RESEARCH ARTICLE

Phylogenetic groups and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* clinical isolates from patients at Mulago National Referral Hospital, Kampala, Uganda [version 1; peer review: 2 approved with reservations]

Paul Katongole ¹, Daniel Bulwadda Kisawuzi¹, Henry Kyobe Bbosa ², David Patrick Kateete³, Christine Florence Najjuka¹

¹Department of Medical Microbiology, Makerere University, College of Health Sciences, KAMPALA, Kampala, 7072, Uganda

²African Risk Capacity, Pretoria, South Africa

³Department of Immunology and Molecular Biology, Makerere University, College of Health Sciences, KAMPALA, Kampala, 7072, Uganda

V1 First published: 30 Oct 2019, 8:1828
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.20930.1>
 Latest published: 30 Oct 2019, 8:1828
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.20930.1>

Abstract

Introduction: Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) remains the most common cause of urinary tract infections (UTIs). They account for over 80-90% of all community-acquired and 30-50% of all hospital-acquired UTIs. *E. coli* strains have been found to belong to evolutionary origins known as phylogenetic groups. In 2013, Clermont classified *E. coli* strains into eight phylogenetic groups using the quadruplex PCR method. The aim of this study was to identify the phylogenetic groups of UPEC strains in Uganda using Clermont's quadruplex PCR method and to assess their antibiotic susceptibility patterns in Uganda.

Methods: In this cross-sectional study, 140 stored uropathogenic *E. coli* isolates from the Clinical Microbiology Laboratory, Department of Medical Microbiology, College of Health Sciences Makerere University were subjected to phylogenetic typing by a quadruplex PCR method. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed by disk diffusion method according to Clinical & Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines. Phenotypic detection of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase, AmpC and carbapenemases was done according to CLSI guidelines and Laboratory SOPs.

Results: Phylogenetic group B2 (40%) was the most predominant, followed by A (6.23%), clade I and II (5%), D and E (each 2.14%), B1 (1.43%) and F and C (each 0.71%). The most common resistant antibiotic was trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole (90.71%) and the least was imipenem (1.43%). In total, 73.57% of isolates were multi-drug resistant (MDR). Antibiotic resistance was mainly detected in phylogenetic group B2 (54%).

Open Peer Review

Approval Status ? ?

	1	2
version 1		
30 Oct 2019	view	view

1. Juan Xicohtencatl-Cortes , Hospital Infantil de México "Federico Gómez", Ciudad de México, Mexico
2. Lizziane Kretli Winkelstroter , University of Western Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Conclusions: Our findings showed the high prevalence of MDR *E. coli* isolates, with the dominance of phylogenetic group B2. About 9% of *E. coli* isolates belonged to the newly described phylogroups C, E, F, and clade I and II.

Keywords

Uropathogenic *E. coli*, Phylogenetic groups, Clermont's, Quadruplex PCR, Antimicrobial resistance.

This article is included in the **Pathogens** gateway.

This article is included in the **Antimicrobial Resistance** collection.

Corresponding author: Paul Katongole (paul.katongole@gmail.com)

Author roles: **Katongole P:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Project Administration, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Bulwadda Kisawuzi D:** Validation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Kyobe Bbosa H:** Formal Analysis, Writing – Review & Editing; **Patrick Kateete D:** Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; **Florence Najjuka C:** Methodology, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: Funding was obtained from the Uganda-Case Western Research Collaboration/CWRU; BHSTP_NIH/FIC-ID43TW009780 Grant under Principal investigators of Professor Henry Boom (CWRU) and Professor Moses Joloba (Makerere University).

Copyright: © 2019 Katongole P *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Katongole P, Bulwadda Kisawuzi D, Kyobe Bbosa H *et al.* **Phylogenetic groups and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* clinical isolates from patients at Mulago National Referral Hospital, Kampala, Uganda [version 1; peer review: 2 approved with reservations]** F1000Research 2019, 8:1828 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.20930.1>

First published: 30 Oct 2019, 8:1828 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.20930.1>

Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) remain a major cause of morbidity, with over 1.5 million annual cases reported worldwide (Lee & Neild, 2007)(Neild, 2003). *Escherichia coli* is the major cause of UTIs accounting for over 80–90% and 30–50% of all community-acquired and hospital-acquired UTIs respectively(Foxman, 2010). Phylogenetic (evolutionary) groups of *E. coli* strains have been shown to differentiate between pathogenic and commensal strains depending on their fitness landscapes and virulence characteristics. Although multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) and ribotyping are the gold standard methods for phylogenetic typing of *E. coli* strains, these methods are expensive, time-consuming and require the collection of typed strains (Clermont et al., 2000).

In 2000, Clermont *et al* (Clermont et al., 2000). developed a triplex PCR assay that classified *E. coli* strains into four different phylogenetic groups, A, B1, B2 and D based on the presence or absence of two genes, namely *chuA*, *yjaA*, and one DNA fragment *TspE4.C2*. Since 2000, growing knowledge of MLST for *E. coli* from different habitats has made it possible to validate the triplex PCR method (Gordon et al., 2008). The validation studies found that only 80–85% of all *E. coli* phylogenetic groups were assigned correctly(Gordon et al., 2008)(Clermont et al., 2013).

In 2013 Clermont *et al.* (Clermont et al., 2013) added an additional gene target, *arpA*, to the three candidate markers (*chuA*, *yjaA* and *TspE4.C2*) and developed a quadruplex PCR assay to classify *E. coli* isolates into eight phylogroups: A, B1, B2, C, D, E, F, and clade I/II. The use of this quadruplex PCR phylotyping method has been found to correctly assign 95% of all *E. coli* strains. Phylogenetic analysis has demonstrated a relationship between different *E. coli* phylogenetic groups, antimicrobial resistance and other virulence characteristics (Iranpour et al., 2015). Different studies have shown that the most virulent and antimicrobial-resistant extra-intestinal *E. coli* strains belong mainly to group B2 and, to a lesser extent, to group D (Iranpour et al., 2015)(Bashir et al., 2011)(Liu et al., 2014). In contrast, most of the commensal strains are associated with group A or group B1 (Clermont et al., 2000). In this study, we aimed to determine the prevalence of the different phylogenetic groups of Uropathogenic *E. coli* strains using the new Clermont quadruplex PCR phylotyping method and their antimicrobial susceptibility patterns.

Methods

Study design and setting

This was a cross-sectional laboratory-based study carried out in the Clinical Microbiology and Molecular Biology Laboratories in the Department of Medical Microbiology, College of Health Sciences, Makerere University (Kampala, Uganda).

Bacterial strains

A total of 140 Uropathogenic *E. coli* strains that belonged to the bacterial collection of the Department of Medical Microbiology, College of health sciences Makerere University were studied. These strains had been isolated and stored at -80°C during a 12-month period (between January and December

2016). These isolates had been recovered from samples with a bacterial count of 10⁵ CFU/ml of midstream urine samples of patients with a suspected UTI. The samples were selected by consecutive sampling and all samples that were poorly labeled and lacked traceable laboratory request form were excluded from the study. All isolates were plated on MacConkey agar and incubated at 37°C aerobically for 18–24 hours to obtain pure growth.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was done using the Kirby Bauer disk-diffusion method. Briefly, between one and five colonies of the isolate from the MacConkey agar were emulsified in saline and adjusted for turbidity to obtain a 0.5 McFarland standard. A sterile cotton swab on a stick was dipped in the colony-saline mixture, excess saline was then squeezed out by pressing the swab against the test tube, and the cotton swab gently applied onto the surface of the Mueller-Hinton-2- agar to obtain a uniform lawn. Up to six antibiotic impregnated discs were gently placed on the agar surface, at a minimum distant of 25 mm from each other, and the plates incubated at 37°C aerobically for 18–24 hours. The zones of inhibition diameters around each disc were measured using a ruler and compared against the zone diameter interpretative standards according to CLSI (2014). A panel of 12 antibiotics were used: ampicillin (30 µg), cefuroxime (30 µg), amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (20/10 µg), gentamycin (10 µg), trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazole (1.25/23.5 µg), chloramphenicol (5 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), ceftriaxone (30 µg), ceftazidime (30 µg), imipenem (10 µg), nalidixic acid (30 µg) and nitrofurantoin (300 µg). *E. coli* ATCC 25922 was used as a control strain.

ESBL detection

All isolates that showed an area of inhibition of diameter <22 mm for ceftazidime and <25 mm for ceftriaxone, which were selected for phenotypic detection of The test isolate was mixed in saline to obtain a suspension of 0.5 McFarland standard. The suspension was later swabbed to make a uniform lawn on Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA) plate. An amoxicillin-clavulanate (Augmentin) (20 µg/10 µg) disk was placed in the center of the plate with a 30-µg disk of a third-generation cephalosporin (ceftazidime and cefotaxime) at a distance of 20 mm from center to center on a Mueller Hinton agar (MHA) plate on opposite sides. The plate was later incubated aerobically at 37°C for 18–24 hours. All isolates that showed a clear extension of the edge of inhibition zone of the third-generation cephalosporin toward the augmentin disk were interpreted as positive for ESBL production.

Carbapenemase detection

Detection of carbapenemases was determined by a positive Modified Hodge test (MHT) as described by CLSI (2014); In brief, *E. coli* ATCC 25922 was streaked for confluent growth on Mueller-Hinton II agar plates. A disk saturated with 10 µg of imipenem was placed in the center of the plate, and each sample was then subsequently streaked from the disk to the edge of the plate. The presence of a distorted inhibition zone after overnight incubation was interpreted as a positive result. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC BAA-1705 and *K. pneumoniae* ATCC

BAA- 1706 were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. Phenotypic detection of Metallo-beta-lactamases (MBL), *K. pneumoniae* producing carbapenems (KPC) and AmpC was carried out as described by Andrea Bartolini *et al* and Tsakris *et al* (Bartolini *et al.*, 2014)(Tsakris *et al.*, 2008).

DNA extraction

DNA for amplification was extracted from whole cells by the boiling lysis method, as explained briefly below. A full loop of pure colonies from fresh pure cultures was suspended in 1 ml of sterile distilled water. The cells were lysed by heating at 95°C for 10 minutes. The cells were then vortexed for 5 seconds. Centrifugation was later done at 13,000 rpm for 5 minutes at room temperature and the sample kept at -20°C to harvest the supernatant containing the DNA. The supernatant was subsequently used for PCR as template DNA. The integrity of extracted DNA was evaluated by electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel. The purity of DNA was also determined by the ratio A260/A280 using a spectrophotometer.

New Clermont's quadruplex PCR Phylotyping

The distribution of phylogenetic groups amongst *E. coli* isolates was determined by the New Clermont Quadruplex PCR phylotyping method of 2013 (Clermont *et al.*, 2013). Briefly, a single reaction mixture contained 2 µL of 10x buffer (supplied with *Taq* polymerase), 2 µL of DNA (approximately 100 ng), 20 pmol of each appropriate primer (except for *AceK.f* (40 pmol), *ArpA1.r* (40 pmol), *trpBA.f* (12 pmol), and *trpBA.r* (12 pmol)) (Shanghai Generay Biotech Co., Ltd.), 2mM of each dNTP, and 2U of *Taq* DNA polymerase (Fermentas, Lithuania) in a total volume of 20 µL. Primer sequences for the new Clermont's quadruplex PCR phylogroup assignment method are as shown in Table 1. PCR amplifications were carried out on a thermal cycler Master-cycler gradient (Eppendorf, USA) under

the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94°C for 4 min and 30 cycles for each denaturation at 94°C for 5 sec, annealing at 57°C for 20 sec (group E) or 59°C for 20 sec (quadruplex and group C), amplification at 72°C for 1 min, and final extension at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis with Qiaxcel machine and a 2% agarose gel, stained with DNA safe stain (CinnaGen, Tehran, Iran) and visualized using GelDoc 2000 transilluminator (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Milan, Italy). A molecular weight standard (100-bp ladder, Fermentas, Lithuania) was used.

Statistical analysis

The data was entered in an excel spreadsheet, cleaned and double-checked for missing variables duplicate entries and values out of range. The data was then exported to STATA version 14 for statistical analysis. Means and proportions were obtained. Chi-square test or the Fisher exact test was applied to compare categorical variables. *P* values < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Ethical considerations

Ethical approval (SBS-HDREC- 487) and a waiver of consent were sought to use stored clinical isolates from the higher degrees Research and Ethics Committee of the School of Biomedical Sciences, College of Health Sciences Makerere University and the Uganda National Council of Science and Technology.

Results

Demographics from the isolate data

Of the 140 *E. coli* isolates, 102 (72.9%) were females and 38 (27.1%) were males. The age of the patients was ranging from 2 to 91 years. The mean age was 36.27 with a standard deviation of 18.98.

Table 1. Primer sequences that were used in the Clermont's quadruplex phylotyping method.

PCR Reaction	Primer ID	Target	Primer Sequence	Product length (bp)
Quadruplex	<i>chuA.1b</i>	<i>ChuA</i>	5-ATGGTACCGGACGAACCAAC-3	288
	<i>chuA.2</i>		5-TGCCGCCAGTACCAAAGACA-3	
	<i>yjaA.1b</i>	<i>yjaA</i>	5-CAACGTGAAGTGTCAGGAG-3	211
	<i>yjaA.2b</i>		5-AATGCGTTCCTCAACCTGTG-3	
	<i>TspE4C2.1b</i>	<i>TspE4.C2</i>	5-CACTATTCGTAAGGTCATCC-3	152
	<i>TspE4C2.2b</i>		5-AGTTTATCGCTGCGGGTCGC-3	
	<i>AceK.f</i>	<i>arpA</i>	5-AACGCTATTCGCCAGCTTGC-3	400
	<i>ArpA.r</i>		5-TCTCCCCATACCGTACGCTA-3	
Group E	<i>ArpAgpE.f</i>	<i>arpA</i>	5-GATTCCATCTTGTCAAAATATGCC-3	301
	<i>ArpAGPE.r</i>		5-GAAAAGAAAAAGAATTCCCAAGAG-3	
Group C	<i>trpAgpC.1</i>	<i>trpA</i>	5-AGTTTATGCCAGTGCGAG-3	219
	<i>TRpAgpC.2</i>		5-TCTGCGCCGGTCACGCCC-3	
Internal control	<i>trpBA.f</i>	<i>trpA</i>	5-CGGCGATAAAGACATCTTCAC-3	489
	<i>trpBA.r</i>		5-GCAACGCGGCCTGGCGGAAG-3	

Culture and susceptibility results

Resistance was highest to trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole 127/140 (90.71%) followed by ampicillin 122/140 (87.14%) while resistance to nitrofurantoin 16/140 (11.43%) and imipenem 2/140 (1.43%) was minimal. Resistance to other antibiotics were as follows; cefuroxime 72/140 (51.43%), amoxicillin-clavulanic acid 46/140 (32.86%), gentamycin 52/140 (37.14%), chloramphenicol 35/140 (25%), ciprofloxacin 80/140 (57.14%), ceftriaxone 69/140 (49.29%), ceftazidime 50/140 (35.71%) and nalidixic acid 94/140 (67.14%). **Figure 1** contains a bar chart depicting percentage resistance to different antibiotics. Data

from disc-diffusion assays are available as *Underlying data* ([Katongole, 2019](#)).

Multi-drug resistant (MDR) organisms

In the study, 103/140 isolates (73.57%) were found to be MDR. In addition, 4/140 isolates (2.85%) were resistant to all antibiotics tested.

ESBL, AmpC, and carbapenem detection

In this study, 61/140 (43.57%) were positive for ESBL production. Out of all the ESBL positive isolates, (57/61) 93.44% were

Figure 1. Percentage resistance of uropathogenic *E. coli* clinical isolates to different antibiotics (N=140).

Figure 2. Quaxel gel Picture of Clermont's Quadruplex PCR of some Uropathogenic *E. coli* isolates. Shown are bands for different genes for phylogenetic typing

MDR. All isolates were negative for carbapenem production. Only 2/140 (1.43%) were AmpC producers.

Phylogenetic grouping

Phylogenetic group B2, in 56/140 of samples (40%), was the most prevalent, followed by group A in 9/140 samples (6.23%), Clade I/II in 7/140 samples (5%). The least prevalent were groups F (1/140 samples, 0.71%) and C (1/140 samples, 0.71%) (Table 2). Of the total isolates, 41.43% were unknown. Phylogenetic groupings for each patient are available as *Underlying data* (Katongole, 2019).

Table 2. Prevalence of the phylogenetic groups of Uropathogenic *E. coli* isolates.

Phylogenetic group	Prevalence, n (total 140)	Prevalence, %
A	9	6.23
B1	2	1.43
B2	56	40
C	1	0.71
D	3	2.14
E	3	2.14
F	1	0.71
Unknown	58	41.43
Clade I or II	7	5

Phylogenetic groups and Antimicrobial resistance

Phylogenetic group B2 was the most frequently resistant (38.57%). Phylogenetic groups B1 and F were the least resistant with a recorded highest resistance rate of 0.71% (Table 3).

Discussion

The clinical management of UTIs is becoming a major burden due to the emergence of MDR uropathogens (Shabbir *et al.*, 2018)(Flores-Mireles *et al.*, 2015). Currently, third-generation cephalosporins are the most commonly used drugs in the management of complicated and uncomplicated UTIs (Stiller *et al.*, 2017)(Bonkat *et al.*, 2017). This study aimed to identify the phylogenetic groups of UPEC clinical isolates based on the Clermont quadruplex PCR method and to assess the relationship between these phylogroups and antibiotic susceptibility patterns in Uganda. In this study majority of the *E. coli* strains belonged to B2 (40%). This was similar to other studies worldwide (Iranpour *et al.*, 2015)(Basu *et al.*, 2013)(Moreno *et al.*, 2008)(Takahashi *et al.*, 2006). Other studies have, however, the most predominant phylogenetic group was group A (Ejrnæs *et al.*, 2011)(Zhao *et al.*, 2015). Phylogenetic group B2 has been associated with MDR-UPEC strains and increased expression of virulence factors (Ochoa *et al.*, 2016)(Molina-López *et al.*, 2011)(Nüesch-Inderbinen *et al.*, 2017). Persistent and recurrent UTIs have also been associated with phylogenetic group B2 and this has been implicated in the pathogenesis of pyelonephritis(Ejrnæs *et al.*, 2011)(Kudinha *et al.*, 2013)(Luo *et al.*, 2012). A similar study by Ramos *et al.* of pregnant mothers at Mulago National Referral Hospital found B1 to be the most predominant phylogenetic group (Ramos *et al.*, 2012). The difference could be explained by the different study

Table 3. Prevalence of resistance among different phylogenetic groups of *E. coli* isolate.

Antibiotics	Phylogenetic groups								
	A N (%)	B1 N (%)	B2 N (%)	C N (%)	D N (%)	E N (%)	F N (%)	Clade I/II N (%)	Unknown N (%)
Amoxicillin	7 (5)	1 (0.71)	50 (35.71)	1 (0.71)	2 (1.43)	3 (2.14)	1 (0.71)	7 (5)	50 (35.71)
Cefuroxime	3 (2.14)	0 (0.0)	33 (23.57)	1 (0.71)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.71)	0 (0.0)	2 (1.43)	32 (22.86)
Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid	2 (1.43)	0 (0.0)	19 (13.57)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.71)	1 (0.71)	1 (0.71)	3 (2.14)	20 (14.26)
Gentamycin	3 (2.14)	1 (0.71)	23 (16.43)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.71)	0 (0.0)	2 (1.43)	20 (14.26)
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	7 (5)	1 (0.71)	54 (38.57)	1 (0.71)	3 (2.14)	3 (2.14)	1 (0.71)	6 (4.29)	53 (37.86)
Chloramphenicol	0 (0)	0 (0.0)	21 (15)	1 (0.71)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.71)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.71)	15 (10.71)
Ciprofloxacin	4 (2.86)	0 (0.0)	36 (25.71)	1 (0.71)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (2.14)	34 (24.29)
Ceftriaxone	4 (2.86)	0 (0.0)	31 (22.14)	1 (0.71)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.71)	0 (0.0)	2 (1.43)	30 (21.43)
Ceftazidime	4 (2.86)	0 (0.0)	26 (18.57)	1 (0.71)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (1.43)	24 (17.14)
Imipenem	0 (0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Nalidixic acid	4 (2.86)	0 (0.0)	41 (29.29)	1 (0.71)	0 (0.0)	2 (1.43)	0 (0.0)	3 (2.14)	41 (29.29)
Nitrofurantoin	0 (0)	0 (0.0)	10 (7.14)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	7 (5)

populations. In our study we used isolates from significant bacteriuria while in the study by Ramos *et al.*, they used urine with asymptomatic bacteriuria (Ramos *et al.*, 2012). Other studies have also demonstrated phylogenetic group B1 to be associated with commensal and less virulent strains of *E. coli* (Clermont *et al.*, 2013)(Tenaillon *et al.*, 2010). We also noticed the existence of phylogenetic groups A, C, E, F and clade I/II, which could not be detected using the triplex PCR method. This was similar with other previous studies (Iranpour *et al.*, 2015) (Clermont *et al.*, 2013)(Clermont *et al.*, 2015)(Massot *et al.*, 2016). The study also recorded 41.3% of the isolates that belonged to the unknown group; this could be due to new strains or the un-typable by PCR as explained by Clermont *et al.* (Clermont *et al.*, 2013).

This study demonstrated very high rates of resistance to commonly used antibiotics, especially ampicillin, trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazole, and ciprofloxacin. This finding was similar to that of other studies (Gupta *et al.*, 2001)(Kothari & Sagar, 2008). This could also be explained by the poor antimicrobial use policy in the country evidenced by the over the counter antimicrobial prescriptions (Mukonzo *et al.*, 2013). We demonstrated increased prevalence of ESBL producing UPEC strains (43.57%). This was similar to other studies in the region (Kateregga *et al.*, 2015)(Ampaire *et al.* 2017). This could be explained by the increased pressure on third-generation cephalosporins in the management of UTIs (Ullah *et al.* 2009) (Brosh-Nissimov *et al.*, 2018).

In this study, we did not find any carbapenemases on phenotypic and genotypic screening. This was, however, different

from other studies conducted in a similar setting (Okoche *et al.*, 2015)(Kateete *et al.*, 2016).

This study showed that group B2 isolates were the most resistant to most antimicrobials (38.57%). This was similar to other similar studies (Iranpour *et al.*, 2015)(Massot *et al.*, 2016). In addition, groups D, B1, and F were least resistant, again similar to other studies (Iranpour *et al.*, 2015)(Massot *et al.*, 2016).

In conclusion, our findings showed that group B2 (40%) were the most predominant and most resistant phylogenetic group among UPEC clinical isolates. About 9 % of *E. coli* isolates belonged to the newly described phylogroups C, E, F, and clade I. We recommend routine surveillance of antibiotic resistance patterns in the region to help clinicians make the treatment options for patients with UTIs. We recommend a longitudinal study employing whole-genome sequencing of *E. coli* strains in relation to UTI acquisition this will provide more insight on the role of Phylogenetic groups in the pathogenesis Of UTIs.

Data availability

Figshare: Supplemental files_Paul Katongole et al_v2. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.10006418.v1> (Katongole, 2019).

This project contains the disc-diffusion antibody sensitivity data and phylogenetic group for samples taken from each patient in this study.

Data are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero “No rights reserved” data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

References

- Ampaire L, Nduhura E, Wewedru I: **Phenotypic prevalence of extended spectrum beta-lactamases among Enterobacteriaceae isolated at Mulago National Referral Hospital: Uganda.** *BMC Res Notes*. 2017; 10(1): 448.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Bartolini A, Frasson I, Cavallaro A, *et al.*: **Comparison of phenotypic methods for the detection of carbapenem non-susceptible Enterobacteriaceae.** *Gut Pathog*. 2014; 6: 13.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Bashir S, Sarwar Y, Ali A, *et al.*: **Multiple drug resistance patterns in various phylogenetic groups of uropathogenic *E. coli* isolated from Faisalabad region of Pakistan.** *Braz J Microbiol*. 2011; 42(4): 1278–1283.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Basu S, Mukherjee SK, Hazra A, *et al.*: **Molecular characterization of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*: nalidixic acid and ciprofloxacin resistance, virulent factors and phylogenetic background.** *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2013; 7(12): 2727–2731.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Bonkat G, Pickard R, Bartoletti R, *et al.*: **EAU guidelines on urological infections.** *European Association of Urology*. 2017; 22–26.
[Reference Source](#)
- Brosh-Nissimov T, Navon-Venezia S, Keller N, *et al.*: **Risk analysis of antimicrobial resistance in outpatient urinary tract infections of young healthy adults.** *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2018; 74(2): 499–502.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Clermont O, Bonacorsi S, Bingen E: **Rapid and simple determination of the *Escherichia coli* phylogenetic group.** *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2000; 66(10): 4555–4558.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Clermont O, Christenson JK, Denamur E, *et al.*: **The Clermont *Escherichia coli* phylo-typing method revisited: improvement of specificity and detection of new phylo-groups.** *Environ Microbiol Rep*. 2013; 5(1): 58–65.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Clermont O, Gordon D, Denamur E: **Guide to the various phylogenetic classification schemes for *Escherichia coli* and the correspondence among schemes.** *Microbiology*. 2015; 161(Pt 5): 980–988.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- CLSI: **Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing.** 24th Edition. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2014.
[Reference Source](#)
- Ejrnæs K, Stegger M, Reisner A, *et al.*: **Characteristics of *Escherichia coli* causing persistence or relapse of urinary tract infections: phylogenetic groups, virulence factors and biofilm formation.** *Virulence*. 2011; 2(6): 528–537.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Flores-Mireles AL, Walker JN, Caparon M, *et al.*: **Urinary tract infections: epidemiology, mechanisms of infection and treatment options.** *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2015; 13(5): 269–84.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Foxman B: **The epidemiology of urinary tract infection.** *Nat Rev Urol*. 2010; 7(12): 653–660.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Gordon DM, Clermont O, Tolley H, *et al.*: **Assigning *Escherichia coli* strains to phylogenetic groups: multi-locus sequence typing versus the PCR triplex method.** *Environ Microbiol*. 2008; 10(10): 2484–2496.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Gupta K, Hooton TM, Stamm WE: **Increasing antimicrobial resistance and the management of uncomplicated community-acquired urinary tract infections.** *Ann Intern Med*. 2001; 135(1): 41–50.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Iranpour D, Hassanpour M, Ansari H, *et al.*: **Phylogenetic groups of *Escherichia coli* strains from patients with urinary tract infection in Iran based on the Clermont phylotyping method.** *Biomed Res Int*. 2015; 2015: 846219.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Kateete DP, Nakanjako R, Namugenyi J, *et al.*: **Carbapenem resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* at Mulago Hospital in Kampala, Uganda (2007–2009).** *SpringerPlus*. 2016; 5(1): 1308.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Kateregga JN, Kantume R, Atuhaire C, *et al.*: **Phenotypic expression and**

prevalence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in samples collected from patients in various wards of Mulago Hospital, Uganda. *BMC Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2015; **16**: 14.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Katongole P: **Supplemental files_Paul Katongole et al_v2.XLSX.** figshare. Dataset. 2019.

<http://www.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.10006418.v1>

Kothari A, Sagar V: **Antibiotic resistance in pathogens causing community-acquired urinary tract infections in India: a multicenter study.** *J Infect Dev Ctries.* 2008; **2**(5): 354–358.

[PubMed Abstract](#)

Kudinha T, Johnson JR, Andrew SD, *et al.*: **Distribution of phylogenetic groups, sequence type ST131, and virulence-associated traits among *Escherichia coli* isolates from men with pyelonephritis or cystitis and healthy controls.** *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2013; **19**(4): E173–E180.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Lee JBL, Neild GH: **Urinary tract infection.** *Medicine.* 2007; **35**(8): 423–428.

[Publisher Full Text](#)

Liu Y, Liu G, Liu W, *et al.*: **Phylogenetic group, virulence factors and antimicrobial resistance of *Escherichia coli* associated with bovine mastitis.** *Res Microbiol.* 2014; **165**(4): 273–277.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Luo Y, Ma Y, Zhao Q, *et al.*: **Similarity and divergence of phylogenies, antimicrobial susceptibilities, and virulence factor profiles of *Escherichia coli* isolates causing recurrent urinary tract infections that persist or result from reinfection.** *J Clin Microbiol.* 2012; **50**(12): 4002–4007.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Massot M, Daublé AS, Clermont O, *et al.*: **Phylogenetic, virulence and antibiotic resistance characteristics of commensal strain populations of *Escherichia coli* from community subjects in the Paris area in 2010 and evolution over 30 years.** *Microbiology.* 2016; **162**(4): 642–650.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Molina-López J, Aparicio-Ozores G, Ribas-Aparicio RM, *et al.*: **Drug resistance, serotypes, and phylogenetic groups among uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* including O25-ST131 in Mexico City.** *J Infect Dev Ctries.* 2011; **5**(12): 840–849.

[PubMed Abstract](#)

Moreno E, Andreu A, Pigrau C, *et al.*: **Relationship between *Escherichia coli* strains causing acute cystitis in women and the fecal *E. coli* population of the host.** *J Clin Microbiol.* 2008; **46**(8): 2529–2534.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Mukonzo JK, Namuwenge PM, Okure G, *et al.*: **Over-the-counter suboptimal dispensing of antibiotics in Uganda.** *J Multidiscip Healthc.* 2013; **6**: 303–10.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Neild GH: **Urinary tract infection.** *Medicine.* 2003; **31**(7): 85–90.

[Publisher Full Text](#)

Nüesch-Inderbinen MT, Baschera M, Zurfluh K, *et al.*: **Clonal Diversity, Virulence Potential and Antimicrobial Resistance of *Escherichia coli* Causing Community Acquired Urinary Tract Infection in Switzerland.** *Front Microbiol.* 2017; **8**: 2334.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Ochoa SA, Cruz-Córdova A, Luna-Pineda VM, *et al.*: **Multidrug- and Extensively Drug-Resistant Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* Clinical Strains: Phylogenetic Groups Widely Associated with Integrins Maintain High Genetic Diversity.** *Front Microbiol.* 2016; **7**: 2042.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Okoche D, Asiimwe BB, Katabazi FA, *et al.*: **Prevalence and Characterization of Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae Isolated from Mulago National Referral Hospital, Uganda.** *PLoS One.* 2015; **10**(8): 1–11.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Ramos NL, Sekikubo M, Dzung DT, *et al.*: **Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolates from pregnant women in different countries.** *J Clin Microbiol.* 2012; **50**(11): 3569–3574.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Shabbir M, Iman NU, Shah MZ: **Multidrug resistant uropathogens in urinary tract infections and their antibiotic susceptibility patterns.** *J Med Sci.* 2018; **26**(1): 24–27.

[Reference Source](#)

Stiller RJ, Hicks C, Saul Z: **Treating UTIs in the age of antibiotic resistance.** *Contemporary OB/GYN.* 2017; **62**(2): 30.

Takahashi A, Kanamaru S, Kurazono H, *et al.*: ***Escherichia coli* isolates associated with uncomplicated and complicated cystitis and asymptomatic bacteriuria possess similar phylogenies, virulence genes, and O-serogroup profiles.** *J Clin Microbiol.* 2006; **44**(12): 4589–4592.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Tenaillon O, Skurnik D, Picard B, *et al.*: **The population genetics of commensal *Escherichia coli*.** *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2010; **8**(3): 207.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Tsakris A, Kristo I, Poulou A, *et al.*: **First occurrence of KPC-2-possessing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in a Greek hospital and recommendation for detection with boronic acid disc tests.** *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2008; **62**(6): 1257–1260.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Ullah F, Malik SA, Ahmed J: **Antibiotic susceptibility pattern and ESBL prevalence in nosocomial *Escherichia coli* from urinary tract infections in Pakistan.** *Afr J Biotechnol.* 2009; **8**(16): 3921–3926.

[Reference Source](#)

Zhao R, Shi J, Shen Y, *et al.*: **Phylogenetic Distribution of Virulence Genes Among ESBL-producing Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* Isolated from Long-term Hospitalized Patients.** *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2015; **9**(7): DC01–4.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: ? ?

Version 1

Reviewer Report 19 August 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.23034.r67743>

© 2020 Winkelstroter L. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Lizziane Kretli Winkelstroter

Health Sciences Faculty, University of Western Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil

The study evaluated the Phylogenetic groups and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* clinical isolates from patients at Mulago National. The topic is very interesting. However, the manuscript needs to be reviewed and there are also some important questions that require consideration.

Specific Comments:

Abstract:

- It was not clear the results about ESBL, AmpC, and carbapenem detection. Also, it should be emphasized that 41.3% of the isolates belonged to the unknown Phylogenetic group.

Introduction:

- It is clear. However, I would suggest include more recent references.

Methods:

- Why CLSI (2014) was used? There is probably a more recent edition of CLSI.
- It was not included how the identification/ classification of MDR was done. Also, it is necessary to include the Demographics data analyses (gender, age..).

Results:

- In case, 41.3% of the isolates that belonged to the unknown Phylogenetic group it is an impressive result. Is there *E. coli* control for each Phylogenetic group? It deserves more attention and discussion. It seemed to be a high number considering the fact to be new strains or the un-typable by PCR

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Partly

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Microbiology and molecular biology

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Reviewer Report 07 August 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.23034.r63986>

© 2020 Xicohtencatl-Cortes J. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Juan Xicohtencatl-Cortes

Laboratorio de Investigación en Bacteriología Intestinal, Hospital Infantil de México "Federico Gómez", Ciudad de México, Mexico

Comments to the manuscript

Abstract

This is a study of frequencies in UPEC strains, recovered from patients with UTIs, whose purpose was the association of phylogenetic groups (PG) with antimicrobial resistance, using the Quadruplex-PCR suggested by Clermont *et al.*, 2013.

Although the approach to PG and resistance has been widely discussed, the information from other countries can support treatment and the epidemiological studies. However, I believe that

more information can be extracted from the data already presented, which supports these results and an adequate discussion.

Introduction

The introduction is clear and contains enough information for the article to be read clearly.

Material and Methods

It is important to indicate the origin of the strains since it is not indicated what type of UTI they are related to, that is, if they are community UTIs, hospital UTIs, complicated or uncomplicated UTIs.

The authors describe the presence of UPEC-MDR strains; however, it is important to define the significance of an MDR strain.

As a suggestion for the authors, it is important to add the identification of UPEC-XDR and PDR strains. Based on these data, it is necessary to add 2 more categories of antibiotics, such as monobactams and tetracycline.

Check the name of the authors.

The purpose of the work was: "to typify the PGs, in a collection of UPEC clinical strains, by the new scheme of Clermont *et al.*, 2013 and to relate it to antibiotic susceptibility". However, the results showed that 58/140 (They are many) strains were not typed by this method. This is a result that needs to be discussed in more detail.

According to the analysis made by the authors, does it mean that the Clermont system implemented does not work on Ugandan strains?

Regarding the DNA extraction method? Raw or boiled extracts are generally not suitable for multiplex PCR assays. Is DNA quantification better with this scheme? I suggest that in untyped strains, PCR be performed again using purified DNA (manual or with a commercial kit), quantified and adjusted to a standard concentration. This experiment will validate the negative results.

As a suggestion to the authors, it would be interesting to integrate an analysis of PG, resistance, and the age of the patients (pediatric and adult) for the improvement of the manuscript.

Considering the enzyme production, GF, age, characteristic of UTI as related to the 4 strains that were characterized as R to all antibiotics, is it an XDR or PDR strain?. Under this same context, how were the phenotypic AmpC-producing strains?

In various populations, the phylogenetic group D is highly prevalent, why is it not mentioned in the article and it is not discussed either?

How is the MDR profile (73%) in relation to the PG? This information is also important for inclusion in the manuscript.

Fig. 2 may be supplemental material. I suggest adding a caption, indicating the following: 1) The corresponding to each lane, 2) the presence of molecular size, 3) the presence of results of the clinical strains, and 4) the presence of positive control strains.

In the discussion, the authors justify the presence of strains that did not typify, data that correlate with the article by Clermont *et al.*, 2013, in addition to this, that other studies show this same trend.

The manuscript requires a more solid conclusion, regarding whether the new PG scheme of Clermont *et al.*, 2013, is optimal or not for this study.

Although many results were disputed, I consider that this manuscript requires a more detailed analysis.

In the discussion, the authors described: "In this study, we did not find any carbapenems on phenotypic a genotypic screening". The methodology and results, only indicate that they carried out the phenotypic screening, they do not indicate how they carried out the genetic typing. Correct or add this information in the methodology and results section.

In the results section, I suggest that the authors describe in which groups the statistical test was performed, for example, if the statistics were performed only for PG vs antibiotic resistance or considering the MDR groups, or the presence of ESBL, carbapenems, and AmpC.

It is not clear whether the identification of AmpCs was chromosomal or plasmid. Please indicate.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Partly

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Bacterial pathogenesis

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research