Check for updates

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Gustatory dysfunction in relation to circumvallate papilla's taste buds structure upon unilateral maxillary molar extraction in Wistar rats: an *in vivo* study [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Sana Mostafa , Heba M. Hakam , Amal El-motayam

Department of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt

V1 First published: 20 Sep 2019, 8:1667
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.19684.1>
 Latest published: 20 Sep 2019, 8:1667
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.19684.1>

Abstract

Background: The interaction between taste sensation and dentoalveolar innervation is still under research. teeth loss can alter taste thresholds in humans, but the underlying mechanisms are still obscure. This study investigated the effect of unilateral maxillary molars extraction on the structure of circumvallate papilla in rats.

Methods: Thirty-two male Wister rats, aged 3-4 months were randomly distributed into four groups (one control and 3 experimental) each including 8 animals. The rats were euthanized 3, 6 or 9 weeks following the procedure. The changes in trough length and the taste buds structure and number of both sides of CVP were investigated using routine histological examination followed by statistical analysis.

Results: the trough toward the extraction side was obviously shorter with a noticeable decrease of taste buds' number than the non-extraction side. Taste buds were reduced in size and most of them showed signs of degeneration which was more evident in group II followed by group III, less deformity detected in group IV in comparison to the preceding 2 experimental groups. the non-extraction side of all experimental groups showed normal trough length and generally normal histology of taste buds.

Conclusions: Maxillary molars extraction has a degenerative effect on the structure of taste buds and gustatory epithelium which were more marked at the extraction side and showed improvement upon elongation of follow up period

Keywords

Molars extraction, taste sensation, circumvallate papilla, taste buds

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 1		
20 Sep 2019	view	view

1. **Mahmoud M. Al-Ankily** , British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt

2. **Mahmoud M. Bakr** , Griffith University, Gold Coast, Australia

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Sana Mostafa (sanha.moustafa@dentistry.cu.edu.eg)

Author roles: **Mostafa S:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Hakam HM:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – Review & Editing; **El-motayam A:** Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2019 Mostafa S *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Mostafa S, Hakam HM and El-motayam A. **Gustatory dysfunction in relation to circumvallate papilla's taste buds structure upon unilateral maxillary molar extraction in Wistar rats: an *in vivo* study** [version 1; peer review: 2 approved] F1000Research 2019, 8:1667 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.19684.1>

First published: 20 Sep 2019, 8:1667 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.19684.1>

Introduction

Taste is an essential sensation that is mediated via taste buds (TBs) which are specialized neuroepithelial structures distributed predominantly over the dorsal surface of the tongue^{1–3}, and undergo continuous renewal throughout adult life^{4,5}.

The circumvallate papilla (CVP) is a major compartment of the gustatory system in the tongue that harbors more than 500 taste bud openings in its trough^{6,7}. This gathering of taste organs in one specified place, make it easier to detect different changes under study.

Moreover, the impact of nerve injury on fungiform taste buds appears to be less severe than on circumvallate taste buds, thus making it a good candidate to study neural variations^{8,9}.

The gustatory system is unique in that taste buds innervation is essential to taste bud cell turnover¹⁰. In the rat tongue, the single central CVP is innervated by the glossopharyngeal nerve bilaterally^{7,11}.

Taste sensation is modulated by different factors including the integration of gustatory information with other sensory modalities such as olfaction, food texture, temperature and pain (hot spices) through the trigeminal nerve¹². Other factors include nerve supply and mechanical stimuli that are affected by the integrity of masticatory apparatus¹³.

Taste signals synapse to the nucleus of the solitary tract (NST) located in the medulla¹⁴. An interaction between dental and gustatory afferent neurons in the NST has been previously discussed^{9,15–17}. In addition, teeth loss can alter taste thresholds in humans, but the underlying mechanisms are still obscure^{15,18,19}.

This interaction has clinical implications as occlusion impairment by teeth extraction (dental deafferentation) can be considered a possible cause of taste alteration¹⁵.

It was reported that after unilateral transection of glossopharyngeal nerve in rat, the TBs loss in CVP was 12% rather than a 50% which indicate bilateral innervation of the remaining taste buds²⁰. However, another study suggested that all the TBs in the rat CVP are bilaterally innervated except the upper two thirds of the outer trough walls which are innervated by ipsilateral glossopharyngeal nerve²¹.

The purpose of this study was to compare between both sides of the CVP regarding trough length and histopathological changes in the TBs structure and number secondary to unilateral maxillary molars extraction and to identify if unilateral extraction will cause damage to glossopharyngeal nerve on the same side of CVP only or may extend to the other side.

Rats were the animal of choice for this experiment because of their similarity to human anatomy regarding turnover time of taste buds, and innervation pattern of the tongue^{12,13}.

Methods

Animals

A total of 32 male Wistar rats weighing 150–200 g, aged 3–4 months were used in this study. The animals were obtained from and housed in the Animal House, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University. The animals were maintained in an air conditioned animal house under controlled room temperature $25\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ with 12/12 h light/dark cycle and were allowed unlimited access to powdered soft food and water. Rats were housed in (standard polycarbonate cages (Pretty industries, Model:CR5). Control rats were placed as 4 animals per cage, while the experimental animals were placed one per cage until wounds had fully recovered in order to control infection.

All procedures were refined to reduce the negative impact on animals including administration of anesthesia prior to the procedure and gentle handling of the animal during extraction to prevent any tissue laceration or damage. After the experiment the animals received antibiotics to prevent infection, and analgesics to manage any pain or discomfort. The cages were cleaned frequently, they were supplied with wood chips substrate, colored tubes and ropes to ensure enriched environment for the animal.

The welfare of the animals was assessed prior to, during and after the experimental period by the attending veterinarian who intervened in case of pain or distress signs according to the ethical protocols for animal treatment that were supervised by the animal facilities, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University. The study was approved by the [Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee](#), Cairo University (Approval no. CUIIS1016).

Sample size calculation

Sample size calculation based on previous research by Hsu *et al.*, 2014; that reported the difference in the trough depth between the control and experimental (28 days) groups was $28 \pm 13 \mu\text{m}$. Using power 90% and 5% significance level, 6 rats in each group was calculated to be sufficient to be able to reject the null hypothesis that the population means of the experimental and control groups are equal. That number was increased to 8 rats in each group to compensate for possible loss of animals during breeding. Sample size calculation were performed using [PS: Power and Sample Size Calculation](#) software Version 3.1.2 (Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA).

Animal grouping and study design

Rats were randomly distributed by Random Sequence Generator program (randomizer.org) into four groups (one control and 3 experimental) each including 8 animals. Implementation of the allocation was done as follow: numbers from 1 to 32 were written on folded papers that were placed in opaque sealed envelopes, matching of the rats with the numbers were done blindly through the technician in charge at the animal house, each rat was attached to its number till the end, then the numbers were opened and the rats were allocated in their groups according to the program recommendations.

The control (group I) were anesthetized without tooth extraction (sham operation) and euthanized after 3 weeks. In the experimental groups, all maxillary molars of the right side were extracted (early in the morning) under general anesthesia by an intraperitoneal injection of ketamine/xylazine (ketamine 40–100 mg/kg IP/ xylazine 5–13 mg/kg IP, Trittau, Germany) for 60–80 minutes²². Extraction were performed using Halsted Curved Mosquito Hemostatic Forceps, Germany 15921-G with the tongue retracted by a tweezer. Postoperatively, antibiotics were administered (cefotaxime 10mg/Kg IV, Eipico, Egypt) for 3 days²³. Analgesics were administered (Ketoprofen (Anafen®, Merial) 5mg/kg I.M)²⁴ once daily for up to 3 days.

Animals were euthanized with an intra-venous overdose of sodium thiopental (80mg/kg)²⁵ (Anapental 1,P2-55-011, Sigma Tec Pharma –Egypt) (as a humane method that cause rapid euthanasia with minimal discomfort to the animals) after extraction at 3 weeks (group II), 6 weeks (group III) and 9 weeks (group IV) (Table 1).

The first euthanization date for the current study (3 weeks) were assigned according to **Huang & Lu, 1996** study as they found that taste buds of the CVP were diminished 3 weeks after neurectomy²⁶. The other intervals (6 and 9 weeks) were set in order to investigate the probability of recovery from any potential damage. The CVP was dissected out according to the animal's tongue anatomy. The CVP is situated most posteriorly at the center of the base of the tongue, the site of the CVP in the dissected rat tongue was identified, then the tissue specimen was isolated by a horizontal cut anterior to the papilla and two oblique cuts posteriorly. Tissues were fixed in 10% calcium formol (No:8012-95-1, El-Gomhouria co., Egypt).

Serial 5-microns thick sections were prepared using LEICA RM2255 microtome and picked up on glass slides (No: 7101, Yancheng Jingwei Chemicals Co., Ltd-china) for histological haematoxylin and eosin staining (No:L16220, L13960, El-Gomhouria co., Egypt).

The sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated by immersing them successively for ~5 min with agitation in xylene, 100% ethanol, and 70% ethanol. Then the slides were rinsed in running tap water at room temperature for at least 2 min. The sections were stained in hematoxylin solution for 3 min then placed under running tap water for 1 min. The sections were stained in 1% eosin Y solution for 2 min. The sections were dehydrated with two consecutive immersions in 95% alcohol, then two immersions in 100% alcohol for 30 sec each. The alcohol was then extracted with two consecutive immersions in xylene²⁷.

Table 1. Animal grouping and study design.

Group	Number of animals	Intervention (Extraction)	Animal Euthanasia
Group I	8	No	3 weeks
Group II	8	Yes	3 weeks
Group III	8	Yes	6 weeks
Group IV	8	Yes	9 weeks

Blinding was applied for the primary animal care giver and the assessor of the histological and statistical results.

The specimens were examined using Leica DM300 light microscopic (Leica Microsystems, Inc., Switzerland).

Histomorphometric analysis

TBs' number for each specimen were counted using an objective lens of magnification (x40) for all groups by light microscope. TBs were marked by green crosses and counted by Image J Version:1.52p computer system (Figure 1).

The length of both trough sides for each specimen was measured using an objective lens of magnification (x10) for all groups using light microscope. The length was measured using computer system (Figure 2).

The trough opening was determined by a solid line drawn between the 2 troughs, the trough depth was marked by two lines, from top to bottom.

Figure 1. Photomicrograph demonstrating the method of taste buds counting.

Figure 2. Photomicrograph showing method of trough length measurement.

Statistical analysis

Comparisons between groups were performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). This was followed by Tukey's post hoc test for pairwise comparisons when ANOVA revealed a significant difference. Independent t test was used to compare both trough sides using **IBM SPSS** 18.0 version 21 for windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The significance level was set at $p \leq 0.05$.

Results

Histological results

Analysis of the control group samples revealed normal CVP surrounded by even trough lengths with normal TB histology and number (**Figure 3**). In groups II and III, the papillae showed slight deformity of the general outline as the trough toward the extraction side was obviously shorter with noticeable decrease of TBs' number than the non-extraction side. TBs were reduced in size and most of them showed signs of degeneration which was most evident in group II, followed by group III (**Figure 4**, **Figure 5**). A similar situation was observed in group IV with less deformity of the general outline, as the right side revealed an increase in length with apparent increase in TB number in comparison to the preceding two experimental groups. TBs exhibited nearly normal shape, with some degenerated taste cells (**Figure 6**). TBs of the non-extraction side in all experimental groups showed generally normal histology except for slight enlargement and few darkly stained nuclei (**Figure 7**). Raw microscope images are available as underlying data²⁸⁻³¹.

Statistical results

TB number and trough length variation between the two sides (extraction & non-extraction) were recorded among all experimental groups. It was noticed that non-extraction side recorded a higher mean number and length within each group, while the highest mean value was recorded in group II. This difference was not statistically significant in the controls, but was significant in groups II, III & IV ($p \leq 0.05$). (**Table 2** and **Table 3**, **Figure 8** and **Figure 9**, underlying data³²).

Figure 3. (A) Photomicrograph of control group (I) showing normal circumvallate papilla surrounded by a deep narrow trough of nearly equal length on both sides and gustatory epithelium with normal taste buds (H&E, orig. Mag.X100). (B) Higher magnification showing mature barrel shaped taste buds with taste pores, different taste cells types with prominent nuclei including supporting cells, gustatory receptor cells and basal cells (H&E, orig. Mag.X1000). H&E - haematoxylin and eosin, Mag - magnification.

Figure 4. (A) Photomicrograph of group (II) showing deformity of the papilla general outline with shorter troughs toward the extraction side with fewer taste buds, and normal trough length toward the non-extraction side containing increased taste buds number (H&E, orig. Mag.X100). (B) Higher magnification showing immature taste buds toward the extraction side arranged at different levels and decreased in size with signs of degeneration (H&E, orig. Mag.X1000). H&E - haematoxylin and eosin, Mag - magnification.

Figure 5. (A) Photomicrograph of group (III) showing shorter trough length toward the extraction side with decreased number of taste buds, focal area of inflammatory cells infiltration beneath the shorter trough and normal taste buds number in the other trough (H&E, orig. Mag.X100). (B) Higher magnification showing extraction side with decreased taste bud number with darkly stained nuclei (H&E, orig. Mag.X1000). H&E - haematoxylin and eosin, Mag - magnification.

Figure 6. (A) Photomicrograph of group (IV) showing trough toward the extraction side was nearly the same length as the other side, with increased number of taste buds in comparison to previous experimental groups (H&E, orig. Mag.X100). (B) Higher magnification of extraction side showing small size-buds with a few darkly stained nuclei (H&E, orig. Mag.X1000). H&E - haematoxylin and eosin, Mag - magnification.

Figure 7. Taste buds of the non-extraction side in all experimental groups (**A**) group (II), (**B**) group (III) and (**C**) group (IV) showing generally normal histology except for slight enlargement (in groups II & III) and a few darkly stained nuclei (H&E, orig. Mag.X1000). H&E - haematoxylin and eosin, Mag - magnification.

Table 2. Comparison of taste bud number between right and left side within the same group (independent t test).

		Mean	SD	Mean difference	Std error of difference	t	P
Group I(Control)	Right	12.88	2.42	-0.750	1.186	-0.632	0.537 NS
	Left	13.63	2.33				
Group II(3weeks)	Right	6.63	1.92	-7.38	1.19	-6.175	0.00*
	Left	14.00	2.78				
Group III(6weeks)	Right	8.13	2.03	-3.00	0.90	-3.319	0.005*
	Left	11.13	1.55				
Group IV(9weeks)	Right	8.63	2.72	-3.00	1.16	-2.579	0.024*
	Left	11.63	1.85				

Significance level $p < 0.05$, *significant, NS=non significant

Table 3. Comparison of length of troughs (μm) between right and left side within the same group (independent t test).

		Mean	SD	Mean difference	Std error of difference	t	P
Group I(Control)	Right	289.17	36.75	-0.322	16.916	-0.019	0.985NS
	Left	289.49	30.64				
Group II(3weeks)	Right	235.09	44.34	-125.65	23.38	-5.375	0.00*
	Left	360.73	49.05				
Group III(6weeks)	Right	211.49	47.71	-78.72	26.21	-3.003	0.01*
	Left	290.20	56.75				
Group IV(9weeks)	Right	272.29	38.47	-61.01	16.34	-3.735	0.002*
	Left	333.30	25.60				

Significance level $p < 0.05$, *significant

Figure 8. Bar chart showing mean number of taste buds for both sides of troughs in different groups. (blue columns for the extraction side and green columns for non-extraction side).

Figure 9. Bar chart showing mean trough length (μm) in the both sides in different groups. (blue columns for the extraction side and green columns for non-extraction side).

Discussion

Taste is a highly dynamic and complex process. The interaction between gustation and somatosensation of the tongue is still under investigation¹³. The consequences of dental deafferentation as one of the possible causes of taste disorders, is unclear and therefore an area for further investigation.

The current results are in accordance with **Hsu *et al.*, 2014** who observed decreased trough lengths with fewer TB numbers of the CVP 28 days following bilateral maxillary molars extraction in rats¹³. They suggested that this might be due to peripheral or central neuroplastic changes induced by dental deafferentation. Similarly, **Braud *et al.*, 2012** uncovered the interaction between some of dental afferents from the trigeminal nerve & gustatory neurons in the NST¹⁶.

The decreased TBs number toward the extraction side in the current study was in agreement with **Huang & Lu, 1996** who studied unilateral glossopharyngeal nerve neurectomy, and concluded that the taste buds on the same side of the CVP decreased significantly in number during the first two weeks, and disappeared completely by week three²⁶. Moreover, **Ichimori *et al.*, 2009** reported that denervation of gustatory epithelium causes apoptosis in all types of taste buds, resulting in rapid degeneration³³.

On the other hand, **Guth 1963** found that following unilateral glossopharyngeal nerve transection in the CVP of rats, the mean loss of taste buds in the outer trench walls was greater on the operated than the unoperated sides. However, they found that this difference was not statistically significant²⁰. **Guagliardo & Hill, 2007** demonstrated that TBs of fungiform papilla on the uncut side increased in size³⁴. This might explain enlarged TBs on the non-extraction side.

The highest mean values were recorded in group II towards the non-extraction side, which could be explained as a compensatory mechanism for the damage that has occurred at the other side.

Improved results observed in group IV regarding trough length and TBs' number are probably due to the recovery of the gustatory epithelium with time. This is in agreement with **Lim & Green, 2008** who postulated that nerve damage in certain region would augment remaining taste signals in the neighboring area³⁵.

Study limitations may include that the process of counting TBs, which needs to be more precise, as the same taste bud may appear in different sections, like counting the total number of taste buds per papilla by scoring only TBs of maximum dimensions. A more accurate investigation methods could be used in further studies rather than mere TBs counting, like using reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for detection of the expression of certain genes.

The current study has clinical implications, as it identified a correlation between normal occlusion and taste sensation, and it could be considered as an aid in explaining the etiology of taste

loss. According to the current study results, it is important to screen subjects for dental status when planning taste studies, especially in sensory analyses. Subjects with recent multiple extractions should not be included in such studies as taste sensation is likely to have been affected. These findings also could help to distinguish the effect of normal aging from disease-related changes in taste perception.

The current study provides a step forward in predicting the effect of molar extraction and the impact of unilateral glossopharyngeal damage on taste sensation in humans. However, further investigations and observational studies are needed to prove that connection.

Conclusions

Maxillary molars extraction has a degenerative effect on the structure of taste buds and the gustatory epithelium which were more marked at the extraction side, and showed improvement upon elongation of follow up period.

Data availability

Underlying data

Harvard Dataverse: Dataset1. Control group (group I). <https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/UZ9OL0>²⁸

This project contains the following underlying data:

- c1.tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of control sample 1)
- c1a.tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of control sample 1)
- c1b.tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of control sample 1)
- c2.tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of control sample 2)
- c2a.tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of control sample 2)
- c2b.tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of control sample 2)
- c3.tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of control sample 3)
- c3a.tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of control sample 3)
- c3b.tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of control sample 3)
- c4.tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of control sample 4)
- c4a.tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of control sample 4)
- c4b.tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of control sample 4)
- c5.tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of control sample 5)

- c5a.tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of control sample 5)
- c5b.tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of control sample 5)
- c6.tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of control sample 6)
- c6a.tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of control sample 6)
- c6b.tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of control sample 6)
- c7.tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of control sample 7)
- c7a.tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of control sample 7)
- c7b.tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of control sample 7)
- c8.tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of control sample 8)
- c8a.tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of control sample 8)
- c8b.tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of control sample 8)
- control x1000a.tif (Microscope image, 100x magnification of control sample)
- control x1000b.tif (Microscope image, 100x magnification of control sample)
- g2-2(10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group II sample 2)
- g2-2(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group II sample 2 of extraction side)
- g2-2(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group II sample 2 of non-extraction side)
- g2-3(10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group II sample 3)
- g2-3(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group II sample 3 of extraction side)
- g2-3(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group II sample 3 of non-extraction side)
- g2-4(10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group II sample 4)
- g2-4(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group II sample 4 of extraction side)
- g2-4(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group II sample 4 of extraction side)
- g2-5(10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group II sample 5)
- g2-5(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group II sample 5 of extraction side)
- g2-5(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group II sample 5 of non-extraction side)
- g2-6(10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group II sample 6)
- g2-6(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group II sample 6 of extraction side)
- g2-6(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group II sample 6 of non-extraction side)
- g2-7(10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group II sample 7)
- g2-7(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group II sample 7 of extraction side)
- g2-7(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group II sample 7 of non-extraction side)
- g2-8(10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group II sample 8)
- g2-8(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group II sample 8 of extraction side)
- g2-8(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group II sample 8 of non-extraction side)

Harvard Dataverse: Dataset2. Group II samples. <https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/FOFV89>²⁹

This project contains the following underlying data:

- g2 (100x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 100x magnification of group II sample of extraction side)
- g2 (100x) ex.a.tif (Microscope image, 100x magnification of group II sample of extraction side)
- g2 (100x) ex.b.tif (Microscope image, 100x magnification of group II sample of extraction side)
- g2 (100x) non ex.a.tif (Microscope image, 100x magnification of group II sample of extraction side)
- g2 (100x) non ex.b.tif (Microscope image, 100x magnification of group II sample of extraction side)
- g2-1(10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group II sample 1)
- g2-1(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group II sample 1 of extraction side)
- g2-1(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group II sample 1 of non-extraction side)

Harvard Dataverse: Dataset3. Group III samples. <https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/KYNFDS>³⁰

This project contains the following underlying data:

- g3 (100x) ex.1.tif (Microscope image, 100x magnification of group III sample of extraction side)
- g3 (100x) ex.2.tif (Microscope image, 100x magnification of group III sample of extraction side)
- g3 (100x) ex.3.tif (Microscope image, 100x magnification of group III sample of extraction side)
- g3 (100x) non ex.1.tif (Microscope image, 100x magnification of group III sample of non-extraction side)
- g3 (100x) nonex.2.tif (Microscope image, 100x magnification of group III sample of non-extraction side)
- g3-1 (10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group III sample 1)
- g3-1(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group III sample 1 of extraction side)
- g3-1(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group III sample 1 of non-extraction side)
- g3-2 (10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group III sample 2)
- g3-2(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group III sample 2 of extraction side)
- g3-2(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group III sample 2 of non-extraction side)
- g3-3 (10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group III sample 3)
- g3-3(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group III sample 3 of extraction side)
- g3-3(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group III sample 3 of non-extraction side)
- g3-4 (10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group III sample 4)
- g3-4(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group III sample 4 of extraction side)
- g3-4(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group III sample 4 of non-extraction side)
- g3-5 (10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group III sample 5)
- g3-5(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group III sample 5 of extraction side)
- g3-5(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group III sample 5 of non-extraction side)
- g3-6 (10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group III sample 6)
- g3-6(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group III sample 6 of extraction side)
- g3-6(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group III sample 6 of non-extraction side)
- g3-7 (10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group III sample 7)
- g3-7(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group III sample 7 of extraction side)
- g3-7(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group III sample 7 of non-extraction side)
- g3-8 (10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group III sample 8)
- g3-8(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group III sample 8 of extraction side)
- g3-8(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group III sample 8 of non-extraction side)

Harvard Dataverse: Dataset4. Group IV samples. <https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/OLZFOL>³¹

This project contains the following underlying data:

- g4 (100x) ex.1.tif (Microscope image, 100x magnification of group IV sample of extraction side)
- g4 (100x) ex.2.tif (Microscope image, 100x magnification of group IV sample of extraction side)
- g4 (100x) non ex.1.tif (Microscope image, 100x magnification of group IV sample of non-extraction side)
- g4 (100x) non ex.2.tif (Microscope image, 100x magnification of group IV sample of non-extraction side)
- g4-1 (10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group IV sample 1)
- g4-1(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group IV sample 1 of extraction side)
- g4-1(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group I V sample 1 of non-extraction side)
- g4-2 (10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group IV sample 2)
- g4-2(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group IV sample 2 of extraction side)
- g4-2(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group IV sample 2 of non-extraction side)

- g4-3 (10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group IV sample 3)
 - g4-3(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group IV sample 3 of extraction side)
 - g4-3(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group IV sample 3 of non- extraction side)
 - g4-4 (10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group IV sample 4)
 - g4-4(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group IV sample 4 of extraction side)
 - g4-4(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group IV sample 4 of non-extraction side)
 - g4-5 (10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group IV sample 5)
 - g4-5(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group IV sample 5 of extraction side)
 - g4-5(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group IV sample 5 of non-extraction side)
 - g4-6 (10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group IV sample 6)
 - g4-6(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group IV sample 6 of extraction side)
 - g4-6(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group IV sample 6 of non-extraction side)
 - g4-7 (10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group IV sample 7)
 - g4-7(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group IV sample 7 of extraction side)
 - g4-7(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group IV sample 7 of non-extraction side)
 - g4-8 (10x).tif (Microscope image, 10x magnification of group IV sample 8)
 - g4-8(40x) ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group IV sample 8 of extraction side)
 - g4-8(40x) non ex..tif (Microscope image, 40x magnification of group IV sample 8 of non-extraction side)
- Harvard Dataverse: main manuscript data. <https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/9IA7CS³²>
- This project contains the following underlying data:
- trough length.tab (Excel spreadsheet of measured trough lengths)
 - taste buds number.tab (Excel spreadsheet of recorded taste bud numbers)
- Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

References

- Chaudhari N, Roper SD: **The cell biology of taste.** *J Cell Biol.* 2010; **190**(3): 285–296.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Fernstrom JD, Munger SD, Sclafani A, *et al.*: **Mechanisms for sweetness.** *J Nutr.* 2012; **142**(6): 1134S–1141S.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Iwasaki S, Yoshizawa H, Kawahara I: **Study by scanning electron microscopy of the morphogenesis of three types of lingual papilla in the rat.** *Anat Rec.* 1997; **247**(4): 528–541.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Barlow LA: **Progress and renewal in gustation: new insights into taste bud development.** *Development.* 2015; **142**(21): 3620–3629.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Feng P, Huang L, Wang H: **Taste bud homeostasis in health, disease, and aging.** *Chem Senses.* 2014; **39**(1): 3–16.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Bradley RM, Mistretta CM, Nagai T: **Demonstration of sensory innervation of rat tongue with anterogradely transported horseradish peroxidase.** *Brain Res.* 1986; **367**(1–2): 364–367.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Oakley B, Witt M: **Building sensory receptors on the tongue.** *J Neurocytol.* 2004; **33**(6): 631–646.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Guagliardo NA, Hill DL: **Fungiform taste bud degeneration in C57BL/6J mice following chorda-lingual nerve transection.** *J Comp Neurol.* 2007; **504**(2): 206–216.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Miura H, Scott JK, Harada S, *et al.*: **Sonic hedgehog-expressing basal cells are general post-mitotic precursors of functional taste receptor cells.** *Dev Dyn.* 2014; **243**(10): 1286–1297.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Meng L, Ohman-Gault L, Ma L, *et al.*: **Taste Bud-Derived BDNF Is Required to Maintain Normal Amounts of Innervation to Adult Taste Buds.** *eNeuro.* 2015; **2**(6): 1–20, pii: ENEURO.0097-15.2015.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Mistretta CM, Goosens KA, Farinas I, *et al.*: **Alterations in size, number, and morphology of gustatory papillae and taste buds in BDNF null mutant mice demonstrate neural dependence of developing taste organs.** *J Comp Neurol.* 1999; **409**(1): 13–24.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Yarmolinsky DA, Zuker CS, Ryba NJ: **Common sense about taste: from mammals to insects.** *Cell.* 2009; **139**(2): 234–244.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Hsu JC, Watari I, Ono R, *et al.*: **Degeneration of fungiform and circumvallate papillae following molar extraction in rats.** *Acta Odontol Scand.* 2014; **72**(8): 880–886.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Purves D, Augustine GJ, Fitzpatrick D, *et al.*: *Neuroscience.* 2004; **3**. doi:978-0878937257.
- Boucher Y, Berteretche MV, Farhang F, *et al.*: **Taste deficits related to dental deafferentation: an electrogustometric study in humans.** *Eur J Oral Sci.* 2006; **114**(6): 456–464.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Braud A, Vandenbeuch A, Zerari-Mailly F, *et al.*: **Dental afferents project onto gustatory neurons in the nucleus of the solitary tract.** *J Dent Res.* 2012; **91**(2): 215–220.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Avivi-Arber L, Seltzer Z, Friedel M, *et al.*: **Widespread Volumetric Brain Changes**

- following Tooth Loss in Female Mice. *Front Neuroanat.* 2017; **10**: 121.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
18. Meng L, Jiang X, Ji R: **Role of neurotrophin in the taste system following gustatory nerve injury.** *Metab Brain Dis.* 2015; **30**(3): 605–613.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 19. Shafer DM, Frank ME, Gent JF, *et al.*: **Gustatory function after third molar extraction.** *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 1999; **87**(4): 419–428.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 20. Guthi L: **Histological changes following partial denervation of the circumvallate papilla of the rat.** *Exp Neurol.* 1963; **8**(4): 336–349.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 21. State FA: **Histological changes following unilateral re-innervation of the circumvallate papilla of rat.** *Acta Anat (Basel).* 1977; **98**(3): 343–352.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 22. **IACUC Anesthesia Guideline at the University of Iowa.**
[Reference Source](#)
 23. Coombes JD: **Metabolism of cefotaxime in animals and humans.** *Rev Infect Dis.* 1982; **4 Suppl**: S325–S332.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 24. UBC Animal Care Guidelines: **SOP: UBC ACC TECH 18 Ketoprofen.**
 25. Close B, Banister K, Baumans V, *et al.*: **Recommendations for euthanasia of experimental animals: Part 2. DGXT of the European Commission.** *Lab Anim.* 1997; **31**(1): 1–32.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 26. Huang YJ, Lu KS: **Unilateral innervation of guinea pig vallate taste buds as determined by glossopharyngeal neurectomy and HRP neural tracing.** *J Anat.* 1996; **189**(Pt 2): 315–324.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 27. Fischer AH, Jacobson KA, Rose J, *et al.*: **Hematoxylin and eosin staining of tissue and cell sections.** *CSH Protoc.* 2008; **2008**: pdb.prot4986.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 28. Moustafa Hussein, Sanha: **“dataset1”.** Harvard Dataverse, V1. 2019.
<http://www.doi.org/10.7910/DVN/UZ9OLO>
 29. Moustafa Hussein, Sanha: **“dataset2”.** Harvard Dataverse, V1. 2019.
<http://www.doi.org/10.7910/DVN/FOFV89>
 30. Moustafa Hussein, Sanha: **“dataset 3”.** Harvard Dataverse, V1. 2019.
<http://www.doi.org/10.7910/DVN/KYNFDS>
 31. Moustafa Hussein, Sanha: **“dataset 4”.** Harvard Dataverse, V1. 2019.
<http://www.doi.org/10.7910/DVN/OLZF0L>
 32. Almogheer, Sana: **“main manuscript data”.** Harvard Dataverse, V2. 2019.
<http://www.doi.org/10.7910/DVN/9IA7CS>
 23. Ichimori Y, Ueda K, Okada H, *et al.*: **Histochemical changes and apoptosis in degenerating taste buds of the rat circumvallate papilla.** *Arch Histol Cytol.* 2009; **72**(2): 91–100.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 34. Guagliardo NA, Hill DL: **Fungiform taste bud degeneration in C57BL/6J mice following chorda-lingual nerve transection.** *J Comp Neurol.* 2007; **504**(2): 206–216.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 35. Lim J, Green BG: **Tactile interaction with taste localization: influence of gustatory quality and intensity.** *Chem Senses.* 2008; **33**(2): 137–143.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 07 January 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.21589.r57946>

© 2020 Bakr M. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Mahmoud M. Bakr

School of Dentistry and Oral Health, Griffith University, Gold Coast, Qld, Australia

Abstract:

Some typos were evident, first line (teeth) should start with an upper case T as it is a new sentence. The same applies to (the) at the beginning of the results section.

Also in the results section the authors report findings from groups II, III and IV without any previous definition of what each group consist of. The abstract should be readable as a separate entity without having to refer to the full article.

In conclusions (which were more marked) should read (which was more marked) as it refers to the degenerative effect. Alternatively, the first sentence in the conclusions section should read "Maxillary molars extraction has degenerative effects...."

Introduction:

This section is well written but needs to be structured and reformatted into paragraphs rather than isolated sentences.

Methods:

First paragraph, there is an extra bracket () before standard polycarbonate cages that needs to be deleted.

Results:

Describing deformity of taste buds is very subjective and a more objective way of defining the degree of deformity is needed.

Figure 4A is of a very poor quality and there are some concerns that it does not belong to this experiment at all due to different light conditions and degree/type of stain. It seems like a textbook image rather than an experimental one. Please replace this image.

Unfortunately, nothing was reported about the differences between the four groups using a one-

way ANOVA. Even if there were no significant findings, it should still be reported.

For the independent t-test results, please report the actual P value and standard deviations rather than ($P < 0.05$). This is important to inform the readers about the degree of variability within your samples and the level of statistical significance.

Discussion:

It is recommended to discuss the difference that extraction of lower molars and wisdom teeth (in humans) would make to taste sensation as well.

Conclusions:

It is misleading to report that extraction of maxillary molars had a degenerative effect on circumvallate papillae in general as no data from this study supports this statement. The data from this study only shows degeneration in comparison to the non-extraction side. Also the claim that the degeneration improves by time is only an observation and is not supported by statistical analysis (No data from the one way ANOVA) was presented.

The conclusions need to be rewritten both in the abstract and the full article text as it is over presenting the limited findings obtained from this study.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Oral Biology

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 07 October 2019

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.21589.r54179>

© 2019 Al-Ankily M. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Mahmoud M. Al-Ankily

Oral Biology Department, Faculty of Dentistry, British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt

This article by Sana Mostafa *et al.* examines Gustatory dysfunction in relation to circumvallate papilla's taste buds structure upon unilateral maxillary molar extraction in Wistar rats. The study, although it is simple, adds knowledge to the literature.

Minor comments would help to improve the impact of this paper:

Title:

Gustatory dysfunction in relation to circumvallate papilla's taste buds structure upon unilateral **maxillary molar** extraction in Wistar rats but in **Methods** you said (all maxillary molars of the right side were extracted) so you may change it to **maxillary molars**.

Introduction:

An interaction between dental and gustatory afferent neurons in the NST has been **previously discussed** please add a hint about it

Methods:

In the experimental groups, all maxillary molars of the right side were extracted (early in the morning) why? Is the time of extraction make a significant difference.

The tongue retracted by a tweezer. Postoperatively, antibiotics were administrated (cefotaxime 10mg/Kg IV, Eipico, Egypt) for 3 days²³. Analgesics were administrated (Ketoprofen (Anafen®, Merial) 5mg/kg I.M)²⁴ once daily for up to 3 days did you do that with the control group? If not how to be sure that the medications does not affect the experiment.

Results:

Figures from 2 to 6: please clarify which side is the extracted molars side and adjust the angulation of the CVP (figure 3 and 5) to make it easy to compare between the 2 sides of the trough.

Discussion

Further investigations of the nerve supply under TBs of both sides using immunohistochemistry is recommended.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

I cannot comment. A qualified statistician is required.

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Oral biology, oral histology, immunohistochemistry, oral anatomy and dentistry

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research