impairments. MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

leftward lateralization was significantly correlated with more preserved linguistic abilities. Although directionality could not be inferred (that is, greater lateralization leads to better performance or the reverse occurs: better performance is driven by other mechanisms that are less impaired, which leads to greater lateralization), these results suggest that language functional lateralization could represent a marker of greater linguistic competence in preterm samples. We may further speculate that early behavioural interventions increasing cerebral lateralization of the temporo-parietal area could foster some degree of recovery in complex language function in order to minimise the long-lasting neurolinguistic impairment associated with very preterm birth.

Outstanding questions and potential ways of answering them

Despite over two decades of research on the uniqueness of language brain organisation, some questions of outstanding importance remain unanswered. Language is learnt by most humans with no effort during typical development: but what is the neural architectural blueprint that allows this unique process to take place? And how does premature environmental exposure affect it? Language is uniquely human and its brain organisation is likely to result from an evolutionary process^{41,42}. This functional organisation and the computational processes that distinct brain areas sub-serve are well known: but how does the underlying, structural connectivity profile support distinct aspects and degrees of language computation? Is it possible that a brain area follows a distinct developmental trajectory as a result of its very early underlying pattern of structural connectivity (that is, becoming specialised for phonological rather than semantic or syntactic processing)? In this context we must also consider preterm delivery. Preterm birth results in early extra-uterine exposure, which alters the developmental trajectory of neuro-cognitive functions at a critical, primary stage, resulting in long-lasting effects: does preterm birth affect domain-general or language-specific neurocognitive mechanisms? What brain mechanisms could be leveraged in order to at least mitigate the cognitive sequelae and shift the altered developmental curve of preterm children closer to a healthier one?

Here, we will consider some recent, cutting-edge works, tangential to the language neurobiology literature that, in the authors'

modest opinion, may propel scientists to start investigating these questions. The common framework of these approaches is to shift the focus of attention from individual white-matter tracts to brain cortical areas regarded as computational units and to consider the underlying white-matter connections as synergic parts of a computational ensemble, considering brain regions' connectivity profile as an integrative property of their functional specialisation. Possible biological mechanisms that shape patterns of connectivity or that develop in parallel with connectivity to define function comprise molecular markers or regional properties of tissue and circuit (that is, cytoarchitecture).

Using diffusion MRI, Saygin *et al.* investigated whether the functional specialisation for face selectivity in the fusiform gyrus could be predicted by using only structural connectivity, as measured by diffusion-weighted imaging⁴³. Results showed that structural connectivity between regions that have been involved in face processing (for example, the inferior and superior temporal gyri⁴⁴) successfully predicted face-selective fusiform activation. In a more recent study, Saygin *et al.* extended this approach to reading by showing that word-selective visual word form area activation could be predicted by its connectivity profile expressed years earlier⁴⁵. By combining machine-learning algorithms and whole-brain structural connectivity of the ventral visual stream, they were able to significantly characterise which parts of this macro-area were going to develop functional specialisation for word recognition, assessed by functional MRI three years later. Strikingly, in a period when not all five-year-old children had learnt to read, the connectivity profile of the region that would become the visual word form area was found to predispose a trajectory of computational specialisation. Although language is acquired and not learnt, it is possible that a similar approach could help us understand how neonatal brain differences in structural connectivity predict syntactic, semantic, and other complex linguistic skills that will develop in childhood, thus shedding new light on language brain ontogeny and specialisation⁴⁶.

Another recent series of cutting-edge works has applied network control principles to study how the structural architecture of the human brain may constrain its own function^{47,48}. Structural network controllability provides a powerful mathematical framework to study a system's (that is, the brain's) ability

to drive its output (that is, behaviour) towards a desired outcome through means of suitable signals (internal or external) to selected *driver* nodes⁴⁹. In a recent work, this framework was applied to study how the relatively “simple” nervous system of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* controls locomotion⁵⁰. Providing an important proof of the validity of such a framework, this study predicted and experimentally validated the involvement of individual neurons (or *driver* nodes) in locomotion behaviours. This type of research is of great importance as it helps characterising possible mechanistic principles that could explain why structural connectivity precedes functional specialisation. Indeed, long-distance white-matter bundles are already in place during the last trimester of gestation and may represent an architectural precursor of functional language specialisation and efficient behavioural development^{51,52}. Furthermore, the early characterisation of brain mechanisms underlying impaired cognitive functions in a given population may provide a mechanistic principle to foster brain plasticity through novel, targeted neurobehavioral interventions.

Conclusions

In summary, we discussed recent studies which provide an increased understanding of developmental alterations of the language network following very preterm birth. Although neurolinguistic impairments in very preterm samples are long-lasting and

their severity is highly variable across individuals and partially attributable to socio-demographic characteristics⁵³, there is evidence that some adaptive mechanisms may be taking place throughout childhood. Leveraging developmental brain plasticity in language structural and functional organisation, together with stimulating rich environmental interactions^{54,55}, could boost adaptive processes and define compensatory pathways for complex language processing. We believe that in the immediate future the use of diffusion MRI and tractography, together with a computational framework, will shed new light on adaptive brain plasticity following preterm birth.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Grant information

This work was supported by the Education Endowment Foundation & Wellcome Trust (PS) and by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London and Action Medical Research (CN).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

References

- Berwick RC, Friederici AD, Chomsky N, *et al.*: **Evolution, brain, and the nature of language.** *Trends Cogn Sci.* 2013; 17(2): 89–98.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Pinker S: **The language instinct: The new science of language and mind.** 7529, (Penguin UK). 1995.
[Reference Source](#)
- Jackendoff R, Pinker S: **The nature of the language faculty and its implications for evolution of language (Reply to Fitch, Hauser, and Chomsky).** *Cognition.* 2005; 97(2): 211–25.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Vargha-Khadem F, Gadian DG, Copp A, *et al.*: **FOXP2 and the neuroanatomy of speech and language.** *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2005; 6(2): 131–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Price CJ: **A review and synthesis of the first 20 years of PET and fMRI studies of heard speech, spoken language and reading.** *Neuroimage.* 2012; 62(2): 816–47.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Friederici AD: **The brain basis of language processing: from structure to function.** *Physiol Rev.* 2011; 91(4): 1357–92.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Beaulieu C: **The basis of anisotropic water diffusion in the nervous system - a technical review.** *NMR Biomed.* 2002; 15(7–8): 435–55.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Catani M, Jones DK, ffytche DH: **Perisylvian language networks of the human brain.** *Ann Neurol.* 2005; 57(1): 8–16.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Friederici AD: **The cortical language circuit: from auditory perception to sentence comprehension.** *Trends Cogn Sci.* 2012; 16(5): 262–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Dick AS, Tremblay P: **Beyond the arcuate fasciculus: consensus and controversy in the connectational anatomy of language.** *Brain.* 2012; 135(Pt 12): 3529–50.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Binder JR, Desai RH, Graves WW, *et al.*: **Where is the semantic system? A critical review and meta-analysis of 120 functional neuroimaging studies.** *Cereb Cortex.* 2009; 19(12): 2767–96.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Friederici AD, Gierhan SM: **The language network.** *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 2013; 23(2): 250–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Friederici AD, Bahlmann J, Heim S, *et al.*: **The brain differentiates human and non-human grammars: functional localization and structural connectivity.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2006; 103(7): 2458–63.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Skeide MA, Friederici AD: **The ontogeny of the cortical language network.** *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2016; 17(5): 323–32.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Dubois J, Poupon C, Thirion B, *et al.*: **Exploring the Early Organization and Maturation of Linguistic Pathways in the Human Infant Brain.** *Cereb Cortex.* 2016; 26(5): 2283–98.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Salvan P, Tournier JD, Bataille D, *et al.*: **Language ability in preterm children is associated with arcuate fasciculi microstructure at term.** *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2017; 38(8): 3836–47.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Baddeley A, Gathercole S, Papagno C: **The phonological loop as a language learning device.** *Psychol Rev.* 1998; 105(1): 158–73.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Baddeley A: **Working memory: looking back and looking forward.** *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2003; 4(10): 829–39.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Catani M, Allin MP, Husain M, *et al.*: **Symmetries in human brain language pathways correlate with verbal recall.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2007; 104(43): 17163–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Budisavljevic S, Dell'Acqua F, Rijdsdijk FV, *et al.*: **Age-Related Differences and Heritability of the Perisylvian Language Networks.** *J Neurosci.* 2015; 35(37): 12625–34.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Weiss-Croft LJ, Baldeweg T: **Maturation of language networks in children: A systematic review of 22years of functional MRI.** *Neuroimage.* 2015; 123:

- 269–81.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
22. **F** Ripollés P, Biel D, Peñaloza C, *et al.*: **Strength of Temporal White Matter Pathways Predicts Semantic Learning.** *J Neurosci.* 2017; **37**(46): 11101–13.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
  23. **F** Travis KE, Adams JN, Kovachy VN, *et al.*: **White matter properties differ in 6-year old Readers and Pre-readers.** *Brain Struct Funct.* 2017; **222**(4): 1685–703.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
  24. Salvan P, Froudust Walsh S, Allin MP, *et al.*: **Road work on memory lane--functional and structural alterations to the learning and memory circuit in adults born very preterm.** *Neuroimage.* 2014; **102** Pt 1: 152–61.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
  25. Nosarti C, Al-Asady MH, Frangou S, *et al.*: **Adolescents who were born very preterm have decreased brain volumes.** *Brain.* 2002; **125**(Pt 7): 1616–23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
  26. Froudust-Walsh S, Karolis V, Caldinelli C, *et al.*: **Very Early Brain Damage Leads to Remodeling of the Working Memory System in Adulthood: A Combined fMRI/Tractography Study.** *J Neurosci.* 2015; **35**(48): 15787–99.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
  27. Caldinelli C, Froudust-Walsh S, Karolis V, *et al.*: **White matter alterations to cingulum and fornix following very preterm birth and their relationship with cognitive functions.** *Neuroimage.* 2017; **150**: 373–82.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
  28. Nam KW, Castellanos N, Simmons A, *et al.*: **Alterations in cortical thickness development in preterm-born individuals: Implications for high-order cognitive functions.** *Neuroimage.* 2015; **115**: 64–75.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
  29. **F** Rimol LM, Bjuland KJ, Løhaugen GC, *et al.*: **Cortical trajectories during adolescence in preterm born teenagers with very low birthweight.** *Cortex.* 2016; **75**: 120–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
  30. de Kieviet JF, Zoetebeier L, van Elburg RM, *et al.*: **Brain development of very preterm and very low-birthweight children in childhood and adolescence: a meta-analysis.** *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2012; **54**(4): 313–23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
  31. Thompson DK, Lee KJ, van Bienen L, *et al.*: **Accelerated corpus callosum development in prematurity predicts improved outcome.** *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2015; **36**(10): 3733–48.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
  32. van Noort-van der Spek IL, Franken MC, Weisglas-Kuperus N: **Language functions in preterm-born children: a systematic review and meta-analysis.** *Pediatrics.* 2012; **129**(4): 745–54.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
  33. Barre N, Morgan A, Doyle LW, *et al.*: **Language abilities in children who were very preterm and/or very low birth weight: a meta-analysis.** *J Pediatr.* 2011; **158**(5): 766–774.e1.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
  34. Sansavini A, Guarini A, Caselli MC: **Preterm birth: neuropsychological profiles and atypical developmental pathways.** *Dev Disabil Res Rev.* 2011; **17**(2): 102–13.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
  35. Vohr B: **Speech and language outcomes of very preterm infants.** *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2014; **19**(2): 78–83.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
  36. Mullen KM, Vohr BR, Katz KH, *et al.*: **Preterm birth results in alterations in neural connectivity at age 16 years.** *Neuroimage.* 2011; **54**(4): 2563–70.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
  37. Northam GB, Liégeois F, Tournier JD, *et al.*: **Interhemispheric temporal lobe connectivity predicts language impairment in adolescents born preterm.** *Brain.* 2012; **135**(Pt 12): 3781–98.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
  38. Weinstein M, Marom R, Berger I, *et al.*: **Neonatal neuropsychology: emerging relations of neonatal sensory-motor responses to white matter integrity.** *Neuropsychologia.* 2014; **62**: 209–19.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
  39. Nosarti C, Shergill SS, Allin MP, *et al.*: **Neural substrates of letter fluency processing in young adults who were born very preterm: alterations in frontal and striatal regions.** *Neuroimage.* 2009; **47**(4): 1904–13.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
  40. Scheinost D, Lacadie C, Vohr BR, *et al.*: **Cerebral Lateralization is Protective in the Very Prematurely Born.** *Cereb Cortex.* 2015; **25**(7): 1858–66.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
  41. **F** Rilling JK, Glasser MF, Preuss TM, *et al.*: **The evolution of the arcuate fasciculus revealed with comparative DTI.** *Nat Neurosci.* 2008; **11**(4): 426–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
  42. Rilling JK, Glasser MF, Jbabdi S, *et al.*: **Continuity, divergence, and the evolution of brain language pathways.** *Front Evol Neurosci.* 2011; **3**: 11.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
  43. **F** Saygin ZM, Osher DE, Koldewyn K, *et al.*: **Anatomical connectivity patterns predict face selectivity in the fusiform gyrus.** *Nat Neurosci.* 2011; **15**(2): 321–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
  44. Ishai A: **Let's face it: it's a cortical network.** *Neuroimage.* 2008; **40**(2): 415–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
  45. Saygin ZM, Osher DE, Norton ES, *et al.*: **Connectivity precedes function in the development of the visual word form area.** *Nat Neurosci.* 2016; **19**(9): 1250–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
  46. Dehaene S, Dehaene-Lambertz G: **Is the brain prewired for letters?** *Nat Neurosci.* 2016; **19**(9): 1192–3.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
  47. Gu S, Pasqualetti F, Cieslak M, *et al.*: **Controllability of structural brain networks.** *Nat Commun.* 2015; **6**: 8414.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
  48. **F** Tang E, Giusti C, Baum GL, *et al.*: **Developmental increases in white matter network controllability support a growing diversity of brain dynamics.** *Nat Commun.* 2017; **8**(1): 1252.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
  49. Liu Y, Barabási A: **Control principles of complex systems.** *Rev Mod Phys.* 2016; **88**: 247.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
  50. **F** Yan G, Vértes PE, Towilson EK, *et al.*: **Network control principles predict neuron function in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* connectome.** *Nature.* 2017; **550**(7677): 519–23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
  51. Dehaene-Lambertz G, Spelke ES: **The Infancy of the Human Brain.** *Neuron.* 2015; **88**(1): 93–109.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
  52. Dubois J, Kostovic I, Judas M: **Development of Structural and Functional Connectivity.** *Brain Mapp. An Encycl. Ref.* 2, 2015; 423–437.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
  53. **F** Spittle AJ, Treyvaud K, Lee KJ, *et al.*: **The role of social risk in an early preventative care programme for infants born very preterm: a randomized controlled trial.** *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2018; **60**(1): 54–62.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
  54. Caskey M, Stephens B, Tucker R, *et al.*: **Importance of parent talk on the development of preterm infant vocalizations.** *Pediatrics.* 2011; **128**(5): 910–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
  55. Werker JF, Hensch TK: **Critical periods in speech perception: new directions.** *Annu Rev Psychol.* 2015; **66**: 173–96.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

The reviewers who approved this article are:

Version 1

1. **Alessandra Sansavini**

Department of Psychology, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

2. **Jessica Dubois**

Cognitive Neuroimaging Unit, INSERM, Paris, France

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

3. **Torsten Baldeweg**

Developmental Neurosciences, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, University College London, London, UK

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research