REVIEW

Cardio-oncology: management of cardiovascular toxicity

[version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Timothy M. Markman¹, Maurie Markman ²

¹Department of Medicine, Cardiovascular Division, Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

²Cancer Treatment Centers of America at Eastern Regional Medical Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA

v1 First published: 30 Jan 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):120
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.14542.1>

Latest published: 30 Jan 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):120
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.14542.1>

Abstract

Traditional chemotherapeutic agents and newer targeted therapies for cancer have the potential to cause cardiovascular toxicities. These toxicities can result in arrhythmias, heart failure, vascular toxicity, and even death. It is important for oncologists and cardiologists to understand the basic diagnostic and management strategies to employ when these toxicities occur. While anti-neoplastic therapy occasionally must be discontinued in this setting, it can often be maintained with caution and careful monitoring. In the second of this two-part review series, we focus on the management of cardiovascular toxicity from anthracyclines, HER2/ErbB2 inhibitors, immune checkpoint inhibitors, and vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitors.

Keywords

cardio-oncology, cardiovascular toxicity, arrhythmia, heart failure

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 1
30 Jan 2019		

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

1. **Joerg Herrmann**, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, USA

2. **Guilherme H. Oliveira**, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, USA

Any comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Maurie Markman (maurie.markman@ctca-hope.com)

Author roles: Markman TM: Writing – Original Draft Preparation; Markman M: Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2019 Markman TM and Markman M. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Markman TM and Markman M. **Cardio-oncology: management of cardiovascular toxicity [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):120 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.14542.1>

First published: 30 Jan 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):120 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.14542.1>

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease and cancer remain leading causes of mortality in the United States. The co-existence of cardiovascular disease and toxicity that develops as a result of cancer therapy presents a growing challenge for both oncologists and cardiologists. As cancer therapy outcomes continue to improve, this aging population is living long enough to experience adverse effects from therapeutic complications, including electrophysiological abnormalities, vascular toxicity, and heart failure (HF).

These toxicities and their proposed and established mechanisms were discussed in detail in the first installment of this review¹. Cardiovascular complications often represent a limiting factor in the therapeutic options for many otherwise treatable and potentially curable malignancies. It is essential that oncologists and cardiologists be aware of the most up-to-date strategies for management in order to optimize the potential for further therapy. In this review, we explore the management of select cardiovascular toxicities from anthracyclines, HER2/ErbB2 inhibitors, immune checkpoint inhibitors, and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibitors.

Anthracyclines

Cardiomyopathy from anthracyclines, initially daunorubicin, was the first described cardiotoxicity². The risk is dose dependent, with over 25% of patients experiencing clinical HF with cumulative doses of 550 mg/m² or greater. Subclinical evidence of toxicity is present in 30% of patients, even at cumulative doses of 180 to 240 mg/m²^{3,4}. While clinicians must be aware that higher doses are associated with increased risk, it is also important to recognize that there is no dose at which the risk for cardiac toxicity is zero³.

It is imperative to recognize any pre-existing cardiac dysfunction in patients prior to the initiation of therapy⁵. At a minimum, this includes careful clinical evaluation and assessment of traditional cardiovascular risk factors including the presence of coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, or smoking. Given the effect on left ventricular systolic function, this should be assessed prior to beginning therapy⁶. Most commonly, a transthoracic echocardiogram is the screening test of choice. Multigated acquisition radionuclide ventriculography or cardiac magnetic resonance imaging can be used, although these techniques are limited by radiation exposure and cost/availability, respectively. Any evidence of pre-therapy reduced ejection fraction should prompt referral to a cardiologist for evaluation and optimization prior to anthracycline exposure.

Once therapy is initiated, monitoring strategies must be individualized to identify early evidence of cardiotoxicity. Echocardiography is often recommended at 3-month intervals with additional imaging mandated by clinical evidence of HF⁷. Cardiotoxicity in this setting has been defined by a decline in ejection fraction by $\geq 10\%$ (e.g. from 50% to 40%) or $\geq 5\%$ in the presence of HF symptoms, although a variety of criteria have been used⁴. Advanced imaging strategies have been developed to identify early myocardial dysfunction with increased sensitivity and specificity. This includes multiple techniques to characterize

myocardial strain, which appears to be very sensitive. A reduction of 10–15% in global longitudinal strain is the most useful parameter to identify the early development of anthracycline-induced cardiomyopathy^{4,8}. Cardiac biomarkers (especially troponin and brain natriuretic peptide) are associated with the development of left ventricular dysfunction and symptomatic HF; although their routine use as screening tests is promising, there is not universal agreement on the significance of isolated biomarker elevation^{4,8}. Prolongation of the QT interval on electrocardiogram has also been shown to be associated with the development of anthracycline-induced ventricular dysfunction⁹.

Based on the mechanisms discussed in the first part of this review, several therapeutic strategies have been proposed to treat anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity or to prevent it in high-risk patients. Prevention involves avoidance of anthracyclines when possible, utilization of minimal cumulative doses, and preference for continuous infusion versus bolus dosing¹⁰. A PEGylated liposomal version of doxorubicin has been shown to decrease the circulating concentration of free doxorubicin without decreased effectiveness, although high cost has limited its utility¹¹.

Dexrazoxane is protective against anthracycline cardiotoxicity by mediating topoisomerase 2 β . Its effectiveness has been well established in numerous clinical trials, although two controversies limit its widespread adoption^{4,12}. There was initial evidence that the use of dexrazoxane decreased anti-neoplastic efficacy and although this did not hold up in meta-analysis, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has approved its use only in patients who have already received at least 300 mg/m² of doxorubicin for metastatic breast cancer^{12,13}. In a pediatric population receiving simultaneous etoposide and doxorubicin, there is evidence of an increased risk for secondary malignancies, potentially due to genetic instability¹⁴. While this association has not been clearly established, the signal must be taken seriously in this population. Use of dexrazoxane should be considered given its established efficacy and safety exclusive of patients receiving simultaneous etoposide.

Once clinical HF or imaging evidence of reduced left ventricular systolic function is present, treatment should follow established guidelines for patients with non-ischemic cardiomyopathy. The mainstays of therapy are angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and beta-blockers uptitrated to the maximum tolerated doses with diuretics as needed^{15,16}. The results of the PRADA trial have suggested that treatment with candesartan during anthracycline therapy for early breast cancer provides protection against early decline in left ventricular function¹⁷. Newer agents, including sacubitril/valsartan, and advanced therapies for HF should be considered when necessary. Because anthracycline-induced cardiomyopathy is considered irreversible, the development of reduced LV function has been recommended as a contraindication to continuing anthracyclines, although cessation of lifesaving therapy should be considered only as a last resort⁴.

The role of these agents and HMG-CoA inhibitors (statins) for the prevention of anthracycline-induced cardiomyopathy

remains unclear though is currently under investigation in the Preventing Anthracycline Cardiovascular Toxicity With Statins (PREVENT) trials, among others. The impact of physical activity has not been conclusively established from clinical studies, although animal models and evidence from other non-ischemic cardiomyopathies suggest it may be beneficial¹⁸.

HER2/ErbB2 inhibitors

Trastuzumab, a monoclonal antibody directed against HER2/ErbB2 receptors, has also been established as a cause of left ventricular dysfunction. This agent is used for the treatment of breast cancers that overexpress HER2/ErbB2 and is known to cause a reversible cardiomyopathy that is otherwise phenotypically similar to anthracycline-induced cardiomyopathy. The symptomatic HF that develops can be severe and life threatening, though it is generally mild and improves with medical management and discontinuation of therapy. Trastuzumab can often be resumed after recovery of ventricular function⁴.

Recommendations for pre-therapy screening and imaging studies are generally similar to those discussed above for anthracyclines. Patients at high risk should ideally avoid concurrent treatment with anthracyclines, which results in worse cardiovascular outcomes¹⁹. Given the reversibility of trastuzumab-induced left ventricular dysfunction, a more lenient cut-off of ejection fraction <40% to withhold therapy has been proposed. There is considerable evidence that strain is strongly predictive of trastuzumab-associated cardiotoxicity and is even more specific when combined with elevated troponin²⁰. A monitoring regimen of troponin measurement at baseline and every 3 weeks with echocardiography with strain every 3 months has been suggested⁶. When left ventricular dysfunction or HF does develop, institution of targeted therapy based on the guidelines discussed above is recommended without substantial evidence in this population.

Immune checkpoint inhibitors

By allowing the immune system to upregulate its activity against malignant cells, immune checkpoint inhibitors have proven to be highly effective cancer drugs. Cardiotoxicity, which can include arrhythmias, heart block, and myocarditis, is due to autoimmune activity against normal myocardium²¹. Although the true incidence is unknown, it has been increasingly recognized and reported, especially when combination therapy is used²².

The gold standard of diagnosis remains myocardial biopsy, although the development of heart block, ventricular arrhythmias, left ventricular dysfunction, or clinical HF after the initiation of immune checkpoint inhibitors is generally considered sufficient to initiate treatment. Additional diagnostic studies, especially cardiac magnetic resonance imaging to identify myocarditis, have been suggested. There are no established guidelines and there is very little evidence to guide the management of cardiotoxicity when it occurs. Based on the autoimmune mechanism of toxicity, immunosuppressive agents are recommended. High-dose corticosteroids have been used with some success and when insufficient alternative immunosuppressive regimens have been utilized, including mycophenolate mofetil and tumor

necrosis factor-alpha antagonists^{23–25}. These regimens come from more established management of other autoimmune toxicities with immune checkpoint inhibitors including hepatitis, pneumonitis, colitis, and endocrinopathies. Therapy may be withheld in the acute setting, but resumption should be considered if ventricular dysfunction resolves.

There are no clear guidelines for prevention or monitoring, and a deeper understanding of the pathophysiology is needed before recommendations can be established. Physicians must be alert to this important complication, and further research and establishment of guidelines is mandatory.

Vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitors

Several malignancies, including renal cell carcinoma and hepatocellular carcinoma, can be treated with VEGF inhibitors. Their use can be limited by both left ventricular dysfunction and, more commonly, hypertension. Although the majority of cardiovascular complications are not fatal, they are associated with significant morbidity and can impact the ability to continue potentially lifesaving therapy²⁶. For this reason, early recognition and management are essential.

Approximately a quarter of patients experience asymptomatic decline in left ventricular function, while symptomatic HF is seen in 4–8%^{27,28}. Hypertension, however, has been reported in nearly half of patients with varying clinical significance depending on the baseline blood pressure and comorbid conditions^{27,29,30}. In the most recent meta-analysis of 77 studies, severe hypertension is noted in 7.4% of patients, arterial thromboembolism in 1.8%, myocardial ischemia in 1.7%, and ventricular dysfunction in 2.3%³¹.

Hypertension secondary to kinase modification can be marked and result in significant downstream effects on the cardiovascular system. Even without end organ damage, poorly controlled blood pressure often results in the discontinuation of essential anti-neoplastic therapy^{32,33}. Diagnosed based on simple ambulatory blood pressure screenings, hypertension occurring within the first month after treatment initiation is likely to be secondary to therapy. While there are many antihypertensive medications that can be used, ACE inhibitors are considered first line owing to favorable hemodynamic and anti-proteinuric effects and evidence of improved mortality³⁴. When other agents are necessary, attention should be paid to potential drug–drug interactions given the effects of VEGF inhibitors, especially sorafenib, or the cytochrome P450 system. Although hypertension in this setting can have significant consequences, providers should resist unnecessary discontinuation because an increase in blood pressure may be a sign of efficacy of VEGF inhibitor therapy³⁵.

Patients with pre-existing left ventricular dysfunction or coronary artery disease must be monitored closely and treated with the same strategies discussed for anthracyclines and HER2/ErbB2 inhibitors²⁷. The mechanism of HF, however, is generally felt to be secondary to hypertension and increased afterload in vulnerable patients. For that reason, the main focus is

on the prevention and treatment of severe hypertension³⁶. Other cardiovascular toxicities that have been noted in association with VEGF inhibitors include venous and arterial thrombosis, coronary artery disease, acute coronary syndromes, and conduction abnormalities⁴. These complications should be managed according to their respective current guidelines with additional consideration for cessation or modification of therapy.

Conclusion

The field of cardio-oncology has rapidly expanded, but there remains significant room for growth in research and clinical practice. This two-part series highlights only a subset of the agents capable of causing profound cardiovascular toxicities, and many complications of cancer and cancer therapy were not discussed, including pericardial disease, myocardial ischemia, and

QT prolongation. Numerous questions are unanswered about the mechanism of toxicities and the optimal screening and management strategies. Given the tremendous complexity of impacted patients, close communication and collaboration between oncologists and cardiologists is mandatory at all stages of therapy. Oncologists must know when to turn to cardiologists for assistance in risk stratification and management of toxicities when they develop. Critically, cardiologists need to recognize that their role is to facilitate the delivery of lifesaving cancer therapy through optimization and management of toxicity.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

References

- Markman TM, Markman M: **Cardio-Oncology: mechanisms of cardiovascular toxicity [version 1; referees: 2 approved]**. *F1000Res*. 2018; 7: 113.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Von Hoff DD, Layard MW, Basa P, *et al.*: **Risk factors for doxorubicin-induced congestive heart failure**. *Ann Intern Med*. 1979; 91(5): 710–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Swain SM, Whaley FS, Ewer MS: **Congestive heart failure in patients treated with doxorubicin: a retrospective analysis of three trials**. *Cancer*. 2003; 97(11): 2869–79.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Chang HM, Moudgil R, Scarabelli T, *et al.*: **Cardiovascular Complications of Cancer Therapy: Best Practices in Diagnosis, Prevention, and Management: Part 1**. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017; 70(20): 2536–51.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
- Cardinale D, Colombo A, Lamantia G, *et al.*: **Anthracycline-induced cardiomyopathy: clinical relevance and response to pharmacologic therapy**. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010; 55(3): 213–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Plana JC, Galderisi M, Barac A, *et al.*: **Expert consensus for multimodality imaging evaluation of adult patients during and after cancer therapy: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging**. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2014; 15(10): 1063–93.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Curigliano G, Cardinale D, Suter T, *et al.*: **Cardiovascular toxicity induced by chemotherapy, targeted agents and radiotherapy: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines**. *Ann Oncol*. 2012; 23 Suppl 7: vii155–66.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Fallah-Rad N, Walker JR, Wassef A, *et al.*: **The utility of cardiac biomarkers, tissue velocity and strain imaging, and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in predicting early left ventricular dysfunction in patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor II-positive breast cancer treated with adjuvant trastuzumab therapy**. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011; 57(22): 2263–70.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Markman TM, Ruble K, Loeb D, *et al.*: **Electrophysiological effects of anthracyclines in adult survivors of pediatric malignancy**. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2017; 64(11): e26556.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- van Dalen EC, van der Pal HJ, Kremer LC: **Different dosage schedules for reducing cardiotoxicity in people with cancer receiving anthracycline chemotherapy**. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016; 3: CD005008.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
- Smith DH, Adams JR, Johnston SR, *et al.*: **A comparative economic analysis of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin versus topotecan in ovarian cancer in the USA and the UK**. *Ann Oncol*. 2002; 13(10): 1590–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- van Dalen EC, Caron HN, Dickinson HO, *et al.*: **Cardioprotective interventions for cancer patients receiving anthracyclines**. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2008; (2): CD003917.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Schuchter LM, Hensley ML, Meropol NJ, *et al.*: **2002 update of recommendations for the use of chemotherapy and radiotherapy protectants: clinical practice guidelines of the American Society of Clinical Oncology**. *J Clin Oncol*. 2002; 20(12): 2895–903.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Tebbi CK, London WB, Friedman D, *et al.*: **Dexrazoxane-associated risk for acute myeloid leukemia/myelodysplastic syndrome and other secondary malignancies in pediatric Hodgkin's disease**. *J Clin Oncol*. 2007; 25(5): 493–500.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, *et al.*: **2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines**. *Circulation*. 2013; 128(16): 1810–52.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Bosch X, Rovira M, Sitges M, *et al.*: **Enalapril and carvedilol for preventing chemotherapy-induced left ventricular systolic dysfunction in patients with malignant hemopathies: the OVERCOME trial (preventiOn of left Ventricular dysfunction with Enalapril and caRvedilol in patients submitted to intensive ChemOtherapy for the treatment of Malignant hEmopathies)**. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013; 61(23): 2355–62.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Gulati G, Heck SL, Ree AH, *et al.*: **Prevention of cardiac dysfunction during adjuvant breast cancer therapy (PRADA): a 2 × 2 factorial, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind clinical trial of candesartan and metoprolol**. *Eur Heart J*. 2016; 37(21): 1671–80.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
- Chicco AJ, Hydock DS, Schneider CM, *et al.*: **Low-intensity exercise training during doxorubicin treatment protects against cardiotoxicity**. *J Appl Physiol*. 2006; 100(2): 519–27.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Seidman A, Hudis C, Pierri MK, *et al.*: **Cardiac dysfunction in the trastuzumab clinical trials experience**. *J Clin Oncol*. 2002; 20(5): 1215–21.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Sawaya H, Sebag IA, Plana JC, *et al.*: **Assessment of echocardiography and biomarkers for the extended prediction of cardiotoxicity in patients treated with anthracyclines, taxanes, and trastuzumab**. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2012; 5(5): 596–603.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Abdel-Wahab N, Shah M, Suarez-Almazor ME: **Adverse Events Associated with Immune Checkpoint Blockade in Patients with Cancer: A Systematic Review of Case Reports**. *PLoS One*. 2016; 11(7): e0160221.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
- Johnson DB, Balko JM, Compton ML, *et al.*: **Fulminant Myocarditis with Combination Immune Checkpoint Blockade**. *N Engl J Med*. 2016; 375(18): 1749–55.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
- Mahmood SS, Fradley MG, Cohen JV, *et al.*: **Myocarditis in Patients Treated With Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors**. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018; 71(6): 1755–64.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**

24. Villadolid J, Amin A: **Immune checkpoint inhibitors in clinical practice: update on management of immune-related toxicities.** *Transl Lung Cancer Res.* 2015; 4(5): 560–75.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
25. Spain L, Diem S, Larkin J: **Management of toxicities of immune checkpoint inhibitors.** *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2016; 44: 51–60.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
26. Touyz RM, Herrmann J: **Cardiotoxicity with vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitor therapy.** *NPJ Precis Oncol.* 2018; 2: 13.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
27. Chu TF, Rupnick MA, Kerkela R, *et al.*: **Cardiotoxicity associated with tyrosine kinase inhibitor sunitinib.** *Lancet.* 2007; 370(9604): 2011–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
28. Richards CJ, Je Y, Schutz FA, *et al.*: **Incidence and risk of congestive heart failure in patients with renal and nonrenal cell carcinoma treated with sunitinib.** *J Clin Oncol.* 2011; 29(25): 3450–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
29. Zhu X, Stergiopoulos K, Wu S: **Risk of hypertension and renal dysfunction with an angiogenesis inhibitor sunitinib: systematic review and meta-analysis.** *Acta Oncol.* 2009; 48(1): 9–17.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
30. Wu S, Chen JJ, Kudelka A, *et al.*: **Incidence and risk of hypertension with sorafenib in patients with cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis.** *Lancet Oncol.* 2008; 9(2): 117–23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
31. Abdel-Qadir H, Ethier JL, Lee DS, *et al.*: **Cardiovascular toxicity of angiogenesis inhibitors in treatment of malignancy: A systematic review and meta-analysis.** *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2017; 53: 120–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
32. Rhee EP, Clish CB, Pierce KA, *et al.*: **Metabolomics of renal venous plasma from individuals with unilateral renal artery stenosis and essential hypertension.** *J Hypertens.* 2015; 33(4): 836–42.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
33. Chang HM, Okwuosa TM, Scarabelli T, *et al.*: **Cardiovascular Complications of Cancer Therapy: Best Practices in Diagnosis, Prevention, and Management: Part 2.** *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2017; 70(20): 2552–65.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
34. Izzedine H, Derosa L, Le Teuff G, *et al.*: **Hypertension and angiotensin system inhibitors: impact on outcome in sunitinib-treated patients for metastatic renal cell carcinoma.** *Ann Oncol.* 2015; 26(6): 1128–33.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
35. Maitland ML, Bakris GL, Black HR, *et al.*: **Initial assessment, surveillance, and management of blood pressure in patients receiving vascular endothelial growth factor signaling pathway inhibitors.** *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2010; 102(9): 596–604.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
36. Chen MH, Kerkela R, Force T: **Mechanisms of cardiac dysfunction associated with tyrosine kinase inhibitor cancer therapeutics.** *Circulation.* 2008; 118(1): 84–95.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

The reviewers who approved this article are:

Version 1

1. **Guilherme H. Oliveira**

Cardio-oncology Program, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, OH, USA

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

2. **Joerg Herrmann**

Division of Cardiovascular Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research