RESEARCH ARTICLE

Correlation between luteinizing hormone receptor gene expression in human granulosa cells with oocyte quality in poor responder patients undergoing *in vitro* fertilization: A cross-sectional study [version 1; peer review: 2 approved, 1 approved with reservations]

Budi Wiweko¹⁻³, M. Luky Satria¹, Kresna Mutia^{id}³, Pritta Ameilia Iffanolida^{id}³, Achmad Kemal Harzif^{id}¹⁻³, Gita Pratama^{id}¹⁻³, R. Muharam^{id}¹⁻³, Andon Hestiantoro^{id}¹⁻³

¹Division of Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, 10430, Indonesia

²Yasmin IVF Clinic, Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo General Hospital, Jakarta, 10430, Indonesia

³Human Reproductive, Infertility and Family Planning Research Center, Indonesia Medical Education and Research Institute(IMERI), Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, 10430, Indonesia

V1 First published: 04 Jan 2019, 8:16
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17036.1>
 Latest published: 04 Jan 2019, 8:16
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17036.1>

Abstract

Background: This study was performed to evaluate the role of luteinizing hormone (LH) and granulosa cell LH receptor (LH-R) in poor responder patients who underwent controlled ovarian stimulation. Expression levels of LH-R mRNA in granulosa cells was investigated and compared with oocyte morphology, oocyte maturity and fertilization rate.

Methods: Granulosa cells were obtained from 30 patients who underwent *in vitro* fertilization (IVF) at Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, Jakarta. The patients were divided into two groups: group I (n=10) poor responders; and group II (n=20) non-poor responders. After the extraction of total RNA from granulosa cells, semi-quantitative RT-PCR was performed and the amount of LH-R mRNA was quantified. The relative values were calculated as the ratio of LH-R mRNA and actin beta mRNA. Statistical analysis was performed using Mann-Whitney test and Spearman correlation.

Results: The relative value of LH-R mRNA was higher in group I compared with group II (27.37[0.00-28939.37] vs 0.00[0.00-7196.12]). Oocyte maturity ($r=0.267$) and morphology ($r=0.267$) in group I consistently showed a positive correlation with LH-R mRNA; in group II a negative correlation with LH-R mRNA was shown for oocyte maturity

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3
version 1 04 Jan 2019	view	view	view
1. Yuval Yung ^{id}	Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel		
2. Yanping Kuang	Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China		
3. Peng-Hui Wang ^{id}	Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan		

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

($r = -0.552$) and morphology ($r = -0.164$). Group I had a positive correlation between LH-R expression with fertilization rate ($r = 0.430$), and group II showed a negative correlation ($r = -0.340$).

Conclusions: The expression of LH-R mRNA has a positive correlation with oocyte quality in poor responder patients and a negative correlation in non-poor responders. Our study suggests an optimal expression of LH-R mRNA in granulosa cells during controlled ovarian stimulation to obtain good quality oocytes.

Keywords

Granulosa Cells, LH-Receptor, Oocytes, Poor Responder, qRT-PCR

Corresponding authors: Budi Wiweko (budiwiweko@gmail.com), M. Luky Satria (mluky2001@yahoo.com), Kresna Mutia (kresna.mutia@gmail.com)

Author roles: **Wiweko B:** Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Satria ML:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Supervision, Validation; **Mutia K:** Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Resources; **Iffanolida PA:** Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources; **Harzif AK:** Investigation, Supervision; **Pratama G:** Investigation, Supervision; **Muharam R:** Investigation, Supervision; **Hestiantoro A:** Investigation, Supervision

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This study received a research from Research Center Revitalization Grant, Universitas Indonesia 2014.

Copyright: © 2019 Wiweko B *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

How to cite this article: Wiweko B, Satria ML, Mutia K *et al.* **Correlation between luteinizing hormone receptor gene expression in human granulosa cells with oocyte quality in poor responder patients undergoing *in vitro* fertilization: A cross-sectional study [version 1; peer review: 2 approved, 1 approved with reservations]** F1000Research 2019, 8:16 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17036.1>

First published: 04 Jan 2019, 8:16 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17036.1>

Introduction

In 2012, the World Health Organization reported that 80 million reproductive-aged couples, which constitutes 10% of the total number of couples globally, have issues related to infertility¹. In Indonesia, 12–15% of reproductive-aged couples have infertility issues^{2,3}. One way to manage infertility issues is using assisted reproductive technology (ART). One method of ART that is commonly used is *in vitro* fertilization (IVF). The *In Vitro* Fertilization World Report 2000 showed that the average number of pregnancy and births post-IVF is 26.7% and 18.6%, respectively⁴. According to a 2008 report, the percentage of pregnancy post-IVF in Indonesia is 20–52.9%⁵. As reported by the Society of Assisted Reproductive Technology, the success rate of IVF in women under 35 years of age is 41–43%⁶. However, this success rate declines as a woman's age increases, especially for women who are older than 35 years old and those who are not adequately affected by gonadotropin treatment (also known as a poor responders)⁷.

According to the The European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE) 2011 consensus in Bologna, a poor responder is defined by the presence of 2 of 3 of the following criteria: (1) more than 40 years of age; (2) ovary reserve test such as the basal antral follicle count (BAF) <6-8 follicles/ovary, or level of anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) <0.5-1.1 ng/mL; and (3) history of ovary stimulation producing < 3 oocytes⁸. In the USA, approximately 80.3% cancellations of an IVF cycle are caused by an inadequate number of eggs following ovarian stimulation⁹. Poor responders have a lower pregnancy rate compared with normal responders. Poor responders have a pregnancy rate varying from 7.6 to 17.5% compared with normal responders, varying from 25.9 to 36.7%¹⁰. The failure rate among the poor responder group is quite high, caused by the very low amount of oocytes and the low quality of oocytes, which eventually affects embryo quality. Low embryo quality will cause low implantation rate and high miscarriage rate^{11,12}. If the poor responders eventually becomes pregnant, the risk of having pregnancy complications, such as hypertension and pre-eclampsia, increases¹³.

Luteinizing hormone (LH) is an important glycoprotein hormone that regulates gonadal function that is subsequently involved in menstrual cycle physiology. LH works through LH receptor (LH-R), which are expressed in theca, granulosa, and cumulus cells. LH will bind to LH-R that is present in the cell membrane. Although the role of LH in the non-poor responder cycle is undisputed, the role of LH in ovarian stimulation during IVF is still debatable. LH supplementation for patients that respond positively toward gonadotropin releasing hormone (GnRH) agonists does not increase the number of pregnancies¹⁴. Several studies show an advantage of LH supplementation on poor responders who were using GnRH agonist^{15,16}. In a study with Asian women who were using GnRH agonist, LH supplementation was recommended for poor responders during previous IVF cycles for slow follicle growth during days 6–8 of stimulation. This study also suggested LH supplementation for women at risk of suboptimal response, primarily those who are >35 years of age¹⁷. However, like several other studies, LH supplementation in this particular group of women did not significantly affect pregnancy outcomes^{17–19}. For poor responders who were using the GnRH antagonist protocol, LH supplementation showed a better IVF outcome^{20–22}. However, König *et al.* claimed no significant

difference between LH supplementation for women > 35 years of age who were using the GnRH agonist protocol⁷. The difference between the effect due to administration of follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) and LH may be due to the difference in hormone receptor expression on oocyte cells that play a role in the maturation of the follicle²².

A study by Humaidan *et al.* in 2002 reported that women who had LH levels of <0.5mIU/ml and >1.51mIU/ml on day 8 of stimulation had a lower implantation rate compared with women with LH levels between 0.5mIU/ml and 1.51 mIU/ml²³. This particular study showed that LH has an optimal lower and upper threshold to reach adequate growth and maturation of egg cells. However, another study by Humaidan *et al.* in 2004 showed that women with LH level > 1.99 mIU/ml also had good results after LH supplementation²⁴. This implies that there was an inadequate LH bioactivity; therefore, even though LH endogen level was within optimum range, it may not give an optimum effect. Alviggi *et al.* further suggested that an LH polymorphism (v-betaLH) resulted in a group of women showing inadequate response after FSH administration, despite having enough ovarian reserve²⁵.

Many theories have tried to explain the etiology of poor ovarian response towards gonadotropin administration. Age and low ovarian reserve are the most common factors used to explain the presence of a poor responder patient group. However, some poor responders are still young, thus the etiology of poor responders seems to be multifactorial, including decreased blood flow to the ovary, decreased aromatase activity, FSH and LH receptor polymorphisms, and autoimmunity towards the ovary²⁶. Understanding LH-R gene expression in humans is important to increase the success rate of IVF. The importance of LH during follicular phase and the optimum dosage of LH for IVF patients are still debatable²⁷. Genetic studies have had important roles in understanding the pathogenesis of diseases and development of therapy. By understanding genetic studies with a focus on gene and gene product, IVF specialists can decide the appropriate therapy for patients¹⁸. Therefore, this study investigates the correlation between LH-R granulosa expression in poor responder patients and non-poor responder patients who are going through an IVF program and compares the oocyte quality outcome, fertilization rate, and pregnancy rate.

Methods

Study setting

This cross-sectional study was conducted to find the correlation between LH-receptor gene expression in granulosa cells with oocyte quality in poor responder patients undergoing IVF. The study took place at Yasmin Clinic, Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, Jakarta, Indonesia, between January and June 2015. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia (now called the Health Research Ethics Committee, Universitas Indonesia and Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital (HREC-FMUI/CMH) (approval number, 631/UN2.F1/ETIK/2014).

Participants

Women attending the Yasmin Clinic for IVF procedures were selected according to Bologna criteria based on anamnesis, ultrasound, and laboratory examination⁸. The patients underwent

ovarian stimulation, which is part of the IVF procedure, continuing to the ovum pick-up (OPU) procedure. Prior to OPU, patients were offered to participate in the study. An explanation of the research, objectives, procedures, benefits, risks and expected study outcomes were provided, along with an informed consent form. The subjects who were willing to participate in the study were asked to sign the consent form. Patients with incomplete baseline data and who failed the OPU procedure were not included in this study. In total, 30 patients were recruited from January to June 2015 in the Yasmin IVF Clinic Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo General Hospital, Jakarta. The patients were divided into two groups: I poor responders (n=10); and II non-poor responders (n=20). The poor responder group (group I) matched minimum 2 of these following criteria: (1) more than 40 years of age; (2) ovary reserve test such as the basal antral follicle count (BAF) <6-8 follicles/ovary, or level of anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) <0.5-1.1 ng/mL; and (3) history of ovary stimulation producing < 3 oocytes. Patients who do not have those criteria, entered into group II.

Data collection

At the time of OPU, the doctor extracted intrafollicular fluid from the patient under anesthesia. The intrafollicular fluid containing oocytes and granulosa cells was processed by the embryologist, and then the oocyte is processed in the next stage of IVF. The granulosa cells were stored at -20°C before use.

The RNA of the granulosa cells was extracted using High Pure RNA Isolation Kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). Measurement of total RNA concentration was made using NanoVue spectrophotometer (General Electric). Subsequently, cDNA synthesis was performed. The positive control used was mRNA from the Transcript First Strand cDNA synthesis kit (Roche). A light cycler fast start DNA MasterPLUS SYBR green I (Roche) was used for real time polymerase chain reactions (PCR), with the following profile: pre-incubation (1 cycle at 95°C for 10 minutes), quantification (45 cycles each at 95°C for 10 seconds, 65°C for 10 seconds and 72°C for 25 seconds), melting curve

(1 cycle each at 95°C for 0 seconds, 65°C for 60 seconds and 95°C for 0 seconds). All the procedures are according to the manufacturer's instruction.

In this study, we used one microgram of complementary DNA (cDNA) per reaction in a 10 microliter reaction volume. Beta-actin RNA was chosen as a suitable nonpoorization control gene. LH-R gene quantification was done using Light Cycler Fast Start DNA MasterPLUS SYBR green I kit (Roche). The real time PCR was performed using Light- Cycler 2.0 Instrument (03531414001, Roche). Primer sequences can be seen in [Table 1](#). Output data of qRT-PCR were used to calculate the ratio of gene LH-R is the value of delta Rn. The value is the result of fluorescence detection by qRT-PCR machine and translated by using LightCycler Software Version 4.1 (Roche). The Rn value was stored in file comma delimited file (CSV) using the Kingsoft Spreadsheet program Version 2013. The data were then processed using the R Studio program Version 2.11.1, which had been added to the qpcR software package. The result of script application is the ratio value, Cp, and the efficiency of each reaction.

For the oocyte morphology data, we used modification scoring system based on the Xia criteria, including first polar body, perivitelline space and cytoplasmic granulation ([Table 2](#))²⁸. Morphology scores were measured to all oocyte retrieved with minimum score of 0 and maximum scores of 6. Mean value of morphology scores per patient were used for analysis. The percentage of fertility rate were measured from the total of fertilized divided by total embryos.

Data analysis

Data of expression of LH-R gene, oocyte maturity, morphology, and fertilization rate were analyzed. The normality of the data was tested using Shapiro-Wilk test. Normally distributed data were then tested with the unpaired T-test, but if the data had an abnormal distribution, the Mann-Whitney test was performed to compare the differences in expression of LH-R gene between groups of poor responder and non-poor responder

Table 1. Real time polymerase chain reaction primer sequences.

Gene	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Size (bp)	Accession No.
LH-R	Forward: CATTCAATGGGACGACACTG Reverse: GCCTCCAGGAGATTGACAAA	235	NM000233
B-actin	Forward: ACTCTTCCAGCCTTCCTTCC Reverse: AGCACTGTGTTGGCGTACAG	117	NM001101.3

Table 2. Modification of Xia morphological criteria.

Criteria	Score		
	0	1	2
First Polar Body	-	fragmented	intact
Perivitelline Space	Large	-	normal
Cytoplasmic Granulation	present (spots, vacuoles, refractile body)	-	absent

patients. Furthermore, the Spearman's test was performed to determine the correlation between LH-R gene expression and oocyte maturity, morphology, and fertilization rate. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) version 22.

Results

Table 3 shows the characteristics of groups I and group II, poor and non-poor responders, respectively. We found that granu-

losa LH-R expression is higher in the poor responders (27.37 (0.00-28939.37) arbitrary unit) than in non-poor responders (0.00 (0.00-7196.12)), but this was not statistically significant between the groups ($p=0.169$).

Table 4 shows a statistically significant negative correlation between oocyte maturity in the poor responders and granulosa LH-R expression ($p=0.003$; $r=0.552$). There is no correlation between granulosa LH-R expression and oocyte morphology. There is negative correlation between granulosa LH-R expression

Table 3. Patient characteristics of poor and non-poor responders to IVF in a group of patients in Jakarta.

Characteristics	Poor responder		Non-poor responder	
	n	Description	n	Description
Age (years; mean $\pm$ SD)	10	37.80 $\pm$ 3.49	20	33.20 $\pm$ 3.61
AMH serum (ng/ml; mean $\pm$ SD)	9	1.14 $\pm$ 0.76	15	4.64 $\pm$ 2.22
FSH total (IU/l; mean $\pm$ SD)	10	3660 $\pm$ 854.99	20	2822.50 $\pm$ 783.88
E2 trigger (pg/ml; mean $\pm$ SD)	10	1481.43 $\pm$ 721.12	20	2864.68 $\pm$ 1495.06
LH trigger (IU/l; mean 95%CI)	10	4.74 (1.04-23.28)	20	2.08 (1.00-6.60)
P4 trigger (ng/ml; mean 95%CI)	10	0.65 (0.20-0.80)	20	1.00 $\pm$ 0, 52
Total oocyte number (mean 95%CI)	10	4.50 (2.00-15.00)	20	14.95 $\pm$ 9.42
Oocyte maturity (mean 95%CI)	8	4.00 (2.00-13.00)	15	14.33 $\pm$ 7.07
Morphology (mean $\pm$ SD)	8	4.08 $\pm$ 0.95	15	4.77 $\pm$ 0.65
Fertility rate (%; mean $\pm$ SD)	10	55.00 $\pm$ 27.63	20	58.05 $\pm$ 24.56
Embryo transfer (mean 95%CI)	9	3.00 (1.00-3.00)	19	3.00 (1.00-4.00)
Clinical pregnancy (n (%))	Yes	2 (25.0)	Yes	10 (52.6)
	No	6 (75.0)	No	9 (47.4)

Table 4. Correlation between granulosa LH-R expression with maturity, oocyte morphology, and fertility rate of poor and non-poor responders to IVF treatment.

Characteristics	Granulosa LH-R expression	
	Poor responder	Non-poor responder
Oocyte maturity		
Correlation coefficient	0.267	-0.552
p value	0.523	0.033
n	8	5
Morphology		
Correlation coefficient	0.267	-0.164
p value	0.523	0.560
n	8	15
Fertility rate (%)		
Correlation coefficient	0.430	-0.340
p value	0.215	0.142
n	10	20

and fertility rate in the poor responders ($p=0.215$; $r=0.430$) and non-poor responders ($p=0.142$; $r=-0.340$), which was not statistically significant.

Dataset 1. Raw data for all variables reported in the study

<https://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.17036.d230859>

Discussion

From this study, granulosa LH-R expression in poor responders is higher than in non-poor responders, although it is not statistically significant. In a study by Thirupathi *et al.*²⁹, which compared gonadotrophin receptor expression in poor responders and non-poor responders, the results also showed that granulosa LH-R expression is higher in poor responders. This may suggest that there is a disruption in LH-R processing and trafficking or it could be caused by accelerated release of LH in poor responders²⁹.

In this study, granulosa LH-R expression had a positive correlation with morphology, oocyte maturity and fertility rate in the poor responder group and a negative correlation in non-poor responder group. This showed that the poor responder group needs LH for oocyte growth and maturation, while in the non-poor responder group high granulosa LH-R expression would affect maturity and morphology of oocytes and fertility rate. Maman *et al.*¹⁸ found that in non-poor responders, granulosa LH-R expression increased in the antral-phase follicle and the highest expression happened pre-ovulation. There was a correlation between LH-R expression and fertility output. In that study, low LH-R expression correlated with low oocyte maturity, but excessive LH-R expression correlated with a low fertility rate¹⁸. From these studies, it seems that an optimal granulosa LH-R expression is needed to mature oocyte and yield a good fertility rate.

A literature study by Shoham¹⁹ suggested that there is a therapeutic window with a threshold and ceiling in LH supplementation. If the LH level is below the needed ceiling, estradiol production will not be adequate, while if the LH level is higher than the threshold, there will be a negative impact on follicle growth. Shoham discovered that LH supplementation in patients with hypogonadotropic hypogonadism would generate more follicles and adequate estradiol levels to generate good endometrium growth. However, excessive LH supplementation in patients with hypogonadotropic hypogonadism or polycystic ovaries would cause negative effects, causing follicles to become atretic¹⁹. The study is supported by Humaidan *et al.*²⁹, who found that LH level must be at an adequate level, not too high or too low, to generate good quality oocytes. Optimal LH levels measured in the 8th day of stimulation will decrease the required FSH doses, hence making the stimulation duration shorter and growth of good follicles faster²⁹. However, it must be remembered that this study was done in non-poor responder patients, using a GnRH agonist protocol, where patients' gonadotropin is stimulated with GnRH

agonist administration before stimulation. Therefore, if endogenous LH levels decrease too much because of that suppression, follicular growth will be disrupted, because the androgen production in theca cells, which will be converted into estradiol in granulosa cells, is decreasing. Because of that, non-poor responder patients who receive an agonist GnRH protocol should use an adequate dose that is not too high. Besides the dose, the administration mode also influences mid-follicular LH levels. In the administration of intranasal buserelin, the decrease of mid-follicular LH is not too low, and the pregnancy rate is better compared to subcutaneous administration²⁹.

In the present research, all subjects received the antagonist protocol, so there was no excessive endogenous LH suppression as seen in the agonist protocol. It seems that the stimulation protocol type may not influence granulosa LH-R expression. This is supported by a microarray analysis of gene expression study in rFSH and hMG stimulation, where LH-R expression showed no differences³⁰. Therefore, it may be inferred that the main contributors of granulosa LH-R expression are follicle size and follicle maturation stage¹⁸. Granulosa LH-R is expressed in the early antral phase of follicle growth even when granulosa LH-R expression is still very low^{18,31}. Then, by increasing follicle maturation under FSH influence, granulosa LH-R expression will also increase. Therefore, granulosa LH-R expression can be increased by exposing granulosa to adequate FSH before¹⁸. However, how much FSH to get optimal LH-R expression must be investigated. The most common problem in poor responder patients, other than the inadequate number and bad quality of oocytes produced, is that the FSH dose needed for stimulation is too high. This has been shown in the present study (Table 2). In this study, the total FSH dose used in the poor responder group was higher than that in the nonpoor responder group (3660 IU vs 2822 IU). In the Alviggi study, a higher FSH dose was also found in polymorphism LH patients (v-betaLH). According to Alviggi, homozygote and heterozygote v-betaLH patients have a poor ovarian response to gonadotropin and the need of total FSH dose is higher than in wild-type LH patients. The oocytes are also generated in a smaller number. In his hypotheses, Alviggi stated that it was caused by the difference in the bioactive effects between v-betaLH and wild-type LH^{25,32}. v-betaLH has shorter t-half but has a more potent efficacy at receptor level compared to the wild-type²⁵. In the present study, analysis of v-beta LH was not done. Besides LH polymorphism, in the Alviggi study, there was also a patient who did not have v-betaLH but had an inadequate response to gonadotropin. The possible explanation of that phenomenon is due to the increment in FSH consumption without the presence of v-betaLH, which is caused by LH-R and FSHR polymorphisms³². However, this still needs to be further investigated.

Conclusions

This study showed that granulosa LH-R expression in poor responders is higher than non-poor responders. Statistical analysis showed a positive correlation between granulosa LH-R expression

with oocyte quality and fertility rate in the poor responders, and a negative correlation between granulosa LH-R expression with oocyte quality and fertility rate in the nonpoor responders.

Data availability

Underlying data F1000Research: Dataset 1. Raw data for all variables reported in the study, <https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.17036.d23085933>

Grant information

This study received a research from Research Center Revitalization Grant, Universitas Indonesia 2014.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Indonesian Reproductive Medicine Research and Training Center (INAREPROMED) and Yasmin Clinic Rumah Sakit Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital teams for assistance and support in this study.

References

- Organization WH: **Infertility**. 2012.
[Reference Source](#)
- Respati G: **Keberhasilan program fertilisasi *in vitro* di klinik infertilitas FK UNDIP-RS Dr Kariadi dan RS Telogorejo Semarang**. Semarang: Universitas Diponegoro Semarang; 2005.
[Reference Source](#)
- Soebijanto S: **Pengaruh beberapa kondisi ginekologis/klinis infeksi TORSH-KM lampau dan kondisi spermatozoa pada fertilisasi *in vitro***. universitas Indonesia; 1993.
[Reference Source](#)
- International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technology, Adamson GD, de Mouzon J, *et al.*: **World collaborative report on *in vitro* fertilization, 2000**. *Fertil Steril*. 2006; **85**(6): 1586–622.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- PERFETRI: **Indonesian Association for *In Vitro* Fertilization's National Report 2017**. IAIIVF; 2018.
[Reference Source](#)
- Information NCFB: ***In vitro* fertilization 2012**.
- Konig TE, van der Houwen LE, Overbeek A, *et al.*: **Recombinant LH supplementation to a standard GnRH antagonist protocol in women of 35 years or older undergoing IVF/ICSI: a randomized controlled multicentre study**. *Hum Reprod*. 2013; **28**(10): 2804–12.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Ferraretti AP, La Marca A, Fauser BC, *et al.*: **ESHRE consensus on the definition of 'poor response' to ovarian stimulation for *in vitro* fertilization: the Bologna criteria**. *Hum Reprod*. 2011; **26**(7): 1616–24.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Promotion NCoDPaH: **Assisted Reproductive Technology, National Summary Report 2010**.
[Reference Source](#)
- Oudendijk JF, Yarde F, Eijkemans MJ, *et al.*: **The poor responder in IVF: is the prognosis always poor?: a systematic review**. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2012; **18**(1): 1–11.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Liu K, Case A, REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY AND INFERTILITY COMMITTEE: **Advanced reproductive age and fertility**. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can*. 2011; **33**(11): 1165–75.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Liu KE, Case A: **No. 346-Advanced Reproductive Age and Fertility**. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can*. 2017; **39**(8): 685–95.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- van Disseldorp J, Eijkemans R, Fauser B, *et al.*: **Hypertensive pregnancy complications in poor and normal responders after *in vitro* fertilization**. *Fertil Steril*. 2010; **93**(2): 652–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Ferraretti AP, Gianaroli L, Magli MC, *et al.*: **Exogenous luteinizing hormone in controlled ovarian hyperstimulation for assisted reproduction techniques**. *Fertil Steril*. 2004; **82**(6): 1521–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- De Placido G, Alviggi C, Perino A, *et al.*: **Recombinant human LH supplementation versus recombinant human FSH (rFSH) step-up protocol during controlled ovarian stimulation in normogonadotrophic women with initial inadequate ovarian response to rFSH. A multicentre, prospective, randomized controlled trial**. *Hum Reprod*. 2005; **20**(2): 390–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Wong PC, Qiao J, Ho C, *et al.*: **Current opinion on use of luteinizing hormone supplementation in assisted reproduction therapy: an Asian perspective**. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2011; **23**(1): 81–90.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Cédric-Durnerin I, Grange-Dujardin D, Laffy A, *et al.*: **Recombinant human LH supplementation during GnRH antagonist administration in IVF/ICSI cycles: a prospective randomized study**. *Hum Reprod*. 2004; **19**(9): 1979–84.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Maman E, Yung Y, Kedem A, *et al.*: **High expression of luteinizing hormone receptors messenger RNA by human cumulus granulosa cells is in correlation with decreased fertilization**. *Fertil Steril*. 2012; **97**(3): 592–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Shoham Z: **The clinical therapeutic window for luteinizing hormone in controlled ovarian stimulation**. *Fertil Steril*. 2002; **77**(6): 1170–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Marrs R, Meldrum D, Muasher S, *et al.*: **Randomized trial to compare the effect of recombinant human FSH (folitropin alfa) with or without recombinant human LH in women undergoing assisted reproduction treatment**. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2004; **8**(2): 175–82.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- De Placido G, Mollo A, Clarizia R, *et al.*: **Gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) antagonist plus recombinant luteinizing hormone vs. a standard GnRH agonist short protocol in patients at risk for poor ovarian response**. *Fertil Steril*. 2006; **85**(1): 247–50.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Hill MJ, Levens ED, Levy G, *et al.*: **The use of recombinant luteinizing hormone in patients undergoing assisted reproductive techniques with advanced reproductive age: a systematic review and meta-analysis**. *Fertil Steril*. 2012; **97**(5): 1108–14.e1.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Humaidan P, Bungum L, Bungum M, *et al.*: **Ovarian response and pregnancy outcome related to mid-follicular LH levels in women undergoing assisted reproduction with GnRH agonist down-regulation and recombinant FSH stimulation**. *Hum Reprod*. 2002; **17**(8): 2016–21.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Humaidan P, Bungum M, Bungum L, *et al.*: **Effects of recombinant LH supplementation in women undergoing assisted reproduction with GnRH agonist down-regulation and stimulation with recombinant FSH: an opening study**. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2004; **8**(6): 635–43.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Alviggi C, Pettersson K, Longobardi S, *et al.*: **A common polymorphic allele of the LH beta-subunit gene is associated with higher exogenous FSH consumption during controlled ovarian stimulation for assisted reproductive technology**. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol*. 2013; **11**: 51.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Luborsky JL, Thirupathi P, Rivnay B, *et al.*: **Evidence for different aetiologies of low estradiol response to FSH: age-related accelerated luteinization of follicles or presence of ovarian autoantibodies**. *Hum Reprod*. 2002; **17**(10): 2641–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Papamentzelopoulou M, Mavrogiani D, Partsiavelos GA, *et al.*: **LH receptor gene expression in cumulus cells in women entering an ART program**. *J Assist Reprod Genet*. 2012; **29**(5): 409–16.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Xia P: **Intracytoplasmic sperm injection: correlation of oocyte grade based on polar body, perivitelline space and cytoplasmic inclusions with fertilization**

- rate and embryo quality. *Hum Reprod.* 1997; **12**(8): 1750–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
29. Thiruppathi P, Shatavi S, Dias JA, *et al.*: **Gonadotrophin receptor expression on human granulosa cells of low and normal responders to FSH.** *Mol Hum Reprod.* 2001; **7**(8): 697–704.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
30. Brannan J, Eyster K, Mueller BA, *et al.*: **Differential gene expression in human granulosa cells from recombinant FSH versus human menopausal gonadotropin ovarian stimulation protocols.** *Reprod Biol Endocrinol.* 2010; **8**: 25.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
31. Jeppesen JV, Kristensen SG, Nielsen ME, *et al.*: **LH-receptor gene expression in human granulosa and cumulus cells from antral and preovulatory follicles.** *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2012; **97**(8): E1524–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
32. Alviggi C, Clarizia R, Pettersson K, *et al.*: **Suboptimal response to GnRHa long protocol is associated with a common LH polymorphism.** *Reprod Biomed Online.* 2009; **18**(1): 9–14.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
33. Wiweko B, Satria ML, Mutia K, *et al.*: **Dataset 1 in: Correlation between luteinizing hormone receptor gene expression in human granulosa cells with oocyte quality in poor responder patients undergoing in vitro fertilization: A cross-sectional study.** *F1000Research.* 2018.
<http://www.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.17036.d230859>

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 17 May 2019

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.18625.r47813>

© 2019 Wang P. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peng-Hui Wang

¹ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

² Institute of Clinical Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan

The authors provided a cross section study to evaluate the predictor of oocyte quality 30 poor responders undergoing *in vitro* fertilization (IVF) and found the expression of luteinizing hormone receptor (LHR) was important to predict the oocyte quality of poor responders or non-poor responders, but the former is a positive correlation and the latter is a negative correlation. The current study showed oocyte quality could be predicted by oocyte-surrounding granulosa cells. Although the study is interesting, there are some issues worthy of further discussion.

1. For the definition of poor responders, it is very clear. The key factor of the criteria is a poor ovarian reservation (Chern *et al.*, 2018¹, Li *et al.*, 2018², Tsui *et al.*, 2017³, Lin *et al.*, 2017⁴, Lin *et al.*, 2017⁵, Lin *et al.*, 2017⁶, Lin *et al.*, 2015⁷, Tsui *et al.*, 2015⁸, Tsui *et al.*, 2014⁹). Even though there was an adequate protocol for ovary stimulation, the total number of the oocytes retrieved is limited. By contrast, high responders are often risky for the development of ovary hyperstimulation syndrome, and the total number of oocytes retrieved is too many. In clinical practice, polycystic ovary syndrome (PCO) might be one of the best examples. Although the ratio of LH/FSH is abandoned in the diagnostic criteria of PCO, we can predict the serum level of LH is higher in the high responders, which might be secondary to the relative "hyperandrogenism". Although the authors used the non-poor responders as control, it is not clear that the similar finding could be applied in high responders.
2. The study material of granulosa cells might be interesting. Is there any difference of LHR expression between different populations of granulosa cells (oocyte surrounding granulosa cell (cumulus cells) or follicle-type granulosa cells)? (Lin *et al.*, 2017⁴, Tsui *et al.*, 2014⁹).
3. Since the significant difference of dosage of LH trigger between poor responders and non-poor responders was noted, it is rational to suppose the LHR expression will be different between two groups (Lin *et al.*, 2017⁶). The correlation between the ligand and receptor should be introduced in much more detail. Membrane-type receptor and nuclear-type

receptor expression might be varied greatly or conflicted dramatically when the different dosage of ligands is provided. Down regulation and overexpression might be the secondary effect. In addition, if the oocyte quality is positively correlated with LHR expression in poor responders, the absolute qualification of the amount of LHR might be needed. It is relatively unusual that the same amount of the hormone profiles will result in the different clinical patterns. If this condition is real, how would the normal range of hormone profile in the clinical practice be obtained? The authors should consider it with much more concern.

4. Oocyte quality is often recognized by morphological classification. If more markers could be used in much more scientific or quantitative patterns, it is welcome. However, the easy-to-use rule and reproducibility might be important.

References

1. Chern CU, Tsui KH, Vitale SG, Chen SN, et al.: Dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) supplementation improves in vitro fertilization outcomes of poor ovarian responders, especially in women with low serum concentration of DHEA-S: a retrospective cohort study. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol*. 2018; **16** (1): 90 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Li CJ, Chen SN, Lin LT, Chern CU, et al.: Dehydroepiandrosterone Ameliorates Abnormal Mitochondrial Dynamics and Mitophagy of Cumulus Cells in Poor Ovarian Responders. *J Clin Med*. 2018; **7** (10). [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Tsui KH, Wang PH, Lin LT, Li CJ: DHEA protects mitochondria against dual modes of apoptosis and necroptosis in human granulosa HO23 cells. *Reproduction*. **154** (2): 101-110 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Lin LT, Wang PH, Wen ZH, Li CJ, et al.: The Application of Dehydroepiandrosterone on Improving Mitochondrial Function and Reducing Apoptosis of Cumulus Cells in Poor Ovarian Responders. *Int J Med Sci*. 2017; **14** (6): 585-594 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
5. Lin LT, Wang PH, Chen SN, Li CJ, et al.: Protection of cumulus cells following dehydroepiandrosterone supplementation. *Gynecol Endocrinol*. 2017; **33** (2): 100-104 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
6. Lin L, Tsui K, Wang P: The earlier the better: When should intrauterine insemination be done?. *Journal of the Chinese Medical Association*. 2017; **80** (6): 331-332 [Publisher Full Text](#)
7. Lin LT, Tsui KH, Wang PH: Clinical application of dehydroepiandrosterone in reproduction: A review of the evidence. *J Chin Med Assoc*. 2015; **78** (8): 446-53 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
8. Tsui KH, Lin LT, Chang R, Huang BS, et al.: Effects of dehydroepiandrosterone supplementation on women with poor ovarian response: A preliminary report and review. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol*. 2015; **54** (2): 131-6 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
9. Tsui KH, Lin LT, Horng HC, Chang R, et al.: Gene expression of cumulus cells in women with poor ovarian response after dehydroepiandrosterone supplementation. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol*. 2014; **53** (4): 559-65 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Partly

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Gynaecologic Oncology, sialylation

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 29 April 2019

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.18625.r46684>

© 2019 Kuang Y. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Yanping Kuang

Reproductive Medicine Center, Shanghai Ninth Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

The aim of this study was to evaluate the role of LH and granulosa cell LH-R in poor responder patients who underwent COS. Then the authors analyzed the expression of LH-R in the granular cells from poor responder and non-poor responders and found the expression of LH-R mRNA had a positive correlation with oocyte quality in poor responder patients and a negative correlation in non-poor responders. The aim of this study was vague. The conclusion was not consistent with the purpose of this study and made with insufficient supporting data. The correlations between the LH-R expression and oocyte quality was established based on Spearman's test analysis. However, the oocyte quality might be correlated with age, BMI, basal FSH level, basal LH level and other factors. To analyse the correlation between the expression of LH-R and oocyte quality, multivariate logistic regression should be performed to quantify the effect of all related factors on the oocyte quality.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Partly

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Partly

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Partly

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Partly

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

No

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Endocrine disorder; Assisted reproduction technology

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Reviewer Report 01 April 2019

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.18625.r46201>

© 2019 Yung Y. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Yuval Yung

Reproduction Lab and IVF Unit, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

The manuscript by Wiweko *et al.* asks, does the LHR expression levels in mural granulosa cells correlate with embryo quality in poor/non-poor responder patients?

Their results show a positive correlation between fertilization and embryo morphology in poor responder patients and a negative correlation between fertilization and embryo morphology in non-poor responder patients. These findings are novel and are well presented.

One point of interest is missing in the discussion; LHR undergoes a sharp decrease after the LH surge (Nair *et al.*, 2002¹ and Ophir *et al.*, 2014²) and the granulosa cells examined in this study were obtained around 34 h post LH surge when LHR levels should be under suppression. This may explain the lower LHR levels found in non-poor responders that responded better to the LH surge. Further discussion in this regard should be added.

The manuscript after minor revision may be suitable for indexing.

References

1. Nair AK, Kash JC, Peegel H, Menon KM: Post-transcriptional regulation of luteinizing hormone receptor mRNA in the ovary by a novel mRNA-binding protein. *J Biol Chem*. 2002; **277** (24): 21468-73 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Ophir L, Yung Y, Maman E, Rubinstein N, et al.: Establishment and validation of a model for non-luteinized human mural granulosa cell culture. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*. 2014; **384** (1-2): 165-74 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

I cannot comment. A qualified statistician is required.

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: I'm researcher in the field of reproduction and embryology.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research