RESEARCH ARTICLE

In silico analysis of a major allergen from *Rattus norvegicus*, Rat n 1, and cross-reactivity with domestic pets [version 1; peer review: 1 approved with reservations]

Marlon Munera ¹, Neyder Contreras ¹, Andres Sánchez ^{1,2}, Jorge Sánchez ², Yuliana Emiliani ¹

¹Medical Research Group (GINUMED) University Corporation Rafael Nuñez, Cartagena, Colombia

²Group of Clinical and Experimental Allergy (GACE), IPS Universitaria, University of Antioquia, Medellín, Colombia

V1 First published: 01 Oct 2019, 8:1707
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.20534.1>
 Latest published: 12 Dec 2019, 8:1707
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.20534.2>

Abstract

Background: Lipocalins play a role in the cellular trafficking of pheromones and are involved in allergic responses to domestic pets. However, the cross-reactivity among allergens of this group has been poorly explored, and the pheromone linking capacity is not well characterized. The aim of this study was to explore cross-reactive epitopes and pheromone linking capacity among Rat n 1 and homologues in domestic pets through an *in silico* approach.

Methods: ElliPro and BepiPred *in silico* tools were used to predict B cell linear and cross-reactive epitopes. The pheromone linking capacity was explored by docking virtual screening with 2-ethylhexanol, 2,5-dimethylpyrazine, 2-sec-butyl-4,5-dihydrothiazole, and 2-heptanone ligands.

Results: According to the analysis, Rat n 1 shares 52% identity with Equ c 1, Can f 6, Fel d 4, and Mus m 1 allergens. The overlapping structures assay revealed high structural homology (root mean square deviation < 1). Four lineal and three discontinuous epitopes were predicted on Ra t n 1. A lineal epitope located between amino acids residues 24 and 36 was highly conserved on all allergens explored. A cross-reactive discontinuous epitope (T142, K143, D144, L145, S146, S147, D148, K152, L170, T171, T173, D174) was also found. Docking molecular simulations revealed the active site, and we identified the properties of the binding of four pheromones and the binding potential of Rat n 1. Critical residues for interactions are reported in this study.

Conclusions: We identified some possible allergens from *Rattus norvegicus*, and those allergens could have cross-reactivity with allergens from some animals. The results need to be confirmed with *in vitro* studies and could be utilized to contribute to immunotherapy and reduce allergic diseases related to lipocalins.

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 2		
(revision)
12 Dec 2019	view	view

version 1
01 Oct 2019	view	

1. Anna Pomés , Indoor Biotechnologies Inc., Charlottesville, USA

2. Enrique Fernandez-Caldas, Immunotek SL, Madrid, Spain
 University of South Florida, Tampa, USA

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Keywords

Allergen, lipocalin, cross-reactivity, docking, in silico

Corresponding author: Marlon Munera (marmunera@gmail.com)

Author roles: **Munera M:** Data Curation, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Contreras N:** Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology; **Sánchez A:** Supervision, Validation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Sánchez J:** Data Curation, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Emiliani Y:** Formal Analysis, Project Administration, Writing – Original Draft Preparation

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2019 Munera M *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Munera M, Contreras N, Sánchez A *et al.* *In silico analysis of a major allergen from *Rattus norvegicus*, Rat n 1, and cross-reactivity with domestic pets [version 1; peer review: 1 approved with reservations]* F1000Research 2019, 8:1707 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.20534.1>

First published: 01 Oct 2019, 8:1707 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.20534.1>

Introduction

Lipocalins are among the most important indoor/outdoor groups of animal allergens. For some, the protein structure has been resolved, but their functions are still elusive. Lipocalins generally display a low sequence identity between family members¹, but the lipocalin allergens are usually well preserved and can present similar patches that, in addition to serum albumins, may contribute to allergic cross-reactions among furry animals²⁻⁴. Rodents, especially mice and rats, are cosmopolitan species present in rural, periurban, and urban areas, and are most often considered as pests. In addition, the presence of these species as pets in homes and their permanent use as animal models in research laboratories have allowed constant exposure to their allergens, which is an important source of sensitization^{5,6}.

So far, only one rat allergen has been described, Rat n 1, which is a lipocalin formed by two fractions, a prealbumin and an α -2U-globulin, secreted by the liver and found in high concentrations in urine, but also in saliva and fur^{7,8}. Among patients allergic to rats, 73% and 87% reacted to Rat n 1 in dust⁹. This molecule is glycosylated and, up to now, was known to have two isoforms: Rat n 1.01 (21 kDa) and Rat n 1.02 (17 kDa). Its structure is like a conventional lipocalin with eight antiparallel β chains forming a single beta sheet and an α helix to create a pocket for ligand binding, very similar to that of other allergens, such as Mus m 1, the mouse's main allergen, with a highly conserved identity^{5,10,11}. Four regions with potential immunodominant T cell epitopes have been described, and three of these are co-localized with the conserved regions of lipocalin, similar to the epitopes found in Bos d 2. No B cell epitopes have been reported for Rat n 1¹².

Although its structure and sensitization capacity have been well described, little is known about its biological functions and how these may be related to hypersensitivity mediated by an IgE measured response and cross-reactivity with the main allergens of the most common domestic animals, dogs, cats, and horses^{13,14}. Therefore, the objective of this study was to explore cross-reactive epitopes among Rat n 1 and homologues in domestic pets through an *in silico* approach.

Methods

Selection of lipocalins and alignment

The amino acid sequences of lipocalins from 5 domestic animals (Rat n 1, Mus m 1, Fel d 4, Can f 6, and Equ c 1) were selected based on the reported allergenic and phylogenetic capacity¹⁵. The sequences were obtained from the [UniProt database](#) (Table 1). Sequences that were reported by the World Health Organization (WHO)/International Union of Immunological Societies (IUIS) Allergen Nomenclature Subcommittee and had complete sequences were used. Identity grades among lipocalins used in this study were determined by using the [PRALINE web server](#)¹⁶. Parameters to perform alignment were set up to use BLOSUM62 as an exchange matrix. Three iterations were used, with an E-value of 0.01. Structural homology and root mean square deviation values were determined using [UCSF Chimera](#) (V. 1.13.1) and [PDB Viewer software](#) (v.4.10)¹⁷.

Table 1. Allergens used in the study.

Allergen	Biological Source	Uniprot
Rat n 1	Rattus norvegicus (Rat)	P02761
Can f 6	Canis familiaris (dog)	H2B3G5
Equ c 1	Equus caballus (domestic horse)	Q95182
Fel d 4	Felis domesticus (cat)	Q5VFH6
Mus m 1	Mus musculus (mouse)	P02762

Construction of 3D model

A model of the Fel d 4 allergen was made by homology using the [SWISS-MODEL server](#). The quality of the model was analyzed by [ProSA-web](#). The model was refined in [DeepView v.4.1](#) (energy minimization and rotamer replacements). Its quality was evaluated by several tools, including Ramachandran graphs, WHATIF, QMEAN4 index, and energy values (GROMOS96 force field). Three-dimensional structures of Rat n 1, Mus m 1, Can f 6, and Equ c 1 were retrieved from the [Protein Data Bank](#).

B epitope prediction

[ElliPro](#) and [BepiPred](#) tools were used to predict discontinuities and linear epitopes on Rat n 1¹⁸. With ElliPro, the 3D structure of Rat n 1 was used to predict epitopes. Minimum score and maximum distance (Angstrom) were set to 0.5 and 6.

Preparation of receptors and ligands

Preparation of receptors and ligands was carried out using the freely available [Discovery Studio Visualizer 2016](#). Treatment of the receptors consisted of extracting the ligand and eliminating water molecules and cofactors with which their crystalline structures are resolved, followed by preparation of the ligands, making corrections in the structures, generating variations, and eliminating unwanted structures. Adding hydrogen atoms, neutralizing charged groups, generating ionization and tautomer states, obtaining alternative chiralities, and optimizing geometries were carried out.

Docking molecular of Rat n 1 and pheromones

Using molecules identified as pheromones and the 3-dimensional molecular modeling of odorant binding protein (OBP1), docking studies were performed using [SwissDock](#) based on EADock DSS, in the following stages: (1) generation of binding modes in local and blind docking, (2) estimation of CHARMM force field energies with GRID, (3) binding of modes with the most favorable energies with FACTS and clusters, and (4) visualization of the most favorable clusters. The best-scoring docked models exhibiting the best superposition with ligands and lowest binding energy were analyzed and visualized with Chimera (V.1.13.1).

Conservation analysis

The Rat n 1 3D structure was submitted to the [ConSurf server](#) in order to generate evolutionarily related conservation scores to help to identify functional regions in the proteins.

Functional and structural critical residues in Rat n 1 sequence were confirmed by the ConSeq server.

Results

Rat n 1 and lipocalins exhibited identity and structural homology

Multiple alignment among amino acid sequences from Rat n 1, Can f 6, Equ c 1, Fel d 4, and Mus m 1 was performed. A 62% identity was identified among sequences compared. Residues located on positions 29 to 73 showed the highest identity. The sequence alignments of the lipocalins showed that identical residues formed short continuous segments (Figure 1). A comparison of the secondary structural elements of Rat n 1 with the structures listed in Table 1 revealed backbone atomic RMSD values between 0.3 and 0.95 Å, with Mus m 1 showing the most closely related structure and sequence homology to Rat n 1. For all structures analyzed, the closest structural

homology was found on the α -helical amino acid sequence spanning region on Rat n 1 containing nine conserved residues (IKEKFAK-L) (Figure 2). While these proteins showed the same overall fold change, some detailed structures contained differences, such as major structural differences located on loop regions for all allergens in this study.

Linear and discontinuous epitopes were predicted on lipocalins

Using ElliPro and BepiPred servers, four lineal and three discontinuous epitopes on Rat n 1 were predicted (Table 2 and Table 3). The first and third epitopes were located on α -helices, spanning residues 158–165 and 141–148 (Figure 3). Both epitopes had a surface area of 300 Å.

The third epitope was identified as being cross-reactive among Rat n 1, Mus m 1, Equ c 1, Can f 6, and Fel d 4. From all

Figure 1. Multiple alignment among lipocalins from domestic animals. Lipocalins share a 62% of identity in their amino acid sequences.

Figure 2. Root mean square deviation (RMSD) analysis showing structural homology among allergen Rat n 1 and homologous. (A) Rat n 1 (Blue) and Mus m 1 (Brown). (B) Rat n 1 and Fel d 4 (Green). (C) Rat n 1 and Equ c 1 (Violet). (D) Rat n 1 and Can f 6 (Orange). RMSD values are shown in Å.

Table 2. Lineal epitopes predicted by ElliPro and BepiPred servers. LE (Lineal epitope).

Epitope	Start	End	Peptide	Number of residues	Score
LE1	158	165	EAHGITRD	8	0.715
LE2	91	97	YKTPEDG	7	0.679
LE3	141	148	RTKDLSSD	8	0.659
LE4	24	36	STRGNLDVAKLNG	13	0.608

Table 3. Discontinuous Epitopes predicted by Ellipro. LE (Discontinuous Epitope).

Epitope	Residues	Number of residues	Score
DE1	R76, K78, E79, N80, G81, E82, C83, R84, E85, C176	10	0.839
DE2	E102, Y103, D104, G105, F127, K128, N129, G130, E131, T132	10	0.755
DE3	T142, K143, D144, L145, S146, S147, D148, K152, L170, T171, T173, D174	12	0.596

Figure 3. Lineal epitopes predicted on Rat n 1. (A–C) Surface models showing areas covered by predicted epitopes 1–4. (D–F) Cartoon models showing location of predicted epitopes on 3D structure.

residues conforming with mapped epitopes, 80% were conserved and surface exposed among lipocalins analyzed in this study. The second and fourth epitopes were located on loop regions, spanning residues 91–97 and 24–36 with surface areas of 262 and 487 Å², respectively (Figure 3). Conservative analysis indicated that both regions were highly conserved in the lipocalin family (Figure 4). According to ConSurf analysis, the region covering the second lineal epitope is conserved among the lipocalin family.

The first and second discontinuous epitopes were constituted 10 amino acid residues with a surface area of 375 Å²; the first discontinuous epitope was distributed on G-H and F-E β-strands and loops connecting them, whereas the third epitope was mapped to an α-helical, the same region where the first lineal epitope was located (Figure 5). This epitope contained 12 amino acid residues, and of these, 85% were surface exposed with a surface area of 487 Å².

Rat n 1 and homologues share residues involved in pheromone ligand binding

Docking molecular simulations were conducted to reveal the active sites, identify the binding properties of four pheromones

and the binding potential of Rat n 1. In the docked complexes (Figure 6 and Figure 7), the central region of the corresponding structures indicated a step involving cleavage of the protein with aromatic amino acids, specifically Tyr139, Tyr103, Phe73, Phe75, and Phe122. This docked position revealed that the aliphatic structures, pyrazine derivatives, and 2-sec-butyl-4,5-dihydrothiazole (SBT) had the lowest bond energies, and the least in 2-heptanone and 2-ethylhexanol (Table 4). Likewise, in 2,5-dimethylpyrazine the interactions of aromatic and aliphatic residues such as Met61, Leu88, Val101, Val137, and Tyr139 are described, which maintain binding to the pyrazine ring and methyl substituents in C2 and C5 (Figure 6A–B). In the case of pheromones such as 2-ethylhexanol, a structural arrangement at the site was shown to be in contact with the aromatic and hydroxyl groups in the structure, which were shown in residues as Phe73, Phe109, Phe122 and Tyr139, not greater than 3.3 Å in angular distance (Figure 6C). The SBT structure was in a specific orientation with the thiazoline ring in the proximal opening of the active site. Likewise, the presence of hydrophobic interactions with apolar and aromatic residues with SBT has been established, in which alkyl-type bonds and π-alkyl are described in Met57, Val59, Leu88, and Leu124 with the thiazoline ring and structural

Figure 4. Phylogenetic analysis of lipocalins by using Consurf. (A–C) Ribbon models showing conserved region among lipocalins. (D–F) Surface models showing conserved region among lipocalins. (G–I) Cartoon models showing linear epitopes predicted.

Figure 5. (A, B) Surface models showing discontinuous epitopes predicted by Ellipro server. (C–E) Cartoon models showing location of residues conforming epitopes discontinues.

Figure 6. Docking analysis of pheromones – Rat n 1. A detailed view showed the docked complex with the key amino acids involved in the active site of Rat n 1 with pheromones. **(A)** Docked complex of Rat n 1 – 2,5-Dimethylpyrazine (DMP). **(B)** Docked complex of Rat n 1 – 2-Ethylhexanol. **(C)** Docked complex of Rat n 1 – 2-Heptanone. **(D)** Docked complex of Rat n 1 – 2-sec-butyl-4,5-dihydrothiazole (SBT).

Figure 7. Amino acids residues of Rat n 1 and its interactions with pheromones.

Table 4. Binding energies for docking: molecular Rat n 1 pheromones.

Ligand	Binding	Affinity	rmsd/ub
Ratn1_2-ethylhexanol	-6.1	0.0	0.0
	-5.4	4.38	3.374
	-5.3	4.996	4.217
	-4.7	2.47	1.82
	-4.4	18.839	17.43
	-4.4	3.326	2.118
	-4.0	12.932	12.518
	-3.9	19.44	18.048
	-3.7	14.564	13.901
Ratn1_2,5-dimethylpyrazine	-5.4	0.0	0.0
	-5.4	2.646	0.025
	-5.2	2.802	1.263
	-4.9	2.62	1.205
	-4.7	2.283	1.502
	-4.7	3.461	2.219
	-4.6	2.574	1.848
	-4.1	19.67	18.453
	-4.1	19.748	18.475
Ratn1_2-sec-butyl-4,5-dihydrothiazole	-5.9	0.0	0.0
	-5.8	3.109	2.228
	-5.8	3.026	1.596
	-5.5	2.169	1.737
	-5.5	2.118	1.412
	-5.0	4.193	3.496
	-4.4	18.829	17.776
	-4.1	18.709	17.433
	-4.1	19.018	17.71
Ratn1_2-heptanone	-6.0	0.0	0.0
	-5.7	1.0	0.649
	-5.6	3445	1511
	-5.2	4094	3099
	-4.0	19139	18128
	-4.0	19475	18043
	-3.9	17091	16562
	-3.8	15193	14445
	-3.7	20058	18565

side chain (see Figure 6D). In general, the rest of the carbon structure and the radical presentation of a structural orientation in the anterior site of the pocket in the opposite direction to the β -barrel demonstrated a relationship with the apolar residues between ethyl radicals and interactions type alkyl with

Leu124, Leu135, and Val137. Similarly, 2-heptanone showed closer interaction with the protein cavity, predominantly by apolar and polar residues through hydrogen bonds, where it is common to see the relationship between the oxygen of the carbonyl group and aromatic residues of Phe75, Tyr103, and Val101; however, hydrophobic type alkyl junctions were shown with residues such as Leu124 and Val137 (Figure 7).

Discussion

Animal allergens remain an important cause of sensitization and allergic diseases. Rodents such as rats are invasive cosmopolitan species that move between urban, periurban, and urban areas looking for favorable habitats and resources. The allergenicity of these species was first observed in animal caretakers and was considered an important source of occupational sensitization, affecting up to 15% of people in European countries with an active scientific community¹². Besides exposure in occupational settings, rodent exposure also occurs in domestic environments, as was shown in inner-city children with asthma in the USA, where rat sensitization rates were 19–21%¹⁹. In contrast, a recent study from Europe reported a very low prevalence of sensitization to rodents of 0.59% in urban atopic populations without occupational exposure⁵. Rat n 1 is the largest allergen of this species and has been well characterized. This protein belongs to the lipocalin family, a transport protein of hydrophobic ligands as lipid signaling molecules.

Several major allergens are members of the lipocalin family, including those from the mouse (Mus m 1), dog (Can f 1 and Can f 2), cat (Fel d 4 and Fel d 7), horse (Equ c 1), cow (Bos d 2 and Bos d 5), hamster (Pho 21kD), and rabbit (Ory c 1 and Ory c 4), among others³. The factors that give rise to so many lipocalins becoming inducers of allergy are unclear. Among the allergens, cross-reactivity has been observed, mainly in organisms to which people are most exposed, such as cats, dogs, and horses.

In this study, we observed by *in silico* analysis possible cross-reactivity between Rat n 1, Can f 6, Equ c 1, and Fel d 4, with 62% identity among sequences compared. In previous studies, we found a high conservation state among Rat n 1, Equ c 1, and Fel d 4 (60% identity) and described possible residues with antigenic potential^{15,20}. Nilsson *et al.*²¹ found cross-reactivity between Can f 6, Fel d 4, and Equ c 1 by inhibition assays, especially in residues located on positions 29 to 73, which showed the highest identity. In these positions, Rat n 1 also presented the highest identity with the other three lipocalins, and here we found a possible linear epitope (LE4: Start 24 – End 36) that could explain the cross-reactivity. Jeal *et al.*¹² studied a population of individuals exposed to laboratory rats to determine the proliferative response of peripheral blood mononuclear cells to Rat n 1. They found four regions as possible immunodominant T cell epitopes, and three of them were localized within the conserved regions of the lipocalins. One was also found in our study as a possible linear epitope (LE2: Start 91 – End 97), with a high identity with Mus m 1, Equ c 1, Can f 6, and Fel d 4. The homologous allergens may contribute

to multisensitization and symptoms in individuals allergic to different animals. Also, cross-reactivity to T cell epitope was found between Can f 1 and human tear lipocalin²². This could support the autosensitization and increased inflammatory response mediated by T lymphocyte CD4+.

The docking simulations demonstrated that the Rat n 1 cleft is big enough to accommodate the whole fatty acid molecule. Determining the capacity to bind some ligands by allergens is critical to understand their allergenic capacity. For Bet v 1, a lipocalin family member, it has been determined that ligands such as lipids, iron, and calcium modulate its allergenicity capacity^{23,24}. When Bet v 1 is properly loaded with iron, it can promote Th2 response. A similar property is reported for Pru p 3, a peach lipid transfer protein. Results reveal that the ligand is recognized by a type of cellular receptor called CD1d in the cell surface where the antigens appear, that is, substances able to provoke an immune system response to produce antibodies. CD1d is responsible for presenting lipid antigen to activating cells of the immune system called invariant natural killer T (iNKT) cells. Once activated, these iNKT cells produce an enormous amount of substances that cause the characteristic symptoms of allergic disorders²⁵. Since many allergens transport diverse compounds, the discovery of Pru p 3 lipid-ligand as an adjuvant to promote allergic sensitization through its recognition by CD1d expression opened new horizons²⁵.

Of the lipocalin family, only Mus m 1 has been characterized for pheromone linking. Experimental assays revealed that 2-sec-butyl-4,5-dihydrothiazole (SBT), 6-hydroxy-6-methyl-3-heptanone (HMH), and (±)-dehydro-exo-brevicomin (DHB) are ligands for Mus m 1. This was a first step in determining ligands with allergenic capacity²⁶. Also, some ligands could influence the stabilization of IgE conformational epitopes^{23,27}. Here, we predicted three. So, experimental assays are needed to determine the impact of ligands in Rat n 1 on inducing allergic responses. This is the first exploration about capacity of Rat n 1 to bound pheromones ligands. For other allergens, such as Fel d 1, lipid ligands enhance TLR4 activation and innate immune signaling and promote airway hypersensitivity reactions in diseases such as asthma²⁸.

The outcomes of the current work include (1) a comprehensive understanding of the structure of Rat n 1 protein and structural similarities and differences between Rat n 1 and other lipocalins, and (2) a structural and molecular basis for the identification of epitopes responsible for cross-allergenicity between rat and domestic animal allergenic lipocalins. These epitopes may contribute significantly to designing rational strategies for diagnosis of and immunotherapy for domestic animal allergies.

Data availability

All data underlying the results are available as part of the article and no additional source data are required.

References

- Flower DR, North AC, Sansom CE, *et al.*: **The lipocalin protein family: structural and sequence overview.** *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2000; **1482**(1–2): 9–24.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Konradsen JR, Fujisawa T, van Hage M, *et al.*: **Allergy to furry animals: New insights, diagnostic approaches, and challenges.** *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2015; **135**(3): 616–25.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Sánchez A, Cardona R, Sánchez J: **Análisis in silico de lipocalinas de perro, gato, caballo, vaca, hámster y gallina. Posible efecto en el estudio de las enfermedades alérgicas.** *Revista Alergia México.* 2016; **63**(1): 1–10.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Gergen PJ, Mitchell HE, Calatroni A, *et al.*: **Sensitization and Exposure to Pets: The Effect on Asthma Morbidity in the US Population.** *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract.* 2018; **6**(1): 101–107 e2.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Liccardi G, Salzillo A, Sofia M, *et al.*: **Sensitization to rodents (mouse/rat) in an urban atopic population without occupational exposure living in Naples, Italy.** *Eur Ann Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2012; **44**(5): 200–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Fishbein AB, Lee TA, Cai M, *et al.*: **Sensitization to mouse and cockroach allergens and asthma morbidity in urban minority youth: Genes-environments and Admixture in Latino American (GALA-II) and Study of African-Americans, Asthma, Genes, and Environments (SAGE-II).** *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2016; **117**(1): 43–49 e1.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Bayard C, Holmquist L, Vesterberg OJ, *et al.*: **Purification and identification of allergenic alpha (2u)-globulin species of rat urine.** *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1996; **1290**(2): 129–134.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Gordon S, Tee RD, Stuart MC, *et al.*: **Analysis of allergens in rat fur and saliva.** *Allergy.* 2001; **56**(6): 563–567.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Gordon S, Tee RD, Newman Taylor AJ, *et al.*: **Analysis of the allergenic composition of rat dust.** *Clin Exp Allergy.* 1996; **26**(5): 533–541.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Jeal H, Harris J, Draper A, *et al.*: **Dual sensitization to rat and mouse urinary allergens reflects cross-reactive molecules rather than atopy.** *Allergy.* 2009; **64**(6): 855–861.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Bjerg A, Winberg A, Berthold M, *et al.*: **A population-based study of animal component sensitization, asthma, and rhinitis in schoolchildren.** *Pediatr Allergy Immunol.* 2015; **26**(6): 557–63.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Jeal H, Draper A, Harris J, *et al.*: **Determination of the T cell epitopes of the lipocalin allergen, Rat n 1.** *Clin Exp Allergy.* 2004; **34**(12): 1919–1925.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Raulf M: **Allergen component analysis as a tool in the diagnosis and management of occupational allergy.** *Mol Immunol.* 2018; **100**: 21–27.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Uriarte SA, Sastre J: **Clinical relevance of molecular diagnosis in pet allergy.** *Allergy.* 2016; **71**(7): 1066–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Marlon M, Andres S, Jorge S, *et al.*: **In Silico Analysis of Cross Reactivity between Lipocalin of Domestic Animals.** *Open J Immunol.* 2018; **08**(04): 97–106.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Simossis VA, Heringa J: **PRALINE: a multiple sequence alignment toolbox that integrates homology-extended and secondary structure information.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2005; **33**(Web Server issue): W289–94.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, *et al.*: **UCSF Chimera—a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis.** *J Comput Chem.* 2004; **25**(13): 1605–12.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Ponomarenko J, Bui HH, Li W, *et al.*: **EllipPro: a new structure-based tool for the prediction of antibody epitopes.** *BMC Bioinformatics.* 2008; **9**: 514.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Perry T, Matsui E, Merriman B, *et al.*: **The prevalence of rat allergen in inner-city homes and its relationship to sensitization and asthma morbidity.** *J Allergy Clin*

- Immunol.* 2003; **112**(2): 346–352.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
20. Múnera M, Sanchez A, Sánchez J, *et al.*: **Allergy to Mus m 1: Allergy to Mus m 1: A review of structural, and immunological features.** *Immunol Lett.* 2019; **209**: 1–3.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 21. Nilsson OB, Binnmyr J, Zoltowska A, *et al.*: **Characterization of the dog lipocalin allergen Can f 6: the role in cross-reactivity with cat and horse.** *Allergy.* 2012; **67**(6): 751–757.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 22. Liukko ALK, Kinnunen TT, Rytönen-Nissinen MA, *et al.*: **Human CD4⁺ T cell responses to the dog major allergen Can f 1 and its human homologue tear lipocalin resemble each other.** *PLoS One.* 2014; **9**(5): e98461.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 23. Asam C, Batista AL, Moraes AH, *et al.*: **Bet v 1—a Trojan horse for small ligands boosting allergic sensitization?** *Clin Exp Allergy.* 2014; **44**(8): 1083–93.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 24. Roth-Walter F, Gomez-Casado C, Pacios LF, *et al.*: **Bet v 1 from birch pollen is a lipocalin-like protein acting as allergen only when devoid of iron by promoting Th2 lymphocytes.** *J Biol Chem.* 2014; **289**(25): 17416–17421.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 25. Scheurer S, Schülke S: **Interaction of Non-Specific Lipid-Transfer Proteins With Plant-Derived Lipids and Its Impact on Allergic Sensitization.** *Front Immunol.* 2018; **9**: 1389.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 26. Sharrow SD, Vaughn JL, Zidek L, *et al.*: **Pheromone binding by polymorphic mouse major urinary proteins.** *Protein Sci.* 2002; **11**(9): 2247–2256.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 27. Jimenez-Lopez JC, Robles-Bolivar P, Lopez-Valverde FJ, *et al.*: **Ole e 13 is the unique food allergen in olive: Structure-functional, substrates docking, and molecular allergenicity comparative analysis.** *J Mol Graph Model.* 2016; **66**: 26–40.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 28. Herre J, Grönlund H, Brooks H, *et al.*: **Allergens as immunomodulatory proteins: the cat dander protein Fel d 1 enhances TLR activation by lipid ligands.** *J Immunol.* 2013; **191**(4): 1529–35.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: ?

Version 1

Reviewer Report 14 October 2019

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.22569.r54531>

© 2019 Pomés A. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Anna Pomés

Indoor Biotechnologies Inc., Charlottesville, VA, USA

The manuscript by Munera et al. performed an *in silico* analysis of the major rat allergen Rat n 1, and explored cross-reactive epitopes and pheromone linking capacity among Rat n 1 and homologous allergens from domestic pets. The analysis is interesting from a molecular-structural point of view, but the paper needs to be put in context in the allergy field, including previous literature.

1. The structures of Rat n 1 and Mus m 1 were published in Nature in 1992 and reported “the role of these proteins in pheromone transport” and elaborated on “the structural basis of ligand binding”. This publication should be cited, and the information about the ligand binding taken into consideration for the analysis performed. For example, how the ligands analyzed compare to the pheromones reported in the paper would be very interesting. This is the reference:

Böcskei Z, Groom CR, Flower DR, Wright CE, Phillips SEV, Cavaggioni A, Findlay JBC, North ACT. Pheromone binding to two rodent urinary proteins revealed by X-ray crystallography. Nature 1992; 360:186–188.¹

Therefore, the sentence in page 9: “Of the lipocalin family, only Mus m 1 has been characterized for pheromone linking” needs correction.

2. Another lipocalin whose ligand was identified is cockroach Bla g 4, and this study should be cited and the ligand analyzed and compared with the ones used in this study for a comment in the discussion. Ref: The major cockroach allergen Bla g 4 binds tyramine and octopamine. Offermann LR, Chan SL, Osinski T, Tan YW, Chew FT, Sivaraman J, Mok YK, Minor W, Chruszcz M. Mol Immunol. 2014 Jul;60(1):86-94.²
3. Mapping of B and T cell epitopes has been performed before for some lipocalins (i.e. Dr. Virtanen’s group in Finland). It would be good if the *in silico* analysis could be related to experimentally identified epitopes, and to discuss the results in the context of previous studies, such as:

Bayard C, Siddique AB, Berzins K, Troye-Blomberg M, Hellman U, Vesterberg O. Mapping of

IgE binding regions in the major rat urinary protein, alpha 2u-globulin, using overlapping peptides. *Immunol Invest.* 1999 Sep-Dec;28(5-6):323-38.³

Zeiler T, Mäntyjärvi R, Rautiainen J, Rytkönen-Nissinen M, Vilja P, Taivainen A, Kauppinen J, Virtanen T. T cell epitopes of a lipocalin allergen colocalize with the conserved regions of the molecule. *J Immunol.* 1999 Feb 1;162(3):1415-22.⁴

Kinnunen T, Buhot C, Närvänen A, Rytkönen-Nissinen M, Saarelainen S, Pouvelle-Moratille S, Rautiainen J, Taivainen A, Maillère B, Mäntyjärvi R, Virtanen T. The immunodominant epitope of lipocalin allergen Bos d 2 is suboptimal for human T cells. *Eur J Immunol.* 2003 Jun;33(6):1717-26.⁵

Immonen A, Farci S, Taivainen A, Partanen J, Pouvelle-Moratille S, Närvänen A, Kinnunen T, Saarelainen S, Rytkönen-Nissinen M, Maillere B, Virtanen T. T cell epitope-containing peptides of the major dog allergen Can f 1 as candidates for allergen immunotherapy. *J Immunol.* 2005 Sep 15;175(6):3614-20.⁶

Immonen A, Kinnunen T, Sirven P, Taivainen A, Houitte D, Peräsaari J, Närvänen A, Saarelainen S, Rytkönen-Nissinen M, Maillere B, Virtanen T. The major horse allergen Equ c 1 contains one immunodominant region of T cell epitopes. *Clin Exp Allergy.* 2007 Jun;37(6):939-47.⁷

4. The rationale for selecting Can f 6 for the study should be explained since there are other dog lipocalins (Can f 1, Can f 2, Can f 4).
5. Abstract-results:
 - a) "The overlapping structures assay". The use of "analysis" instead of "assay" is best.
 - b) "docking ...revealed the active site". The expression "active site" is especially used for enzymes, and for binding without catalytic activity involved the term "binding site" might be more appropriate. The authors need to correct where it applies (e.g. page 5, line 21).
 - c) If Rat n 1 shares 52% amino acid identity with each of the four allergens, then the sentence is correct, but this might need revision if the percentages are different for each animal. In contrast, in Results, line 6, and in the discussion (third paragraph) 62% identity is reported. The authors should revise this inconsistency. Discussion, line 4 of third paragraph: "high percentage of identity" is better than "high conservation state".
6. In the introduction, line 20: "Among patients allergic to rat, 73% and 87% reacted to Rat n 1 in dust". Not clear why there are two percentages in this sentence. Is some information missing?
7. Line 23-24: The reference above Böcskei et al. *Nature* 1992 should be added to the explanation of the structure of Rat n 1. Similarly, under methods section: "Construction of 3D models", the pdb codes of the structures should be provided.
8. In Table 3: The abbreviations are not clear: If LE is discontinuous epitope (table title), then why in Figure 3 LE are linear epitopes? Are TCE, T-cell epitopes? Please define abbreviations.

9. The proper allergen nomenclature should be used to name lipocalins from hamster: Phod s 1, Mes a 1 (instead of Pho 21kD) in page 8 (discussion, second paragraph). Nomenclature can be found in the official WHO/IUIS Allergen Nomenclature database (www.allergen.org).
10. Bet v 1 is not a lipocalin family member as indicated in paragraph 4 of the discussion. This needs to be corrected.

Minor comments:

- Table 1: species names should be in italics
- Page 5, line 11, "...constituted by 10 amino acid residues..."
- Legend to Figure 5: "discontinuous epitopes" instead of "epitopes discontinues".
- Discussion: second paragraph: "lipocalin family" instead of "lipocal infamily".
- Paragraph 4 of discussion: use of "enormous" should be avoided.
- Before last paragraph of discussion: "This is the first exploration of the capacity of Rat n 1 to bind pheromones". This is an in silico assay, and the study mentioned above (Böcskei et al) proved pheromone binding by X-ray crystallography.

References

1. Böcskei Z, Groom CR, Flower DR, Wright CE, et al.: Pheromone binding to two rodent urinary proteins revealed by X-ray crystallography. *Nature*. 1992; **360** (6400): 186-8 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Offermann LR, Chan SL, Osinski T, Tan YW, et al.: The major cockroach allergen Bla g 4 binds tyramine and octopamine. *Mol Immunol*. 2014; **60** (1): 86-94 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Bayard C, Siddique AB, Berzins K, Troye-Blomberg M, et al.: Mapping of IgE binding regions in the major rat urinary protein, alpha 2u-globulin, using overlapping peptides. *Immunol Invest*. **28** (5-6): 323-38 [PubMed Abstract](#)
4. Zeiler T, Mäntyjärvi R, Rautiainen J, Rytönen-Nissinen M, et al.: T cell epitopes of a lipocalin allergen colocalize with the conserved regions of the molecule. *J Immunol*. 1999; **162** (3): 1415-22 [PubMed Abstract](#)
5. Kinnunen T, Buhot C, Närvänen A, Rytönen-Nissinen M, et al.: The immunodominant epitope of lipocalin allergen Bos d 2 is suboptimal for human T cells. *Eur J Immunol*. 2003; **33** (6): 1717-26 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
6. Immonen A, Farci S, Taivainen A, Partanen J, et al.: T cell epitope-containing peptides of the major dog allergen Can f 1 as candidates for allergen immunotherapy. *J Immunol*. 2005; **175** (6): 3614-20 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
7. Immonen A, Kinnunen T, Sirven P, Taivainen A, et al.: The major horse allergen Equ c 1 contains one immunodominant region of T cell epitopes. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2007; **37** (6): 939-47 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Partly

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Partly

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Not applicable

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

No

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Allergen characterization and epitope mapping.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 27 Nov 2019

Marlon Munera, Medical Research Group (GINUMED) University Corporation Rafael Nuñez, Cartagena, Colombia

Dear,

Reviewer.

We have taken note of all your comments.

1. The sentence in page 9: "Of the lipocalin family, only Mus m 1 has been characterized for pheromone linking" needs correction.

R. Correction was made.

2. Ref: The major cockroach allergen Bla g 4 binds tyramine and octopamine. Offermann LR, Chan SL, Osinski T, Tan YW, Chew FT, Sivaraman J, Mok YK, Minor W, Chruszcz M. Mol Immunol. 2014 Jul;60(1):86-94

R. Reference suggested was added.

3. It would be good if the in silico analysis could be related to experimentally identified epitopes, and to discuss the results in the context of previous studies.

R. All references suggested were incorporated

4. The rationale for selecting Can f 6 for the study should be explained since there are other dog lipocalins (Can f 1, Can f 2, Can f 4).

R. Can f 6 was selected because share a moderated identity level with Rat n 1 and its 3D structure is resolved experimentally.

5-10. Abstract-results

All grammar advice and corrections were incorporated.

On behalf of all authors, we appreciate all your comments to improve our research.

.

Competing Interests: N/A

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research