Check for updates

REVIEW

Recent advances in understanding the epidemiology of healthcare-associated infections [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Pranavi Sreeramoju

University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas, Dallas, TX, USA

V1 First published: 25 Jan 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):106
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.15891.1>

Latest published: 25 Jan 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):106
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.15891.1>

Abstract

Since the 2014 publication of updates to the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA) compendium of strategies to reduce healthcare-associated infections, there have been several advances in understanding the epidemiology of these diseases. This review article captures many of the key advances but does not include all of them.

Keywords

healthcare-associated infections, infection prevention, updates in literature

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 1
25 Jan 2019		

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

1. **Tara N. Palmore**, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, USA
2. **Philip Russo**, Cabrini Institute, Malvern, Australia
Monash University, Clayton, Australia

Any comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Pranavi Sreeramoju (pranavi.sreeramoju@utsouthwestern.edu)

Author roles: Sreeramoju P: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2019 Sreeramoju P. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Sreeramoju P. **Recent advances in understanding the epidemiology of healthcare-associated infections [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):106 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.15891.1>

First published: 25 Jan 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):106 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.15891.1>

Introduction

Nosocomial infections, more accurately referred to as healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), have gained increased attention from healthcare professionals as well as from patients and policy makers in recent decades. The transition in nomenclature away from the terms “nosocomial” or “hospital-onset” and toward “healthcare-associated” reflects increased identification of infections in healthcare settings outside hospitals, such as ambulatory surgical centers, dialysis centers, and nursing homes.

This article is a review of key advances in the epidemiology of HAI prevention since the publication of the updated Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA) compendium of strategies to reduce HAI^{1,2}. In order to confine the scope, this article does not address HAIs associated with devices like external ventricular drains and left ventricular assist devices or recent hospital outbreaks such as *Mycobacterium chimaera* related to heater-cooler devices, *Candida auris*, and *Legionella*.

Catheter-associated urinary tract infection

In a national collaborative program implemented in more than 10% of US hospitals to prevent catheter-associated urinary tract infection³, infection rates in non-intensive care units fell from 2.28 to 1.54 infections per 1,000 catheter-days and catheter use decreased from 20.1% to 18.8%. This program used both technical interventions such as decreasing catheter use and cultural interventions using comprehensive unit-based safety program tools. In a multi-component initiative in 404 nursing homes, technical and socio-adaptive interventions were successful in reducing catheter-associated urinary tract infections by 54% and reducing urine culture orders by 15%⁴.

Surgical site infection

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) updated surgical site infection (SSI) prevention guidelines in 2017⁵. The key recommendations of the guidelines are the following. The revised antimicrobial prophylaxis recommendations, which had stewardship and risk versus benefit in mind, are more stringent. They clearly state that prophylaxis is indicated only for specific surgical procedures and that a bactericidal concentration of the antimicrobial agent(s) is important in the serum and tissues at the time of incision, including for cesarean section procedures. In previous years, antimicrobial prophylaxis for cesarean section was administered immediately after the umbilical cord was cut. An alcohol-based agent is the most effective agent for skin preparation in the operating room. The new guidelines recommend discontinuing antimicrobial prophylaxis after skin closure in the operating room for clean and clean-contaminated procedures, even in the presence of a drain. This new recommendation is different from the 24-hour window per previous guidelines. The guidelines also recommend against the application of topical antimicrobial agents to the surgical incision.

A chlorhexidine bath before surgery is a popular intervention. However, in a systematic review and meta-analysis of 243 primary studies⁶, among which 8 were considered methodologically appropriate on the basis of the Jadad scale, chlorhexidine preoperative bathing was not associated with decreased risk of

SSI. In this meta-analysis, a significant reduction in the infection rates was not found in a comparison study between patients subjected to preoperative bathing with 4% chlorhexidine versus placebo solution (relative risk 0.91, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.76–1.09). The same absence of benefit was observed when chlorhexidine bathing was compared with soap (relative risk 1.06, 95% CI 0.68–1.66).

The importance of the different components of surgical attire in prevention of SSI is a subject of ongoing debate. In a thought-provoking article, Bartek *et al.*⁷ firmly state that “there is no evidence regarding SSI risk related to operating room attire except for sterile gowns and the use of gloves” while humorously adding that naked personnel shed fewer bacteria. The importance of surgical technique was emphasized in a randomized, assessor-blinded trial on restrictive versus liberal fluid use during major abdominal surgery⁸. The rate of SSI was 16.5% versus 13.6% ($p < 0.0001$) in the group with the use of 3.7 versus 6.1 L for intra-abdominal washout during surgery.

Clostridium difficile infection

There have been several advances in the epidemiology of *Clostridium difficile* infection (CDI). Asymptomatic CDI is gaining a lot of attention. In a segmented time series analysis by Xiao *et al.*⁹, isolating asymptomatic carriers in addition to isolating infected patients decreased the prevalence of isolation days for *C. difficile* from the pre-intervention period when surveillance for asymptomatic carriers was not performed. More data on the usefulness of probiotics have emerged. In an individual patient data meta-analysis with 6,851 participants from 18 placebo-controlled randomized clinical trials¹⁰, probiotics reduced the odds of CDI by 0.35 (95% CI 0.23–0.55). Multi-species probiotics were more protective than single-species probiotics. During a period of piperacillin-tazobactam shortage, the incidence of hospital-onset CDI increased contrary to expectations because of a shift in usage to other high-risk antibiotics like carbapenems and higher-generation cephalosporins¹¹. In a study on the incidence of CDI during an initiative to accelerate and improve care for patients with sepsis, the incidence of CDI increased and this was controlled when a dedicated antimicrobial stewardship program was implemented¹². The hospital environment is a source of transmission of *C. difficile*. A secondary analysis of the results of the Benefits of Enhanced Terminal Room Disinfection study showed that the addition of ultraviolet light disinfection significantly reduced the risk of acquisition of *C. difficile* by 11%¹³.

Contact isolation

The SHEA published expert guidance on the duration of contact isolation for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus*, and extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*¹⁴, recommending a shorter duration of contact isolation for most organisms except carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*. Several studies have shown a lack of increase in the incidence of multidrug-resistant organisms with shortening the duration of contact isolation^{15,16}. These studies as well as a systematic analysis by Marra *et al.*¹⁷ found that secular trends and the impact of

horizontal measures outweighed the effect of contact precautions. Lin *et al.*¹⁸ found that state-mandated active surveillance for MRSA did not reduce the prevalence of MRSA colonization.

Reprocessing of endoscopes

No breaches in adherence to manufacturer guidelines for high-level disinfection of scopes were identified in an outbreak investigation of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* with blaOxa-232 gene associated with endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) in 17 patients¹⁹. Reprocessing was less effective if the scope elevator mechanism was in a horizontal position as opposed to a vertical position during the high-level disinfection cycle in an automated endoscope reprocessor²⁰. In yet another study, intraluminal fluid was detected in 22 out of 45 endoscopes tested after the completion of high-level disinfection. Retained fluid with high adenosine triphosphate levels was found in 22% of endoscopes, and microbial growth was detected in 71% of endoscopes²¹. In a study, remote video auditing with feedback using a 40-point checklist for getting ERCP reprocessing right was effective in ensuring that all steps were followed correctly²². The challenge for generalizing the findings of this study would be a practical one, as the process of following a long checklist takes precious time and effort of personnel. We need more efficient ways of ensuring that high-level disinfection and sterilization yield expected levels of disinfection or sterilization.

Antimicrobial resistance and stewardship

In a study that elucidated the epidemiology of carbapenem-non-susceptible *Acinetobacter baumannii* from a multi-city point prevalence survey within emerging infections program (EIP) sites, nearly half of the *Acinetobacter* strains isolated from persons with HAI reported to the CDC National Healthcare Safety Network in 2014 were carbapenem-non-susceptible²³. The study estimated that the incidence in the population surveyed was 1.2 per 100,000 patients during 2012 to 2015. Healthcare exposure within the previous year was present in 98% of cases, and an indwelling device, most often a urinary catheter, was present in 84% of cases; 17.9% of the patients died. The association between antimicrobial stewardship as a patient care improvement process, and improvement in patient outcomes as measured by hospital-onset multidrug-resistant bloodstream infections and *Candida* bloodstream infections, was shown in a study by Molina *et al.*²⁴.

Preventing infection risk to healthcare personnel

In a study to assess the effectiveness of personal protective equipment (PPE) as a barrier to pathogen transmission, Kwon *et al.*²⁵ used fluorescence and MS2 bacteriophage to evaluate self-contamination while donning and doffing PPE. Overall, 27% of healthcare personnel (HCP) made at least one protocol deviation while donning and 100% while doffing PPE for Ebola virus disease (EVD). While using PPE for contact precautions, 50% and 67% of personnel, respectively, made protocol deviations while donning and doffing PPE. The study also identified protocol deviations by doffing assistants and trained observers.

In a multi-center study to evaluate the epidemiology of tuberculosis (TB) exposure in hospitals, 59.4% of patients were

inadequately masked at the time of entry or inadequately isolated during hospital admission. These patients were more likely to be transplant recipients, have acid-fast bacilli on sputum stain, and have a chest radiograph with typical findings for TB and were less likely to have extrapulmonary TB²⁶. Although the concern for exposure to TB in healthcare settings is real, it does depend on the prevalence of TB disease seen in the healthcare facility. In a large medical center in the Midwest where 50 patients with TB disease received care in a 14-year period, only 0.3% of the 40,142 HCP who received a tuberculin skin test converted over 16.4 years, and no one developed TB disease²⁷. This study underscores the recommendation of the 2005 CDC guidelines for TB control²⁸ to determine the frequency of TB screening among personnel on the basis of incidence of TB in facilities.

Healthcare personnel vaccination

In an outbreak investigation and control of mumps, a third dose of measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR) vaccine was effective in preventing mumps infection. The attack rate was 6.7 per 1,000 in those who got a third dose versus 14.5 per 1,000 in those who received two doses ($p < 0.001$)^{29,30}. Although several institutions have already implemented mandatory influenza vaccination for HCP, effectiveness in reducing HCP absenteeism was published only in 2018. In a study conducted at outpatient settings in 3 university and 4 Veterans Affairs medical centers with 2,304 outpatient HCP at mandatory vaccination sites and 1,759 outpatient HCP at non-mandatory vaccination sites, vaccinated HCP had fewer sick days than non-vaccinated HCP (odds ratio 0.81, 95% CI 0.69–0.95)³¹.

Ebola transmission

Asymptomatic Ebola virus infection contributed very little to transmission on the basis of testing with an oral fluid antigen-glycoprotein IgG assay with a specificity of 100% and a sensitivity of 95.9%. Of household contacts not diagnosed with EVD, 47.6% (229 out of 481) had high-level exposure (direct contact with a corpse, body fluids, or a case with diarrhea, vomiting, or bleeding). Among the household contacts, 11 out of 92 (12.0%, 95% CI 6.1–20.4) tested positive when contact occurred at the time the household member had EVD symptoms. By comparison, 10 out of 388 (2.6%, 95% CI 1.2–4.7) household contacts tested positive when contact occurred at the time the household member did not have symptoms³². In another study, a HCP who was in flight when symptoms of EVD began did not transmit to 238 passengers on a flight from Sierra Leone to Glasgow with two stops³³. This is a little reassuring because of the high volume of modern-day air travel.

Leadership in healthcare epidemiology

None of the healthcare epidemiology work is possible without leadership and competencies. Three articles from the SHEA address the necessary infrastructures, skills, and competencies that are helpful for someone to be an effective leader in healthcare epidemiology^{34–36}. There is increased appreciation for synergies between infection prevention and antimicrobial stewardship³⁷. The Veterans Affairs system is building an implementation science infrastructure for infection prevention³⁸, and that is a step in the right direction. As we continue to push forward in the field, it is important to remember that we do not know all of the

answers and that some answers may be unknowable. I will close this review with a reference to the challenges in managing patients who presented with suspected or confirmed Ebola virus infection at the National Institutes of Health³⁹; I think the approach applies to several other aspects of HAI prevention and control. “We answered questions saying, ‘We don’t know’, when we didn’t know the answer, but we promised to try to find the answer, if it existed. Alternatively, we noted mechanisms used to mitigate risks associated with our inability to answer a question with precision. The clinical leadership consistently offered a calm

presence to staff who had anxieties. Institutions cannot ignore these anxieties, as they can become paralyzing”. Good leadership is necessary to reduce the burden of HAIs through implementation of known prevention approaches and to advance science and epidemiology in order to help further understand these infections.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

References

- Yokoe DS, Anderson DJ, Berenholtz SM, *et al.*: **Introduction to “A Compendium of Strategies To Prevent Healthcare-Associated Infections in Acute Care Hospitals: 2014 updates”**. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2014; 35(5): 455–9. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Septimus E, Yokoe DS, Weinstein RA, *et al.*: **Maintaining the momentum of change: the role of the 2014 updates to the compendium in preventing healthcare-associated infections**. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2014; 35 Suppl 2: S6–9. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Saint S, Greene MT, Krein SL, *et al.*: **A Program to Prevent Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infection in Acute Care**. *N Engl J Med.* 2016; 374(22): 2111–9. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Mody L, Greene MT, Meddings J, *et al.*: **A National Implementation Project to Prevent Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infection in Nursing Home Residents**. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2017; 177(8): 1154–62. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Berrios-Torres SI, Umscheid CA, Bratzler DW, *et al.*: **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Guideline for the Prevention of Surgical Site Infection, 2017**. *JAMA Surg.* 2017; 152(8): 784–91. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Franco LM, Cota GF, Pinto TS, *et al.*: **Preoperative bathing of the surgical site with chlorhexidine for infection prevention: Systematic review with meta-analysis**. *Am J Infect Control.* 2017; 45(4): 343–9. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Bartek M, Verdial F, Dellinger EP: **Naked Surgeons? The Debate About What to Wear in the Operating Room**. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2017; 65(9): 1589–92. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Myles PS, Bellomo R, Corcoran T, *et al.*: **Restrictive versus Liberal Fluid Therapy for Major Abdominal Surgery**. *N Engl J Med.* 2018; 378(24): 2263–74. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Xiao Y, Paquet-Bolduc B, Garenc C, *et al.*: **Impact of Isolating *Clostridium difficile* Carriers on the Burden of Isolation Precautions: A Time Series Analysis**. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2018; 66(9): 1377–82. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Shen NT, Maw A, Tmanova LL, *et al.*: **Timely Use of Probiotics in Hospitalized Adults Prevents *Clostridium difficile* Infection: A Systematic Review With Meta-Regression Analysis**. *Gastroenterology.* 2017; 152(8): 1889–1900.e9. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Gross AE, Johannes RS, Gupta V, *et al.*: **The Effect of a Piperacillin/Tazobactam Shortage on Antimicrobial Prescribing and *Clostridium difficile* Risk in 88 US Medical Centers**. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2017; 65(4): 613–8. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Hensch R, Poeran J, Saunders-Hao P, *et al.*: **Impact of an electronic sepsis initiative on antibiotic use and health care facility-onset *Clostridium difficile* infection rates**. *Am J Infect Control.* 2017; 45(10): 1091–100. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Anderson DJ, Moehring RW, Weber DJ, *et al.*: **Effectiveness of targeted enhanced terminal room disinfection on hospital-wide acquisition and infection with multidrug-resistant organisms and *Clostridium difficile*: a secondary analysis of a multicentre cluster randomised controlled trial with crossover design (BETR Disinfection)**. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2018; 18(8): 845–53. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Banach DB, Bearman G, Barnden M, *et al.*: **Duration of Contact Precautions for Acute-Care Settings**. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2018; 39(2): 127–44. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Bearman G, Abbas S, Masroor N, *et al.*: **Impact of Discontinuing Contact Precautions for Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and Vancomycin-Resistant *Enterococcus*: An Interrupted Time Series Analysis**. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2018; 39(6): 676–82. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Renaudin L, Llorens M, Goetz C, *et al.*: **Impact of Discontinuing Contact Precautions for MRSA and ESBLE in an Intensive Care Unit: A Prospective Noninferiority Before and After Study**. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2017; 38(11): 1342–50. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Marra AR, Edmond MB, Schweizer ML, *et al.*: **Discontinuing contact precautions for multidrug-resistant organisms: A systematic literature review and meta-analysis**. *Am J Infect Control.* 2018; 46(3): 333–40. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Lin MY, Hayden MK, Lyles RD, *et al.*: **Regional Epidemiology of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Among Adult Intensive Care Unit Patients Following State-Mandated Active Surveillance**. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2018; 66(10): 1535–9. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Humphries RM, Yang S, Kim S, *et al.*: **Duodenoscope-Related Outbreak of a Carbapenem-Resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Identified Using Advanced Molecular Diagnostics**. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2017; 65(7): 1159–66. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Alfa MJ, Singh H, Duerksen DR, *et al.*: **Improper positioning of the elevator lever of duodenoscopes may lead to sequestered bacteria that survive disinfection by automated endoscope reprocessors**. *Am J Infect Control.* 2018; 46(1): 73–5. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Ofstead CL, Heymann OL, Quick MR, *et al.*: **Residual moisture and waterborne pathogens inside flexible endoscopes: Evidence from a multisite study of endoscope drying effectiveness**. *Am J Infect Control.* 2018; 46(6): 689–96. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Armellino D, Cifu K, Wallace M, *et al.*: **Implementation of remote video auditing with feedback and compliance for manual-cleaning protocols of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography endoscopes**. *Am J Infect Control.* 2018; 46(5): 594–6. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Bulens SN, Yi SH, Walters MS, *et al.*: **Carbapenem-Nonsusceptible *Acinetobacter baumannii*, 8 US Metropolitan Areas, 2012–2015**. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2018; 24(4): 727–34. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Molina J, Peñalva G, Gil-Navarro MV, *et al.*: **Long-Term Impact of an Educational Antimicrobial Stewardship Program on Hospital-Acquired Candidemia and Multidrug-Resistant Bloodstream Infections: A Quasi-Experimental Study of Interrupted Time-Series Analysis**. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2017; 65(12): 1992–9. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Kwon JH, Burnham CD, Reske KA, *et al.*: **Assessment of Healthcare Worker Protocol Deviations and Self-Contamination During Personal Protective Equipment Donning and Doffing**. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2017; 38(9): 1077–83. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Cadena J, Castro-Pena NA, Javeri H, *et al.*: **Tuberculosis Patients Who Are A Potential Source for Unprotected Exposure in Health Care Systems: A**

- Multicenter Case Control Study.** *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2017; 4(4): ofx201.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
27. **F** Dobler CC, Farah WH, Alsawas M, *et al.*: **Tuberculin Skin Test Conversions and Occupational Exposure Risk in US Healthcare Workers.** *Clin Infect Dis.* 2018; 66(5): 706–11.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 28. Jensen PA, Lambert LA, Iademarco MF, *et al.*: **Guidelines for preventing the transmission of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in health-care settings, 2005.** *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2005; 54(RR-17): 1–141.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
 29. **F** Cardemil CV, Dahl RM, James L, *et al.*: **Effectiveness of a Third Dose of MMR Vaccine for Mumps Outbreak Control.** *N Engl J Med.* 2017; 377(10): 947–56.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 30. **F** Shah M, Quinlisk P, Weigel A, *et al.*: **Mumps Outbreak in a Highly Vaccinated University-Affiliated Setting Before and After a Measles-Mumps-Rubella Vaccination Campaign-Iowa, July 2015-May 2016.** *Clin Infect Dis.* 2018; 66(1): 81–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 31. **F** Frederick J, Brown AC, Cummings DA, *et al.*: **Protecting Healthcare Personnel in Outpatient Settings: The Influence of Mandatory Versus Nonmandatory Influenza Vaccination Policies on Workplace Absenteeism During Multiple Respiratory Virus Seasons.** *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2018; 39(4): 452–61.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 32. **F** Glynn JR, Bower H, Johnson S, *et al.*: **Asymptomatic infection and unrecognised Ebola virus disease in Ebola-affected households in Sierra Leone: a cross-sectional study using a new non-invasive assay for antibodies to Ebola virus.** *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2017; 17(6): 645–53.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 33. **F** Crook P, Smith-Palmer A, Maguire H, *et al.*: **Lack of Secondary Transmission of Ebola Virus from Healthcare Worker to 238 Contacts, United Kingdom, December 2014.** *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2017; 23(12): 2081–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 34. Bryant KA, Harris AD, Gould CV, *et al.*: **Necessary Infrastructure of Infection Prevention and Healthcare Epidemiology Programs: A Review.** *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2016; 37(4): 371–80.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 35. Kaye KS, Anderson DJ, Cook E, *et al.*: **Guidance for infection prevention and healthcare epidemiology programs: healthcare epidemiologist skills and competencies.** *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2015; 36(4): 369–80.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 36. **F** Cosgrove SE, Hermsen ED, Rybak MJ, *et al.*: **Guidance for the knowledge and skills required for antimicrobial stewardship leaders.** *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2014; 35(12): 1444–51.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 37. **F** Manning ML, Septimus EJ, Ashley ESD, *et al.*: **Antimicrobial Stewardship and Infection Prevention-Leveraging the Synergy: A Position Paper Update.** *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2018; 39(4): 467–72.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 38. Keating JA, Obasi C, McKinley L, *et al.*: **Building Implementation Science for Veterans Affairs Healthcare Associated Infection Prevention: VA Healthcare-Associated Infection Prevention Network (VHIN).** *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2018; 39(6): 753–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 39. Palmore TN, Barrett K, Michelin A, *et al.*: **Challenges in managing patients who have suspected or confirmed Ebola virus infection at the National Institutes of Health.** *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2015; 36(6): 623–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

The reviewers who approved this article are:

Version 1

1. Philip Russo

¹ Centre for Nursing Research, Cabrini Institute, Malvern, VIC, Australia

² Faculty of Medicine, Nursing and Health Sciences, Monash University, Clayton, VIC, Australia

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

2. Tara N. Palmore

NIH Clinical Center, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research