REVIEW

Recent advances in the use of imaging in psychiatry: functional magnetic resonance imaging of large-scale brain networks in late-life depression [version 1; peer review: 3 approved]

Kevin Manning , Lihong Wang, David Steffens

Department of Psychiatry, University of Connecticut Health Center, Farmington, CT, USA

V1 **First published:** 06 Aug 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):1366
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17399.1>
Latest published: 06 Aug 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):1366
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17399.1>

Abstract

Advances in neuroimaging have identified neural systems that contribute to clinical symptoms that occur across various psychiatric disorders. This transdiagnostic approach to understanding psychiatric illnesses may serve as a precise guide to identifying disease mechanisms and informing successful treatments. While this work is ongoing across multiple psychiatric disorders, in this article we emphasize recent findings pertaining to major depression in the elderly, or late-life depression (LLD), a common and debilitating neuropsychiatric illness. We discuss how neural functioning of three networks is linked to symptom presentation, illness course, and cognitive decline in LLD. These networks are (1) an executive control network responsible for complex cognitive processing, (2) a default mode network normally deactivated during cognitive demanding when individuals are at rest, and a (3) salience network relevant to attending to internal and external emotional and physiological sensations. We discuss how dysfunction in multiple networks contributes to common behavioral syndromes, and we present an overview of the cognitive control, default mode, and salience networks observed in LLD.

Keywords

neuroimaging, neuroscience, major depression, late-life depression, fMRI, executive control network, default mode network, salience network

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3
version 1
06 Aug 2019			

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

1. **Mathieu Vandenbulcke**, University Psychiatric Center KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
2. **Yufeng Zang**, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, China
3. **Martin Walter**, Freidrich Schiller University, Jena, Germany

Any comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Kevin Manning (manning@uchc.edu)

Author roles: **Manning K:** Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Wang L:** Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Steffens D:** Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2019 Manning K *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Manning K, Wang L and Steffens D. **Recent advances in the use of imaging in psychiatry: functional magnetic resonance imaging of large-scale brain networks in late-life depression [version 1; peer review: 3 approved]** F1000Research 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):1366 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17399.1>

First published: 06 Aug 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):1366 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17399.1>

Introduction

The practice of psychiatry has traditionally used a categorical approach to diagnosing psychiatric disorders. That is, in accord with the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (DSM), a discrete psychiatric disorder is diagnosed when the patient's behavioral signs and symptoms exceed a binary diagnostic rule or cut-point. For example, a patient meets criteria for a DSM-V diagnosis of major depression when at least five of nine symptoms are present for two weeks or more and at least one of the symptoms is depressed mood or loss of interest/pleasure. However, the categorical approach is limited when we consider the arbitrary nature of diagnostic cut-points and the multi-determined origins of behavior^{1,2}. Thus, diagnoses based only on presenting symptoms may be quite varied in regard to the underlying pathophysiology^{2,3}. Major depression in the elderly, for example, has a multitude of possible psychosocial or biological etiologies (or both), including decreased social support, endocrine abnormalities, inflammatory processes, vascular disease, and neurodegenerative illnesses^{4,5}.

Novel dimensional conceptualizations of psychiatric illnesses have emerged to address the heterogeneity of traditional categorical diagnostic approaches. Thanks to advances in neuroimaging techniques, the fields of cognitive and affective neuroscience have helped identify neural systems that contribute to ubiquitous clinical symptoms across categorical psychiatric disorders⁶⁻⁹. This transdiagnostic approach to understanding psychiatric illnesses may serve as a precise guide to identifying disease mechanisms. However, continued research is needed to better understand transdiagnostic neurobehavioral systems. While this work is ongoing across multiple psychiatric disorders, we emphasize recent findings pertaining to major depression in older adults or late-life depression (LLD) in this article. We do so because LLD not only is common and is associated with detrimental clinical outcomes such as dementia¹⁰, disability¹¹, and mortality¹² but also is challenging to treat. Thus, better understanding of the correlation between brain and behavior in LLD may inform understanding of the illness and identify new treatment targets. Below, we begin by providing an overview of the clinical significance of LLD. We then describe recent advances in the clinical correlates of brain-based networks in LLD.

Clinical significance of late-life depression

LLD is a common and debilitating psychiatric disorder. Four percent of older women and three percent of older men have a current diagnosis of LLD⁵, and LLD increases the risk of dementia¹⁰, disability¹¹, and mortality¹². The risk of dementia is substantial in that LLD is associated with a four- to six-fold increase in the probability that Alzheimer's disease or vascular dementia will be diagnosed¹⁰. The detrimental consequences associated with LLD highlight the importance of facilitating timely diagnosis and adequate treatment. Yet, over 50% of patients do not respond to initial pharmacological treatment, and only one third of older patients who receive cognitive behavioral therapy or an antidepressant achieve remission from depression¹³. Thus, novel depression treatments that can augment pharmacotherapy or be used independently in older adults with LLD are urgently needed. Neurobiologically informed treatment targets may

hold promise in potentially altering the course of cognitive and affective symptoms in LLD as well as other psychiatric illnesses.

Major neural networks relevant to neuropsychiatry

Neuroimaging has helped elucidate the brain regions and functions associated with psychiatric illnesses. Connectivity analyses from functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) have been especially helpful. Functional connectivity (FC) refers to the phenomenon in which brain regions are activated and deactivated at the same time in the service of specific brain functions. A behavior or a clinical symptom typically involves synchronization of many brain regions in a network-based fashion. Evidence has identified three prominent functional networks in geriatric depression and other psychiatric illnesses¹⁴⁻¹⁶: (1) An executive control network (ECN), a functionally linked system made up of dorsolateral prefrontal, medial frontal, and lateral parietal cortices¹⁷, is involved in complex cognition, particularly in executive control¹⁸. (2) A default mode network (DMN), consisting of the medial prefrontal cortex, posterior cingulate cortex (PCC), inferior parietal cortex, and hippocampus, is normally deactivated during complex cognitive processing and active during internal mentation. (3) A salience/emotional processing network (SN), in which the right anterior insula and dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) are the primary hubs, assesses the significance of a stimulus and, together with amygdala activity, assigns emotional and motivational value to the stimulus.

Understanding FC abnormalities between brain regions or networks can help clarify clinical symptoms associated with neural substrates and identify potential treatment targets in LLD and prove useful in monitoring cognitive decline. In general, pronounced symptoms of major depression in the elderly, such as executive dysfunction, rumination, apathy, and negativity bias, are associated with decreased activity in the ECN and hyperactivity in the SN and DMN^{15,16}. For example, (1) decreased FC within the ECN is related to worse executive function (EF)^{15,19} and worse cognitive reappraisal ability²⁰ or cognitive control over emotion²¹; (2) increased FC within limbic regions, namely the DMN and SN, is associated with greater depression severity^{16,22,23}; (3) depression remitters exhibit increased FC within the ECN and decreased FC within DMN while non-remitters show no such changes^{15,24}; (4) increased positive FC in the ECN-SN is related to worse EF and apathy in LLD^{16,25}. Thus, interventions that enhance the efficiency and processing of the ECN and SN-DMN may be especially useful in the treatment of LLD.

Network functioning in late-life depression

No single brain region is exclusively responsible for the heterogeneous symptoms of LLD. Instead, major depressive disorder likely arises when genetic and psychosocial predispositions drive structural and functional changes in multiple neural systems. Below, we have organized the subheadings of this review according to individual neural networks for ease of interpretation. Yet, as elaborated on below, it is clear that brain networks work in tandem to account for the diverse symptoms of LLD and other neuropsychiatric illnesses. Furthermore, although the primary emphasis of this review is on fMRI, multiple brain imaging

techniques are increasingly used to understand the inner workings of disease states. The literature relevant to LLD and cognitive aging is noted below.

The executive control network

Disruption of the ECN is prominent in LLD^{15,26}. Clinically, disruption of the ECN results in executive dysfunction, including susceptibility to distraction, an inability to sustain attention, poor multi-tasking, organizational difficulties, and concrete or rigid thinking²⁷. Executive dysfunction is common in LLD. About 30 to 40% of non-demented older adults with LLD demonstrate impairments in EFs on neuropsychological examination²⁸. Depressed older adults often perform poorly on tests of word-list generation, cognitive flexibility and problem solving, planning, and susceptibility to interference or distraction²⁹ and performance on these tests correlates with brain abnormalities in prefrontal, medial frontal, and parietal cortices³⁰. Impaired executive control also predicts a poor illness course in LLD³¹. Deficits in word-list generation and response inhibition predict poor and slow antidepressant response, relapse, and greater levels of functional disability^{32–34}.

Depression and increasing age appear to exacerbate dysfunction of the ECN in LLD. Rao *et al.*³⁵ compared the effects of age and illness on cognitive processing by contrasting network activity in LLD (age of 65 or more) to age-matched non-depressed controls and young adults with major depression (age range of 18 to 33). During a cognitive control task within MRI, older adults with LLD exhibited increased activity in several ECN regions, as well as areas outside the ECN, compared with both age-matched controls and younger depression subjects. These findings suggested that areas of increased neural activation were due not to age or depression alone but to a combination of the two. Thus, Rao *et al.*³⁵ propose that ECN dysfunction in LLD might represent a type of accelerated aging process. This is consistent with the notion that depression precedes neuro-degeneration and cognitive decline or places older adults at increased risk of those conditions. Also in keeping with this idea is the fact that although both younger and older adults with major depression exhibit greater cognitive impairments compared with their respective non-depressed age-matched peers, the magnitude of impairment is typically greater in the older adults³⁶.

Functional neuroimaging findings illustrate the role of the ECN in LLD. Using a seed-based correlation approach to explore resting-state FC (rsFC), Gandelman *et al.*³⁷ recently found that depression severity in 79 older adults with LLD was positively correlated with FC between the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) and other bilateral frontal regions (including the dACC). Furthermore, *greater* left DLPFC-dACC FC was associated with worse cognitive performance in LLD subjects, particularly in memory. Although these findings are consistent with a prior study by Alexopoulos *et al.*¹⁵ documenting an association between ECN connectivity and executive dysfunction in LLD, findings from that study revealed that *decreased* FC of the ECN was associated with worse cognitive performance. Moreover, compared with remitters, non-remitters in the

study by Alexopoulos *et al.* demonstrated decreased FC at baseline between key ECN regions, including the bilateral DLPFC, dACC, and inferior parietal cortices¹⁵. Therefore, the association between worse cognitive performance and *greater* left DLPFC-dACC FC might initially appear counterintuitive. To help explain these findings, Gandelman *et al.* posit an interesting hypothesis whereby a bias toward negatively valenced stimuli may direct (increased) attention away from cognitive tasks at hand (thus also resulting in an increase in the FC of the ECN)³⁷. This novel hypothesis remains to be tested. Apparent FC irregularities in LLD may also simply reflect alternative ways in which patients process information. For example, Weisenbach *et al.* found that older adults with LLD exhibited *greater* activation in the left inferior frontal gyrus of the ECN during a word-list learning task when compared with non-depressed older adults, although there were no task behavioral differences between groups³⁸.

Non-pharmacological attempts to rehabilitate executive dysfunction and the ECN have become more common in older adults with or without depression. Computerized cognitive remediation is a treatment that has been used to improve cognition in the elderly. It is a behavioral intervention that uses controlled repetitive learning to improve cognitive functioning. A meta-analysis of 51 randomized controlled trials of computerized cognitive remediation in cognitively normal elderly patients indicates that cognitive training programs have a modest effect on global cognitive functioning ($d = 0.22$)³⁹. However, cognitive training seems to work best in non-demented elderly patients with some degree of cognitive impairment, which is why it is especially promising to older adults with major depression. For example, a meta-analysis of 17 randomized controlled trials of computerized cognitive remediation in mild cognitive impairment found a moderate effect on multiple cognitive domains ($g = 0.35$), including EF and memory⁴⁰, and the largest treatment-related cognitive gains in a small sample of LLD patients followed 4 to 6 weeks were found in those with the worst EF prior to treatment⁴¹.

Evidence suggests that computerized cognitive remediation improves EF and depression symptoms in LLD as an augment to pharmacotherapy. Remediation practices may also modify FC. Morimoto *et al.*⁴² tested computerized cognitive remediation in a small sample of LLD patients who were acutely depressed despite being on a long-standing variety of antidepressants and contrasted their depression and cognitive outcomes with those of 33 historical control patients who received escitalopram. Findings revealed that 30 training hours completed over 4 to 6 weeks improved response inhibition and cognitive flexibility and resulted in greater change in depression symptoms when compared with patients who received escitalopram. Questions remain regarding this intervention for older adults with major depression. The long-term effect of computerized cognitive training on depression and cognition has not been studied in LLD, and it is unclear whether the training can delay dementia risk. The impact of training on neural network functioning in LLD is also ambiguous, although studies have been conducted with non-depressed

older adults. Specifically, cognitive training in a sample of 23 non-depressed older adults resulted in increased rsFC within the ECN and decreased rsFC within the SN; notably, changes correlated with improved cognition one year later⁴³.

The default mode network

The DMN was originally described by Shulman *et al.*⁴⁴ and subsequently Raichle *et al.*⁴⁵, who observed correlated brain activity among certain brain regions during neuroimaging. These brain regions, now generally considered the ventral and dorsal medial prefrontal cortices, PCC/retrosplenial cortex, inferior parietal lobule, lateral temporal cortex, and hippocampal formation, increased metabolic activity during rest and decreased activity when engaged in cognitively demanding behavior (that is, that behavior associated with the ECN). Further investigation has found that DMN activity normally increases not during rest per se but during internal processes, such as perspective taking (for example, self-referential thinking and theory of mind) and in thinking about the past (for example, autobiographical memory) or the future⁴⁶.

Default mode activity is thought to be negatively correlated with activity within the ECN. The need to reduce the internal processes of the brain in order to focus on the (external) task at hand might be reflected by a reduction of DMN activity during effortful cognitive processing⁴⁷. Failure to reduce DMN activity might be a sign of an inability to quiet or inhibit internal mentation or emotional processing⁴⁸. This happens during both routine and complex activities. For example, Sheline *et al.*⁴⁸ found that depressed patients were less likely than non-depressed controls to decrease activity within the DMN when simply viewing negative pictures. Moreover, the DMN regions of the anterior cingulate and ventromedial prefrontal cortex failed to decrease in activity when depressed patients (but not non-depressed controls) attempted to reappraise (that is, think more positively about) negative pictures. Increased DMN activity is therefore associated with an inability to regulate emotions in major depression. Furthermore, the strength of the FC correlation between “top-down” brain control regions (for example, ECN) and “bottom-up” DMN/SN emotional centers is related to greater depression severity in LLD^{16,25}.

Hyperactivity of the DMN may help drive the co-occurrence of anxiety in LLD. It was found that, when completing an MRI-based test of cognitive control, older adults with LLD and high anxiety, compared with older adults with LLD alone, experienced greater activation in the anterior and posterior regions of the DMN⁴⁹. One hypothesis is that DMN over-activity in anxious depression during cognitive demanding tasks could represent internal mentation interference (for example, rumination)⁴⁹, consistent with evidence that greater anxiety in older adults is associated with decreased connectivity between anterior and posterior hubs of the DMN⁵⁰. Alternatively, increased activation in posterior brain regions in anxious depression might represent heightened alertness to a threat in the environment²².

Activity changes within the DMN occur with age and appear to precede cognitive decline. Lower baseline rsFC in the ECN,

DMN, and SN is associated with cognitive decline in healthy elderly patients, even when structural volume loss is controlled for⁵¹. Older adults with mild cognitive impairment, a clinical risk state for dementia, show lower FC in the PCC and medial temporal regions of the DMN, brain regions implicated in memory⁵². In patients with actual dementia (Alzheimer's disease), decreased activation within the DMN during rest and tasks is observed^{53,54}, and some authors have found that reduced deactivation is related to increased amyloid burden, a presumptive biological marker of Alzheimer's disease^{55,56}. Notably, activation patterns within the DMN vary by Alzheimer's disease stage. Findings from patients with early Alzheimer's disease revealed hyperconnectivity in anterior medial frontal DMN regions and hypoconnectivity in posterior DMN (for example, PCC). Connectivity in both anterior and posterior DMN eventually decreases as dementia progresses⁵⁷. Some have speculated that anterior DMN over-activity in young adulthood occurs in the context of a physiological process that predisposes individuals to dementia in later life⁵⁸. This is clinically relevant to LLD, where increased DMN FC with the striatum (a reward center) is observed in patients who fail to respond to antidepressants when compared with patient responders⁵⁹. Furthermore, it has been found that DMN over-activity persists in some LLD patients compared with non-depressed controls even following patient remission from acute-state depression⁶⁰. Thus, DMN hyper-activity following depression treatment may be an indicator of later cognitive decline in LLD. This association between DMN over-activity and cognitive decline in LLD remains to be explored.

Besides FC, other fMRI analytic methods may help distinguish abnormal brain activity in LLD and mild cognitive impairment/pre-dementia states. Functional segregation (as opposed to FC-based functional integration) methods like regional homogeneity and amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation (ALFF) may be particularly helpful in deciphering the exact network node origin of abnormality. For example, compared with never-depressed older adults, older adults with LLD showed significantly increased ALFF in the middle temporal cortex, insula, fusiform gyrus, and cerebellum and significantly reduced ALFF in the inferior parietal cortex, mid-cingulate, and PCC/precuneus²³. Notably, in a coordinate-based meta-analysis of resting-state fMRI, the patients with amnesic mild cognitive impairment also show decreased ALFF in the PCC cortex⁶¹. More research is needed to understand the biological overlap among patients with LLD and pre-dementia states.

The salience network

The SN is important in the relationship of emotion to visceral function, allocation of attention, and orchestrating networks upon stimulus presentation⁶². The right anterior insula is one of the primary SN nodes⁶³. Insula and amygdala activation can represent the value of emotional stimuli presented to the individual¹⁷. The SN has prominent connections to other limbic areas and several subcortical structures (for example, amygdala and basal ganglia). The SN is a bottom-up processor that recruits other large-scale networks when responding to the salient stimulus^{17,62}. For example, when attempting complex

cognitive processing, the SN is thought to disengage the DMN and engage the ECN⁶⁴. Thus, at rest and in the absence of internal and external stimuli, FC between the SN and the DMN and ECN should typically be negatively correlated. Greater dissociations between the ECN and the SN correlate with better cognitive task performance⁶⁵, consistent with the ability to focus attention and ignore internal (for example, rumination) and external (for example, negative stimuli) distraction. This standard pattern of connectivity is disrupted in major depression⁶⁶. Older adults with LLD exhibit decreased negative FC between the ECN and the SN when compared with non-depressed controls⁶⁷. Furthermore, reduced negative FC between the ECN and the SN in LLD correlates with worse cognition⁶⁷, greater depression severity⁶⁷, and worse treatment response to antidepressants⁶⁸. Wang *et al.*²⁵ contrasted correlation patterns among the major neural networks in patients with LLD and non-depressed age-matched controls. Of note, the ECN and SN were correlated with an affective/default mode network, but this association was observed in non-depressed elderly patients only. No significant correlation was observed among elderly depressed subjects. These findings could represent a failure of inter-network cohesiveness in LLD.

Dysfunction to the SN is correlated with vegetative symptoms in LLD. Findings from one study found that, relative to LLD patients without apathy, LLD patients with high apathy had decreased rsFC of the right anterior insula with the right ACC, basal ganglia, and bilateral posterior parietal cortex¹⁶. Findings from that study also revealed increased FC of the right insula to the right PCC in older adults with LLD and high apathy when compared with non-apathetic depressed elderly patients¹⁶. Elsewhere, volumetric analyses reveal that older adults with major depression who exhibit prominent melancholia and vegetative symptoms have lower basal ganglia volumes compared with LLD patients without prominent melancholia and vegetative symptoms⁶⁹. Overall, one interpretation of these findings is that increased FC between SN and DMN may predispose individuals to depression, core features of which may include increased apathy and difficulty in engaging in cognitively demanding tasks while ignoring irrelevant, negative stimuli¹⁶.

Increasing evidence also points to the importance of the SN in pre-dementia states. Increased within-SN FC has been found in cognitively normal individuals with elevated amyloid levels^{56,70}, those carrying the apolipoprotein e4 risk allele for Alzheimer's disease⁷¹, patients with mild cognitive impairment⁷², and individuals with clinically defined Alzheimer's disease⁷³. Similar to the DMN FC, SN FC changes as a consequence of disease progression. Schultz *et al.*⁷⁴ recently conducted a study of 91 older adults who underwent resting-state fMRI and both amyloid (C Pittsburg compound B) and tau (AV1451) positron emission tomography (PET) imaging. Notably, increased connectivity in both the SN and DMN was associated with elevated amyloid imaging among participants with little evidence of tau. However, as both tau and amyloid levels increased, patients experienced decreased connectivity in the SN and DMN. These findings highlight the point that connectivity

changes in preclinical dementia are not limited to the DMN. Given that depression severity is correlated with both DMN and SN functioning in LLD^{16,22,23}, severe depression may signal an intrinsic network dysfunction that heralds the clinical manifestation of cognitive impairment or the onset of eventual cognitive decline.

Conclusions

Neural functions contribute to the complex symptom presentation observed in LLD. Above, we described the large-scale neural networks that contribute to clinical symptoms in LLD. A growing literature indicates that decreased activity in the ECN and hyperactivity in the SN and DMN are associated with some of the most prominent symptoms in LLD (for example, executive dysfunction, anxiety, and apathy). Furthermore, recent evidence suggests that resting-state network activity can distinguish several neural endophenotypes of major depression with distinct clinical symptom profiles. Drysdale *et al.*⁷⁶ reported that varying patterns of abnormal FC differentiated four neurophysiological subtypes in middle-aged patients with major depression. Two subtypes demonstrated greatly reduced FC in DMN and SN, and two subtypes demonstrated reduced FC in ECN and DMN regions. Patients with reduced DMN-SN FC exhibited more vegetative symptoms, whereas patients who exhibited reduced ECN-DMN connectivity had greater anxiety. Although similar analyses have yet to be conducted with LLD, Li *et al.* found that different symptoms correlated with distinct inter-network connectivity patterns determined within independent components analysis⁶⁷.

The traditional clinical diagnostic entity of major depression very likely represents several diseases and distinct syndromes. It is plausible that at least some of the contradictory fMRI findings in the literature on LLD or major depressive disorder (for example, studies reporting divergent FC of the same networks) occur as a result of patient cohorts being recruited and lumped together as one disorder based upon traditional descriptive diagnostic systems. This underlies the importance of disentangling the neuroanatomical substrates of clinical symptoms in LLD. If the studies mentioned above are used as a foundation, neurobiologically informed subtypes of LLD may soon serve as precise guides to identifying disease mechanisms. Furthermore, together with other imaging modalities (for example, amyloid PET), fMRI may be especially useful in clarifying the overlap between LLD and neurodegenerative disorders.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Acknowledgments

We wish to acknowledge the thoughtful and helpful comments of the three reviewers. The National Institutes of Health or any sponsors of the studies mentioned here had no role in the preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

References

1. Lilienfeld SO, Treadway MT: **Clashing Diagnostic Approaches: DSM-ICD Versus RDoC.** *Annu Rev Clin Psychol.* 2016; **12**: 435–63.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
2. Cuthbert BN: **The RDoC framework: facilitating transition from ICD/DSM to dimensional approaches that integrate neuroscience and psychopathology.** *World Psychiatry.* 2014; **13**(1): 28–35.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
3. Lilienfeld SO: **The Research Domain Criteria (RDoC): an analysis of methodological and conceptual challenges.** *Behav Res Ther.* 2014; **62**: 129–39.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Taylor WD, Aizenstein HJ, Alexopoulos GS: **The vascular depression hypothesis: mechanisms linking vascular disease with depression.** *Mol Psychiatry.* 2013; **18**(9): 963–74.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
5. Aziz R, Steffens DC: **What are the causes of late-life depression?** *Psychiatr Clin North Am.* 2013; **36**(4): 497–516.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
6. Morris SE, Cuthbert BN: **Research Domain Criteria: cognitive systems, neural circuits, and dimensions of behavior.** *Dialogues Clin Neurosci.* 2012; **14**(1): 29–37.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
7. Kozak MJ, Cuthbert BN: **The NIMH Research Domain Criteria Initiative: Background, Issues, and Pragmatics.** *Psychophysiology.* 2016; **53**(3): 286–97.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
8. Insel TR: **The NIMH experimental medicine initiative.** *World Psychiatry.* 2015; **14**(2): 151–3.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
9. Insel TR, Landis SC, Collins FS: **Research priorities. The NIH BRAIN Initiative.** *Science.* 2013; **340**(6133): 687–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
10. Diniz BS, Butters MA, Albert SM, *et al.*: **Late-life depression and risk of vascular dementia and Alzheimer's disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of community-based cohort studies.** *Br J Psychiatry.* 2013; **202**(5): 329–35.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
11. Hybels CF, Pieper CF, Payne ME, *et al.*: **Late-life Depression Modifies the Association Between Cerebral White Matter Hyperintensities and Functional Decline Among Older Adults.** *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2016; **24**(1): 42–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
12. Schulz R, Beach SR, Ives DG, *et al.*: **Association between depression and mortality in older adults: the Cardiovascular Health Study.** *Arch Intern Med.* 2000; **160**(12): 1761–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
13. Kok RM, Reynolds CF 3rd: **Management of Depression in Older Adults: A Review.** *JAMA.* 2017; **317**(20): 2114–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
14. Mulders PC, van Eijndhoven PF, Schene AH, *et al.*: **Resting-state functional connectivity in major depressive disorder: A review.** *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2015; **56**: 330–44.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
15. Alexopoulos GS, Hoptman MJ, Kanellopoulos D, *et al.*: **Functional connectivity in the cognitive control network and the default mode network in late-life depression.** *J Affect Disord.* 2012; **139**(1): 56–65.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
16. Yuen GS, Gunning-Dixon FM, Hoptman MJ, *et al.*: **The salience network in the apathy of late-life depression.** *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2014; **29**(11): 1116–24.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
17. Seeley WW, Menon V, Schatzberg AF, *et al.*: **Dissociable intrinsic connectivity networks for salience processing and executive control.** *J Neurosci.* 2007; **27**(9): 2349–56.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
18. Cole MW, Schneider W: **The cognitive control network: Integrated cortical regions with dissociable functions.** *Neuroimage.* 2007; **37**(1): 343–60.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
19. Aizenstein HJ, Butters MA, Wu M, *et al.*: **Altered functioning of the executive control circuit in late-life depression: episodic and persistent phenomena.** *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2009; **17**(1): 30–42.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
20. Zilverstand A, Parvaz MA, Goldstein RZ: **Neuroimaging cognitive reappraisal in clinical populations to define neural targets for enhancing emotion regulation. A systematic review.** *Neuroimage.* 2017; **151**: 105–16.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
21. John OP, Gross JJ: **Healthy and unhealthy emotion regulation: personality processes, individual differences, and life span development.** *J Pers.* 2004; **72**(6): 1301–33.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
22. Andreescu C, Wu M, Butters MA, *et al.*: **The default mode network in late-life anxious depression.** *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2011; **19**(11): 980–3.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
23. Steffens DC, Wang L, Manning KJ, *et al.*: **Negative Affectivity, Aging, and Depression: Results From the Neurobiology of Late-Life Depression (NBOLD) Study.** *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2017; **25**(10): 1135–49.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
24. Karim HT, Andreescu C, Tudorascu D, *et al.*: **Intrinsic functional connectivity in late-life depression: trajectories over the course of pharmacotherapy in remitters and non-remitters.** *Mol Psychiatry.* 2017; **22**(3): 450–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
25. Wang L, Chou YH, Potter GG, *et al.*: **Altered Synchronizations among Neural Networks in Geriatric Depression.** *Biomed Res Int.* 2015; **2015**: 343720.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
26. Alexopoulos GS, Manning K, Kanellopoulos D, *et al.*: **Cognitive control, reward-related decision making and outcomes of late-life depression treated with an antidepressant.** *Psychol Med.* 2015; **45**(14): 3111–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
27. Manning KJ, Alexopoulos GS, McGovern AR, *et al.*: **Executive Functioning in Late-Life Depression.** *Psychiatric Annals.* 2014; **44**(3): 143–6.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
28. Lockwood KA, Alexopoulos GS, van Gorp WG: **Executive dysfunction in geriatric depression.** *Am J Psychiatry.* 2002; **159**(7): 1119–26.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
29. Lim J, Oh IK, Han C, *et al.*: **Sensitivity of cognitive tests in four cognitive domains in discriminating MDD patients from healthy controls: a meta-analysis.** *Int Psychogeriatr.* 2013; **25**(9): 1543–57.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
30. Murphy CF, Gunning-Dixon FM, Hoptman MJ, *et al.*: **White-matter integrity predicts stroop performance in patients with geriatric depression.** *Biol Psychiatry.* 2007; **61**(8): 1007–10.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
31. Morimoto SS, Kanellopoulos D, Manning KJ, *et al.*: **Diagnosis and treatment of depression and cognitive impairment in late life.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2015; **1345**: 36–46.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
32. Morimoto SS, Gunning FM, Kanellopoulos D, *et al.*: **Semantic organizational strategy predicts verbal memory and remission rate of geriatric depression.** *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2012; **27**(5): 506–12.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
33. Morimoto SS, Gunning FM, Murphy CF, *et al.*: **Executive function and short-term remission of geriatric depression: the role of semantic strategy.** *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2011; **19**(2): 115–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
34. Potter GG, Kittinger JD, Wagner HR, *et al.*: **Prefrontal neuropsychological predictors of treatment remission in late-life depression.** *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2004; **29**(12): 2266–71.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
35. Rao JA, Kassel MT, Weldon AL, *et al.*: **The double burden of age and major depressive disorder on the cognitive control network.** *Psychol Aging.* 2015; **30**(2): 475–85.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
36. Thomas AJ, Gallagher P, Robinson LJ, *et al.*: **A comparison of neurocognitive impairment in younger and older adults with major depression.** *Psychol Med.* 2009; **39**(5): 725–33.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
37. Gandelman JA, Albert K, Boyd BD, *et al.*: **Intrinsic Functional Network Connectivity Is Associated With Clinical Symptoms and Cognition in Late-Life Depression.** *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging.* 2019; **4**(2): 160–70.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
38. Weisenbach SL, Kassel MT, Rao J, *et al.*: **Differential prefrontal and subcortical circuitry engagement during encoding of semantically related words in patients with late-life depression.** *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2014; **29**(11): 1104–15.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
39. Lampit A, Hallock H, Valenzuela M, *et al.*: **Computerized cognitive training in cognitively healthy older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of effect modifiers.** *PLoS Med.* 2014; **11**(11): e1001756.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
40. Hill NT, Mowszowski L, Naismith SL, *et al.*: **Computerized Cognitive Training in Older Adults With Mild Cognitive Impairment or Dementia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.** *Am J Psychiatry.* 2017; **174**(4): 329–40.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
41. Morimoto SS, Gunning FM, Wexler BE, *et al.*: **Executive Dysfunction Predicts Treatment Response to Neuroplasticity-Based Computerized Cognitive Remediation (nCCR-GD) in Elderly Patients with Major Depression.** *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2016; **24**(10): 816–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
42. Morimoto SS, Wexler BE, Liu J, *et al.*: **Neuroplasticity-based computerized cognitive remediation for treatment-resistant geriatric depression.** *Nat Commun.* 2014; **5**: 4579.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

43. **F** Cao W, Cao X, Hou C, *et al.*: **Effects of Cognitive Training on Resting-State Functional Connectivity of Default Mode, Salience, and Central Executive Networks.** *Front Aging Neurosci.* 2016; 8: 70.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
44. Shulman GL, Fiez JA, Corbetta M, *et al.*: **Common Blood Flow Changes across Visual Tasks: II. Decreases in Cerebral Cortex.** *J Cogn Neurosci.* 1997; 9(5): 648–63.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
45. Raichle ME, MacLeod AM, Snyder AZ, *et al.*: **A default mode of brain function.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2001; 98(2): 676–82.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
46. Buckner RL, Andrews-Hanna JR, Schacter DL: **The brain's default network: anatomy, function, and relevance to disease.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2008; 1124(1): 1–38.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
47. Raichle ME: **The brain's default mode network.** *Annu Rev Neurosci.* 2015; 38: 433–47.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
48. Sheline YI, Barch DM, Price JL, *et al.*: **The default mode network and self-referential processes in depression.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2009; 106(6): 1942–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
49. Andreescu C, Butters M, Lenze EJ, *et al.*: **fMRI activation in late-life anxious depression: a potential biomarker.** *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2009; 24(8): 820–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
50. Andreescu C, Sheu LK, Tudorascu D, *et al.*: **The ages of anxiety—differences across the lifespan in the default mode network functional connectivity in generalized anxiety disorder.** *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2014; 29(7): 704–12.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
51. **F** Buckley RF, Schultz AP, Hedden T, *et al.*: **Functional network integrity presages cognitive decline in preclinical Alzheimer disease.** *Neurology.* 2017; 89(1): 29–37.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
52. **F** Wang C, Pan Y, Liu Y, *et al.*: **Aberrant default mode network in amnesic mild cognitive impairment: a meta-analysis of independent component analysis studies.** *Neurol Sci.* 2018; 39(5): 919–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
53. **F** Celone KA, Calhoun VD, Dickerson BC, *et al.*: **Alterations in memory networks in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: an independent component analysis.** *J Neurosci.* 2006; 26(40): 10222–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
54. **F** Greicius MD, Srivastava G, Reiss AL, *et al.*: **Default-mode network activity distinguishes Alzheimer's disease from healthy aging: evidence from functional MRI.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2004; 101(13): 4637–42.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
55. **F** Sheline YI, Raichle ME, Snyder AZ, *et al.*: **Amyloid plaques disrupt resting state default mode network connectivity in cognitively normal elderly.** *Biol Psychiatry.* 2010; 67(6): 584–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
56. **F** Elman JA, Madison CM, Baker SL, *et al.*: **Effects of Beta-Amyloid on Resting State Functional Connectivity Within and Between Networks Reflect Known Patterns of Regional Vulnerability.** *Cereb Cortex.* 2016; 26(2): 695–707.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
57. Damoiseaux JS, Prater KE, Miller BL, *et al.*: **Functional connectivity tracks clinical deterioration in Alzheimer's disease.** *Neurobiol Aging.* 2012; 33(4): 828.e19–828.e30.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
58. Buckner RL, Snyder AZ, Shannon BJ: **Molecular, structural, and functional characterization of Alzheimer's disease: evidence for a relationship between default activity, amyloid, and memory.** *J Neurosci.* 2005; 25(34): 7709–17.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
59. Andreescu C, Tudorascu DL, Butters MA, *et al.*: **Resting state functional connectivity and treatment response in late-life depression.** *Psychiatry Res.* 2013; 214(3): 313–21.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
60. **F** Chen J, Shu H, Wang Z, *et al.*: **Convergent and divergent intranetwork and internetwork connectivity patterns in patients with remitted late-life depression and amnesic mild cognitive impairment.** *Cortex.* 2016; 83: 194–211.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
61. **F** Pan P, Zhu L, Yu T, *et al.*: **Aberrant spontaneous low-frequency brain activity in amnesic mild cognitive impairment: A meta-analysis of resting-state fMRI studies.** *Ageing Res Rev.* 2017; 35: 12–21.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
62. Menon V, Uddin LQ: **Saliency, switching, attention and control: a network model of insula function.** *Brain Struct Funct.* 2010; 214(4–6): 655–67.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
63. Downar J, Crawley AP, Mikulis DJ, *et al.*: **A multimodal cortical network for the detection of changes in the sensory environment.** *Nat Neurosci.* 2000; 3(3): 277–83.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
64. **F** Chand GB, Wu J, Hajjar I, *et al.*: **Interactions of the Salience Network and its Subsystems with the Default-Mode and the Central-Executive Networks in Normal Aging and Mild Cognitive Impairment.** *Brain Connect.* 2017; 7(7): 401–12.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
65. Elton A, Gao W: **Divergent task-dependent functional connectivity of executive control and salience networks.** *Cortex.* 2014; 51: 56–66.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
66. Kaiser RH, Andrews-Hanna JR, Wager TD, *et al.*: **Large-Scale Network Dysfunction in Major Depressive Disorder: A Meta-analysis of Resting-State Functional Connectivity.** *JAMA Psychiatry.* 2015; 72(6): 603–11.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
67. **F** Li W, Wang Y, Ward BD, *et al.*: **Intrinsic inter-network brain dysfunction correlates with symptom dimensions in late-life depression.** *J Psychiatr Res.* 2017; 87: 71–80.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
68. Steffens DC, Wang L, Pearson GD: **Functional connectivity predictors of acute depression treatment outcome.** *Int Psychogeriatr.* 2019; 1–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
69. Sachs-Ericsson NJ, Hajcak G, Sheffler JL, *et al.*: **Putamen Volume Differences Among Older Adults: Depression Status, Melancholia, and Age.** *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol.* 2018; 31(1): 39–49.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
70. **F** Fredericks CA, Sturm VE, Brown JA, *et al.*: **Early affective changes and increased connectivity in preclinical Alzheimer's disease.** *Alzheimers Dement (Amst).* 2018; 10: 471–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
71. **F** Machulda MM, Jones DT, Vemuri P, *et al.*: **Effect of APOE ϵ 4 status on intrinsic network connectivity in cognitively normal elderly subjects.** *Arch Neurol.* 2011; 68(9): 1131–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
72. He X, Qin W, Liu Y, *et al.*: **Abnormal salience network in normal aging and in amnesic mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease.** *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2014; 35(7): 3446–64.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
73. **F** Balthazar ML, Pereira FR, Lopes TM, *et al.*: **Neuropsychiatric symptoms in Alzheimer's disease are related to functional connectivity alterations in the salience network.** *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2014; 35(4): 1237–46.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
74. **F** Schultz AP, Chhatwal JP, Hedden T, *et al.*: **Phases of Hyperconnectivity and Hypoconnectivity in the Default Mode and Salience Networks Track with Amyloid and Tau in Clinically Normal Individuals.** *J Neurosci.* 2017; 37(16): 4323–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
75. Seeley WW: **Divergent network connectivity changes in healthy APOE ϵ 4 carriers: disinhibition or compensation?** *Arch Neurol.* 2011; 68(9): 1107–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
76. **F** Drysdale AT, Grosenick L, Downar J, *et al.*: **Resting-state connectivity biomarkers define neurophysiological subtypes of depression.** *Nat Med.* 2017; 23(1): 28–38.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

The reviewers who approved this article are:

Version 1

1. **Martin Walter**

Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Freidrich Schiller University, Jena, Germany

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

2. **Yufeng Zang**

Center for Cognition and Brain Disorders, Institutes of Psychological Sciences, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, China

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

3. **Mathieu Vandenbulcke**

Department of Geriatric Psychiatry, University Psychiatric Center KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research