SOFTWARE TOOL ARTICLE

REVISED Biobtree: A tool to search and map bioinformatics identifiers and special keywords [version 4; peer review: 2 approved]

Previously titled: Biobtree: A tool to search, map and visualize bioinformatics identifiers and special keywords

Tamer Gur

European Bioinformatics Institute, Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Hinxton, CB10 1SD Cambridge, UK

V4 First published: 04 Feb 2019, 8:145
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17927.1>
 Second version: 16 Sep 2019, 8:145
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17927.2>
 Third version: 07 Jan 2020, 8:145
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17927.3>
 Latest published: 20 Jan 2020, 8:145
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17927.4>

Abstract

Biobtree is a bioinformatics tool to search and map bioinformatics datasets via identifiers or special keywords such as species name. It processes large bioinformatics datasets using a specialized MapReduce-based solution with optimum computational and storage resource usage. It provides uniform and B+ tree-based database output, a web interface, web services and allows performing chain mapping queries between datasets. It can be used via a single executable file or alternatively it can be used via the R or Python-based wrapper packages which are additionally provided for easier integration into existing pipelines. Biobtree is open source and available at [GitHub](#).

Keywords

bioinformatics, identifiers, search, mapping, visualization

This article is included in the **Bioinformatics gateway**.

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 4 (revision) 20 Jan 2020		 view
version 3 (revision) 07 Jan 2020	 view	 view
version 2 (revision) 16 Sep 2019	 view	 view
version 1 04 Feb 2019	 view	 view

1. **Maxim N. Shokhirev** , Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, USA

2. **Samuel Lampa** , Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
 Savantic AB, Stockholm, Sweden

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

This article is included in the **EMBL-EBI** collection.

Corresponding author: Tamer Gur (tgur@ebi.ac.uk)

Author roles: Gur T: Conceptualization, Software, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2020 Gur T. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Gur T. **Biobtree: A tool to search and map bioinformatics identifiers and special keywords [version 4; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2020, 8:145 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17927.4>

First published: 04 Feb 2019, 8:145 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17927.1>

REVISED Amendments from Version 3

Article has been revised to fix English language issues.

Any further responses from the reviewers can be found at the end of the article

Introduction

Mapping bioinformatics datasets through a web interface or programmatically via identifiers or special keywords and attributes such as gene name, gene location, protein accessions and species name is a common need during genomics research. These mappings play an essential role in molecular data integration (Huang *et al.*, 2011) and allow the gathering of maximum biological insight (Mudunuri *et al.*, 2009) for these diverse bioinformatics datasets.

There are several existing tools for these mapping needs; these tools are gene-centric, protein-centric or can provide both gene- and protein-centric solutions. One of the common gene-centric tools is BioMart (Zhang *et al.*, 2011)-based tools such as Ensembl BioMarts (Kinsella *et al.*, 2011) which covers Ensembl (Zerbino *et al.*, 2018) and Ensembl Genomes (Kersey *et al.*, 2018) datasets. The R programming language package biomaRt (Durinck *et al.*, 2009) is also widely used via performing queries with BioMart-based tools. Other common gene-centric tools are MyGene.info (Xin *et al.*, 2016), DAVID (Huang *et al.*, 2009) and g:Profiler (Raudvere *et al.*, 2019). Uniprot ID mapping service (Huang *et al.*, 2011) provides a protein-centric solution. bioDBnet (Mudunuri *et al.*, 2009) and BridgeDb (van Iersel *et al.*, 2010) provide services for both gene- and protein-centric solutions.

On the other hand, genomics data size is increasing continuously (Langmead & Nellore, 2018) especially via high throughput sequencing, so performing these mappings on these expanding data sizes in local computers, cloud computing or existing computing environments in a rapid and effective way via tools with easy installation and requiring minimum maintenance is a challenge (Marx, 2013).

The referenced existing gene-centric tools currently do not support large Ensembl Bacteria genomes. Existing tools either provide only online services or require specific technical knowledge such as a particular database or specific programming language to install, use and adapt to different computational environments such as a local computer. Another limitation of the referenced tools is that they provide one-dimensional filtering capability in a single mapping query.

Biobtree addresses these problems of existing tools. First, it can be used via a single executable file without requiring re-compilation or extra maintenance such as database administration. Alternatively, it can be used via the R or Python-based wrapper packages which have been provided to allow for easier integration into existing pipelines. To process large datasets, it uses a specialized MapReduce-based solution which is discussed in the next section. MapReduce is an effective way to deal with large datasets (Langmead & Nellore, 2018). After processing data, Biobtree provides a web interface, web services and chain mapping and filtering query capability in a single query with its intuitive query syntax which is demonstrated in the use cases section. Biobtree covers a range of bioinformatics datasets including Ensembl Bacteria genomes. The data resources currently used are ChEBI (Hastings *et al.*, 2016), HGNC (Braschi *et al.*, 2019), HMDB (Wishart *et al.*, 2018), InterPro (Mitchell *et al.*, 2019), Europe PMC (Europe PMC Consortium, 2015), UniProt (UniProt Consortium, 2019), ChEMBL (Gaulton *et al.*, 2017), Gene Ontology (The Gene Ontology Consortium, 2019), EFO (Malone *et al.*, 2010), ECO (Giglio *et al.*, 2019), Ensembl (Zerbino *et al.*, 2018) and Ensembl Genomes (Kersey *et al.*, 2018). Table 1 shows details of these datasets.

Methods

Implementation

The Biobtree implementation process starts by retrieving selected datasets as shown in Table 1 and retrieving data entries belonging to these datasets with their attributes and mapping information from their public locations, which are also shown in Table 1. During this data retrieval, the whole of the data do not get stored and uncompressed on the disk, instead data are retrieved and uncompressed in a streaming manner in the memory, which allows avoiding excessive disk space usage. Necessary data, which are these mapping and attributes, are compactly stored as chunks on the disk. During these data retrievals, all the idle CPU cores have been utilized to merge and sort these

Table 1. List of datasets.

Dataset	Description	Location	Format
ChEBI	ChEBI reference accession data	ftp.ebi.ac.uk/chebi/Flat_file_tab_delimited/	TSV
HGNC	Human gene nomenclature	ftp.ebi.ac.uk/genenames/new/json/	JSON
HMDB	Human metabolome database	http://www.hmdb.ca/system/downloads/current/	XML
InterPro	Protein Families	ftp://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/interpro/current	XML
Literature mappings	Literature pmid, pmcid and doi mappings	ftp://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/pmc/DOI/	CSV
Taxonomy	NCBI Taxonomy	ftp://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/taxonomy/	XML
Uniparc	UniProt Sequence Archive	ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/uniprot/current_release/uniparc/	XML
UniProt reviewed	UniProt Knowledgebase reviewed	ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/uniprot/current_release/knowledgebase/complete/	XML
UniProt unreviewed	UniProt Knowledgebase unreviewed	ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/uniprot/current_release/knowledgebase/complete/	XML
Uniref50	UniProt sequence clusters	ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/uniprot/current_release/uniref50/	XML
Uniref90	UniProt sequence clusters	ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/uniprot/current_release/uniref90/	XML
Uniref100	UniProt sequence clusters	ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/uniprot/current_release/uniref100/	XML
GO	Gene Ontology	http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/go.owl	RDF/XML
ECO	The Evidence & Conclusion Ontology	http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/eco.owl	RDF/XML
EFO	Experimental Factor Ontology	http://www.ebi.ac.uk/efo/efo.owl	RDF/XML
ChEMBL	Chemical database of bioactive molecules	ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/chembl/ChEMBL-RDF/latest/	RDF/XML
Ensembl	Ensembl	ftp.ensembl.org/pub/current_json/ ftp.ensembl.org/pub/current_mysql/ ftp.ensembl.org/pub/current_gff3/	JSON, CSV, GFF3
Ensembl Genomes Metazoa	Ensembl Genomes Metazoa	ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/current/metazoa/json/ ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/current/metazoa/mysql/ ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/current/metazoa/gff3/	JSON, CSV, GFF3
Ensembl Genomes Plants	Ensembl Genomes Plants	ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/current/plants/json/ ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/current/plants/mysql/ ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/current/plants/gff3/	JSON, CSV, GFF3
Ensembl Genomes Fungi	Ensembl Genomes Fungi	ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/current/fungi/json/ ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/current/fungi/mysql/ ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/current/fungi/gff3/	JSON, CSV, GFF3
Ensembl Genomes Protists	Ensembl Genomes Protists	ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/current/protists/json/ ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/current/protists/mysql/ ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/current/protists/gff3/	JSON, CSV, GFF3
Ensembl Genomes Bacteria	Ensembl Genomes Bacteria	ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/current/bacteria/json/ ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/current/bacteria/gff3/	JSON, GFF3

chunks recursively with each other. It is essential that the produced files are sorted to make fast batch inserts to the **LMDB** database which Biobtree uses as a database to store its result data. Once the data retrieval process is completed, result chunk files are globally merged using the patience sort technique and inserted into the LMDB database as keys and values. Keys consist of identifiers and special keywords such as gene names or species name, and values are attributes such as genomic coordinates and mapped identifiers. In these processes, data retrieval and creation of sorted chunks represent the map phase, global merge of the chunks and database creation represent the reduce phase of the MapReduce solution. Once the database is created, the Biobtree web module provides a web interface and web services to perform both searching for identifiers and mapping queries. Mapping queries has been done with a query syntax which allows chains of mapping and filtering between datasets. An example use case with this syntax is demonstrated in the next section. Biobtree uses a B+ tree data structure-based LMDB key-value store. LMDB provides fast batch inserts and reads which fits the bioinformatics datasets update cycle well where datasets are often updated periodically, and then only intensive read operations are performed. LMDB is embedded into Biobtree's executable binary code so it does not require a separate installation or special maintenance.

Use cases

The Biobtree web interface can be used primarily for exploration purposes and web services related to integrating genomic analysis pipelines via the Biobtree executable file which is available at GitHub. However, use of the Biobtree executable requires some learning of Biobtree usage and also, in relation to integrating into pipelines written in the various different languages, requires some extra coding effort.

In order to address the above situations, R and Python based wrapper packages BiobtreeR and BiobtreePy have been provided. R and Python are commonly used in genomic analysis (Russell *et al.*, 2018). Both the BiobtreeR and BiobtreePy packages provide very similar functionalities and allow Biobtree to be used seamlessly within pipelines written in these languages.

The BiobtreeR package is provided via Bioconductor (Huber *et al.*, 2015) and meets the build, test and quality standards stipulated for a Bioconductor package.

Another usability feature aimed at easier integration with existing pipelines, which was suggested in the course of the BiobtreeR package review process and has been implemented, is that of providing built-in Biobtree databases for commonly studied datasets and organism genomes. This feature is intended to speed up the data build and update processes related to common datasets and organism genomes and includes example use cases via the web interface. These latter serve the purpose of familiarizing users with the Biobtree and its query syntax.

The following three use cases are demonstrated using BiobtreeR and involve its installation instructions. The first two use cases employ built-in databases, but in the last use case data is built from genomes belonging to specific taxonomy identifiers which are not included in the built-in databases.

BiobtreeR installation

```
# install package
if (!requireNamespace("BiocManager", quietly = TRUE))
  install.packages("BiocManager")
BiocManager::install("tamerh/biobtreeR")

# import package
library(biobtreeR)

# set a output directory for biobtree files
bbUseOutDir('set directory path')

# retrieve built-in biobtree database for commonly studied dataset and organism
genomes
bbBuiltInDB()

# start biobtree server
bbStart()
```

usecase-1 Map Affymetrix identifiers to Ensembl human genome identifiers and then map these to the molecular function type GO terms

```
# Given comma seperated Affymetrix identifiers maps to GO identifiers
bbMapping("202763_at,209310_s_at", 'map(transcript).map(ensembl).map(go).
filter(go.type=="molecular_function")', source = "affy_hg_u133_plus_2", attrs =
"name")

# query results
##          input      input_dataset mapping_id
## 1    202763_AT affy_hg_u133_plus_2 GO:0002020
## 2          -          - GO:0004190
## 3          -          - GO:0004197
## 4          -          - GO:0004861
## 5          -          - GO:0005123
## 6          -          - GO:0005515
## 7          -          - GO:0008233
## 8          -          - GO:0008234
## 9          -          - GO:0016005
## 10         -          - GO:0016787
## 11         -          - GO:0044877
## 12         -          - GO:0097153
## 13         -          - GO:0097199
## 14         -          - GO:0097200
## 15 209310_S_AT affy_hg_u133_plus_2 GO:0004197
## 16         -          - GO:0005515
## 17         -          - GO:0008233
## 18         -          - GO:0008234
## 19         -          - GO:0016787
## 20         -          - GO:0050700
## 21         -          - GO:0097199
##
##                                     name
## 1                                     protease binding
## 2                   aspartic-type endopeptidase activity
## 3                   cysteine-type endopeptidase activity
## 4       cyclin-dependent protein serine/threonine kinase inhibitor activity
## 5                                     death receptor binding
## 6                                     protein binding
## 7                                     peptidase activity
## 8                   cysteine-type peptidase activity
## 9                   phospholipase A2 activator activity
## 10                                    hydrolase activity
## 11                   protein-containing complex binding
## 12       cysteine-type endopeptidase activity involved in apoptotic process
## 13       cysteine-type endopeptidase activity involved in apoptotic signaling pathway
## 14       cysteine-type endopeptidase activity involved in execution phase of apoptosis
## 15                   cysteine-type endopeptidase activity
## 16                                    protein binding
## 17                                    peptidase activity
## 18                   cysteine-type peptidase activity
## 19                                    hydrolase activity
## 20                                    CARD domain binding
## 21       cysteine-type endopeptidase activity involved in apoptotic signaling pathway
```

usecase-2 Map human Ensembl identifiers with given genome location to the reviewed Uniprot identifiers

```
# 'homo sapiens' refers to identifier of 9606 in taxonomy
# built-in 'within' genomic range function in the query which
# equivalents to ensembl.start>100000000 && ensembl.end< 101000000
bbMapping('homo sapiens','map(ensembl).filter(ensembl.within(100000000,101000000)
&& ensembl.seq_region=="X").map(uniprot).filter(uniprot.reviewed)',attrs =
"names[1]")

# query results
##   mapping_id                               names[1]
## 1      O43657                               Tetraspanin-6
## 2      Q9H2S6                               Tenomodulin
## 3      Q9Y5S8                               NADPH oxidase 1
## 4      P33240      Cleavage stimulation factor subunit 2
## 5      O60687      Sushi repeat-containing protein SRPX2
## 6      Q96C24      Synaptotagmin-like protein 4
## 7      Q8TAB3                               Protocadherin-19
## 8      Q5H913      ADP-ribosylation factor-like protein 13A
## 9      Q6PP77                               XK-related protein 2
```

usecase-3 Map all taxonomic children of given bacteria and then map these children to Ensembl with given genome location and contains a given word

```
# this use case requires new data build
# stop running server
# clean output directory or set new one to keep both data
bbStop()

# build data with specific bacteria genomes
bbBuildCustomDB(taxonomyIDs = "595,984254,465517,1249525")

# start server with new data
bbStart()

# taxonomy identifier 59201 is used instead of full name 'Salmonella enterica
subsp. enterica'
bbMapping("59201",'map(taxchild).map(ensembl).filter(ensembl.
start<10000&&ensembl.description.contains("SopD"))',attrs="strand,start,end")

# query results
##   mapping_id strand start  end
## 1  ACH54_23895      + 2525 3484
## 2  ACH56_04205      -   27  986
## 3  AEW14_05145      - 3410 4369
## 4  AEW14_15935      -    1   89
## 5  DE27_21250      + 8885 9967
## 6  DE87_06330      + 7839 8921
## 7  LPMST02_21800     + 8983 10065
```

Discussion

A mapping between bioinformatics datasets via identifiers or special keywords such as species names is often performed during genomic analyses and plays an essential role in molecular data integration and getting maximum biological insight from these datasets. There are several gene-centric, protein-centric and both protein- and gene-centric tools for addressing these mapping needs. These tools currently do not support the large Ensembl Genomes Bacteria dataset. In addition, these tools provide either only online services or require specific technical knowledge to install and adapt to new computing environments. Existing tools also provide one-dimensional filtering in a single mapping query. Biobtree addresses these problems by managing a tool with a single executable file

or alternatively additionally provided R or Python based wrapper packages and processing large datasets with its specialized MapReduce-based solution. Based on processed data, it creates a uniform database and allows searching identifiers and chain mappings and filtering queries.

Data availability

All data underlying the results are available as part of the article and no additional source data are required.

Software availability

All source codes and binaries available at: <https://www.github.com/tamerh/biobtree>.

Archived source code at time of publication: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2547047>

License: BSD 3-Clause “New” or “Revised” license.

References

- Braschi B, Denny P, Gray K, *et al.*: **Genenames.org: the HGNC and VGNC resources in 2019.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019; 47(D1): D786–D792.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Durinck S, Spellman PT, Birney E, *et al.*: **Mapping identifiers for the integration of genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt.** *Nat Protoc.* 2009; 4(8): 1184–1191.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Europe PMC Consortium: **Europe PMC: a full-text literature database for the life sciences and platform for innovation.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2015; 43(Database issue): D1042–D1048.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Gaulton A, Hersey A, Nowotka M, *et al.*: **The ChEMBL database in 2017.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2017; 45(D1): D945–D954.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Giglio M, Tauber R, Nadendla S, *et al.*: **ECO, the Evidence & Conclusion Ontology: community standard for evidence information.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019; 47(D1): D1186–D1194.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Hastings J, Owen G, Dekker A, *et al.*: **ChEBI in 2016: Improved services and an expanding collection of metabolites.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016; 44(D1): D1214–D1219.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Huang da W, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA: **Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources.** *Nat Protoc.* 2009; 4(1): 44–57.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Huang H, McGarvey PB, Suzek BE, *et al.*: **A comprehensive protein-centric ID mapping service for molecular data integration.** *Bioinformatics.* 2011; 27(8): 1190–1191.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Huber W, Carey VJ, Gentleman R, *et al.*: **Orchestrating high-throughput genomic analysis with Bioconductor.** *Nat Methods.* 2015; 12(2): 115–21.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Kersey PJ, Allen JE, Allot A, *et al.*: **Ensembl Genomes 2018: an integrated omics infrastructure for non-vertebrate species.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018; 46(D1): D802–D808.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Kinsella RJ, Kähäri A, Haider S, *et al.*: **Ensembl BioMart: a hub for data retrieval across taxonomic space.** *Database (Oxford).* 2011; 2011: bar030.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Langmead B, Nellore A: **Cloud computing for genomic data analysis and collaboration.** *Nat Rev Genet.* 2018; 19(4): 208–219.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Malone J, Holloway E, Adamusiak T, *et al.*: **Modeling sample variables with an Experimental Factor Ontology.** *Bioinformatics.* 2010; 26(8): 1112–1118.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Marx V: **Biology: The big challenges of big data.** *Nature.* 2013; 498(7453): 255–260.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Mitchell AL, Attwood TK, Babbitt PC, *et al.*: **InterPro in 2019: improving coverage, classification and access to protein sequence annotations.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019; 47(D1): D351–D360.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Mudunuri U, Che A, Yi M, *et al.*: **bioDBnet: the biological database network.** *Bioinformatics.* 2009; 25(4): 555–556.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Raudvere U, Kolberg L, Kuzmin I, *et al.*: **g:Profiler: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and conversions of gene lists (2019 update).** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019; 47(W1): W191–W198.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Russell PH, Johnson RL, Ananthan S, *et al.*: **A large-scale analysis of bioinformatics code on GitHub.** *PLoS One.* 2018; 13(10): e0205898.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- The Gene Ontology Consortium: **The Gene Ontology Resource: 20 years and still GOing strong.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019; 47(D1): D330–D338.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- The UniProt Consortium: **UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019; 47(D1): D506–D515.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- van Iersel MP, Pico AR, Kelder T, *et al.*: **The BridgeDb framework: standardized access to gene, protein and metabolite identifier mapping services.** *BMC Bioinformatics.* 2010; 11: 5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Wishart DS, Feunang YD, Marcu A, *et al.*: **HMDB 4.0: the human metabolome database for 2018.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018; 46(D1): D608–17.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Xin J, Mark A, Afrasiabi C, *et al.*: **High-performance web services for querying gene and variant annotation.** *Genome Biol.* 2016; 17(1): 91.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Zerbino DR, Achuthan P, Akanni W, *et al.*: **Ensembl 2018.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018; 46(D1): D754–D761.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Zhang J, Haider S, Baran J, *et al.*: **BioMart: a data federation framework for large collaborative projects.** *Database (Oxford).* 2011; 2011: bar038.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 4

Reviewer Report 21 January 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.24382.r58843>

© 2020 Lampa S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Samuel Lampa

¹ Department of Pharmaceutical Biosciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

² Savantic AB, Stockholm, Sweden

I hereby again confirm my approval status. Great work!

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Scientific workflow tools, Cheminformatics, Semantic web

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 3

Reviewer Report 15 January 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.24176.r58289>

© 2020 Shokhirev M. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Maxim N. Shokhirev

¹ Razavi Newman Integrative Genomics and Bioinformatics Core, Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, CA, USA

² Razavi Newman Integrative Genomics and Bioinformatics Core, Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, CA, USA

The author has made additional efforts to improve the installation and use of the tool. I am happy to accept it and hope the author will continue to improve and maintain it over time.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Bioinformatics

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 16 Jan 2020

Tamer Gur

Thank you for your review and suggestions to make the article accepted. I have submitted a new version of the article for the English language issues. And yes I will maintain and improve the tool. Especially maintenance is necessary for the Bioconductor package. I will also add major new features if I get requests from users.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 13 January 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.24176.r58288>

© 2020 Lampa S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Samuel Lampa

¹ Department of Pharmaceutical Biosciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

² Savantic AB, Stockholm, Sweden

³ Department of Pharmaceutical Biosciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

⁴ Savantic AB, Stockholm, Sweden

I hereby confirm my approval status of this article, while suggesting to fix the following language issues:

Introduction:

- "performing these mapping" --> "performing these mappings".
- "provide only online service" --> "provide only online services".
- "all the idle CPUs" --> "all the idle CPU cores".
(This is also to be more technically correct, as few computers these days have multiple CPUs, while most of them have CPUs with multiple cores).

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Scientific workflow tools, Cheminformatics, Semantic web

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 16 Jan 2020

Tamer Gur

Thank you again for your review and suggestions to make the article accepted.
I have submitted the new version for the English language issues.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Version 2

Reviewer Report 21 October 2019

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.22620.r53983>

© 2019 Lampa S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Samuel Lampa

¹ Department of Pharmaceutical Biosciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

² Savantic AB, Stockholm, Sweden

³ Department of Pharmaceutical Biosciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

⁴ Savantic AB, Stockholm, Sweden

⁵ Department of Pharmaceutical Biosciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

⁶ Savantic AB, Stockholm, Sweden

The revised article is now much improved, with a solid introduction explaining the problem area and previous research, use cases, and with the title amended to better reflect the functionality of the tool. I think it can now be approved, with the following minor suggestions for language fixes:

Abstract

web interface -> a web interface

Introduction

P. 3: Biobtree address -> Biobtree addresses

Methods

I think "disc" should be "disk" for hard drive disks.
as key and values -> as keys and values

"... and values are attributes and mapped datasets information".

This is a somewhat unclear sentence. I.e, does it mean "mapped datasets' information" (genitive), or "information about mapped datasets"? I suggest slightly clarifying it.

Use cases

"... third input is dataset to" -> "... third input is a dataset to"

"in different dataset" -> "different datasets" or "a different dataset"

"with same value" -> "with the same value"

Future work

"In addition, following and experimenting with the advancements in large data processing techniques, databases and data structures fields to improve the tool further".

This sentence seems incomplete. I.e, what is stated about this activity? Something that is planned to be done, or "could be done in general"?

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Scientific workflow tools, Cheminformatics, Semantic web

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 22 Oct 2019

Tamer Gur

Thank you very much for taking time to review the article and for all the comments and suggestions. I will address your new minor suggestions in the next version together with demonstrating the use cases with R package.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 27 September 2019

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.22620.r53984>

© 2019 Shokhirev M. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Maxim N. Shokhirev

¹ Razavi Newman Integrative Genomics and Bioinformatics Core, Salk Institute for Biological

Studies, La Jolla, CA, USA

² Razavi Newman Integrative Genomics and Bioinformatics Core, Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, CA, USA

³ Razavi Newman Integrative Genomics and Bioinformatics Core, Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, CA, USA

The author now includes references to previously published annotation tools and services such as BioMart and MyGene.info and points out several limitations of the extant tools that are addressed with Biobtree. In addition, the author now includes an online portal for exploring data with a structured query language along with a few examples of specific use cases and a table of databases implemented.

Given the improvements, the work can now be beneficial for biologists interested in mapping and annotation of specific genes or terms, which can serve as an alternative to already established annotation databases and services.

However, there are still several limitations to this work. First, supposedly one of the main advantages of Biobtree is that it can be run as a standalone executable tool. This is great in theory but there doesn't seem to be any executables in the referenced repository or an explanation of how to install the Biobtree in various environments. The manuscript goes into some detail about the underlying algorithms and datastructures, but there is no explanation of what is required for you to install the program or get it working in either the manuscript or the repository. Therefore, without documentation or description the only way to use the tool currently is through the online portal. The second major limitation is that while there are three use-cases described, there is no documentation provided for how one would build arbitrary queries. A manual or easier to use interface is needed before the full power of the tool can be leveraged. Finally, I couldn't find a way to download the results from the online portal. There is often hundreds of pages of results but it seems there is no way to obtain a table of the results for use in downstream tools or analyses. Given the fact that there is no description of how to install the tool or which prerequisites are needed, and the fact that you can't download the results from the online portal, this tool is currently only useful for manual exploration of the mappings and not suitable for inclusion in bioinformatics pipelines. Since it seems the author intended for the latter, instructions for how to install the tool, a detailed description of the API or commands, and a way to save the output to a table of some kind is still needed. I look forward to seeing the revised manuscript.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Bioinformatics

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 15 April 2019

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.19605.r46335>

© 2019 Lampa S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Samuel Lampa

¹ Department of Pharmaceutical Biosciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

² Savantic AB, Stockholm, Sweden

³ Department of Pharmaceutical Biosciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

⁴ Savantic AB, Stockholm, Sweden

⁵ Department of Pharmaceutical Biosciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

⁶ Savantic AB, Stockholm, Sweden

⁷ Department of Pharmaceutical Biosciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

⁸ Savantic AB, Stockholm, Sweden

The article describes a commandline tool, Biobtree, that is claimed to allow to process relations between bioinformatics datasets based on various characteristics such as identifiers and keywords.

The manuscript describes the tool in a clear way technically, making it quite clear what it does in technical terms, and how it is supposed to be used.

Also, I was able to install and run the tool in a simple way on my laptop (i5 CPU, 8GB RAM and 10-15 GB free hard drive, Xubuntu 16.04 64 bit) without problems. It provides a simple but good looking and easy to use web interface.

I'm seeing at least two major issues with the tool and manuscript though, that needs being thoroughly addressed to make them acceptable.

Main problem 1: Visualization?

Firstly, the title claims that the tool does visualization of the database produced by the tool. Perhaps I'm missing something, but I have not found any visualization in the tool apart from a form of search hit result listings. I don't think this is enough to be called "visualization". Especially as it is unclear how the current form of output is supposed to be used in a concrete biological usecase. With the current wording, I would expect something more graphical, like a graphviz-like graph view of dataset relations.

Suggested edits to make the tool and paper acceptable:

- Provide graphical visualization beyond results listings (or explain how to show them, if I have missed them), or else remove "visualization" from the title and other places.
- Use this/these visualizations in the use cases/demonstrators discussed above, to explain how they contribute to solving concrete biological problems.

Main problem 2: Lack of context and discussion of biological relevance

The first and main problem with the manuscript is that it does not provide a clear enough description of what *biological* problem it is solving. Nor does it provide an overview of existing tools and solutions in this field. Right now, the manuscript only states what the tool can do in technical terms. It somehow reads like a (well written) user guide or README file, but not yet a scientific paper. To help potential new users understand why they might need this tool, it needs to be put in context and compared with other existing tools.

In my view, the manuscript needs the following points thoroughly addressing to be acceptable:

1. In the introduction: Elaborate on the field of mapping/visualising dataset relations, mentioning relevant existing similar tools, what are the typical problems, and what particular problem Biobtree solves.
2. Explain a few examples of *biological* problems that can be solved with this tool, or type of tool.
3. E.g. in the results: Provide at least one, and optimally two or three potentially simple, but relevant, biological demonstrators or use cases, that can be addressed with the tool. Provide complete instructions on how to re-run this or these demo(s) and provide outputs for this/these in terms of figures or diagrams and how these were produced. In this way, both reviewers and users can make sure that they understand how to operate the tool.
4. In the discussion: Connect back to the explained problem the tool is addressing, and explain how the problem was solved, again reinstating the relevance of this specific tool compared to other existing tools, and what improvement it provides to the end user trying to solve biological problems, exemplified by the demonstrators or use cases.

Language issues

The manuscript also contains quite a number of language issues. I'm listing a few language suggestions below as examples, but further language proofing or editing is highly recommended, to make sure there are not more of these:

1. **Methods** section:
"in GO programming language" -> "in the Go programming language"
(Note the "the" and that only G is uppercase in "Go").
2. **Update phase** section:
"to LMDB" -> "to the LMDB"
3. **Update phase** section:
"Updating reads selected datasets as a stream"
I don't understand this sentence. Please language-check it.
4. "is used in next" -> "is used in the next"
5. **Generate phase** section:
"the project github page" -> "the project's GitHub page"
6. **Web phase** section:
"starts web phase" -> "starts the web phase"
7. **Web interface** section:
"The Web interface allow user" -> "The web interface allows the user"
8. **Operation** section:
"Biobtree executable" -> "The biobtree executable".

Is the rationale for developing the new software tool clearly explained?

Partly

Is the description of the software tool technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of the code, methods and analysis (if applicable) provided to allow replication of the software development and its use by others?

Yes

Is sufficient information provided to allow interpretation of the expected output datasets and any results generated using the tool?

Partly

Are the conclusions about the tool and its performance adequately supported by the findings presented in the article?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Scientific workflow tools, Cheminformatics, Semantic web.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Reviewer Report 07 March 2019

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.19605.r45074>

© 2019 Shokhirev M. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Maxim N. Shokhirev

¹ Razavi Newman Integrative Genomics and Bioinformatics Core, Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, CA, USA

² Razavi Newman Integrative Genomics and Bioinformatics Core, Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, CA, USA

³ Razavi Newman Integrative Genomics and Bioinformatics Core, Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, CA, USA

⁴ Razavi Newman Integrative Genomics and Bioinformatics Core, Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, CA, USA

While it is important to create a consistent and queryable database of biological identifiers, it is unclear what advances this tool brings to the field. For example, how does this tool compare to

other queryable database tools such as mygene.info, or BioMart? The paper will greatly benefit from a comparison to these and other such tools.

I downloaded and ran the tool but it seems I can't get through the update phase when I run `./biobtree update` (It seems to hang after uniprot_reviewed finishes) without any other messages. When I rerun using `biobtree --d uniprot_reviewed update` it finishes but there is an error:

Error while reading file-> `./out/index/0_13.938476000.gz`
panic: gzip: invalid header

I tried running generate and web after that regardless, but couldn't get it to work:

panic: mdb_txn_commit: MDB_BAD_TXN: Transaction must abort, has a child, or is invalid

Error while reading meta information file which should be produced with generate command. Please make sure you did previous steps correctly.

The author needs to debug/test their code to ensure that it can be used by others.

Is the rationale for developing the new software tool clearly explained?

Partly

Is the description of the software tool technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of the code, methods and analysis (if applicable) provided to allow replication of the software development and its use by others?

Yes

Is sufficient information provided to allow interpretation of the expected output datasets and any results generated using the tool?

Yes

Are the conclusions about the tool and its performance adequately supported by the findings presented in the article?

No

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Bioinformatics

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to state that I do not consider it to be of an acceptable scientific standard, for reasons outlined above.

Author Response 10 Mar 2019

Tamer Gur

Thank you for reviewing the article. I agree that there are several similar tools exist with different dataset and functionalities such as Biomart and mygene.info. However, this tool can still complement them for following main reasons.

- Biobtree can work in local machine. This can be especially useful when large number of requests needs to be performed. For instance currently similar Uniprot **tool** documentation **suggests** either split the requests or download underlying data when number of requests are above 50K. These types of limitations for bulk requests are sensible for fair usage of a public service and can be more suitable with Biobtree type locally runnable tool.
- Users custom dataset can be integrated.
- Tool provides new intuitive web interface.

In relation to reported errors, I have added demo of tool in case such errors happen again. I have also added integration test which runs periodically on Linux, MacOS and Windows operating systems via Azure DevOps platform. These tests can be accessed publicly and test and demo links can be found at github page. Based on these tests, it seems that tool is working as expected. I believe that hanged process is a specific issue or bug which I am happy to resolve if I have more information. The rest of problems which have been reported are most probably due to the prematurely exited hanged process. Either starting in a new folder or passing --clean parameter can solve the issue.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research